## FILED

10/23/2024

Bowen Greenwood

CLEVICT IS BREME COURT
STATE OF MONTANA

10/18/2024

COMMESSON WING FR 23 1496

OF THE SUPREME COURT

OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

## BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON PRACTICE 1 2 OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA 3 4 5 IN THE MATTER OF AUSTIN KNUDSEN ) ODC File No. 6 An Attorney at Law, ) 21-094 7 Respondent. ) Supreme Court ) No. PR 23-0496 8 9 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - DAY TWO 10 11 12 13 BE IT REMEMBERED, that the proceedings 14 15 in the above-captioned matter were heard before the Commission on Practice of the Supreme Court of 16 17 Montana in the Courtroom of the Montana Supreme 18 Court, 215 North Sanders, Helena, Montana, on the 19 10th day of October, 2024, beginning at the hour of 20 9:00 a.m., before Laurie Crutcher, Court Reporter, 21 Notary Public. 22 23 24

25

	AV ALAMUVAA ARAAMUVAA	1	-	
	Page 248		Pi	age 250
1	APPEARANCES:	1	had and testimony taken, to-wit:	
2	THE COMMISSION ON PRACTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:	2		
3	CHAIRMAN RANDY OGLE, ESQ. MR. TROY McGEE	3	<b>CHAIR OGLE:</b> Are you ready to proceed?	
4	MS. ELINOR NAULT MS. CAREY MATOVICH, ESQ.	4	ACD CONTRACT OF A STATE OF A STAT	
5	MR. MIKE LAMB, ESQ.  APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY	5		
6	COUNSEL:	_	Mr. Strauch?	
7	MR. TIMOTHY B. STRAUCH, ESQ. Office of Disciplinary Counsel	7		
8	P.O. Box 1099 Helena, MT 59624-1099	8		
9	tstrauch@montanaodc.org		there are a couple of housekeeping items.	
10	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT: MR. MARK D. PARKER, ESQ.	10		
11	Attorney at Law Parker, Heitz & Cosgrove, PLLC		with noise in the gallery, people watching the	
12	P.O. Box 7212 Billings, MT 59103-7212		proceeding. We would like to ask everyone to	
13	markdparker@parker-law.com		please be quiet so everyone can hear, in	
14	MR. CHRISTIAN BRIAN CORRIGAN, ESQ. Solicitor General		particular that we can hear the questions that are	
15	Office of the Attorney General 215 North Sanders Street		asked and the responses from the witnesses. In	
16	Helena, MT 59620 Christian.Corrigan@mt.gov		particular, it's going to be a little more	
17	MR. TYLER GREEN, ESQ. (pro hac vice)	17	11001 1 11 1	
18	Attorney at Law Consovoy McCarthy PLLC		morning, so we ask that everyone please be quiet.	
19	222 S. Main Street, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84101	19		
20	tyler@consovoymccarthy.com	20		
21	MR. SHANE P. COLEMAN, ESQ. Attorney at Law	21		
	Billstein, Monson & Small PLLC		phone and/or if you're going to take photographs	
22	1555 Campus Way, Suite 201 Billings, MT 59102		of the proceeding, step to the back of the room,	
23	shane@bmslawmt.com		and do it from the back of the room, so as it's	
24			not disruptive.	
25		23	not disruptive.	
	Page 249		D.	age 251
1	INDEX		•••	ago zo i
2		1	1	
3	MITTINEGG		people were trying to take photographs of the	
_	WITNESS PAGE		documents at the Counsel table, and of course,	
4	BETH McLAUGHLIN		that's inappropriate. So we'd ask that you shut	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Strauch 261		off your phone, and/or if you're going to take	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Green 300	6	photographs, to step to the back of the room.	
7	ERROL WYLIE GALT	7	,	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Coleman 351		the conclusion of yesterday's proceeding, the	
9	Cross Examination by Mr. Strauch 380	9	Respondent filed a point brief requesting to	
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Coleman 386	10		
11	GREGORY J. HERTZ		you have an opportunity to review that brief, Mr.	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Coleman 388	12	Strauch?	
13		13	MR. STRAUCH: Yes, Mr. Chairman.	
14	EXHIBITS	14	J 1	
14 15	EXHIBITS  Exhibit No. Admitted	14 15	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I	
		14 15	<b>MR. STRAUCH:</b> Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I?	
15	Exhibit No. Admitted	14 15	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I?	
15 16	Exhibit No. Admitted Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, 260	14 15 16	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I? CHAIR OGLE: Yes, please do. MR. STRAUCH: Just a few points, and	
15 16 17	Exhibit No. Admitted Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, 260 M, O, Q, R, T, W, X, Z, AA, BB, DD, EE,	14 15 16 17	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I? CHAIR OGLE: Yes, please do. MR. STRAUCH: Just a few points, and	
15 16 17 18	Exhibit No. Admitted Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, 260 M, O, Q, R, T, W, X, Z, AA, BB, DD, EE,	14 15 16 17 18	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I? CHAIR OGLE: Yes, please do. MR. STRAUCH: Just a few points, and then I want to address a few of the cases that	
15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit No. Admitted Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, 260 M, O, Q, R, T, W, X, Z, AA, BB, DD, EE, FF, GG, and HH	14 15 16 17 18	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I? CHAIR OGLE: Yes, please do. MR. STRAUCH: Just a few points, and then I want to address a few of the cases that they cited.	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit No.  Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, 260 M, O, Q, R, T, W, X, Z, AA, BB, DD, EE,  FF, GG, and HH  Respondent's Exhibit K (refused)  414	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I? CHAIR OGLE: Yes, please do. MR. STRAUCH: Just a few points, and then I want to address a few of the cases that they cited.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit No.  Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, 260 M, O, Q, R, T, W, X, Z, AA, BB, DD, EE,  FF, GG, and HH  Respondent's Exhibit K (refused)  414	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I? CHAIR OGLE: Yes, please do. MR. STRAUCH: Just a few points, and then I want to address a few of the cases that they cited. First, the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit No.  Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, 260 M, O, Q, R, T, W, X, Z, AA, BB, DD, EE,  FF, GG, and HH  Respondent's Exhibit K (refused)  414	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I? CHAIR OGLE: Yes, please do. MR. STRAUCH: Just a few points, and then I want to address a few of the cases that they cited. First, the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement, in particular Rule 12, states	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit No.  Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, 260 M, O, Q, R, T, W, X, Z, AA, BB, DD, EE,  FF, GG, and HH  Respondent's Exhibit K (refused)  414	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. STRAUCH: Not a written one. I didn't have time. But yes, sir. May I?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, please do.  MR. STRAUCH: Just a few points, and then I want to address a few of the cases that they cited.  First, the Rules of Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement, in particular Rule 12, states explicitly that the Adjudicatory Panel shall make	

Page 252

- 1 It is clear from that language that the
- 2 Commission on Practice doesn't sit as the ultimate
- 3 Court. It's not the ultimate arbiter here. The
- 4 Supreme Court is, and the Supreme Court is a
- 5 Court. And further, that rule makes it clear that
- 6 the Respondent has the opportunity to object to
- 7 the recommendations of this Commission.
- 8 The second point is it appears to me
- 9 that the Respondent relies nearly exclusively,
- 10 with the exception of the cases that I mentioned
- 11 and we'll discuss, on Civil Procedure Rule 8.
- And as Respondent notes, the Rules of
- 13 Civil Procedure do apply to disciplinary
- 14 proceedings, except to the extent that they're not
- 15 consistent, in which case the Rules for Lawyer
- 16 Disciplinary Enforcement control. And here they
- 17 are inconsistent because Rule 8 is inconsistent
- 18 with RLDE 12, No. 1.
- 19 But Rule 8 more specifically starts with
- 20 this language, so even if it does apply -- which I
- 21 would disagree with -- even if does apply, Rule 8
- 22 starts with "unless ordered otherwise," quote
- 23 unquote.
- Well, this Commission did not order the
- 25 submission of proposed findings. We've been under

- 1 Supreme Court, and the AG did that a couple days
- 2 ago here. And the Supreme Court denied it here,
- 3 and the Supreme Court determined it in Morin.
- 4 And in Morin, one of the things noted by
- 5 the Supreme Court was that it didn't understand,
- 6 and questioned whether the procedure for a writ of
- 7 supervisory control could even be used in a
- 8 situation like this, questioning whether this
- 9 Commission is a Court, and specifically citing the
- 10 fact that under Rule 12 of the MRLDE, that the
- 11 Respondent has an opportunity to object to the
- 12 recommendations.
- And so I would submit that that case is
- 14 also instructive, that if push came to shove, the
- 15 Supreme Court probably would not endorse this
- 16 unless the Commission ordered otherwise.
- 17 Frankly if Rule 8 applies, their
- 18 submission would not be timely, because it
- 19 requires the submission of findings seven days
- 20 prior to the hearing, not after.
- But as I said, in all the experience
- 22 that I've had as the Disciplinary Counsel, and
- 23 since then -- I did that in 2003 to 2005, and
- 24 handled my recollection is roughly 1500 cases in
- 25 that time frame, and then I've been defending

Page 253 Page 255

- 1 a scheduling order in this case for the better
- 2 part of a year, I believe -- maybe more, maybe
- 3 less -- but attached to that is the standing order
- 4 of this Commission which has the required
- 5 procedures for leading up to trial, and it does
- 6 not provide for the submission of proposed
- 7 findings.
- 8 The second thing I would note about Rule
- 9 8 is that it pertains to all matters where the
- 10 Court, quote unquote, "must enter findings of fact
- 11 pursuant to Rule 52," and Rule 52 again speaks
- 12 about District Courts.
- And as I mentioned, this Commission
- 14 doesn't sit as the ultimate arbiter. Should there
- 15 be any question about it, in terms of whether the
- 16 Supreme Court believes that this Commission sits
- 17 as the ultimate arbiter, in the Morin case,
- 18 M-O-R-I-N, and it was an original proceeding
- 19 OP-20-0007, the decision for the Supreme Court
- 20 denying a petition for a writ in that case,
- 21 January 6th, 2020.
- For background purposes, Ms. Morin was
- 23 undergoing a disciplinary proceeding, and much
- 24 like the Attorney General here, filed a petition
- 25 for a writ of supervisory control to the Montana

- 1 lawyers ever since -- I've not been involved in a
- 2 case where there had been a submission of proposed
- 3 findings.
- 4 And I would submit the Commission knows
- 5 its rules better than anyone. My understanding of
- 6 that always was that the Commission wants to be as
- 7 expedient as possible. The Commission has, ever
- 8 since the creation of ODC, made it a point of
- 9 moving things along. That's consistent with Rule
- 10 1, which requires the speedy and expedient
- 11 efficient resolution of all matters, and
- 12 submission of proposed findings and conclusions of
- 13 law will only delay the results here.
- And I think if the Commission wanted to,
- 15 it could simply say no, it's not going to allow
- 16 it, and that would be consistent with Rule 8, but
- 17 I'm not going to tell you your business.
- Last but not least, they cite a few
- 19 cases, and frankly I don't know what to make of
- 20 them, except they cite the Brackman case, 851 P.2d
- 21 1055, as standing for the proposition that
- 22 proposed findings were allowed. I have read the
- 23 case last night briefly, and my reading of the
- 24 case is that the Court actually held that the
- 25 Board of Nursing in that case went beyond its

Page 256

- 1 authority by allowing the prosecuting attorney to
- 2 file proposed findings of fact. So I'm not sure 2 there's good cause here for us to do it. We don't
- 3 what they're getting at with that cite, but if
- 4 anything, it probably is contrary to their
- position.
- They cite the Connell (phonetic) case, 6
- 7 and that was a child support hearing, and in that
- 8 case, the CSED Hearing Officer established a
- 9 schedule which included the submission of proposed
- findings. Again, this Commission did not do that. 10
- Core-Mark is another case they cite. 11
- There the Board of Livestock hired an independent
- Hearing Examiner to conduct the hearing, and there
- again, the Hearing Examiner specifically had
- ordered the submission of proposed findings ahead
- of time; again, not done here. 16
- And last but not least, in Mayer, again, 17
- the Board of Psychologists ordered the submission 18
- of proposed findings. 19
- So in sum, I disagree that proposed 20
- 21 findings are neither appropriate or necessary. I
- think what is necessary is an end to this 22
- litigation. Thank you. 23
- **CHAIR OGLE:** Your response. 24
- MR. GREEN: Sure. If I may, Mr. 25

- 1 hearing, the number of counts involved, I think
- 3 read the rules as precluding an order from this
- 4 body to do it.
- So I think based on all those things,
- this Panel certainly has the power to do it, I
- think there's good cause for it, and we think in
- the interests of justice and in fairness giving us
- a handful, 30 days, 45 days, to put together some
- proposed findings and conclusions of law for this
- 11 Panel to consider, as not the ultimate arbiter to
- 12 be sure, but preparing its decision for review by
- 13 the Supreme Court, I think it would be a useful
- 14 exercise.
- 15 CHAIR OGLE: Very well. I would just
- 16 say for the record, in the time period that I've
- been on the Commission, none of the parties have
- submitted proposed findings and conclusions either
- before or after the hearing. 19
- 20 Mr. Strauch does make some good points,
- and you agree, I guess, that this Commission's 21
- determinations are simply a recommendation to the
- Montana Supreme Court, who is the final decision
- maker in the matter. 24
- And in addition to that, the parties are 25

Page 257 Page 259

- 1 Chairman, just briefly. A couple of quick points.
- I think, first I think there's actually
- some agreement between our side and Mr. Strauch.
- We don't disagree that this Court -- excuse me --
- that this Panel is not the ultimate arbiter. We
- understand the Supreme Court is. So that's I
- think not disputed.
- I think maybe where we have an important
- 9 difference is our view of what's happened here in
- the state of this evidentiary record. By the time
- we're finished, we will have heard from a number
- of witnesses, and this panel has heard a
- significant quantity of evidence on a case that I
- 14 think -- I don't have a distinct memory of Mr.
- 15 Strauch's experience in ODC, citing I think 1300
- **16** or 1500 cases.
- I don't know how many of those involved 17
- 18 40 different counts where I think we've gone
- 19 through and looked at all the subparts of those
- counts. I think all told, if you count the
- 21 subparts, there are 127 different charges at issue
- 22 in this case.
- So I think our view, based on all that 23
- 24 and the status of the record that will be finished
- 25 after what it looks like will be a two day

- 1 going to have an opportunity to summarize their
- 2 positions in the closing arguments this morning,
- 3 later. So with that, we'll take this under
- 4 advisement. And we do also like to make
- 5 decisions, get recommendations out, as
- 6 expeditiously as possible, but we'll take this
- under advisement and get a decision on this motion
- at the conclusion of the hearing.
- 9 Was there something else you wanted to
- 10 bring up?
- MR. GREEN: There was, Mr. Chairman. 11
- 12 Thank you. Just I think while we're still in our
- 13 case, before we turn it back to Mr. Strauch, we
- 14 wanted to make, for the record move the admission
- 15 of our exhibits that were either not objected to
- 16 or that Mr. Strauch has since withdrawn his
- objections to. 17
- For the record -- and Mr. Strauch. 18
- 19 please correct me if this list isn't correct --
- 20 but I believe that Respondent's Exhibits A, B, C,
- 21 D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L, M, O, Q, R, T, W, X, Z,
- 22 AA, BB, DD, EE, FF, GG, and HH.
- 23 **CHAIR OGLE:** Any objection, Mr. Strauch?
- MR. STRAUCH: No. I'm just checking my 24
- 25 notes here. That's correct. Thank you.

Page 260 Page 262 1 **CHAIR OGLE:** Those exhibits are admitted 1 Q. Where did you grow up? 2 into the record. 2 A. In Butte. MR. GREEN: Thank you Mr. Chairman. **3** Q. Where did you go to school? 3 4 (Whereupon, Respondent's Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, 4 A. I went to the University of Montana. I 5 F, G, H, I, J, L, M, O, Q, R, T, W, X, Z, AA, BB, have a bachelors degree in journalism, and a 6 DD, EE, FF, GG, and HH were received in evidence) masters in public administration. CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Strauch, are you Q. What is your job as Court Administrator? A. I'm responsible for the overall prepared to call your next witness then? 8 9 MR. STRAUCH: Yes, Mr. Chairman. administrative functions in the Judicial Branch, **CHAIR OGLE:** Would you do so. so it's fairly broad based. I'm responsible for 10 10 MR. STRAUCH: Formally reopening ODC's all human resources management activities, 11 11 12 case, ODC calls Beth McLaughlin, and she is 12 payroll, contracting, fiscal management. 13 appearing remotely without objection by the My office provides all IT services to 13 Respondent. Courts across the state, including Courts of 14 14 CHAIR OGLE: You may proceed. Good 15 Limited Jurisdiction and District Courts. My 15 16 morning, Ms. McLaughlin. office manages the Youth Court Program, which is 16 **THE WITNESS:** Good morning. the juvenile probation system in the State of 17 17 Montana. 18 18 BETH McLAUGHLIN, We manage a program that provides 19 19 20 Having been first duly sworn, was examined and services to families involved in child abuse and 20 testified as follows: (via Zoom) neglect cases, specifically mediation program. I 21 manage the State Law Library. Within the State 22 22 23 23 Law Library, we have a large program for people representing themselves in courts. That includes 24 24 **DIRECT EXAMINATION** self-help law centers across the state of Montana, 25 25 Page 261 Page 263 BY MR. STRAUCH: and a mediation program associated with that. 1 **2** Q. What is your name? I also represent the branch before 2 3 A. My name is Beth McLaughlin. legislative hearings, including managing and 3 4 Q. And who are you? delivering our budget proposal during legislative 4 5 A. I am the Supreme Court Administrator. sessions, as well as providing responses during 5 6 Q. And where are you this morning, Ms. 6 the interim. McLaughlin? Finally I'm responsible for making sure 7 8 A. I am in my hotel room in Missoula, that all of our data requests are responded to, 8 Montana. 9 that information requests are responded to, and 10 Q. Is anybody in the room with you? then any other duties that might be assigned to me 10 by the Court or the District Court. I did miss 11 12 Q. Do you have access to the ODC's exhibits also that we have administrative responsibility 12 in front of you? for the Water Court as well. 13 14 Q. Thank you. And I want to pick up on 15 O. Do you have access to the Attorney something you just said, I believe I heard you 15 General's exhibits in front of you? say. Are you familiar with your statutory duties 16 17 A. I do. under the Montana Code? 17 18 A. I am. 18 Q. What is -- just tell us, please, a 19 O. In 2021, at the time of events that are little bit about your personal background. 19 20 A. I have been the Supreme Court 20 the subject of this proceeding, was one of your Administrator since July of 2012. Prior to that I duties under the MCA then in effect -- not 21 21 22 was the Human Resources Director at the Department 22 today's, but the one back then, which I submit to 23 of Public Health and Human Services. I have 23 you as a matter of law is the 2019 version, and

half years.

24

25

worked for State government for about 31 and a

24

25

that's specifically for the record 3-1-702(10).

