

ORIGINAL

FILED

05/19/2026

Bowen Greenwood  
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF MONTANA

Case Number: DA 26-0308

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

DA 26-0308

GARTH L. HARMON, WAGNER HARMON,  
and SHOTGUN CREEK DEVELOPMENTS,  
LLC,

Plaintiffs and Appellees,

v.

DUAL TRUCKING, INC., a Louisiana  
corporation, DUAL TRUCKING OF MONTANA,  
L.L.C., a Louisiana limited liability company,  
DUAL TRUCKING AND TRANSPORT, L.L.C.,  
a Louisiana limited liability company, ANTHONY  
J. ALFORD, a Louisiana Resident, KJK  
TRUCKING, LLC, a Louisiana limited company,  
CARON TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS USA,  
INC., a Delaware corporation, WHITNEY BANK,  
a Mississippi corporation, CONTINENTAL  
RESOURCES, INC., an Oklahoma corporation,  
CETCO ENERGY SERVICES COMPANY, LLC,  
a Delaware Limited Liability company, WHITING  
PETROLEUM CORPORATION, a Delaware  
Corporation, PETRO-HUNT, LLC, a Texas  
Limited Liability Company, PURITY OILFIELD  
SERVICES, a Texas Limited Liability Company,  
ZENERGY OPERATING COMPANY, a  
Delaware Limited Liability Company, STATE OF  
MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, and JOHN  
DOES 1 through 50,

Defendants and Appellants.

FILED

MAY 19 2026

Bowen Greenwood  
Clerk of Supreme Court  
State of Montana

ORDER

Appellants Dual Trucking Inc., et al., filed a notice of appeal from the February 2, 2023 Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment against Defendants Dual Trucking Inc., Dual Trucking of Montana, LLC, and Dual Trucking & Transport, LLC as to


Liability of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court, Roosevelt County, Cause No. DV-2015-015, that the District Court has certified as final pursuant to M. R. Civ. P. 54(b) via its April 9, 2026 Order Denying Motion to Consolidate and Granting Certification of Interlocutory Order and Stay of Remaining Claims.

Pursuant to M. R. App. P. 4(4)(b), we have reviewed the District Court's certification order for compliance with M. R. App. P. 6(6). We conclude the court's certification order is in substantial compliance with the requirements of Rule 6(6) and our case law interpreting certification orders under Rule 54(b).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this appeal may proceed.

The Clerk is directed to provide copies of this Order to all parties.

DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2026.




---

Chief Justice



---



---

Justices