Was one of your functions then to perform other

Page 264 Page 266 duties, quote, "perform other duties that the I'll use the term -- party certainly in the Supreme Court may assign," end quote? McLaughlin case, but also had your lawyer file 2 3 A. Yes. some documents for you in the Brown case, correct? 3 4 O. And sometime after 2021, was that 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. When did you first learn that the provision No. 10 removed from that statute? 6 A. That provision was removed during the Legislature had subpoenaed Judicial Branch emails 2023 legislative session. from the Department of Administration? 8 Q. So a couple years after the events here? A. I first learned about it on Friday. I 9 A. Correct. had left my office for a personal appointment. 10 Q. And you've looked through the I'm not sure I have the exact date. It was either Respondent's exhibits, and Exhibit H in April 8th or 9th. And I came back to my office 11 particular? 12 close to 5:00, just to clean up the information, 12 13 A. I'm sorry, Mr. Strauch. Did you say and found that there was a courtesy copy of a 13 your exhibits or -document from Ms. Belke notifying me that a 15 Q. Mine are numbered, so "H" is a subpoena had been issued to the Department of 15 Respondent exhibit. Administration to produce Judicial Branch 16 17 A. Just one moment, please. I have that 17 documents. pulled up. 18 Q. And for the record, Friday was April 18 Q. And what version of the code Section 9th. Okay? 19 3-1-702 is on that exhibit? 20 20 A. Thank you. 21 A. This is the section of the code from 21 Q. And at what time of the day did you 2023. receive the courtesy copy of the subpoena directed 22 22 23 O. So this code section that they've got to the Department of Administration? here in their exhibits is not the one that 24 A. I received the document when I returned controlled your actions in 2021, correct? to my office after a personal appointment, and it Page 265 Page 267 1 A. Correct. was either close to or shortly after 5:00 on a 2 Q. And this one in 2023 actually doesn't Friday. have that No. 10 that you just talked about, 3 Q. In the ODC's Exhibit No. 6, can you just look at that, and affirm that that's a copy, a right? courtesy copy of that subpoena. 5 A. Correct. **6** Q. Were you subpoenaed to testify here? A. Yes. Just one minute. That is correct. 7 A. I was. Q. And that subpoena is directed to -- it's 7 signed by Senator Regier; is that right? 8 Q. And did you, once you were subpoenaed, did you contact my office to work out a time to 9 A. Regier. accommodate your professional obligations? 10 Q. I mispronounced his name. I apologize. 10 11 A. I did. And it's directed to Misty Giles, Director of **12** Q. And you understood that -- or excuse me. Administration, the Department of Administration? 12 Did you understand that if I didn't work that out 13 A. Correct. 13 with you, you had a right to apply to this 14 O. Who is Ms. Giles? 14 15 A. Ms. Giles is an Executive Branch Commission for relief or protective relief so that 15 you could meet your professional obligations? appointed official, who is the head of the **Executive Department of Administration.** 17 A. I did. 17 **18** Q. But I worked it out with you, right? **18** Q. What's your understanding of her job as 19 A. Correct. the Director? 19 20 Q. And Respondent has professionally 20 A. I believe she has responsibility over accommodated you as well; you understand that, what I would say are administrative functions in 21 right? the Executive Branch, so the management of 22 22 23 A. Correct. 23 buildings, the management of the state health

24 Q. We know you were involved not as a

lawyer, but you were involved somewhat as a --

24

25

insurance system; and then attached to her office

is the State Information Technology Division.

Page 268

- 1 Q. What was your understanding of how Ms.
- **2** Giles had access to Judicial Branch emails?
- 3 A. The Judicial Branch resides on the
- 4 Executive Branch network. We do not have funding
- 5 that would allow us to have our own network system
- 6 with all of the things that are required in the
- 7 modern age to prevent cyber security attacks, so
- 8 we procure network services from the Department of
- 9 Administration.
- 10 That would include the suite of office
- 11 products that are generally used in a work
- 12 environment, so email, Word, PDF's, I mean all of
- 13 those things that people use on their daily work.
- 14 It would be a relationship -- or excuse me --
- 15 ITSD's relationship to the branch would be akin to
- 16 a law firm's relationship to a private internet
- 17 provider.
- 18 Q. And that saves the taxpayers of the
- 19 state money?
- 20 A. It does. It would be I think cost
- 21 prohibitive, and probably not something we would
- 22 ever want to have a completely separate Judicial
- 23 Branch network. I don't think that would be an
- 24 appropriate use of funds.
- 25 Q. And is the Judicial Branch network still

- 1 A. There's generally two ways in which they
- 2 are processed. The first is the one I will
- 3 explain is the easiest.
- 4 We do receive on occasion requests for
- 5 Court records. All of the Courts in the State of
- 6 Montana reside on our network, reside on our case
- 7 management system. I am not the keeper of the
- 8 record in that case, and in the case where court
- 9 records are requested, the requesting party is
- 10 directed to the appropriate Clerk of Court.
- 11 So if you request from my office case
- 12 files in the Yellowstone County District Court,
- 13 I'm going to refer you to the Clerk of Court in
- 14 Yellowstone County, even though in theory my IT
- 15 staff could reach in and get those files. We are
- 16 not the custodian of those records. So that's one
- 17 type of record request that is referred to the
- 18 custodial record keeper. The second --
- 19 Q. I'm sorry. Did you say that's a public
- 20 records request? Is that what you said?
- 21 A. I said that was a public records request
- 22 for court records, so case specific court records.
  - In the event that we receive a request
- 24 for public records that are lodged or associated
- 25 in my office, we generally have a period of time

Page 269 Page 271

- part of or lodged in the Executive Branch network
- **2** as of today?
- 3 A. It is.
- 4 Q. For the same reason?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What is your understanding of -- Let's
- 7 start with this way. What is your understanding
- 8 of the authority of the Executive Branch Director
- 9 of Administration to reach into the Judicial
- 10 Branch's network?
- 11 A. I believe she has zero authority to
- 12 reach into the Judicial Branch network; she has
- 23 zero authority to reach into the Legislative
- 14 Branch network as well; and probably zero
- 15 authority as it relates to other statewide elected
- officials within the Executive Branch.
- 17 Q. What is your ability, as the Court
- 18 Administrator, to reach into the Executive
- 19 Branch's network?
- 20 A. I have no authority to do that.
- 21 Q. Have you had occasions in your position
- 22 where individuals have made requests for Judicial
- 23 Branch records?
- 24 A. I have.
- **25** Q. And how are they usually processed?

- in which to respond to that. I would ask my IT
- 2 staff that works within the branch to secure the
- 3 requested documents if they were electronic.
- 4 Sometimes people request a document that
- 5 is not electronic, so I would physically secure
- 6 those.

23

- 7 I would go through those, and make sure
- 8 that everything that was requested was actually
- 9 something that we could release as a public
- 10 document. If there were portions of the request
- in which a document contained some confidential
- 12 information, but not all confidential information,
- 13 I would redact the information that was
- 14 confidential before providing the document.
- 15 If there were documents that were not
- 16 going to be released because they contain
- 17 confidential information, unless the requesting
- 18 party waived what I would call a privilege log, I
- party warred what I would can a privilege log
- 19 would also attach a privilege log that explains
- 20 what documents were not produced.
- 21 Q. Why would you undergo that review process, that redaction process, and that
- privilege log process? Why would you do that?
- 24 A. There are a myriad of items contained
- 25 within the Judicial Branch that contain

Page 272

- 1 A. This is not correct. I did not receive
- information that is either confidential because it
- 2 relates to someone's personal privacy, or it's
- 3 specifically confidential in statute. So I cannot
- 4 just turn over documents without reviewing them,
- 5 or I would risk releasing information that's
- 6 either statutorily confidential or protected as
- 7 it's related to somebody's personal privacy
- 8 interests.
- **9** Q. For example, like what?
- 10 A. For example, six months ago I received a
- 11 public information request for a number of
- invoices that were related to payments made by the
- 13 Judicial Branch through my office. On its face
- 14 you might assume invoices are publicly, public
- 15 information that we would turn over without any
- 16 review. However, you have to go through every
- 17 invoice to ensure that we're not releasing the
- 18 name of a client that is associated with that
- 19 invoice.
- 20 So for instance, I received a request
- 21 for a number of fiscal documents. Those documents
- 22 were all associated with Drug Treatment Courts
- 23 that my office manages, so we had to go through
- 24 and redact the name of all of the Drug Court
- 25 participants as it related to those invoices to

- A. This is not correct. I thu not receive
- 2 a subpoena.
- 3 Q. (BY MR. STRAUCH) When you got the
- 4 courtesy copy of the subpoena to Ms. Giles, what
- 5 did you make of the fact that the subpoena was
- 6 directed to her and not someone associated with
- 7 the Judicial Branch?
- 8 A. As I mentioned, I'd never seen that
- 9 before in all of the years I had worked for State
- 10 government, so I was extremely concerned. And my
- 11 primary concern was related to the volume of
- 12 emails that I have in my Outlook box that deal
- 13 with highly confidential matters, matters that are
- 14 either statutorily confidential or confidential
- based on someone's right to privacy. I didn't
- 16 want those released.
- 17 Q. Specifically if you would look at
- 18 Exhibit 6, the subpoena to Ms. Giles.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. There's a few things I'd like to ask you
- about. First of all, the subpoena itself is dated
- 22 April 8th, which would be Thursday; is that right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- **24** Q. And it called for Ms. Giles to produce
- emails on Friday the 9th; is that right?

Page 273

- 1 protect their personal privacy.
- **2** Q. In this matter, you received a courtesy
- 3 copy of a subpoena directed to Ms. Giles. Was
- 4 anything different about that circumstance based
- 5 on your experience?
- 6 A. At that point I had been in State
- 7 government for 29 years, 28 years, 29 years, and
- 8 I've never experienced something -- I'd been with
- 9 the Judicial Branch for twenty years at that
- 10 point. I had never seen a subpoena for
- 11 information from, for a Judicial Branch document
- 12 from -- or served on an Executive Branch official.
- 13 Q. Where would you expect the subpoena for
- 14 Judicial Branch emails to be directed?
- 15 A. I would have expected it to be directed
- 16 to me. It's a possibility it might have been
- 17 served on someone else in the branch as well, but
- 18 within the Judicial Branch.
- **19** Q. Before I get there, I have a question
- 20 for you. Yesterday the suggestion had been made
- 21 that prior to Friday the 9th, that you had
- 22 received a subpoena from one or more individuals
- associated with the Legislature for polling
- 24 emails; is that correct?
- 25 MR. GREEN: Objection, Mr. Chair.

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. So before you had even received it?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And the emails that are requested here
- 5 include, No. 1, all emails and attachments that
- 6 you received between January 4th and April 8th,
- 7 both either in paper or digital, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And any and all recoverable deleted
- 10 emails sent or received by you for that same
- 11 period of time, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And the only exclusion is No. 3, which
- 14 is an exclusion for emails related to decisions
- made by the Justices in disposition of a final
- 16 opinion, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- **18** Q. So the scope of this subpoena is
- virtually unlimited by subject matter except for
- 20 emails related to decisions made by the Justices;
- 21 is that right?
- MR. GREEN: Objection, leading.
- 23 CHAIR OGLE: Sustained.
- 24 Q. (BY MR. STRAUCH) What is the only
  - exclusion?

Page 276

- 1 A. The only exclusion was emails and
- attachments related to decisions made by the 2
- Justices, which would not exist within my email 3
- 4 box. I would never have a copy of discussions
- about decisions made by Justices. 5
- 6 Q. Beyond that, and putting aside that
- issue, are there any other restrictions on the
- scope -- other than the time restriction -- but 8
- 9 are there any subject matter restrictions other
- than that? 10
- 11 A. No. There is no mention of emails that
- 12 contain information that may be personally private
- for somebody or statutorily non-disclosable. 13
- Q. So Friday the 9th when you got this, was 14
- 15 there anything fresh in your mind about particular
- concerns that you may have of any recent emails 16
- just prior that would be within the scope of the 17
- time frame here? 18
- A. Yes. There were actually three things 19
- that were fresh in my mind, and caused me a great 20
- deal of distress. I, like I said, am responsible 21
- for the oversight of human resource management in 22
- 23 my office, and I have a fairly small office.
- So I had a series of emails over the 24
- past week and a half in which an employee, whose 25

- were the subject of child abuse and neglect
- 2 proceedings were in my email, and those are
- statutorily confidential. So I was very concerned 3
- 4 about that.
- And then there was also information 5
- about a specific Judicial Standards Commission 6
- 7 matter in which the Chairman of the Judicial
- Standards was seeking assistance in I believe 8
- 9 putting a contract together for investigating a
- matter that was before JSC, and JSC matters are 10
- 11 specifically in statute confidential.
- 12 So I was quite alarmed by a lot of
- things that are in my email that are confidential, 13
- but those three on that Friday afternoon, Friday 14
- 15 evening, were fresh in my head.
- Q. And please explain how Ms. Giles would
- have access to emails like that, Judicial Branch 17
- 18 emails.
- A. In the normal course of business she 19
- should not have access to emails like that. As I 20
- mentioned earlier, we procure network services 21
- 22 from the Executive Branch, and I assume, as has
- 23 been borne out throughout this process, that they
- reached in inappropriately, secured emails that 24
- 25 were not within her chain of custody.

Page 277

Page 279

- wife was seeking pretty significant medical 1
- treatment, had been communicating with me back and 2
- forth about how to secure leave, what it would 3
- look like for him to take a chunk of time off; and 4
- contained within those emails was very personal 5
- 6 information about what was happening with him and
- 7 his family. And that was clearly something that I
- had an obligation to protect from disclosure to 8
- 9 anyone outside of the branch.
- I also had, either the same week or the 10
- previous week, a back and forth discussion with a 11
- District Court Judge who was handling a child 12
- abuse and neglect case, and as I recall, the case 13
- involved a mother who was a minor, and her child 14
- was the subject of the child abuse and neglect 15
- case. 16
- But the District Court Judge was trying 17
- to figure out within the statute how they could 18
- appoint and pay for a Guardian ad Litem for the 19
- 20 mother, even though she wasn't the subject of the
- case. 21
- So within those emails I had several 22
- 23 Court orders from the Judge that we worked
- through, so we could make that payment, but the 24
- 25 names of both the young mother and her child who

- 1 Q. What's your understanding of the reason
- for the segregation of the Executive Branch's
- access to Judicial Branch emails and vice versa? 3
- 4 What's your understanding of the reason for that
- wall? 5
- A. Well, I think it goes even further than
- a branch. In my case, I know the laws related to
- 8 Judicial Branch documents. I understand what I
- 9 can and cannot release. And as I mentioned with
- 10 the example with an invoice, I don't think if
- vou're not part of the branch that you would 11
- understand that there are things within the 12
- invoice that need to be redacted to protect the 13
- privacy interests of a Drug Court client. 14
- 15 So we understand what our obligations
- and laws are. I think the Legislature, if someone 16
- requests emails from them, understands what their 17
- obligation and laws are that they need to follow 18
- 19 related to confidentiality. The same would be
- true of an Executive Branch official as well. 20
- If someone requests documents from the 21
- 22 State Auditor, the State Auditor's Office is going
- to be experts in what is confidential within their emails and what is releasable to the public. So 24
- 25 the custodian of the record is responsible for

23

Page 280

- 1 knowing what can and cannot be released.
- 2 Q. Are there potential liability issues if
- 3 emails that should not be released outside of your
- 4 control are released to someone?
- 5 A. Absolutely. There's -- I would think if
- 6 someone had their personal privacy violated, they
- 7 could certainly take action against the Court for
- 8 doing that. I mean there's specific statutes in
- 9 the child abuse world that make it a misdemeanor
- 10 if you inadvertently or purposely release
- 11 documents and names associated with child abuse
- 12 and neglect cases.
- So there's not only the risk to the
- 14 State of financial liability, but there are
- 15 certain case types in which it is a crime to
- 16 release the documents inappropriately.
- 17 Q. And the risk to the State means
- 18 ultimately the taxpayers would bear that expense;
- 19 is that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. So having these concerns fresh on your
- 22 mind Friday the 9th, the evening of Friday the
- 9th, what did you do next?
- 24 A. Well, I'm not an attorney, and because
- 25 this clearly is a subpoena that involves legal

- 1 produced by Monday, if I recall.
- **2** Q. And is that ODC Exhibit 7, that email,
- at the top?
- 4 A. Give me just a second. Yes.
- **5** Q. And for the record, Exhibit 7 Page 1 at
- 6 the top is an email from Ms. Giles, Sunday, April
- 7 11th at 11:23 a.m. to your lawyer Randy Cox and
- 8 other folks; is that right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And in that email, Ms. Giles states
- 11 that, first of all, as the third party holder of
- 12 these documents, the Department is not well suited
- 13 to ascertain which fall within the concerns that
- 14 your lawyer raised with them, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And then she indicates she's happy to
- 17 give Mr. Cox a copy, the electronic copy of what
- she turned over on Friday, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And then she says she'll do the same on
- 21 Monday when she produces the rest of the
- 22 documents; is that right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And there's a reference at the bottom to
- 25 reaching out to the Legislature to resolve

Page 281 Page 283

- 1 action, I knew that I had to hire an attorney. I
- 2 don't have Staff Counsel within my office. We
- 3 have a Supreme Court attorney who works with us on
- 4 things like rules, but she's not someone who would
- 5 represent my office in a matter like this.
- 6 So I contacted Randy Cox, and secured
- 7 his services Friday evening, I think probably
- 8 around 6:00 or 7:00.
- 9 Q. Did you know as of Friday evening, April
- 10 9th, when you received the courtesy copy of the
- 11 subpoena to Ms. Giles, whether she had already
- 12 produced some emails?
- 13 A. I received the courtesy copy of the
- 14 subpoena from Ms. Belke, not from Ms. Giles, and I
- 15 assumed in reading it -- because it directs the
- documents to be produced by 3:00 -- that they may
- 17 have already been produced. I didn't know.
- 18 Q. When did you learn for the first time
- 19 for sure that Ms. Giles had produced emails
- 20 pursuant to the subpoena? When did you find that
- **21** out?
- 22 A. It was over the weekend. I think it was
- on Sunday when Ms. Giles responded to outreach
- 24 from Mr. Cox who was representing me, and said
- 25 that some had been produced, and all would be

- 1 concerns. In the email below, in the letter that
- 2 Mr. Cox sent, did he attempt to try to resolve
- 3 concerns?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So on Sunday morning -- excuse me --
- 6 Sunday around noon, Sunday the 11th, you found out
- 7 that they've already produced emails. Did that
- 8 raise any concerns in your mind beyond those you
- **9** already had on Friday?
- 10 A. It certainly amplified them, and the
- 11 email back from Ms. Giles said that they complied
- with the scope of the subpoena as written, which
- 13 means everything was turned over to the
- 14 Legislature.
- And I don't know that I knew what the
- 16 Legislature meant. Did that mean it was housed
- 17 with an attorney at the Legislature? Did that
- 18 mean it was my emails were just circulating widely
- 19 among legislators and legislative staff? It was
- 20 impossible to know what had happened with them,
- and I was extremely concerned given the types of documents that reside within my email, as I
- 23 pointed out earlier, with things that are both
- 24 confidential and statutorily confidential. So I
- 25 was very concerned. There was no indication there

Page 284

- 1 had been any attempt even to deal with items that
- 2 may have even been clearly confidential to any
- 3 person.
- 4 Q. Well, let me ask you this. The
- 5 suggestion has been made that there's no
- 6 information that emails were disclosed publicly
- 7 outside of the legislators and/or the
- 8 Legislature's attorneys. And does that solve your
- 9 concern?
- 10 **MR. GREEN:** Objection, hearsay.
- 11 CHAIR OGLE: Sustained.
- 12 Q. (BY MR. STRAUCH) Let me ask you this
- way then. If the only people that had access to
- 14 the emails that Ms. Giles produced were the
- 15 Legislature and/or its attorneys, would that solve
- 16 your concerns?
- 17 A. Absolutely not. Even if Ms. Giles and
- 18 her staff and whatever legislators and their staff
- 19 viewed the emails, they have no authority to see
- 20 confidential emails; they have no authority to see
- a discussion with a staff person about a medical
- event with his family; there's no authority to see
- 23 a discussion about a child abuse and neglect case,
- 24 and how we were going to make payments on certain
- 25 obligations that we had.

- 1 General's Office intent was with respect to that
- 2 Sunday order?
- 3 A. I'm sorry. Would you repeat that? I
- 4 didn't hear you clearly.
- 5 Q. Sorry. Did you learn what the AG's
- 6 Office intent was with respect to the Sunday
- 7 order?
- 8 A. My recollection is they were not going
- 9 to comply with it.
- 10 Q. Did they in fact send a letter to the
- 11 Court to that effect?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. When they sent that letter, what did
- 14 that mean to you as the Court Administrator in
- 15 attempting to perform your duties to the Court?
- 16 A. It meant that documents that I had an
- 17 obligation to protect were not protected, and
- 18 there was outstanding liability to the State.
- 19 Beyond that just the potential that people's
- 20 personal privacy around things that were contained
- 21 in my email were out and about physically
- 22 personally made me ill because I had not protected
- 23 those.
- 24 Q. After the Sunday order, April 11th, did
- you receive additional legislative subpoenas?

Page 285

- 1 So they have no authority to see them.
- 2 There were certainly emails that should, that
- 3 would have been public, but the emails that were
- 4 private, there's no authority for Ms. Giles, or
- 5 anyone in her staff, or any legislator, or anyone
- 6 on the legislative staff to view those. That
- 7 alone is a disclosure. It's inappropriate.
- 8 Q. You know that the Court, or do you know
- 9 that the Court on Sunday the 11th issued a
- 10 temporary order quashing the subpoena to Ms.
- 11 Giles?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you know by the time that order came
- 14 out how many emails she had already produced to
- the Department of Justice before that?
- 16 A. It was upwards of 5,000, I believe.
- 17 Q. Do you know what the Department of18 Justice did with those emails?
- 19 A. I have no idea.
- 20 Q. So did the Sunday order solve your
- 21 problems?
- 22 A. No. At that point, the emails had
- 23 already been disclosed to people who did not have
- 24 the authority to see those emails.
- 25 Q. Did you learn what the Attorney

- 1 A. I believe so.
- 2 Q. And do you recall what the subpoena
- 3 directed to you was for?
- 4 A. I'm sorry. One more time.
- 5 Q. Do you recall what the subpoena directed
- 6 to you was for?
- 7 A. As I recall, it directed me to appear, I
- 8 think.
- **9** Q. Did it ask for documents?
- 10 A. And for documents, produce documents.
- 11 Q. Do you recall what documents or
- materials you had been subpoenaed to produce?
- 13 A. I don't. I would have to look at the
- 14 subpoena to recall it.
- **15** Q. Do you recall if it asked for the same
- 16 emails?
- 17 A. Can you repeat that? I'm sorry. The
- 18 air conditioner came on in my room.
- **19** Q. It's getting hot over there?
- 20 A. I know.
- MR. STRAUCH: I'm sorry. Don't answer
- 22 that. Withdraw that question.
- 23 Q. (BY MR. STRAUCH) Do you recall if that
- subpoena that came after the order, the subpoena
- 25 directed to you, included the emails that had been

Page 288 Page 290

- 1 sought in the prior subpoena to Ms. Giles?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And in addition, did it ask for Judicial
- 4 Branch phones, and laptops, and electronic drives,
- 5 etc., that may house emails?
- 6 A. Yes, requested emails, and then I think
- 7 that I was supposed to deliver phones and
- 8 computers as well.
- 9 Q. Would you please look at Exhibit 14 in
- the ODC exhibits.
- 11 A. Yes, I have it.
- 12 Q. I'm going to direct you -- This is a
- 13 declaration of Lieutenant General Hansen dated
- 14 April 14th, and I'm going to direct your attention
- 15 to a few things here.
- First of all, specifically Paragraph 2,
- 17 Ms. Hansen affirms under oath that a compilation
- 18 of emails were publicly disclosed by members of
- 19 the press, and then it gives a link; is that
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And at Paragraph 5, the Lieutenant
- 23 General confirms and swears that the Legislature
- received over 5,000 emails on April 9th; that
- would be the Friday, correct?

- 1 meaning the Attorney General's Office, and states
- 2 no sensitive or protected information has been
- 3 disclosed; did I read that correctly?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Now, starting with the last bit, do you
- 6 agree with the claim there that no sensitive or
- 7 protected information has been disclosed at this
- 8 point?
- 9 A. The emails had been viewed by the
- 10 Department of Administration staff, which is a
- 11 disclosure; the emails had been viewed by I don't
- 12 know who within the Legislature or their staff,
- which was a disclosure; and the emails had been
- 14 viewed by the Department of Justice, and I don't
- 15 know by whom, and that was a disclosure.
- So the documents that were confidential,
- 17 either because of personal privacy rights or
- 18 statute, had already been disclosed
- 19 inappropriately to the Executive Branch officials
- 20 that I just outlined.
- **21** Q. And are you aware that on -- I'm sorry.
- 22 Did the Court, Supreme Court, Montana Supreme
- 23 Court, ultimately rule in your favor, and quash
- 24 the subpoena that had been served on you and
- 25 directed to you, and ordered return of all

Page 289 Page 291

- 1 A. Correct.
- **2** Q. And Paragraphs 5 and 6 -- excuse me -- 6
- 3 and 7 confirm, first of all, that the Department
- 4 itself conducted a review of the materials; is
- 5 that right?
- 6 A. That is right, and that was contrary to
- 7 what had been relayed to Mr. Cox from Ms. Giles in
- 8 her email on the Sunday she had said that
- 9 everything had been turned over pursuant to the
- 10 subpoena. The subpoena didn't require or even
- 11 suggest any redaction --
- 12 Q. Well --
- 13 A. -- except for decisional case matters.
- 14 Q. In the email to Ms. Giles, did she, on
- 15 Sunday morning, did she actually tell Mr. Cox that
- 16 the Department wasn't equipped to conduct that
- 17 kind of review?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And then on Paragraph 7, Lieutenant
- 20 Hansen states that the Legislature also conducted
- 21 its own review; did I read that correctly?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. In Paragraph 8, the Lieutenant General
- 24 confirms under oath that currently the emails
- produced are held by the Legislature's Counsel,

- 1 Judicial Branch emails?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And you don't need to look at it, Ms.
- 4 McLaughlin. It's in the record. It's Exhibit 24.
- 5 And it's the order that states the emails shall be
- 6 immediately returned. Did they do as ordered?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Do you recall when you received emails
- **9** from the Attorney General's Office?
- 10 A. I received a portion of -- I received
- 11 documents -- I don't know if they were all emails
- 12 that had been secured -- in April of 2022. And
- 13 then I received a second batch of emails that they
- 14 had discovered maybe a week later in April of
- 15 2022.
- **16** Q. But who delivered the emails to you?
- 17 A. The first batch that I received were
- 18 delivered by two attorneys from the Attorney
- 19 General's Office; and the second group came
- 20 through a Fed Ex mailing.
- 21 Q. Who from the Attorney General's Office
- 22 delivered the first batch?
- 23 A. It was Derek Oestreicher who was an
- 24 attorney with the office, and then David Dewhirst
- 25 who was the I think Solicitor at that point.

Page 292 Page 294

- 1 Q. In the ODC Exhibit 33, please.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Now, is that a certificate of delivery
- 4 that Mr. Oestreicher signed on March 22 of 2022,
- 5 and that you acknowledged receipt of in March 22
- 6 of 2022?
- 7 A. That is correct. It was March of '22.
- 8 Q. So when you've testified earlier you
- 9 thought both batches came in April, were you
- 10 mistaken?
- 11 A. I was mistaken. I had the month wrong.
- 12 Q. The first batch came on March 22nd?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And in the certificate of delivery, Mr.
- 15 Oestreicher indicates that the Department of
- 16 Justice has no additional documents or copies
- 17 thereof that were produced pursuant to the
- 18 legislative subpoena quashed by the Montana
- 19 Supreme Court's July 14th order. Did that turn
- 20 out to be accurate?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Because you got a second batch a couple
- 23 weeks later?
- 24 A. I did.
- 25 Q. And Exhibit 8.

- 1 signed for delivery and receipt of something on
- 2 March 22nd; do you see that?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. So what was the something? Was it just
- 5 two USB drives, or did you get more than that?
- 6 A. It was two USB drives, and then two
- 7 cardboard boxes with paper copies of the emails.
- 8 Q. And I think you said you received a
- 9 second batch of emails from the Department of
- 10 Justice, the Attorney General's Office in April of
- **11** 2022?
- 12 A. I did. I received those via Fed Ex.
- 13 O. And Exhibit 34.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. On April 15th, Mr. Oestreicher sent you
- a letter with the second batch; is that right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- **18** Q. Now, in the letter, Mr. Oestreicher
- 19 indicates that the Department of Justice received
- 20 additional possibly duplicative documents from
- 21 legislative staff; do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So what did you understand that to mean
- 24 in terms of who had access to the emails that had
- 25 been returned to you in addition to the Attorney

Page 293 Page 295

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. And that's in evidence. It's a chain of
- 3 custody form of the Montana Department of Justice,
- 4 and I just have a few questions for you. At the
- 5 top there, it indicates that two USB drives were
- 6 received; do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And on April 12th of 2021, there's a
- 9 notation signed by -- indicating that the USB
- 10 drives were released by Mr. Oestreicher to someone
- 11 named Zach Tielking; do you see that?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. And then the reason for that release and
- 14 transfer to Mr. Tielking is listed as process and
- copy files; did I read that correctly?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, do you know who Mr. Tielking is?
- 18 A. I have no idea who he is.
- 19 Q. And then below that on April 12th, it
- 20 indicates, business record indicates that Mr.
- 21 Tielking returned the drives to Mr. Oestreicher on
- 22 the 12th; do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And then last but not least, on March
- 25 22nd, Mr. Oestreicher indicates, and then you

- 1 General's Office?
- 2 A. What that says to me is that the emails
- 3 were not all in the custody of the Department of
- 4 Justice, but in fact still were with legislative
- 5 staff. I don't know who those staff people were.
- 6 O. And on Tab 35, or Exhibit 35.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. This is a certificate of delivery, and
- 9 your signature on it for the second batch; is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And it looks like Mr. Oestreicher
- delivered those to you on April 15th, and you
- 14 signed for them on April 26th; is that correct?
- 15 A. As I recall, this set of documents was
- 16 actually delivered via Fed Ex.
- 17 Q. Does that account for the eleven day
- **18** time difference?
- 19 A. I'm assuming so.
- 20 Q. Mr. Oestreicher notes in his certificate
- 21 of delivery that, "The Department of Justice has
- 22 no additional documents or copies thereof that
- were produced pursuant to the legislative subpoena
- 24 quashed by the Montana Supreme Court;" did I read
- 25 that correctly?

**Before the Commission on Practice** In the Matter of Austin Knudsen October 10, 2024 Page 296 Page 298 1 A. Yes. 1 Q. And just to be clear, we have the April 2 Q. Sitting on the table here -- and you 6 -- excuse me -- April 8th subpoena, which is can't see it -- but sitting on the table is what's Exhibit 6, directed to Ms. Giles for Judicial 4 been marked for identification purposes and Branch emails. Are you aware of any subpoena demonstrative purposes only as Exhibit 9, and it's directed to you prior to that date? two boxes of documents of various materials. Are A. Prior to the April 8th date of Ms. 6 you familiar with -- have you seen those two Giles' subpoena? 7 8 O. Correct. boxes? 8 9 A. I have. 9 A. No. 10 O. And what are those two boxes? 10 Q. Did you receive such a subpoena? 11 A. Where are they? 11 A. No. **12** Q. What are they? Sorry. 12 MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, may I have 13 A. They are paper copies of emails, and the Commission's indulgence for a moment? 13 then there are additional things that had been **CHAIR OGLE:** Yes, you may. 14 added. There's file folders; there were a couple MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, members of 15 15 of newspapers, as I recall, that were in the boxes the Commission, no further questions. Thank you. 16 16 as well. It was fairly mashed together, and I **CHAIR OGLE:** Very well. Care to 17 17 don't know that there was any organization to it. cross-examine? 18 18 MR. GREEN: We do, Mr. Chairman. Would **19** Q. Are the two USB drives in there? 19 20 A. The two USB drives were on top of the it be appropriate if we took maybe a 15 minute 20 bathroom break before we started cross? 21 boxes in plastic. 21 Q. And you mentioned there's also paper **CHAIR OGLE:** Why don't we do that. 22 22 copies of emails within there as well? 23 We'll take a 15 minute break. We'll reconvene --24 A. Correct. the clock up there is a little fast. 24 25 Q. And I think you mentioned that there's MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, Ms. 25 Page 297 Page 299 some folders, and it was apparent that they'd been McLaughlin, do you have a hard stop here this reviewed. How did you reach that conclusion? morning? 2 3 A. I looked at the documents, and saw the THE WITNESS: I'm fine until noon, and 3 folders, and saw that other information that was then ---4 in the box. **CHAIR OGLE:** Is that going to work? 5 5 Q. Were there notes, and tabs, and things 6 **MR. GREEN:** I think that will be fine, like that in there making it apparent that they'd sir. 7 been reviewed? **CHAIR OGLE:** So just so everybody knows, 8 9 A. Yes. 9 this clock up here is little bit fast. According 10 Q. Did you or your lawyer do that? to my phone, it's about 10:11. And so let's 10 reconvene at 10:30. Thank you. We will be in 11 12 Q. Do you have any information to suggest recess until 10:30. 12 that it was someone with the authority from the 13 (Recess taken) 13 Judicial Branch who first printed paper copies, CHAIR OGLE: All right. Ready to 14 14 and then reviewed them, and put notes and stuff in proceed? 15 15 them like that? **MR. GREEN:** We're ready. 16 16 17 A. No. CHAIR OGLE: Are you ready, Ms. 17 Q. Do you know whether all copies of McLaughlin? Can you hear us? We can't hear you. 18 Judicial Branch emails were eventually returned? 19 **THE WITNESS:** Can you hear me now? 19

21

22

23

24

25

20 A. I don't know. I don't know how many

don't know that for a fact.

were printed. The two boxes did not appear to

contain 5,000 emails, so I'm not sure what was

sincere in saying that they were returned, but I

printed. I have to assume that Mr. Oestreicher is

20

21

22

23

24

25

**CHAIR OGLE:** We can hear you now. Ready

**CHAIR OGLE:** You can proceed with your

cross-examination. Before you get started, would

MR. STRAUCH: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

to proceed, Mr. Strauch?

you mind stating your name.

Page 300

- 1 MR. GREEN: Sure. Of course. Mr.
- 2 Chairman, Tyler Green for the Attorney General.
- 3 **CHAIR OGLE:** Very well. Thanks, Mr.
- 4 Green. You may proceed.

5

- 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- **7 BY MR. GREEN:**
- 8 Q. Good morning, Ms. McLaughlin.
- 9 A. Good morning. I'm sorry. I didn't hear
- 10 your name. Could you repeat it?
- 11 Q. Yes. My name is Tyler Green.
- 12 A. Thank you.
- 13 Q. And I represent the Attorney General in
- 14 this matter. Can you hear me okay? I don't want
- 15 to --
- 16 A. I can.
- 17 Q. I don't want to shout at you, but I want
- 18 to make sure we can hear each other. Okay. We've
- 19 never met before today, have we?
- 20 A. No.
- **21** Q. So just to make sure I'm pronouncing
- your name correctly, is it McLaughlin with an "F"
- sound or McLaughlin, or maybe something else?
- 24 A. It's McLaughlin.
- 25 Q. Okay. Great. Thank you. Ms.

- 1 A. I turned it off.
- 2 Q. Can I ask you one more logistics
- 3 question? Our exhibits, do you have them in
- 4 electronic format?
- 5 A. I do. I have them pulled up in front of
- 6 me right now.
- 7 Q. There are a couple of them that we'll
- 8 get to later on where -- this was our mistake --
- 9 we did not put Bates numbering on them, so the
- 10 numbers at the bottom of the document or each page
- 11 sometimes are not sequential because they're email
- 12 printouts. So when we get to those, I'll ask you
- 13 I think to look at the PDF page number, and we'll
- make sure we're looking at the same page, instead
- of maybe looking at the number at the bottom of
- 16 the page, if that's okay.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. All right. Before we get there, I want
- 19 to start with some questions about the Judicial
- 20 Branch's email policy in 2021. I guess I should
- 21 just ask you. The Judicial Branch had a policy
- 22 governing email use in 2021; is that right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Would you please pull up Respondent's
- 25 Exhibit A.

Page 301

- McLaughlin, did you watch or listen to any of
- 2 yesterday's proceedings in this matter?
- 3 A. I did not.
- 4 Q. You're a State employee, correct?
- 5 A. I am.
- 6 Q. And you were appointed to your current
- 7 position by the full Court?
- 8 A. I was.
- 9 Q. And the member of the Court that you're
- nost in contact with is the Chief Justice?
- 11 A. I would say that's correct.
- **12** Q. And Ms. McLaughlin, the events in this
- case began in 2021; is that right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- **15** Q. And the underlying dispute here was
- about Judicial Branch emails; is that right?
- 17 A. I assume so, yes.
- **THE WITNESS:** Mr. Green, would you mind
- 19 if I get up just to close my blinds. I'm getting
- 20 a glare.
- MR. GREEN: No problem. Sure.
- **THE WITNESS:** Thank you. My apologies.
- 23 Q. (BY MR. GREEN) No problem. And if the
- 24 air conditioner kicks on, and you need me to speak
- up, please just let me know.

- 1 A. And you said "A," correct?
- 2 Q. Yes, ma'am, "A" as in apple.
- 3 A. I have that pulled up.
- 4 Q. Thank you. Was this the Montana
- 5 Judicial Branch's policy in effect in 2021?
- 6 A. It was.
- 7 Q. We're looking at the document that says
- 8 it's Policy No. 1530, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And its effective date was July 1, 2002?
- 11 A. Yes, and it was revised on June 6th,
- 12 2017.
- 13 Q. And that 2017 revision, or I guess maybe
- 14 set of revisions, that was about four years before
- the events we're talking about here; is that
- 16 right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And were you the one who made those
- 19 revisions in 2017?
- 20 A. I don't recall if I made those, or if
- 21 they were made by someone else in my office.
- 22 Q. Let's look at the policy itself, the
- 23 first paragraph. Do you see that header there 1.0
- 24 Policy, the first paragraph underneath that?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 304

- 1 Q. It says, "This policy applies to all
- 2 Judicial Branch employees, contractors, and local
- 3 government employees using a State-owned
- 4 computer;" did I read that correctly?
- A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you're a Judicial Branch employee?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And to do your job, you use a
- **9** State-owned computer?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So this policy would apply to you,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And it would apply also to Montana
- 15 Judges?
- 16 A. Yes. Let me clarify that. When you say
- 17 Montana Judges, there are Judges in the Courts of
- 18 Limited Jurisdiction that do not use a State-owned
- 19 computer, so there are Judges that it would not
- 20 apply to in the State of Montana.
- 21 Q. Thank you. So for clarification, I
- 22 guess to be clear for the record, then it would
- 23 apply to members of the Supreme Court, for
- 24 instance?
- 25 A. It would apply to members of the Supreme

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And this, as Mr. Strauch noted, is the
- **3** 2023 version, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And so it does not include that
- 6 catch-all that you talked about earlier, correct?
- 7 A. Correct. That was removed.
- **8** Q. That used to be Section No. 10?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So your duties as the Supreme Court
- 11 Administrator include things like preparing and
- 12 presenting the judicial budget to the Legislature?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And ensuring that the judiciary IT
- 15 conforms with the State strategic information
- technology plan, right?
- 17 A. To the extent possible.
- 18 Q. To the extent possible, right. And
- 19 administering State funding for District Courts?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Ms. McLaughlin, if we could switch gears
- 22 for just a minute, and talk about the Montana
- 23 Judges Association. The Montana Judges
- 24 Association is not a State agency, is it?
- 25 A. No. It is made up of State Judges, but

Page 305

- 1 Court; it would apply to District Court Judges;
- 2 Water Court Judges; and then Judges within the
- 3 Courts of Limited Jurisdiction who use State-owned
- 4 computers.
- 5 Q. Thank you. And this policy is presented
- 6 to all Judicial Branch employees when they're
- 7 hired?
- 8 A. Yes.
- **9** Q. And they're expected to know this
- 10 policy?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And to follow it?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, Ms. McLaughlin, could you please
- turn to Respondent's Exhibit H.
- 16 A. Did you say "H"?
- 17 Q. Yes, "H" as in Henry.
- 18 A. Just one second. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. This I believe is a copy of the statute
- 20 that Mr. Strauch asked you about earlier. This is
- 21 the statute that lists your duties as the Court
- 22 Administrator, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And that's for the record Code number,
- or Code Section 3-1-702; is that right?

- 1 it is not a State agency.
- **2** Q. It's a private association?
- 3 A. I believe so.
- 4 Q. And in fact, it's a lobbying
- 5 organization, right?
- 6 A. I don't know that I would characterize
- 7 it as a lobbying organization. I think they have
- 8 a broader responsibility than that.
- 9 Q. If you could with me open, please,
- 10 Respondent's Exhibit F, "F" as in Frank.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If you could, go with me, please -- let
- me find you the right page. This is one of those
- 14 exhibits I mentioned earlier. I'll point you to
- the PDF page number of the exhibit. If I could
- point you to PDF Page 10 of this exhibit. There
- is an email at the bottom of that page on the
- 18 printout --
- 19 A. Just one second, Mr. Green. I'm not
- 20 there yet. So I think I'm on the correct page.
- 21 Maybe you could --
- 22 Q. Sure. So this is an email from you.
- 23 It's dated Wednesday, March 24th, 2021 at 4:43
- **24** p.m.
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And let me just read that first

Page 308

- 1 They are governed by the Judicial Standards
- 2 Commission, which I think is referenced in this
- been introduced HB 685. I can send it out to the 3 email.
- 4 membership for a vote." Did I read that
- 5 correctly?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

- 7 Q. So you were sending out to a vote -- You
- 8 were sending this out to the membership of the

paragraph of that email from you. It says, "It's

- 9 Montana Judges Association; is that right?
- 10 A. (Inaudible)
- 11 Q. The membership that you're referring to,
- was it the membership of the Montana Judges
- **13** Association?
- 14 A. I was sending it out to the District
- 15 Court Judges, correct.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry. Is that the members of the
- 17 Montana Judges Association?
- 18 A. Yes, but there are also, as I
- understand, retired Judges who are members of the
- 20 association as well.
- **21** Q. And you were soliciting their vote on
- views of -- about HB 685; is that right?
- 23 A. Repeat that again. I was talking over
- 24 the top of you.
- 25 Q. The information that you were collecting

- 4 Q. Great. Thank you. If you could please
- 5 turn to Page 15 of this exhibit. This is an email
- 6 from you March 24th.
- 7 A. Could you just hold on for a second.
- 8 I'm not there yet. I'm a little slower than you
- 9 are.
- 10 Q. No, sorry. I was trying to give you
- 11 some information to make sure we're on the same
- 12 page.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. This is your email March 24th, 5:02 p.m.
- 15 A. Yes.
- **16** Q. It says, "Folks, we need the legislative
- 17 committee to weigh in on this on behalf of MJA.
- 18 It will come up for a hearing quickly, so MJA will
- need to act quickly;" did I read that correctly?
- 20 A. Yes.
- **21** Q. If you could please turn with me next to
- Page 25 of this exhibit, PDF Page 25.
- 23 A. Okay. I think I'm there. Could you
- 24 confirm what you're seeing?
- 25 Q. Sure. This is an email from you

Page 309

- when you asked, or said you would send it out to
- 2 the membership for a vote, the vote you were
- 3 trying to solicit -- or the votes you were
- 4 gathering was your means to gather information
- 5 about 685 from the Montana Judges Association; is
- 6 that right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Would you look with me, please, on the
- 9 next page in the PDF Page 11. This is an email
- 10 from you March 24th, 2021, 4:47 p.m.
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. In this first paragraph it says -- I
- think you wrote, "Hi. HB 685 was introduced.
- 14 Obviously it has a lot of problems, but the
- 15 largest is probably allowing a citizen commission
- 16 to oversee the compliance by Judges with the Rules
- 17 of Judicial Conduct. The District Court will
- 18 start working on it, but would ask the MMA to do
- 19 the same." Did I read that correctly?
- 20 A. Yes.
- **21** Q. Is the MMA there a reference to the MJA?
- 22 A. No. That is incorrect. The MMA is the
- 23 Magistrate organization, and that is the Judges in
- 24 the Courts of Limited Jurisdiction, so in Montana,
- 25 that would be City Courts and Justice Courts.

- 1 Thursday, March 25, 8:03 a.m.
- 2 A. Yes. Correct.
- 3 Q. Okay. Great. So I want to look at the
- 4 second email down in that string, where it says,
- 5 "Beth, do we know what committee will hear this
- 6 and who the members are, so that we can write
- 7 them?" Did I read that correctly?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. That was an inquiry from the Judge to
- 10 you on March 24, 2021?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And then you responded at the top of
- that email chain, "It will likely go to House
- 14 Judiciary. Rep. Usher is the Chairman, your rep.
- 15 It might be that we need you to testify via Zoom.
- 16 I'll try to figure out what they are doing with it
- 17 today and let you know." Did I read that
- **18** correctly?
- 19 A. You did.
- MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman.
- 21 CHAIR OGLE: Yes.
- MR. STRAUCH: I have an objection to
- 23 this entire line of questioning. May I be heard,
- 24 please?
- 25 CHAIR OGLE: Yes.

Page 312 Page 314 1 MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, there are -was Ms. McLaughlin's duty to do such, and it's not this is one of several exhibits that consist of an issue in this case any further, and it cannot 2 2 copies of lobbying, quote unquote, lobbying be an issue in this case because it amounts to a 3 3 4 emails, and this is an improper collateral attack 4 collateral attack on a Montana Supreme Court of the decision of the Montana Supreme Court in decision that was petitioned for cert to the US 5 5 the McLaughlin case. And specifically, Mr. Supreme Court, and they denied that petition. 6 6 Chairman, it can't be relitigated here. The Morin 7 And the case that I cited, the Morin 7 case stands for that. case, is at PR-17-0448. It's a decision rendered 8 8 But McLaughlin, in the Supreme Court October 17th of 2018. In fact we used this 9 9 decision in McLaughlin, 2021 Montana 178 decision for a different proposition, and attached 10 10 Paragraphs 33 to 37, the Supreme Court decided 11 it to our brief on the motion in limine adopted 11 12 this issue of whether there was improper use by 12 before. Ms. McLaughlin of emails for polling purposes, and I quote the Morin decision, the Montana 13 13

14

15

the Court Administrator coordinates or facilitates 16 jurisdiction, and whose prima facie authority District Judges contacts with legislators, her therefore extends to questions of the kind 17 activity is not lobbying." Quote, "As the liaison 18 purported to have been adjudicated, are entitled between the Judicial Branch and the Legislature, to every presumption of validity, and that they 19 the Court Administrator acts within her job duties are not open to collateral attack." 20

when she coordinates contacts between District So I would submit, Mr. Chairman, that 21 21 Court Judges and legislators, or conducts a poll 22 going through lobbying emails that the Supreme 22 23 to allow District Court Judges through the Montana 23 Court has already -- I'm using quotes, air quotes

Judges Association to provide the Legislature with around lobbying -- going through emails, lobbying 24 24 relevant information regarding how proposed 25 25

Page 313

emails, the Montana Supreme Court has already

legislation will affect Judicial Branch 1

also misuse of Supreme Court systems.

And specifically I quote, "To the extent

functions," citing 3-1-702(10), the 2019 version 2

- of the statute, providing, parentheses, "providing 3
- that Court Administrator duties include those," 4
- quote, "that the Supreme Court may assign," end 5 6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Quote, "It is undisputed that members of 7
- coordinate branches, including elected officials,
- 9 department heads, and other appointed officials
- routinely respond to legislative requests on 10
- matters related to the department or branch. In 11
- that same vein, Rule 3.1 of the Montana Code of 12
- Judicial Conduct allows Judges to use Court," 13
- quote, "premises, staff, stationery, equipment, or 14
- other resources for incidental use for activities 15
- that concern the law, the legal system, or the 16
- administration of justice," end quote, because 17
- quote, "Judges are uniquely qualified to engage in 18
- the extra-judicial activities that concern such 19
- matters," and there's an end quote there, and they 20
- cite the Code of Judicial Conduct 3.1 and Comment 21

22

- 23 So the Montana Supreme Court has decided
- this entire issue of these emails, that it was an 24
- 25 appropriate use of Court equipment, and that it

- determined, fully determined, and a binding
- decision, is not an improper use of judicial 2
- resources, and not misuse by Ms. McLaughlin of her 3

Supreme Court, quote, "But it is well settled that

judgments of Courts which are general

- duties. 4
- So I would submit this entire line of 5
- questioning is irrelevant, and it's an improper
- 7 collateral attack on the Supreme Court decision.
- **CHAIR OGLE:** Do you care to respond, Mr. 8
- 9 Green?
- 10 MR. GREEN: I would, Mr. Chairman.
- Thank you. I think our first response is we're 11
- 12 not actually attacking collaterally the Montana
- Supreme Court's holding. As I understand it, I 13
- don't see anywhere in the Rules of Evidence that 14
- collateral attack is an appropriate evidentiary 15
- 16 objection.

17

- I think Mr. Strauch objected to it on
- relevance, but I think yesterday this panel heard 18
- -- lost track of the total time -- but five or six 19
- or seven hours of testimony getting to the point 20
- of what the Attorney General's Office did, and why 21
- it did it, and in particular what was its state of 22
- 23 mind and its client's state of mind at the time
- these actions occurred. 24
- And I think this information here is 25

In the Matter of Austin Knudsen October 10, 2024 Page 316 Page 318 directly relevant to what the Legislature knew, ruling is that that is irrelevant to those and what the Attorney General's Office knew, and 2 statements? 2 lays the foundation for the very actions that are **CHAIR OGLE:** The questioning and 3 3 4 at issue here. It's the reason my client and his 4 testimony with regard to the use of government office did what he did under these set of computers by the Court Administrator's office is 5 underlying facts, and I think it's directly and irrelevant, and the objection is sustained. 6 6 highly relevant. **MR. GREEN:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 7 CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Strauch. Q. (BY MR. GREEN) Let me ask you about 8 8 MR. STRAUCH: That relates -- What 9 this then, Ms. McLaughlin. If you could turn with Counsel is speaking of relates to other Judicial me to Exhibit I. 10 Branch emails. They have nothing whatsoever to do 11 A. Yes, sir. 11 12 with polling, quote unquote, on various 12 Q. Exhibit I. This is the -- to make sure legislative matters. we're looking at the same page, this is an email 13 13 These emails, the polling emails, are from you dated Friday, January 29th, 2021? 14 obviously still in the possession of the Attorney 15 A. Yes, sir. 15 General, having been produced here as an exhibit 16 Q. And the subject line of that email is SB 16 that has no Bates numbers on it; and nor was there 140? 17 17 any argument below regarding the entitlement to 18 A. Yes, sir. 18 19 Q. And it looks like this was sent to a emails specifically on various legislation pending 19 number of recipients. 20 at the time. 20 And so I'm back to my objection which is 21 A. Correct. 21 relevance. That's not a concern in this case. 22 O. And that would include it looks like all 22 This case is about statements made in the course seven members of the Montana Supreme Court? 23 of litigation concerning emails that aren't 24 A. It appears they were on the list, yes. 24 properly in the public domain, and concerning 25 Q. And if I could read this email, it says, 25 Page 317 Page 319 specifically statements made by the Attorney "Folks, attached is a bill that Judge Todd has 1 General in briefing on that issue -- not that asked MJA to review and take a position on. 2 motions were filed, not that petitions were filed Please take a look at it. Sorry to do this to you 3 3 -- but in light of the inflammatory and derogatory again, but use the voting buttons accept/reject on 4 language used there. your tool bar. If you can't find the voting 5 6 There is virtually no relevance, and I button, just shoot me a note." Did I read that correctly? did in fact object on relevance, which is 7 7 obviously in the rules at 401 and 402, that speaks 8 A. Yes. 9 to the requirement that evidence needs to shed **9** Q. And the statement in your email, "Sorry light on, at least be probative as to a claim or to do this to you again," does that imply that 10 issue in dispute. And there cannot be a claim or this was not the first poll that had been taken in 11 11 issue in this dispute in this case about the January of 2021? 12 12 propriety of these emails because the Montana 13 A. I don't know that. I can't recall. 13 Supreme Court said so. 14 Q. And the Attorney General's Office did 14 **CHAIR OGLE:** Very well. In response, not disclose these emails, right?

15

Mr. Green, certainly testimony about the Attorney 16

General's comments and comments from his office 17

aren't directly related to the allegations in this 18

Complaint that are relevant to this proceeding, so 19

20 the objection is sustained.

MR. GREEN: Just to make sure I 21

understand, Mr. Chairman, the Complaint is 22

23 alleging intemperate statements and related

issues, and this evidence we're offering to 24

explain why those statements were made, and the 25

- 16 A. I'm sorry. Say that again.
- 17 Q. I said the Attorney General's Office did
- not disclose these emails: is that correct? 18
- 19 A. I have no idea who disclosed the emails.
- 20 Q. And Ms. McLaughlin, if you could please
- turn with me to Page 6 of the PDF. Actually I'm 21
- sorry. Wrong number. Page 9 of the PDF. 22
- 23 A. Sure.
- **24** Q. This is an email from Judge Krueger.
- 25 A. Correct.

In the Matter of Austin Knudsen October 10, 2024 Page 320 Page 322 1 Q. It's dated Sunday, January 31 at, it Conduct Rule 2.11(a). looks like 3:38 p.m.? And the Supreme Court concluded that the 2 3 A. Correct. Legislature did not have authority, constitutional 3 4 Q. And this email says, "I am also 4 or otherwise, to investigate or make findings adamantly opposed to this bill;" is that right? regarding the alleged judicial misconduct, 5 6 A. Yes. specifically the polling emails that we're looking 6 Q. Was Judge Krueger later appointed to sit 7 on the Montana Supreme Court to decide the Brown It's a matter that was decided. It is 8 versus Gianforte case? 9 not relevant to this case. This case is not about the propriety of any judicial conduct, that is, 10 A. I don't think he was part of the panel 10 that decided it in the final decision. I don't the Supreme Court said, "The exclusive authority 11 11 recall whether he was on it originally or not. 12 of the Judicial Standards Commission." 12 13 O. Thank you. Could you turn to the last So again, I would raise an objection to 13 page of this exhibit, please. I think it's Page this line of questioning as well, because it is 14 12. entirely irrelevant to any claim or issue in this 15 15 16 A. Correct. I think I have it. case. 16 Q. I'm probably going to mispronounce this CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green. 17 17 name. It looks like it's an email from Nicholas MR. GREEN: Mr. Chairman, if I could 18 Murnion; is that right? respond to that. I think a couple responses. I 19 20 A. Correct. would note that these emails are also in the 20 21 Q. And was he a Judge? record as part of ODC's exhibits. This is ODC 21 22 A. Yes. Exhibit No. 5 also has these emails in. 22 23 Q. And this email says, "I also adamantly 23 But I think again this gets to my point oppose;" is that right? about the impetus for all of the actions. This 24 25 A. Correct. was really the start of everything that happened, 25 Page 321 Page 323 1 Q. And if you could go back to the page why we're here today. And if I could be granted a before Page 11. This was an email dated Monday, little bit of leeway to connect what I've just February 1st, 2021. been talking about to my next exhibit, if I could 3 3 4 A. Correct. ask the Chair to hold on ruling on this objection 5 Q. And this email says, "Beth, I'm opposed until I get to the next couple of exhibits to try 5 to this bill;" did I read that correctly? 6 to tie it together. A. Yes. CHAIR OGLE: Okay. 7 7 MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman. Q. (BY MR. GREEN) If you could, Ms. 8 9 CHAIR OGLE: Yes. McLaughlin, turn with me to exhibit, Respondent's MR. STRAUCH: I have an objection. May Exhibit C, Charlie. 10 10 I -- to this line of questioning as well. 11 A. Yes, sir. 11 **CHAIR OGLE:** Yes. 12 Q. You remember Ms. Abra Belke; is that 12 MR. STRAUCH: The emails that Counsel is right? 13 13 going through in detail are emails concerning SB 14 A. I don't know Ms. Belke. I remember her 14 name from emails. 140, which was the subject of the Brown 15 litigation. And here again, this is an issue that Q. And in 2021, she was the Chief of Staff 16 the Montana Supreme Court decided in McLaughlin. to the Montana State Senate Republican leadership; 17 17 It's McLaughlin 2021 Montana 178. It's at is that correct? 18 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Paragraphs 38 to 54.

Quote, "Any concern about a Judge or Justice prejudging a case, or making statements

the Courts, would be within the exclusive

about matters pending, or that could come before

the Supreme Court," citing the Code of Judicial

authority of the Judicial Standards Commission and

21

24

25

19 A. I have no idea what her job was.

page of Exhibit C.

22 A. Yes. sir.

20 Q. If you could look with me on the second

23 Q. Under the signature block there, "Chief

State Senate;" did I read that correctly?

of Staff to the Republican leadership, Montana

Page 324

- 1 A. Yes.
- **2** Q. If we could take a look at her email.
- 3 She says, "Hello, Ms. McLaughlin. The President
- 4 received a copy of the attached order filed today
- 5 with the Clerk of the Supreme Court. On Page 2,
- 6 the order describes the vote total on MJA's poll
- 7 re: SB 140 as being 34 to three. The order
- 8 includes no breakdown of which judges voted which
- 9 way." Did I read that correctly?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Then the next paragraph, "While the
- 12 President is comfortable waiting until Friday to
- 13 receive the bulk of the requested information, we
- 14 are specifically requesting the breakdown for this
- 15 34 to three count by close of business today."
- 16 Did I read that correctly?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And if you look back at Page 1 of this
- 19 exhibit. This email from Ms. Belke is dated
- 20 Wednesday, April 7th, 2021 at 10:19 a.m.; is that
- 21 right?
- 22 A. Mr. Green, I'm sorry. You lost me.
- 23 What is dated ten nineteen?
- 24 Q. The email, if you look at the -- not ten
- 25 nineteen. That's the time. Sorry. The date of

- 1 Supreme Court's order of today noting the six
- 2 Associate Justices would be sitting on the case
- 3 without a District Judge to replace Chief Justice
- 4 McGrath;" did I read that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. And then the next sentence, "I did not
- 7 retain records of the vote by Judges other than
- 8 the total;" is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. This email is also dated Wednesday, or
- to be more precise, your response to Ms. Belke was
- dated Wednesday, April 7th at 4:56 p.m.; is that
- 13 right?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. And then the last paragraph in your
- 16 email, Ms. McLaughlin, "As I said, I will make
- every effort to search for and get the other
- 18 requested information to the President and the
- speaker on Friday;" did I read that correctly?
- 20 A. You did.
- 21 Q. Thank you. If you can also turn with me
- next to Exhibit D, please, "D" as in David.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. This is another two part email chain,
- and the first email at the bottom again comes from

Page 325

- 1 the email. Sorry about that. The date of the
- 2 email, Wednesday, April 7th.
- 3 A. Yes. I see that. I got confused.
- 4 Q. Great. Okay. Thank you. No problem.
- 5 So this email was sent from the State Senate Chief
- 6 of Staff to you on Wednesday, April 7th?
- 7 A. On Wednesday, April 7th.
- **8** Q. Is that right?
- 9 A. Yes. I thought you said Monday.
- 10 Q. Sorry. Maybe I did. If I misspoke, I
- 11 apologize. Wednesday, April 7th. And that was
- the day before the April 8th subpoena we've been
- 13 talking about?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And this is a request from the State
- 16 Senate to get information from you relating to the
- poll on SB 140; is that right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And if we could look at the top of
- 20 Exhibit C, your email response. I think it says,
- "Ms. Belke, attached are the two items I can
- 22 identify in my records related to SB 140." Did I
- 23 read that right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 O. "The first is the email attached to the

- 1 Abra Belke; is that right?
- 2 A. Tell me what exhibit. You said Exhibit
- 3 E?
- 4 Q. Sorry, "D" as in David.
- 5 A. Sorry. Just one second. "D."
- 6 O. Correct.
- 7 A. Okay. At the top it has the name
- 8 Zimbra?
- **9** Q. Correct. And the regarding line is
- 10 "Follow up to your 4/7 email."
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. So if we look at the first email in this
- chain, this is another email from Abra Belke; is
- **14** that right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And this one is dated Thursday, April
- **17** 8th?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And it says, "Ms. McLaughlin, we have
- 20 additional questions. Please clarify the
- 21 following: Number one, will you be producing the
- 22 documents requested by the Legislature in
- accordance with MCA 3-1-702, or are you providing
- 24 notice that you will produce nothing further?"
- 25 Did I read that correctly?

Page 328

- 1 A. You did.
- 2 Q. And then it has a No. 2, "Did you delete
- 3 emails and records related to the MJA Judges poll
- 4 on SB 140?" Did I read that correctly?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And then No. 3, "Identify the Judges who
- 7 called you to vote on the SB 140 poll." Did I
- 8 read that correctly?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And then No. 5, "Identify the Judges who
- 11 responded to the SB 140 poll who did not use the
- 'reply all' feature." Did I read that correctly?
- 13 A. Yes.
- **14** Q. Then last the two paragraphs from Ms.
- 15 Belke, "We expect a response to the above
- 16 inquiries today. We continue to expect your
- 17 production of the requested documents no later
- 18 than COB tomorrow April 8th." Did I read that
- **19** correctly?
- 20 A. You did.
- **21** Q. And I think that might have been a typo,
- because she said "tomorrow April 8th," but in fact
- she sent it on the 8th, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And if we could take a look, too, at the

- we've just been talking about, these are efforts
- 2 by the State Legislative Branch to request
- 3 information from you related to the SB 140 poll;
- 4 is that right?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And those requests came before April
- 7 8th; is that correct?
- 8 A. The request came on April 8th.
- **9** Q. Exhibit C, could you look with me at
- 10 Exhibit C again. The date on the email from
- **11** Exhibit C is April 7th; is that right?
- 12 A. Just one sec, sir. Yes, April 7th at
- 13 10:19
- 14 Q. Great. Thank you. If you can turn with
- me please back to Exhibit A for a few moments.
- **16 CHAIR OGLE:** Are you finished with that
- 17 line of questioning, Mr. Green?
- **MR. GREEN:** For now I am, Mr. Chairman.
- 19 **CHAIR OGLE:** I'm going to sustain the
- 20 objection. It looks clear to me from these emails
- 21 that she turned over what information she had, and
- she hadn't kept a record of verbal communications
- 23 from Judges. So the objection is sustained. It's
- 24 already been dealt with by the Supreme Court.
- 25 MR. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Page 329

- top, your response, the first email in this chain
- 2 on that same exhibit, Exhibit David. You wrote,
- 3 "Ms. Belke, thanks for your note. I provided the
- 4 information that I have in my possession for SB
- 5 140. I did not retain the emails or any paper
- 6 notes other than what I have produced." Did I
- 7 read that correctly?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And then the next paragraph down, "I
- 10 have copied the President and Speaker so I can be
- 11 clear that I have no nefarious intent. Instead I
- 12 have to acquiesce to sloppiness." Did I read that
- 13 correctly?
- 14 A. You did.
- 15 Q. The next sentence, "Nobody is more
- dismayed than I that I do not have the documents
- 17 related to SB 140, as I always promptly respond to
- 18 inquiries. Clearly it appears the Judicial Branch
- 19 should consider policy changes to provide
- 20 specifics around retention of email and other
- 21 administrative documents, but it is not something
- 22 I can do retroactively." Did I read that
- 23 correctly?
- 24 A. You did.
- 25 Q. So Ms. McLaughlin, Exhibits D and C that

- 1 Q. (BY MR. GREEN) Let's go back to Exhibit
- 2 A, please, Ms. McLaughlin.
- 3 A. Did you say "A" or "H," sir?
- 4 Q. I'm sorry. I said "A" as in apple.
- 5 A. All right. I have it.
- 6 Q. I want to talk for just a few minutes
- 7 about some of the specifics of this Judicial
- 8 Branch email use policy that was in effect in
- 9 2021. If you could turn with me please to the
- second page of this policy, Page 2 of Exhibit A.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- **12** Q. Do you see that entered there that says
- 13 2.0, "Misuse of email"?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And the paragraph -- excuse me -- the
- 16 first sentence under that header, "The following
- 17 items represent but do not constitute either an
- 18 exhaustive or exclusive listing of the misuse of
- 19 State email resources;" did I read that correctly?
- 20 A. Yes.
- **21** Q. And then Subpart 2.3, "Sending messages
- 22 with personal identifiable information (PII), or
- 23 protected health information (PHI) in an unsecured
- 24 manner;" did I read that correctly?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

Page 332

- 1 Q. Let's go back to the first page of this
- 2 policy, please. If we could start with the second
- 3 paragraph of that policy. "The State-provided
- 4 electronic email, email system is to be used for
- 5 the conduct of State and local government business
- 6 and delivery of government services." Did I read
- 7 that correctly?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And then the fourth paragraph starts,
- 10 "All messages," "All messages created, sent, or
- 11 retrieved over the State's systems are the
- 12 property of the State of Montana." Did I read
- 13 that correctly?
- 14 A. You did.
- **15** Q. "Privacy of email is not guaranteed.
- 16 Employees should not have the expectation of
- 17 privacy for any messages." Did I read that
- 18 correctly?
- 19 A. You did.
- 20 Q. "It is the expectation that any message
- sent that's subject to public scrutiny. Employees
- 22 should never send any messages with personally
- 23 identifying information (PII), or protected health
- 24 information (PHI) over the email system." Did I
- 25 read that correctly?

- 1 MR. STRAUCH: This was the heart of the
- 2 issue decided by the Montana Supreme Court in
- 3 McLaughlin. The legislative position advanced by
- 4 the Attorney General was that the Legislature had
- 5 proper authority to investigate these emails, and
- 6 they offered three reasons.
- 7 No. 1, that there was supposed improper
- 8 deletion of emails; the Supreme Court rejected
- 9 that in Paragraph 23 to 31, noting that the
- 10 Judicial Branch, which was part of, which is who
- 11 Ms. McLaughlin works for, does not have at the
- 12 time a retention policy requiring the production
- 13 of emails.
- No. 2, the second reason the legislators
- said that they had the authority to do this was
- 16 the use of State resources. I've already covered
- 17 that with the Commission, and you sustained that
- 18 objection.
- And No. 3, the legislators suggested
- 20 that it had the proper authority to undertake this
- 21 investigation because of supposed improper
- 22 statements by the Judges, and the Supreme Court
- said no, that is solely within the exclusive
- 24 province of the Judicial Standards Commission, not
- 25 the legislative body.

Page 333 Page 335

## 1 A. You did.

- 2 Q. And if we could go back to the second
- 3 page, Header 3.0, "Guidelines and
- 4 recommendations."
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. The fourth paragraph down under that
- 7 header, ma'am?
- 8 A. The fourth paragraph?
- 9 Q. Fourth, correct. "In drafting email and
- 10 sending email messages, employees are reminded
- 11 that they should not include anything they are not
- 12 prepared for the public to read." Did I read that
- 13 correctly?
- 14 A. You did.
- 15 Q. Ms. McLaughlin, the Legislature had a
- right to rely on the plain language of this
- 17 policy, didn't it?
- 18 MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, objection.
- 19 The Supreme Court specifically said it did not.
- 20 That's the McLaughlin case. That's the entire
- 21 decision of the McLaughlin case from Paragraphs 23
- all the way to 54. The Supreme Court looked at if
- all the purposes -- excuse me. May I approach so
- 24 I can use the microphone?
- 25 MR. GREEN: Certainly.

- 1 That question is entirely a challenge to
- 2 McLaughlin, a matter that the Supreme Court
- 3 decided and clearly stated without doubt that the
- 4 Legislature did not have authority.
- 5 So I object to the question, and I
- 6 believe this is a violation of you earlier
- 7 sustaining the objection.
- 8 **CHAIR OGLE:** This objection is sustained
- 9 also. I thought we had previously dealt with
- 10 these issues on the prior ruling sustaining an
- 11 objection. So please refrain from further
- 12 questioning along these lines, Mr. Green.
- MR. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
- 14 understand Mr. Strauch's point, and we don't
- 15 dispute that the Montana Supreme Court decided
- these issues, and my questioning is simply trying
- 17 to get to the Legislature's state of mind
- 18 pre-decision, and while this was still an open
- 19 question and being litigated. So this was a
- question specifically about the Legislature's
- 21 belief or the reasonableness of it in April, not
- 22 in June -- or excuse me -- July after the decision
- 23 had been rendered.
- **CHAIR OGLE:** All right. Your position
- 25 is noted for the record.

Page 336

- O I think you testified earlier that you
- **MR. GREEN:** All right. Thank you, Mr.
- 2 Chairman.
- 3 Q. (BY MR. GREEN) All right, Ms.
- 4 McLaughlin, if I could ask you a couple of
- 5 questions about the original petition you filed in
- 6 the Montana Supreme Court over the weekend after
- 7 you received this subpoena.
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. I believe you testified earlier that you
- 10 filed that original action because you didn't want
- 11 the Department of Administration to disclose
- emails in response to the Legislature's subpoena
- 13 that contained confidential information; is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. I think you said your position was that
- 17 there were three kinds of confidential information
- 18 you worried would become public; is that right?
- 19 A. I gave examples using three kinds of
- 20 confidential information, correct.
- **21** Q. And the first example was about the
- 22 personal problems of employees' family members; is
- 23 that right?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- **25** Q. And the second example was about a child

- 1 Q. I think you testified earlier that you
- 2 would not receive as a general matter in your
- 3 email any of those types of records or documents;
- 4 is that right?
- 5 A. As a general matter, I would not have
- 6 information in my email related to decisions made
- 7 by the Justices in disposition of a final opinion.
- 8 I'm not even, to be honest, sure what that means.
- 9 Q. If you could look with me for a few
- minutes at I believe it's ODC's Exhibit No. 12.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. This is your petition for original
- 13 jurisdiction and emergency request to quash the
- 14 subpoena; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And if you could please turn with me to
- 17 ODC, this is Bates stamp number at the bottom ODC
- **18** 3380.
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. There are a number of numbered
- 21 paragraphs on this page, but toward the bottom it
- 22 has Paragraph No. 5, "In her capacity as Court
- 23 Administrator." Do you see that paragraph, ma'am?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And the last -- so I'll just read it.

Page 337 Page 339

- 1 abuse and neglect case; is that right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And the third kind was about Judicial
- 4 Standards Commission investigations, emails
- 5 relating to that investigation; is that right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. If I could get you to turn with me to
- 8 exhibit, ODC Exhibit No. 6. Mr. Strauch's Exhibit
- 9 No. 6.
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 O. This was the subpoena that you received
- a courtesy copy of; is that right?
- 13 A. This was the subpoena served on the
- 14 Department of Administration on April 8th. I
- 15 received a copy on April 9th.
- 16 Q. And if we could look midway down that
- page, Paragraph No. 3, you talked earlier with Mr.
- 18 Strauch about the exclusion that the Legislature
- 19 put into this, and you said this --
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. I'm sorry. I'll just read that again.
- 22 "This request excludes any emails and attachments
- 23 related to decisions made by the Justices in
- 24 disposition of a final opinion;" is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 "In her capacity as Court Administrator, given her
- 2 many diverse duties, McLaughlin receives a wide
- 3 variety of emails and attachments that implicate
- 4 the rights and privileges of other parties. These
- 5 emails and attachments include, but are not
- 6 limited to;" did I read that right?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And then Subparagraph (c), "Discussions
- 9 with Judges about case processing and ongoing
- 10 litigation in pending or potential cases;" did I
- 11 read that right?
- 12 A. You did.
- 13 Q. And then if you could turn the page,
- **14** please, to ODC 3381.
- 15 A. Yes.
- **16** Q. Paragraph (g). "Copied on exchanges
- 17 between Judges in which advice about case law and
- 18 potential decisions were being sought from other
- 19 Judges"?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Then Paragraph (h), "Copies on exchanges
- between Judges in which information was exchanged
- about judicial work product;" did I read that
- 24 correctly?
- 25 A. You did.

Page 340 Page 342

- 1 Q. That's the kind of information the
- 2 legislative subpoena was excluding, was it not?
- 3 MR. STRAUCH: Objection,
- 4 mischaracterization.
- 5 A. No, the legislative subpoena was
- 6 specifically excluding decisions made by Justices,
- 7 which I took to mean Justices on the Montana
- 8 Supreme Court. When I see "Judges," I assume
- 9 District Court Judges, Water Court Judges, or
- 10 Judges in the Courts of Limited Jurisdiction. So
- 11 I don't use the word "Justice" and "Judges"
- 12 interchangeably.
- 13 Q. (BY MR. GREEN) Thank you, Ms.
- 14 McLaughlin. Could I also have you look at
- 15 Subparagraph (f), "Information about potential
- ongoing security risks to individual Judges,
- 17 including communications with law enforcement"?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Does the Legislature fund security for
- 20 Judges of the Judicial Branch?
- 21 A. No. Judges, the security for District
- 22 Court Judges is the responsibility of local
- 23 Sheriffs. The Judges in the Courts of Limited
- 24 Jurisdiction, depending on if it's a City Court or
- 25 a Justice Court, it would be a local Police

- 1 Respondent's Exhibit P as in Peter.
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. This was -- Do you have that in front of
- 4 you, Ms. McLaughlin?
- 5 A. I do, sir.
- 6 Q. You can see on the top right corner of
- 7 that first page it was filed on April 11th?
- 8 A. Yes.
- **9** Q. That was a Sunday?
- 10 A. I don't know without looking at a
- calendar, but I'm assuming if you're telling me it
- 12 was a Sunday, it was a Sunday.
- MR. STRAUCH: ODC will stipulate.
- 14 Q. (BY MR. GREEN) The Supreme Court
- 15 entered an order later that same Sunday granting
- 16 this emergency motion; is that right?
- 17 A. I don't know when the order was entered.
- 18 I'd have to have you point me to the exhibit.
- 19 MR. GREEN: Mr. Strauch, would you
- 20 stipulate it was granted that same Sunday?
- 21 MR. STRAUCH: Yes.
- MR. GREEN: Okay. Thank you.
- 23 Q. (BY MR. GREEN) Ms. McLaughlin, I just
- wanted to ask you. Do you know of any other time
- 25 that a person has had a motion filed in the

- 1 Department or a local Sheriff.
- 2 Q. And Subparagraph (f) doesn't talk about
- 3 which type of Judges were apparently facing
- 4 security risks, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Your attorney filed this emergency
- 7 motion to quash on a Sunday; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes. It was filed on Sunday.
- 9 O. And --
- MR. STRAUCH: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.
- 11 That mischaracterizes Exhibit 12. It states right
- at the top it was filed on April 12th.
- MR. GREEN: Sorry. I am referring to
- 14 the wrong exhibit. Thank you, Mr. Strauch.
- 15 Q. (BY MR. GREEN) The original, you did
- 16 file a motion to quash, though. Let me restate my
- 17 question. Your attorney did file a motion to
- 18 quash the legislative subpoena with the Supreme
- 19 Court on Sunday, April 11th; is that right?
- 20 A. Can you point me to the exhibit, Mr.
- 21 Green, so I'm not referencing something incorrect?
- 22 Q. Sure. Give me just one moment, please.
- MR. STRAUCH: I believe it's your "P."
- MR. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Strauch.
- 25 O. (BY MR. GREEN) That would be

- 1 Supreme Court on a Sunday and got an order
- 2 granting that motion that same Sunday?
- 3 A. Mr. Green, I'm not a lawyer, so I don't
- 4 have a lot of experience with what's filed before
- 5 the Supreme Court, and I don't work in the Clerk's
- 6 office, so I don't think I can answer that
- 7 question.
- 8 Q. So I guess the answer then is no, you're
- 9 not aware of that happening before?
- 10 A. I'm not. I wouldn't have any way of
- 11 knowing that.
- 12 Q. The Montana Supreme Court's final
- decision in your case was issued in July of 2021;
- 14 does that sound right?
- 15 A. Again, can you point me to the exhibit?
- 16 I just don't want to get the dates wrong.
- MR. STRAUCH: We'll stipulate July 14th,
- 18 2021.
- MR. GREEN: Thank you. July 14th.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. GREEN) And after that, the
- 21 Attorney General's Office sought review of that
- decision from the US Supreme Court; is that right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. And the US Supreme Court eventually
- 25 denied the Attorney General's cert petition?

Page 344

- 1 A. Correct, in the spring of 2022.
- 2 Q. And after the US Supreme Court denied
- 3 the Legislature's cert petition, the Attorney
- 4 General's Office returned the emails to you that
- 5 it had received from the Department of
- 6 Administration; is that right?
- 7 A. They returned two batches of emails to
- 8 me. I'm unclear whether those emails came from
- 9 the Legislature or the Department of
- 10 Administration.
- 11 Q. Did you review those documents to see if
- all the documents had been returned?
- 13 A. I did not because I don't know what was
- 14 produced in total, so it would have been difficult
- 15 for me to determine if all of the documents and
- 16 the copies had been returned.
- 17 Q. And to your knowledge, do you know, did
- anyone else review the documents to see if they'd
- been returned, to see if they'd all been returned?
- 20 A. Did anyone else --
- 21 Q. Let me ask that question again. To your
- 22 knowledge, did anyone else review the documents
- 23 that you received to see if they had all been
- 24 returned?
- 25 A. I don't think anyone else would have

- 1 Q. Those were documents you said you
- 2 received from the Department of Justice after the
- 3 cert petition had been denied?
- 4 A. Yes. Those two boxes were returned to
- 5 me or delivered to me by Mr. Oestreicher and Mr.
- 6 Dewhirst.
- 7 Q. And who has had custody of those
- 8 documents, the hard copy boxes, since they were
- **9** returned to you?
- 10 A. The documents were in my office actually
- 11 taped shut, and then I received the request from
- 12 ODC for the documents, and I don't remember when
- 13 that happened, but I did deliver the documents to
- 14 ODC.
- 15 Q. So to your knowledge -- actually let me
- 16 restate. I think you testified earlier that you
- 17 had opened the boxes and looked through them at
- some point after you received them?
- 19 A. I said I opened the boxes after they'd
- 20 gone to ODC. I didn't open them before they went
- 21 to ODC.
- 22 Q. To your knowledge, do you know if anyone
- other than you or the people at ODC have looked
- 24 through those documents since you received them?
- 25 A. No. No one has but me and ODC.

Page 345

- 1 known that. The documents were reviewed only by
- 2 ODC and myself.
- 3 Q. Ms. McLaughlin, between July of 2021 and
- 4 March of 2022 you didn't take any actions to
- 5 compel the return of the documents, did you?
- 6 A. No.
- **7** Q. In that same time frame your attorney,
- 8 Mr. Cox, didn't take any actions to compel the
- 9 return of the documents, did he?
- 10 A. Mr. Cox didn't file anything, no.
- 11 O. And Mr. Cox was paid for his services as
- your lawyer in this case, wasn't he?
- MR. STRAUCH: Objection, relevance.
- 14 CHAIR OGLE: Sustained.
- **MR. GREEN:** Mr. Chairman, if I could
- 16 have a moment, please.
- 17 CHAIR OGLE: Yes.
- 18 Q. (BY MR. GREEN) Just a couple of final
- 19 questions, Ms. McLaughlin.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Mr. Strauch asked you earlier about a
- 22 couple of boxes of documents that are sitting here
- on the witness stand; do you remember that line of
- 24 questioning?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 MR. GREEN: Mr. Chairman, if I could
- 2 enter a motion. I'm not sure what's going to
- 3 happen to these documents, but just to make sure
- 4 we maintain the privacy and sanctity of them, I
- 5 moved to seal those documents so that they're not
- 6 accessible as the part of the public record
- 7 created in connection with this case.
- 8 **CHAIR OGLE:** Mr. Strauch.
- 9 MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, the contents
- 10 of those documents are exactly what the Supreme
- 11 Court did not want disclosed disseminated to
- anyone, and I can give this Commission my word as
- an officer of the Court that they will be retained
- and held on to in perpetuity if you direct me to.
- However, no one should be allowed to see
- them other than me or Counsel for the Respondent.
- 17 And I would point out that I, in discovery,
- 18 produced an inventory of the contents of those
- 19 documents. It's Bates numbers ODC-0320 through
- 20 ODC-2796, so a 2400 page inventory of the contents
- 21 of those documents.
- They never asked to see them during the
- 23 course of this litigation. They may see them now
- 24 if they wish, but they cannot be released outside
- of this litigation because they're the very

	Page 348		Page 350
	raye 340		rage 350
1	subject matter of the Supreme Court opinion.	1	the lunch break?
2	So I'll leave it to the Commission to	2	MR. COLEMAN: Whatever the Panel's
3	decide the proper disposition of those. However,	3	preference is.
4	I think we all have to be sensitive to the fact	4	CHAIR OGLE: Are you guys good with that
5	that these are the very emails that the Supreme	5	on the Panel? Yes. Why don't you call your
	Court ordered not to be disseminated in the first		witness, and let's get started in ten minutes, and
6		6	<del>-</del>
7	place, and to be maintained.	7	finish up with the testimony this morning.
8	MR. GREEN: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Strauch's	8	(Recess taken)
9	words as an officer of the Court is good enough	9	<b>CHAIR OGLE:</b> We're back on the record,
10	for me. I just want to make sure they're	10	and you can go ahead and call your next witness.
11	protected.	11	MR. COLEMAN: Respondent will call Wylie
12	<b>CHAIR OGLE:</b> So the documents then will	12	Galt.
13	remain in the possession of ODC during the	13	CHAIR OGLE: Would you state your name
14	pendency of this proceeding, and I assume that the	14	for the record.
15	Respondent or his Counsel would have access to	15	MR. COLEMAN: I'm sorry. Shane Coleman
16	look at the documents if need be.	16	on behalf of the Respondent.
17	MR. STRAUCH: That's correct. No copies	17	CHAIR OGLE: I've seen you fellows over
18	can be made, no notes can be taken.	18	at Counsel table, but I didn't know who was who.
19	CHAIR OGLE: All right. And then that's	19	MR. COLEMAN: I don't blame you. It's a
	<del>_</del>		•
20	the way we'll leave it. That's satisfactory to	20	big state.  MR STRAUGH: Mr. Chairman, it strikes
21	you, Mr. Green?	21	MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, it strikes
22	MR. GREEN: 100 percent, Mr. Chairman.	22	me that I forgot to close my case. ODC closes.
23	Thank you, and with that I have no further	23	CHAIR OGLE: Thanks, Mr. Strauch.
24	questions.	24	
25	<b>CHAIR OGLE:</b> Thank you. Any redirect,	25	ERROL WYLIE GALT,
	Page 349		Page 351
1	Mr. Strauch?	1	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and
2	Mr. Strauch? MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May	2	
	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our	2	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.	2	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks,	2 3 4 5	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN:
2 3 4	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.	2 3 4 5	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and
2 3 4 5	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record.
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Strauch? MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena. CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin. THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Strauch? MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena. CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin. THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave? CHAIR OGLE: Yes. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. (Witness excused) CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we take a ten minute break then, personal break?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher. Q. Where abouts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we take a ten minute break then, personal break?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes. We'll take a ten	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher. Q. Where abouts? A. Central Montana, Martinsdale, a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we take a ten minute break then, personal break?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes. We'll take a ten minute break. We will reconvene in about 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher. Q. Where abouts? A. Central Montana, Martinsdale, a little bit of eastern Montana.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we take a ten minute break then, personal break?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes. We'll take a ten minute break. We will reconvene in about 20 minutes, or say quarter to noon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher. Q. Where abouts? A. Central Montana, Martinsdale, a little bit of eastern Montana. Q. What generally is your educational
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we take a ten minute break then, personal break?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes. We'll take a ten minute break. We will reconvene in about 20 minutes, or say quarter to noon.  Gentlemen, let me ask you. You thought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher. Q. Where abouts? A. Central Montana, Martinsdale, a little bit of eastern Montana. Q. What generally is your educational background?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we take a ten minute break then, personal break?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes. We'll take a ten minute break. We will reconvene in about 20 minutes, or say quarter to noon.  Gentlemen, let me ask you. You thought the next two witnesses would be fairly brief.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher. Q. Where abouts? A. Central Montana, Martinsdale, a little bit of eastern Montana. Q. What generally is your educational background? A. I am a college graduate, a business
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we take a ten minute break then, personal break?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes. We'll take a ten minute break. We will reconvene in about 20 minutes, or say quarter to noon.  Gentlemen, let me ask you. You thought the next two witnesses would be fairly brief.  MR. COLEMAN: I suspect they'll be even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher. Q. Where abouts? A. Central Montana, Martinsdale, a little bit of eastern Montana. Q. What generally is your educational background? A. I am a college graduate, a business degree and a minor in economics.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we take a ten minute break then, personal break?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes. We'll take a ten minute break. We will reconvene in about 20 minutes, or say quarter to noon.  Gentlemen, let me ask you. You thought the next two witnesses would be fairly brief.  MR. COLEMAN: I suspect they'll be even briefer than we might have thought yesterday.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher. Q. Where abouts? A. Central Montana, Martinsdale, a little bit of eastern Montana. Q. What generally is your educational background? A. I am a college graduate, a business degree and a minor in economics. Q. Do you have a law degree of any sort?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Strauch?  MR. STRAUCH: No, Mr. Chairman. May this witness be excused? She's under our subpoena.  CHAIR OGLE: Yes, she may be. Thanks, Ms. McLaughlin.  THE WITNESS: I'm okay to leave?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.  (Witness excused)  CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Green, why don't you call your next witness.  MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, we'll call Wylie Galt, and with the Panel's indulgence, I will go downstairs and track him down.  MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, could we take a ten minute break then, personal break?  CHAIR OGLE: Yes. We'll take a ten minute break. We will reconvene in about 20 minutes, or say quarter to noon.  Gentlemen, let me ask you. You thought the next two witnesses would be fairly brief.  MR. COLEMAN: I suspect they'll be even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLEMAN: Q. Would you please state your name and address for the record. A. Errol Wylie Galt, 71 Ranch Road, Martinsdale, Montana. Q. Can you give the Panel a little, kind of a thumbnail sketch of your background, Mr. Galt. A. I was first elected in 2013 to the State House; was Speaker Pro Tem in the '19 session; was Speaker of the House in the '21 session. Q. What do you do by way of occupation? A. I am a rancher. Q. Where abouts? A. Central Montana, Martinsdale, a little bit of eastern Montana. Q. What generally is your educational background? A. I am a college graduate, a business degree and a minor in economics.

Page 352 Page 354

- 1 Q. You understand this matter concerns some
- 2 happenings between the Legislature and the Court
- 3 back in 2021?
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. You're generally familiar with that time
- 6 frame, right?
- 7 A. Yes, I am.
- 8 Q. What was your position with the
- **9** Legislature in 2021?
- 10 A. I was the Speaker of the House.
- 11 Q. And so how did you come to be involved
- in what we're calling the dispute, if you will,
- between these governmental branches?
- 14 A. At that time, as the leader of the
- 15 House, when we heard about certain polling and
- 16 emails, I was the one, along with the President of
- 17 the Senate, that were kind of the spearhead of
- 18 trying to find what -- where these emails were.
- 19 Q. And before we jump into the back and
- 20 forth and the emails and the polling and whatnot,
- can you give the Panel a little bit of a flavor,
- 22 if you will, of the types of legislation that was
- 23 being considered by the Legislature then that
- would have affected the Courts.
- 25 A. There was I know a handful of judicial

- 1 thing that it did?
- 2 A. Yes. It would add another layer of
- 3 review, so that it was not just the Judicial
- 4 Branch watching the Judicial Branch.
- 5 Q. Would it have added non-lawyers and
- 6 non-judges to that Commission?
- 7 A. Yes, from what I remember.
- 8 O. Was it a proposed ballot initiative?
- 9 A. It was a proposed ballot initiative.
- 10 O. Would it have been a constitutional
- 11 amendment?
- 12 A. If I remember correctly, yes.
- 13 Q. Which are required to be a ballot
- **14** initiative, right?
- 15 A. Yes, but with a higher vote threshold as
- 16 well.
- 17 Q. In other words, you as the Legislature
- 18 can't amend the Constitution, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And how about SB 140? Do you remember
- 21 that bill?
- 22 A. I do not remember the specifics off the
- 23 top of my head.
- 24 Q. Do you remember legislation that
- 25 concerned what was formerly the Judicial

Page 353 Page 355

- 1 reform bills, as we call them. I would not say
- 2 they made up the bulk of what we were doing, but
- 3 there was a handful of bills that session.
- 4 Q. And was one of those bills SB 140?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Generally speaking, what would that bill
- 7 have done? What did it do?
- 8 A. The 140, was that the judicial review?
- 9 The constitutional ballot, or the ballot
- 10 initiative of judicial review, if I remember
- 11 correctly.
- 12 Q. Let me ask it a little differently
- 13 because I'm not sure you did. What was House Bill
- 14 685? Do you remember that one?
- 15 A. That was the constitutional initiative
- 16 for judicial review.
- 17 Q. That was one, that was a bill that
- progressed its way through the Legislature?
- 19 A. Yes. How far exactly, I do not
- 20 remember.
- **21** Q. And that involved judicial review?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Again, I'm summarizing for expedience,
- but generally would have changed the way judicial
- misconduct complaints were handled; is that one

- **1** Nomination Commission?
- 2 A. I do.
- **3** Q. Was that affected by SB 140?
- 4 A. Yes. I do remember that. Not exactly
- 5 what it said, but a rough idea of it.
- 6 O. And ultimately SB 140 became the law of
- 7 the State of Montana, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that was litigated at the Montana
- 10 Supreme Court; you understand that, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. At some point before Senate Bill 140 was
- passed by the Legislature, and before House Bill
- 14 685 ultimately failed in the Legislature, did the
- 15 Legislature become aware of certain emails within
- 16 the Court system?
- 17 A. Yes. We had heard that there was
- 18 polling and emails going around about the
- 19 legislation we were moving.
- 20 Q. What period of time would this have been
- 21 generally?
- 22 A. This would have been around April, if I
- 23 remember correctly.
- **24** Q. So do you understand ultimately this
- resulted in a so-called McLaughlin decision by the

Page 359

Montana Supreme Court?

Page 356

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And that would be -- Do you recall that
- 4 that was decided in July of 2021?
- 5 A. I do.
- **6** Q. So is it fair to say that the emails
- 7 that came to your attention, that happened
- 8 sometime before the Court issued its decision in
- 9 McLaughlin?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What specifically did you hear about the
- 12 polling that was going on?
- 13 A. That there was --
- 14 MR. STRAUCH: Objection, hearsay.
- 15 **CHAIR OGLE:** Sustained.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) Do you remember how
- 17 you learned that there was judicial polling going
- 18 on's
- 19 A. I remember someone telling me.
- 20 Q. Did that concern the Legislature?
- 21 A. It did.
- 22 Q. Why?
- 23 A. Because we have a long precedent in
- 24 Montana of anything done within the elected
- officials, that it is public record.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. In front of you there should be a
- 3 binder. I'm going to ask you to take a look,
- 4 please. There may be two binders.
- 5 **MR. COLEMAN:** May I have a moment, Your
- 6 Honor?
- 7 **CHAIR OGLE:** Yes.
- 8 Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) (Provides document)
- 9 Mr. Galt, I've placed in front of you two exhibit
- 10 binders.
- 11 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I forgot ours were up
- **12** there. I'm so sorry.
- MR. COLEMAN: I'll take that one back
- 14 from you.
- **THE WITNESS:** Perfect.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) Mr. Galt, in front of
- 17 you are two exhibit binders. We're going to make
- 18 reference to a handful of the exhibits in there.
- 19 One of them I believe says ODC's exhibits, those
- are numbered; the others have Respondent's
- 21 exhibits, those are letters.
- 22 A. Gotcha.
- 23 Q. I want to make this streamlined as much
- as possible. I'm going to ask you to take a look,
- please, at Respondent's Exhibit C.

- 1 Q. And so what did the Legislature do in
- 2 response to that concern?
- 3 A. We first filed a FOIA to receive the
- 4 emails.
- 5 Q. Whom did you direct the FOIA to?
- 6 A. Beth McLaughlin.
- **7** Q. The Court Administrator of the Supreme
- 8 Court?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Generally do you recall what you were
- 11 seeking?
- 12 A. We were seeking, I believe a lot of our
- 13 key words were HB 688, 668, SB 140, polling, kind
- 14 of all those along what -- you know, the bills we
- 15 were moving.
- **16** Q. Do you remember how -- Do you remember
- when that FOIA request might have been made
- 18 generally? We'll look at some documents here in a
- 19 minute
- 20 A. Before April, is all I kind of remember.
- 21 Slightly before the beginning of April, somewhere
- 22 in there
- 23 Q. At this time the legislation was still
- 24 in limbo in terms of whether it would pass; is
- 25 that right?

- 1 A. (Complies)
- 2 Q. Who is Abra Belke?
- 3 A. She was the Chief of Staff for the
- 4 Senate.
- 5 Q. What was her role in 2021?
- 6 A. She ran a lot of the day-to-day
- 7 operations in the Senate, but due to limited staff
- 8 in the Legislature, we do share staff back and
- 9 forth.
- 10 Q. Was she involved with trying to collect
- 11 emails records from the Court Administrator's
- 12 Office?
- 13 A. Yes. She was the one we tasked with
- 14 getting the FOIA to the proper people.
- **15** Q. Exhibit C, is that an email exchange
- between Ms. Belke and Beth McLaughlin?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.
- **18** Q. Beth McLaughlin is Court Administrator;
- **19** do you understand?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And do you recall that in response to
- 22 the request made by the Legislature, that Ms.
- 23 McLaughlin produced two emails?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What was your reaction when you heard

Page 360 Page 362

- 1 that two emails had been produced?
- 2 A. That that was not near the emails that
- 3 should have been.
- 4 Q. Why did you think there might have been
- 5 more?
- 6 A. From what we had heard, we had heard --
- 7 MR. STRAUCH: Objection, hearsay.
- 8 CHAIR OGLE: Sustained.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) Based on your
- 10 understanding of the polling that had gone on, did
- 11 you believe that there would have been more than
- 12 two emails?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I'll have you take a look at the next
- 15 exhibit, Exhibit D.
- 16 A. (Complies)
- 17 Q. Is this also an email exchange between
- 18 Ms. Belke and Ms. McLaughlin?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you remember that Ms. McLaughlin
- 21 responded that some emails had been deleted?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Particularly that some emails related to
- polling on SB 140 had been deleted?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 A. Yes, and available to the public upon
- 2 request.
- 3 Q. Did you see where Ms. McLaughlin told
- 4 you at that time that she had to, quote,
- 5 "acquiesce to sloppiness"?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And that she was dismayed that she had
- 8 not retained the emails?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What did the Legislature do then in
- 11 connection with trying to determine whether it
- could recover any of the other emails?
- 13 A. We used our subpoena power to subpoena
- 14 the Department of Administration to retrieve the
- 15 emails.
- **16** Q. I want to talk about the legislative
- subpoena process. How long did you say you served
- 18 in the Legislature? I'm sorry.
- 19 A. Eight years.
- 20 Q. Prior to this, had you ever been
- involved with a legislative subpoena?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Prior to this, did you know of anybody
- else that maybe you'd worked with had been
- 25 involved with a subpoena?

Page 361 Page 363

- 1 Q. Did that concern the Legislature?
- 2 A. Very much.
- 3 Q. Do you recall receiving Exhibit D?
- 4 You're copied on this email, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. That's your email address in the CC
- 7 line?
- 8 A. Yes.
- **9** Q. And if we look at the full second
- 10 paragraph, Ms. McLaughlin's written, "I've copied
- 11 the President and Speaker. So I can be clear, I
- 12 had no nefarious intent," and it goes on from
- 13 there; is that correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- **15** Q. And you, that's one of them anyway?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And the President is Mr. Blasdel?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you believe at that time -- Again,
- 20 this is dated April 8th, 2021 -- that these were
- 21 the sorts of emails that the Judicial Branch
- should have been retaining under the State's email
- 23 policy?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. State's document retention policy?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. How do you get documents from agencies
- 3 and people then?
- 4 A. Usually a FOIA request does it.
- 5 Q. How do you get witnesses to appear at
- 6 hearings, for instance?
- 7 A. We just usually let them know when the
- 8 committee hearing is, and they show up.
- **9** Q. So why was a legislative subpoena issued
- in what I think you told us was the very first
- 11 time in this case?
- 12 A. We felt we were running into many road
- 13 blocks getting to the information that we knew was
- 14 there, so we used our power in the best way we
- 15 thought possible to get the information we thought
- 16 we needed.
- **17** Q. And that was a legislative subpoena?
- 18 A. Yes.
- **19** Q. What did the Legislature receive in
- 20 response to this legislative subpoena to the
- 21 Department of Administration? Now I'm talking
- 22 pre-April 11th, 2021.
- 23 A. We received a bunch of emails.
- 24 Q. And to be clear, there were further
- subpoenas issued by the Legislature, right?

Page 367

Page 364

- 1 A. Yes.
- **2** Q. Do you remember generally what that
- 3 first email to the Department of Administration
- 4 asked for?
- 5 A. I do not off the top of my head.
- 6 Q. I'm going to ask you to take a look,
- 7 please, at Exhibit F in front of you.
- 8 A. (Complies)
- 9 Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 10 A. I do.
- **11** O. What is that?
- 12 A. That is one of the emails we received
- 13 from the DOA.
- 14 Q. To be clear, Exhibit F is a series of
- emails that I'll tell you most, if not all, have
- someone named Terri Hogan's name at the top.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 O. Who is Terri Hogan?
- 19 A. I do not recall off the top of my head.
- 20 Q. Do you remember to whom the subpoenaed
- 21 emails were produced?
- 22 A. I do not.
- 23 Q. Were you concerned when you received
- 24 these emails, Exhibit F, about the substance of
- 25 them?

- 1 threshold, which proves that it was towards a
- 2 constitutional amendment. We need two-thirds to
- 3 even pass one of these to go on to the ballot
- 4 initiative.
- 5 Q. So this relates to the proposal to put
- 6 on the ballot a constitutional amendment that
- 7 would change the Judicial Standards Commission?
- 8 I'm over-simplifying, but is that generally it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I want to just highlight a handful of
- 11 these responses in here. Will you take a look --
- and I'm going through in no -- Well, a couple of
- 13 things here. One, the document is not numbered at
- 14 the bottom, so bear with me. I'm going to try to
- 15 guide you, and I'm going to refer electronically
- to the PDF number, which I hope I've faithfully
- 17 recorded on the copies of mine.
- Take a look, if you will, please, this
- 19 is going to be the fourth page of the PDF. It
- should be the fourth page of the hard copy there.
- 21 It's an email from Spaulding, Randal; do you see
- **22** that?
- 23 A. I do.
- **24** Q. Would you read the top email that Mr.
- 25 Spaulding has written to Beth McLaughlin.

- 1 A. Very concerned.
- 2 Q. Why?
- 3 A. Mostly because at this point it's
- 4 showing State resources being used towards
- 5 lobbying.
- 6 O. Are there any other concerns that you
- 7 had in regard to content of the emails that were
- 8 produced?
- 9 A. There seemed to be a lot of opinions
- 10 that, from our recollection at that time with not
- 11 being produced these emails, that the Court was
- 12 trying to hide in very strong language.
- 13 Q. That was your belief?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you convey that belief later to the
- 16 Attorney General's Office?
- 17 A. Yes, we did.
- 18 Q. We'll get to that in a bit here. I'm
- 19 going to ask you to flip through Exhibit F, and
- 20 confirm for me. Do these emails in Exhibit F seem
- 21 to relate to House Bill 685?
- 22 A. Yes, they do.
- 23 Q. Can you explain to the panel why it is
- 24 that you think these relate to 685.
- 25 A. A lot of it they describe the vote

- 1 A. "The more I see in here, the more I
- 2 believe the Legislature should meet every ten
- years for ten days, each legislator can sponsor no
- 4 more than ten bills, and they should get \$10 per
- 5 day per diem."
- 6 Q. Flip to the next page, which I believe
- 7 should be PDF-4. Do you see an email from Mike
- 8 McGrath?
- 9 A. I do.
- 10 Q. The Chief Justice of the Montana Supreme
- 11 Court?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Could you read for the record what his
- 14 comments were on House Bill 685 at that time.
- 15 A. "They don't seem to care much for
- 16 Judicial Standards, now that they have found out
- 17 about it. We'll need to pick off some votes here
- and keep it below 100. Might be easier in the
- 19 House. Are there rules regarding timelines that
- 20 apply?"
- 21 Q. When you read that email, when you
- 22 received it, what was your understanding of what
- 23 he was conveying?
- 24 A. That he was ready to head to the
- 5 Legislature to start lobbying.

In the Matter of Austin Knudsen October 10, 2024 Page 368 Page 370 1 Q. What's the significance of keeping a gives him. His client's understanding of what was vote number below 100? going on, his client's perceptions, pre the 3 A. That is the threshold for the bill to McLaughlin decision which comes out in July, is 3 pass to go on to the ballot. 4 absolutely relevant to whether the Attorney Q. If we look at the next page again, we're General made statements that he, quote, "knows to still in Exhibit F, House Bill 685. be false or with reckless disregard to the truth." 6 MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman. McLaughlin was decided later. It could 7 7 **CHAIR OGLE:** Yes. 8 8 not have been known at that time. That's why we 9 **MR. STRAUCH:** This gets into again the 9 think this is appropriate. entire line of questioning that you sustained **CHAIR OGLE:** Well, number one, I think 10 10 earlier. They're now getting into an area that you've made your point about the state of mind of 11 11 12 the Montana Supreme Court has already decided, 12 the Legislature during this time frame. Number which was that this was a proper use of the two, I don't think the Supreme Court ruling was 13 13 judicial email system, and a proper discharge of limited to one bill. So the objection is 14 14 Ms. McLaughlin's duties. 15 sustained. Please move on. 15 And here we go again. And you've MR. COLEMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 16 already ruled on this. So I would ask you to 17 Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) Mr. Galt, also in 17 renew the sustaining of my objection so we can front of you is Respondent's Exhibit I. I will 18 move on to the issues in this case, please. tell you that this is a series of emails I do not 19 19 **CHAIR OGLE:** The objection is sustained intend to ask you about. These are the ones we 20 20 looked at before that are concerning Senate Bill again. We have previously considered and ruled on 21 21 this, based upon the Supreme Court's order. I 140. Do you recall that? 22 22 23 A. I do. think this issue has already been disposed. 23 MR. COLEMAN: Yes, and I certainly don't 24 Q. And if I were to ask whether you had 24 want to run afoul of any ruling by this Panel, similar concerns about the emails produced 25 Page 369 Page 371 Your Honor. May I be heard on one issue related relating to Senate Bill 140, would it be the same 1 to this that I think is unique from what we heard as what was just sustained in the ODC's objection 2 before? just moments ago? 3 **CHAIR OGLE:** Yes. 4 A. It would be. 4 **MR. COLEMAN:** First off, we're talking 5 O. Same concerns? 5 6 about House Bill 685, not 140, which was ruled 6 A. Yes, same concerns. Q. At some point then did the Montana upon in McLaughlin. 7 7 Supreme Court quash that initial, what I'm going Secondly, we're talking about the 8 9 Legislature's state of mind prior to July of 2021 to call the initial subpoena to the Department of when that ruling came out. And there's a reason Administration? 10 10 that's critical, and I'll get to that in a minute. 11 A. Yes, they did. 11 But it's impossible to say that that 12 Q. How did you learn about that? 12 information -- it was undecided at that time. 13 A. We learned about it, I believe it was 13 We're still months away from the McLaughlin case Sunday night through a phone call, is how I think 14 Ms. Belke called me and told me about it. deciding the issue. But more importantly, why do 15 we care about that if the Court's ultimately Q. At that time was the Legislature a party 16 16 ruled? It's because it's not a collateral attack, to that case? 17 17 18 A. No. 18 not the way that it's being presented through this 19 O. To be clear, that's the so-called Brown 19 20 Among other things, the Attorney General 20 case?

21

22

23

24

25

is charged under Rule 8.2(a), among other things,

making false statements, making statements with

The Attorney General does not act in a

vacuum. He acts on information that his client

reckless disregard of the truth.

21 A. Yes.

case?

Yes.

23 A.

25

22 Q. Brown versus Gianforte?

24 Q. Sometimes the Bradley versus Gianforte

Page 372

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. There's both in the caption. So what
- 3 did you do then?
- 4 A. We felt that -- We disagreed with them
- 5 on it basically.
- 6 Q. Prior to that, had you reached out to
- 7 the Attorney General's Office concerning this
- 8 issue?
- 9 A. No. I believe after that is when we
- 10 reached out to the Attorney General.
- 11 Q. Was that you?
- 12 A. I can't remember exactly if it was me,
- or if we directed Ms. Belke to reach out to them.
- 14 Q. I'm going to ask you to take a look at
- ODC Exhibit 11, duplicated as "S" in the other
- one. Let me know when you have that there.
- 17 A. I believe I have it.
- **18** Q. Do you recognize that letter?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 Q. What is that?
- 21 A. That is the response from the Attorney
- 22 General's Office on our behalf.
- 23 Q. Specifically who at the Attorney
- 24 General's Office?
- 25 A. Kristin Hansen.

- 1 Q. And before -- And this was, to confirm,
- 2 the very first time a legislative subpoena had
- 3 been issued, had been used, to your knowledge?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Certainly the first time you used it?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 O. Ms. Hansen has written -- and I'm still
- 8 on that bottom paragraph of the first page there
- 9 -- she's written later on that, and I'm
- 10 paraphrasing, the Legislature seeks to be informed
- on issues related to, and she's got three of them.
- 12 One is to address whether members of the Judiciary
- and Court Administrator deleted records; do you
- **14** see that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is that an accurate statement of what
- 17 the Legislature had asked Ms. Hansen to undertake?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. It also next identifies or states, "The
- 20 Legislature would like to know whether the Court
- 21 Administrator performed tasks for the Montana
- 22 Judges Association;" do you see that?
- 23 A. I do.
- 24 Q. Was that something at the time that the
- Legislature thought would have been inappropriate?

Page 373 Page 375

- 1 Q. Did you work with her?
- 2 A. I did.
- 3 Q. I'm going to walk through a handful of
- 4 the statements that Ms. Hansen has made in Exhibit
- 5 ODC-11, starting in the first paragraph, of the
- 6 first page -- and I apologize. I'm probably
- 7 jumping around faster than you, without the
- 8 highlighting on your copy. But the first
- paragraph generally she writes that the AG'sOffice had been retained by the Legislature to
- 11 represent it; is that an accurate statement of
- 11 represent it, is that an accurate statement
- what had happened?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Flipping down to the very bottom
- paragraph on the first page, Ms. Hansen has
- written, "The legislative power is broad;" do you
- 17 see that?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 Q. Did you agree with that statement at the
- **20** time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Again, this was before the McLaughlin
- 23 Court ruled on the scope of the legislative
- 24 subpoena?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 A. Extremely.
- 2 Q. And at the time, did Ms. Hansen's letter
- 3 in that regard accurately state what it was that
- 4 the Legislature was hoping to obtain?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And then in the next subpart to that,
- 7 Ms. Hansen's written that, "The Legislature would
- 8 like to know whether current policies and
- 9 processes of the Judicial Standards Commission are
- sufficient to address the polling issue and other
- 11 things;" do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And did Ms. Hansen faithfully describe
- 14 the concern that the Legislature had in that
- **15** paragraph?
- 16 A. Yes, she did.
- 17 Q. If we flip to the last paragraph on the
- 18 next page, please. Ms. Hansen has written, "The
- 19 Legislature does not recognize this Court's order
- 20 as binding, and will not abide it;" do you see
- 21 that?
- 22 A. I do.
- 23 Q. Was it your understanding that that was
- 24 the Legislature's position at the time?
- 25 A. Yes, it was.

Page 376

11 751 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

- 1 Q. Was that your position at the time?
- 2 A. Yes, it was.
- 3 Q. Did you believe that you were still, as
- 4 Speaker of the House, still entitled to have the
- 5 Legislature get email records that might have been
- 6 deleted at that time?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. If they were recoverable, you still
- **9** thought you would be able to get them?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. At then or any other time did you
- instruct the Attorney General's Office that you
- wanted to press this issue?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you ever tell them you wanted to
- 16 give up on it?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you tell them you wanted to take it
- all the way to the Supreme Court?
- 20 A. Yes.
- **21** Q. Do you understand that a Supreme Court
- 22 petition for certiorari -- that's a fancy legal
- 23 term -- was filed in this case?
- 24 A. I don't remember everything filed, but I
- 25 probably was informed of that at the time.

- 1 as we could. That was our intent going into it.
- 2 Q. So was the Legislature trying to obtain
- 3 information about the Supreme Court Justices or
- 4 other Judges' deliberations in court cases?
- 5 A. No. We were just worried about the
- 6 emails that were affecting the legislation that we
- 7 were writing at the time.
- 8 Q. Were you trying to get people's
- 9 confidential medical records?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you expect that whoever was
- 12 producing the documents, whether it be the
- 13 Administration department or the Supreme Court,
- 14 that they would redact sensitive information?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Do you redact sensitive information when
- you encounter it in your role in the Legislature?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You are familiar with policies requiring
- 20 redaction of sensitive information?
- 21 A. At the time when we were redacting, I
- 22 was advised of those, so that we would follow
- 23 them.
- 24 Q. Have you personally redacted documents
- 25 yourself?

- 1 Q. Did you understand then that asking the
- 2 Supreme Court to hear something is a fairly
- 3 unusual and extraordinary action?
- 4 A. I felt like this whole thing was a very
- 5 extremely new realm we've never been on, so I knew
- 6 that we were heading into places that we'd never
- 7 been before.
- 8 Q. Did you think that this was the sort of
- 9 case that would warrant taking it as far as it
- 10 needed to go?
- 11 A. 100 percent.
- 12 Q. And setting aside what we've talked
- about now, what further action, after getting --
- and now I'm back to April 12th when we see Ms.
- 15 Hansen's letter, and that follows on the heels of
- 16 the order quashing the subpoena in the Brown case
- 17 -- what other actions did the Legislature take
- 18 then to try to get those records?
- 19 A. I believe that we kept trying to do
- 20 subpoenas through the DOA.
- 21 Q. Do you remember, was the scope of those
- 22 changed at all?
- 23 A. I don't believe so. I believe that we
- 24 tried to keep it very narrow to bills that we knew
- 25 that were in emails, and keep our scope as narrow

- 1 A. I have.
- **2** Q. Did the Legislature do anything by way
- 3 of setting up a committee to investigate this
- 4 matter further?
- 5 A. Yes. The President and I realized that
- 6 we had to get back to running the Legislature, so
- 7 we formed a committee to continue down this path.
- 8 O. Who was on that committee?
- 9 A. I remember Greg Hertz was on it -- but
- 10 really trying stretch my memory here -- Amy
- 11 Regier; if I remember correctly, put Sue Vinton on
- 12 there as well. And I do not remember the Senators
- 13 exactly besides Greg Hertz.
- 14 Q. Once the committee was formed, were you
- 15 involved in its working?
- 16 A. Not much.
- 17 Q. Did the committee ultimately prepare a
- **18** report or reports?
- 19 A. Yes.
- MR. COLEMAN: Nothing further, Mr.
- 21 Chairman.
- 22 CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Strauch.
- 23 cross-examination.
- MR. STRAUCH: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Thank
- you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission.

Transcript of Proceedings - Day 2 In the Matter of Austin Knudsen October 10, 2024 Page 380 Page 382 1 1 A. Correct. **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 2 2 Q. And Ms. McLaughlin writes back at the BY MR. STRAUCH: top, among other things -- I believe you went 3 4 Q. Speaker Galt, how are you? through all this except for the last sentence, A. I'm doing well. How about yourself? which I want you to look at. And Ms. McLaughlin Q. Good. Thank you. My name is Tim says, "As I said, I will make every effort to Strauch, and I'm the Special Counsel for Office of search for and get the other requested information Disciplinary Counsel. You and I have never met, to the President and the Speaker on Friday." Do 8 have we? you see that? 10 A. I don't believe so. 10 A. I do. 11 Q. I looked at your background, and I see 11 O. And then on Exhibit D, toward the end of that you're part of the proud Galt family that has 12 the second paragraph at the top of Ms. 12 a couple hundred thousand acre ranch over by White McLaughlin's email, this is on Thursday the 8th, 13 13 14 Sulphur Springs; is that right? 14 she states, quote, "I have not completed the 15 A. That is correct. search for other information, but will do so and 15 have it delivered tomorrow." Did I read that 16 Q. It's beautiful country. I know it 16 because I hunt on the side that faces Canyon Ferry correctly? 17 17 on public, so it's very nice. All the elk go to 18 A. Yes. 18 your place during hunting season. Q. Do you know if she was given the chance 19 19 to do what she said she was going to do, and do a 20 as we can. 21 search of the network, and provide that Q. At the end of the year. No, I'm kidding information on Friday, as the President said he 22 with you. Anyway, beautiful country. I really 23 was willing to wait? 23

20 A. Well, we try to push them back as much

21

22

actually just have a few questions here, and I'll 24

start with Exhibit C that you were asked about. 25

24 A. I believe she did, but she also told us

at the same time that she had already given us

Page 381 Page 383

First of all, I just want to clarify 1

something. Is Exhibit C the FOIA request that you 2

were referring to when you used that term? 3

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. Thank you. And there's a couple

things. You were also asked about these, and I'll

start with "C," and on the second page of "C," Ms.

Belke states that she would like a breakdown of

9 which Judges voted which way on SB 140, and then

she says, "While the President is comfortable 10

waiting until Friday to receive the bulk of the 11

requested information, we are requesting the 12

breakdown immediately;" do you see that? 13

14 A. I do.

15 O. So Ms. Belke conveyed that as it related

to the emails themselves, the President was

comfortable waiting until Friday, correct? 17

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And if you see the date, just so you can

refresh your recollection, the date of her email

on the first page of Exhibit C is Wednesday, April 21

7th, correct? 22

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. So Friday, using simple math, would be

the 9th, correct?

everything she had in her possession.

2 Q. Is it your understanding that you did

give her until Friday the 8th?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Look at Exhibit 6, please. I said --

Friday the 9th. I'm sorry. Then Exhibit 6 is the

subpoena that the Legislature sent to the Director 7

of the Department, correct? 8

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And the date of that subpoena is what?

11 A. The 8th.

12 Q. Yes, sir. So you subpoenaed the records

from the Department of Administration without 13

waiting for Ms. McLaughlin to get you the

information, correct? 15

A. Correct. 16

17 Q. And I think you said you don't have a

law degree, but I want to make sure. You're not 18

licensed as an attorney, are you?

20 A. No.

**21** Q. Have you ever practiced law?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Have you ever appeared in court as

Counsel and had subpoenas issued?

25 A. No.

Page 384 Page 386 1 Q. In fact, I think you said this might 1 A. I cannot recall at this time. have been your first experience with a subpoena; MR. STRAUCH: Thank you, sir. May I is that right? have the Commission's indulgence for just a 3 4 A. Yes. 4 moment? 5 Q. Do you recall receiving an email from **CHAIR OGLE:** Yes, you may. 5 MR. STRAUCH: As I indicated, Your the Court Administrator Beth McLaughlin's attorney 6 Randy Cox after the Supreme Court issued the Honor, we're not going to move for admission of 7 Sunday order that you mentioned? that unless there's no objection, but under Rule 8 9 A. I do remember. What exactly it said I 9 612, I don't think I can. **CHAIR OGLE:** I understand. do not. 10 10 MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, may I show **MR. STRAUCH:** No further questions, Mr. 11 11 the witness the email to refresh his memory? 12 Chairman. Mr. Galt, nice to meet you. Thank you 12 **CHAIR OGLE:** Yes, you may. Is this for your time. 13 13 something that's in the record, Mr. Strauch? **THE WITNESS:** Nice to meet you as well. 14 14 MR. STRAUCH: No, sir. I don't intend 15 **CHAIR OGLE:** Anything further with this 15 to put it in the record. Under Rule 612, I just witness, Mr. Coleman? 16 16 MR. COLEMAN: Just briefly, Mr. 17 intend to use it to refresh the witness's memory. 17 I don't have it in my folder. Can I have a Chairman. 18 18 second? May I approach? 19 19 **CHAIR OGLE:** Yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 20 21 Q. (BY MR. STRAUCH) Speaker Galt, I'm 21 **BY MR. COLEMAN:** handing you an email from Randy Cox, Sunday, April 22 Q. To confirm, why did you issue the 22 11th at 9:16 p.m., and among others, it's 23 subpoena then? 23 addressed to you. I do not wish you to read this 24 A. We assumed, with the way that the Court 24 out loud because it's not in evidence, but would Administrator had said that she'd already given us 25 Page 385 Page 387 you please review it privately, and then I may ask everything in her possession, that there was going if this helps you to recall. to be no more documents coming from her, and then 3 A. Yes. (Examines document) we were going after deleted emails at that point. 4 Q. Have you had a chance to review that 4 Q. From a different State agency? email? 5 A. From a different State agency. 5 6 A. I have. 6 MR. COLEMAN: That's all I have. Q. Does it refresh your recollection of CHAIR OGLE: Very well. Anything 7 having received it? further, Mr. Strauch? 8 9 A. Yes. 9 MR. STRAUCH: Not of this witness, Your 10 Q. And having read it at the time? Honor. 10 **CHAIR OGLE:** The witness can be excused 11 12 Q. Do you recall Mr. Cox explaining to you, 12 then. and President Blasdel, and Senator Regier, and Ms. 13 (Witness excused) Belke, that all he was asking to do was get the **CHAIR OGLE:** Call your next witness, Mr. 14 14 emails back so that his client, the Court 15 Coleman. 15 Administrator, could review them for confidential MR. COLEMAN: The Respondent will call 16 16 information, and also determine if they had any Greg Hertz. It may be just a second. I 17 17 legal obligations to notify people their understand he's downstairs sequestered. 18 18 confidential information was compromised? Do you Mr. Hertz, will you take that chair 19 19 20 remember him saying that? 20 right there. 21 A. Yes. 21 22 Q. Did you respond to Mr. Cox? GREGORY J. HERTZ. 22 23 A. I did not personally, but someone may 23 Having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 24

**25** Q. Do you know if anyone did?

25

**DIRECT EXAMINATION** 

Page 388

- 1 BY MR. COLEMAN:
- 2 Q. Please state your name and address for
- 3 the record, sir.
- 4 A. Gregory J. Hertz. I reside at 34956
- 5 Rocky Pine Road in Polson, Montana.
- 6 Q. What do you do for a living, Mr. Hertz?
- 7 A. I'm currently involved in the retail
- 8 grocery business. I operate six retail grocery
- 9 stores.
- 10 Q. Whereabouts?
- 11 A. In Polson, Ronan, Lolo, Thompson Falls,
- 12 Lakeside, Montana, and St. Mary's, Idaho.
- 13 Q. What's your involvement with the Montana
- **14** State Legislature?
- 15 A. So I first ran for the Legislature in
- 16 2012, and I served in the House for eight years.
- 17 I currently just finished up four years in the
- 18 Senate, and I'm currently running for re-election.
- 19 Q. What was your role in the Montana
- 20 Legislature in 2021?
- 21 A. In 2021, I sat on several committees,
- 22 and also in regard to the matter that we're
- 23 talking about here today, I chaired a Select
- 24 Committee on Judicial Transparency.
- 25 Q. Are you familiar with a dispute over the

- 1 particular bill had to do with how vacancies with
- 2 Judges are filled. And the Constitution is fairly
- 3 clear. The Montana Constitution sets out rights
- 4 for different branches of government or
- 5 individuals, and items in the Constitution at
- 6 times say, "as a manner provided by law."
- 7 So what that does is kind of basically
- 8 opens the door for the Legislative Branch to
- 9 suggest how these particular items might be
- enforced, and that's what we did with Senate Bill
- 11 140.
- 12 And what was particularly concerning to
- 13 myself and other legislators was we already had
- 14 Judges that seemed to be weighing that either they
- 15 thought the bill was unconstitutional -- which it
- 16 obviously was not. The ruling from the Montana
- 17 Supreme Court was six to one that it was not
- 18 unconstitutional -- but they were just weighing in
- 19 before this.
- And eventually, as this was heading to
- 21 Court, some of these Judges may very well be on a
- 22 panel or in a court that are going to be reviewing
- 23 this, and they've already weighed in, and --
- MR. COLEMAN: I'm going to interrupt
- 25 you. Mr. Strauch has --

Page 389 Page 391

- production and disclosure of emails in the spring
- **2** of 2021?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And in really general terms, what do you
- 5 recall about that?
- 6 A. Well, in general, we were looking for
- 7 some information in regard to emails that we had
- 8 heard that were out there in the public, and we
- 9 had asked, the Legislative Branch had asked to get
- 10 those emails, and they were not produced. And
- 11 then it kind of fell into issuing subpoenas and
- 12 trying to retrieve some of that information.
- 13 Q. Generally speaking -- we're not going to
- 14 get into the details of all of them -- but were
- those emails between members of the judiciary that
- 16 concerned pending legislation?
- 17 A. Yes, they were. We saw some of those
- 18 emails. It was quite disturbing that we saw the
- 19 judiciary weighing in on pending legislation that
- 20 they could possibly rule on in case this
- 21 legislation went to the Court.
- 22 Q. More specifically, do you remember what
- 23 types of bills were pending at the time?
- 24 A. So one of the bills that we were talking
- s about had to do with Senate Bill 140, and that

- 1 MR. STRAUCH: The objection is can we go
- 2 to question and answer, please?
- 3 Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) Did you see emails
- 4 that suggested some Judges believed this bill,
- 5 Senate Bill 140, was unconstitutional before it
- 6 even passed?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Was that concerning to you?
- 9 A. It was very concerning.
- 10 O. Why?
- 11 A. Because as I had stated, that if this
- were to end up in court, and we've already had
- 13 Judges that it looks like there's a perceived
- 14 bias, and they're violating their judicial rules
- of conduct and standards, that they're already
- 16 weighing in on issues. And nobody wants to see
- 17 that, whether it's in this case or any other case
- 18 when it goes before the Court.
- **MR. STRAUCH:** Mr. Chairman, I'd move to
- 20 strike the legal conclusion about whether or not
- 21 Judges are violating their rules of judicial
- 22 conduct. The Senator is not a lawyer. He was not
- 23 disclosed as an expert. There are all kinds of
- 24 reasons why he should not be permitted to state
- 25 that, but he was not disclosed as an expert, nor

Page 392

- would he be qualified as an expert on that issue. quite frankly I couldn't understand that reasoning
- I move to strike that answer. 2
- **CHAIR OGLE:** Objection sustained. 3
- 4 **MR. COLEMAN:** To be clear, we're not
- going to ask this witness his legal conclusion.
- Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) You don't have a law
- degree, do you?
- 8 A. No, I do not. I'm just a citizen
- legislator.
- 10 Q. Never practiced law?
- 11 A. I have not.
- Q. So let's talk, without the objectionable
- language here. Let's go back to my question which 13
- 14 was: What were your concerns about a sitting
- Judge making comments that pending legislation is 15
- unconstitutional before it's even passed? 16
- A. If we were to end up in a court case, in 17
- front of any pending Judge who has already 18
- presented a bias towards this bill, it's not a 19
- fair trial, and it just flies in the face of 20
- justice that you can make an opinion before you're 21
- even hearing a case. 22
- O. Separate and apart from Senate Bill 140,
- was there another House Bill 685 that was
- discussed at the time? 25

- as to how someone would say that.
- 3 O. How did you come to be appointed to be
- the Chair of this committee?
- A. It was the Senate President who
- appointed the committee asked me if I would be
- willing to serve on the select committee.
- 8 Q. And you told us a moment ago the name of
- the committee. I apologize. It sounded like --
- 10 A. It's the Select Committee on Judicial
- Transparency. I don't have the full name in front 11
- 12 of me.
- 13 O. Who else was on this committee?
- 14 A. There was another Senator, too, three
- Senators -- excuse me -- and three House members.
- 16 Q. Was the committee composed of members of
- both parties? 17
- 18 A. Yes, it was.
- 19 Q. How many total? Did you say six?
- 20 A. Six.
- **21** Q. What generally did the committee do?
- 22 A. What the committee had done is we looked
- 23 at -- we had concerns about a number of items.
- Number one had to do with public information, the 24
- public's right to know. And looking at emails, 25

Page 393

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And to be clear, Senate Bill 140 became
- law, House Bill 685 did not?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 O. What was House Bill 685?
- 6 A. House Bill 685 was a constitutional
- initiative that was amending the Montana
- Constitution, and that would be put on the ballot,
- 9 and it's up to voters to determine whether they
- want to amend the Constitution. 10
- 11 O. And the same question as with respect to
- Senate Bill 140. In your work with the 12
- Legislature, had you seen emails from sitting 13
- Judges in the state of Montana that said that 14
- House Bill 685 was likely unconstitutional before 15
- it had even been voted on? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- **18** Q. Was that concerning to you?
- 19 A. Very concerning.
- 20 Q. Did at the time you wonder how a
- constitutional amendment itself could be 21
- unconstitutional? 22
- 23 A. Yes. In fact, I recall one comment from
- one of the Judges was, "This is an
- 25 unconstitutional constitutional initiative," which

- and trying to get that information, we also had
- concerns about the Judicial Branch using their
- resources and employees to lobby, which is in
- direct violation of the statutes of Montana,
- and --5
- 6 MR. STRAUCH: Objection, move to strike,
- legal conclusion. 7
- **CHAIR OGLE:** Sustained. 8
- THE WITNESS: And we also looked at --9
- 10 O. (BY MR. COLEMAN) Let me -- and for
- expedience, let me ask my questions.
- 12 A. Perfect.
- 13 Q. And that gives Mr. Strauch an
- opportunity to interpose objections. In front of 14
- 15 you are a couple of different binders. One says
- "Respondent's Exhibits," those have letters; the 16
- other says, "ODC, Office of Disciplinary Counsel's 17
- Exhibits," those have numbers. Do you see those? 18
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. I'm going to look through just a handful
- of those, and we're going to put them on the 21
- screen, too, but I'm going to ask you to look at 22
- 23 the one in the binder. The first one I'm going to
- ask you take a look, please, at Exhibit C. That's 24
- going to be in the Respondent's exhibits. 25

in the Matter of Austin Khuusen	October 10, 2024
Page 396	Page 398
1 A. (Complies) All right. I believe I've	1 April 8th, 2021.
2 got Exhibit C open here.	2 A. Yes. I'm aware of that.
3 Q. Do you know who Ms. Abra Belke is?	3 Q. What do you remember about this?
4 A. Yes, I do.	4 A. So as I recall, the subpoena was issued,
5 Q. Who is she?	
6 A. She is the Senate Chief of Staff, works	6 the Legislature emails.
7 for the President.	7 Q. Do you recall that there was a Sunday
8 Q. In connection with these issues that	8 Court filing and an order that quashed the
<b>9</b> we've been discussing, was she responsible for	9 subpoena? Fancy word for saying it's not
10 trying to obtain these emails from the Court	10 enforceable.
11 Administrator?	11 A. Yes.
12 A. Yes.	<b>12</b> Q. What do you recall about that?
13 Q. And did you understand that she had	13 A. So just as a lay person, I thought it
14 submitted some sort of a FOIA request to the	14 was highly unusual that the Court would meet on a
15 Administrator for that purpose?	15 Saturday, and then make a ruling on Sunday
16 A. Yes.	16 afternoon.
17 Q. Do you remember what the results of that	17 Q. Do you have any experience with court
18 FOIA request were?	18 matters?
19 A. I believe we only received maybe one or	19 A. A little bit. I've been involved in
20 two items, but not the bulk of the material that	20 several court matters, and generally in my
	21 experience, things are basically done Monday
	22 through Friday. Making motions, and hearing
you, starting with the second page. You probably	23 rulings rarely, if ever, is it done to my
have to look at the very bottom of the first page	24 knowledge on a weekend.
25 that started it. Is that an email from Ms. Belke	25 Q. Your involvement would be as a litigant,
Page 397	Page 399
1 to Ms. McLaughlin requesting information?	1 I presume?
2 A. Yes, it is.	2 A. Yes.
3 Q. And do you see Ms. McLaughlin's response	3 Q. Perhaps a trip and fall in the grocery
4 at the top of Page 1 of Exhibit C where she	4 store?
5 writes, "Attached is two items I can identify in	5 A. Correct.
1 1 1 0 00 14000	6 Q. And never in those instances, though,
6 my records related to SB 140"? 7 A. Yes.	_
	7 did you get called to show up and testify on
8 Q. Are these the two items that you were	8 Sunday, did you?
9 referencing?	9 A. Never.
10 A. Yes.	10 Q. What was the Legislature's position once
11 Q. Take a look, please, at the next	
-	that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April
12 exhibit, Exhibit D.	<ul><li>that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April</li><li>2021?</li></ul>
<ul><li>12 exhibit, Exhibit D.</li><li>13 A. (Complies)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April</li> <li>2021?</li> <li>A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>12 exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>13 A. (Complies)</li> <li>14 Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April</li> <li>2021?</li> <li>A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and</li> <li>our public's right to know, and the separation of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>12 exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>13 A. (Complies)</li> <li>14 Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>15 noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April</li> <li>2021?</li> <li>A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and</li> <li>our public's right to know, and the separation of</li> <li>branches of government, and the fact that we have</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>12 exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>13 A. (Complies)</li> <li>14 Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April</li> <li>2021?</li> <li>A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and</li> <li>our public's right to know, and the separation of</li> <li>branches of government, and the fact that we have</li> <li>the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>12 exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>13 A. (Complies)</li> <li>14 Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>15 noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April</li> <li>2021?</li> <li>A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and</li> <li>our public's right to know, and the separation of</li> <li>branches of government, and the fact that we have</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>A. (Complies)</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> <li>this issue had been deleted?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April</li> <li>2021?</li> <li>A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and</li> <li>our public's right to know, and the separation of</li> <li>branches of government, and the fact that we have</li> <li>the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>12 exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>13 A. (Complies)</li> <li>14 Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>15 noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> <li>16 this issue had been deleted?</li> <li>17 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April</li> <li>2021?</li> <li>A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and</li> <li>our public's right to know, and the separation of</li> <li>branches of government, and the fact that we have</li> <li>the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our</li> <li>investigation, I was quite surprised that those</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>12 exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>13 A. (Complies)</li> <li>14 Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>15 noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> <li>16 this issue had been deleted?</li> <li>17 A. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. Did that concern you?</li> <li>19 A. Yes, it did, considering that I'm fully</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April</li> <li>2021?</li> <li>A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and</li> <li>our public's right to know, and the separation of</li> <li>branches of government, and the fact that we have</li> <li>the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our</li> <li>investigation, I was quite surprised that those</li> <li>subpoenas were quashed and ignored.</li> <li>Q. Did the Legislature intend to just give</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>12 exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>13 A. (Complies)</li> <li>14 Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>15 noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> <li>16 this issue had been deleted?</li> <li>17 A. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. Did that concern you?</li> <li>19 A. Yes, it did, considering that I'm fully</li> </ul>	that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April 2021?  A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and our public's right to know, and the separation of branches of government, and the fact that we have the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our investigation, I was quite surprised that those subpoenas were quashed and ignored.  Q. Did the Legislature intend to just give up at that point?
<ul> <li>exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>A. (Complies)</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> <li>this issue had been deleted?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did that concern you?</li> <li>A. Yes, it did, considering that I'm fully</li> <li>aware of the email and public policy retention of</li> <li>records.</li> </ul>	that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April 2021?  A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and our public's right to know, and the separation of branches of government, and the fact that we have the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our investigation, I was quite surprised that those subpoenas were quashed and ignored.  Q. Did the Legislature intend to just give up at that point?  A. No, we did not.
<ul> <li>exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>A. (Complies)</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> <li>this issue had been deleted?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did that concern you?</li> <li>A. Yes, it did, considering that I'm fully</li> <li>aware of the email and public policy retention of</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that the</li> </ul>	that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April 2021?  A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and our public's right to know, and the separation of branches of government, and the fact that we have the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our investigation, I was quite surprised that those subpoenas were quashed and ignored.  Q. Did the Legislature intend to just give up at that point?  A. No, we did not.
<ul> <li>exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>A. (Complies)</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> <li>this issue had been deleted?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did that concern you?</li> <li>A. Yes, it did, considering that I'm fully</li> <li>aware of the email and public policy retention of</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that the</li> <li>Legislature then issued a legislative subpoena to</li> </ul>	that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April 2021?  A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and our public's right to know, and the separation of branches of government, and the fact that we have the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our investigation, I was quite surprised that those subpoenas were quashed and ignored.  Q. Did the Legislature intend to just give up at that point?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Is that one of the reasons your committee was created?
<ul> <li>exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>A. (Complies)</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> <li>this issue had been deleted?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did that concern you?</li> <li>A. Yes, it did, considering that I'm fully</li> <li>aware of the email and public policy retention of</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that the</li> <li>Legislature then issued a legislative subpoena to</li> <li>the Department of Administration around this time?</li> </ul>	that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April 2021?  A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and our public's right to know, and the separation of branches of government, and the fact that we have the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our investigation, I was quite surprised that those subpoenas were quashed and ignored.  Q. Did the Legislature intend to just give up at that point?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Is that one of the reasons your committee was created?
<ul> <li>exhibit, Exhibit D.</li> <li>A. (Complies)</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that Ms. McLaughlin had</li> <li>noted that some of the emails that pertained to</li> <li>this issue had been deleted?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did that concern you?</li> <li>A. Yes, it did, considering that I'm fully</li> <li>aware of the email and public policy retention of</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. And do you understand that the</li> <li>Legislature then issued a legislative subpoena to</li> </ul>	that subpoena was quashed on the 11th of April 2021?  A. So knowing our Montana Constitution and our public's right to know, and the separation of branches of government, and the fact that we have the ability to issue subpoenas in doing our investigation, I was quite surprised that those subpoenas were quashed and ignored.  Q. Did the Legislature intend to just give up at that point?  A. No, we did not.  Q. Is that one of the reasons your committee was created?

Page 400

- 1 MR. STRAUCH: Objection, Mr. Chairman.
- 2 Can we get question and answer, please? Counsel
- 3 is leading.
- 4 **CHAIR OGLE:** Please stick to question
- 5 and answer.
- 6 MR. COLEMAN: I will. I'm just trying
- 7 to -- I apologize. I'm just trying to speed
- 8 things up here.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) Going back then to
- 10 the following, what happens following the Sunday,
- 11 April 11th, 2021 order quashing the subpoena.
- 12 With respect to that subpoena, had you ever been
- involved previously with a legislative subpoena?
- 14 A. No, I have not.
- 15 Q. Again, how long have you been in the
- 16 Legislature?
- 17 A. Since 2013.
- 18 Q. Had you ever heard of one?
- 19 A. Occasionally. They're rarely used.
- 20 Q. Had you ever seen a subpoena be required
- 21 from the Legislature, not in a court case, to
- 22 obtain documents that maybe a committee of the
- 23 Legislature was investigating?
- 24 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 25 Q. Have you ever had to subpoena a witness

- 1 around the 15th of April.
- 2 Q. And did the committee request or
- 3 subpoena folks to appear in front of it?
- 4 A. Yes, it did.
- 5 Q. Who did it ask to appear in front of it?
- 6 A. We asked personal appearance from Court
- 7 Administrator Beth McLaughlin.
- 8 Q. When you say you asked, did you issue a
- 9 subpoena for her appearance?
- 10 A. I believe we did.
- 11 Q. Did she appear?
- 12 A. She did not.
- 13 Q. Did you ask the Supreme Court Justices
- of the Montana Supreme Court to appear?
- 15 A. We did not ask them to appear. We asked
- 16 them for information.
- 17 Q. Electronic information about their
- 18 emails?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did they in fact appear at a committee
- 21 hearing?
- 22 A. Yes, they did.
- 23 Q. Describe that hearing for the Panel.
- 24 A. So that hearing was very interesting.
- 25 As a legislator, Chair of a committee, it was

Page 401

- 1 to show up at a committee hearing of the
- **2** Legislature?
- 3 A. No, I have not.
- 4 Q. Why not?
- 5 A. Most of the time we reach out to
- 6 individuals, and ask them for information, and
- 7 they show up to the committee.
- 8 Q. Were you involved in preparing that
- 9 original, what I'm calling the original subpoena,
- the one that was quashed?
- 11 A. No, I was not.
- 12 Q. Were you involved in subsequent
- 13 subpoenas?
- 14 A. No, I was not.
- 15 Q. Did you understand that subsequent
- 16 subpoenas were issued?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How did you come to learn that?
- 19 A. So as our select committee was
- 20 established, and we were reviewing documents,
- 21 those documents were provided to us, and so we
- 22 became aware of them within our select committee.
- 23 Q. Do you remember when the select
- 24 committee was formed?
- 25 A. It was in the middle of April, sometime

- 1 probably a historical event when all seven Supreme
- 2 Court Justices were appearing before this
- 3 committee. And the Chief Justice did agree to
- 4 answer questions.
- 5 As we went through the different
- 6 Justices, I believe it was Justice Rice who -- in
- 7 regard to the subpoena, he went to a District
- 8 Court to look at that subpoena, and get a ruling
- 9 on that.
- 10 I believe Justice Sandefur, he provided
- 11 some documentation, some information that we had
- 12 requested, and talked about his deleting emails.
- But the remaining Justices did not
- 14 provide any information that we had requested.
- **15** Q. Did the remaining Justices answer
- 16 questions from the committee?
- 17 A. They did.
- 18 Q. And in particular, at that time when the
- 19 committee was doing its work with respect to the
- 20 emails or otherwise, what were the committee's
- 21 concerns? I can narrow that if that's too broad.
- 22 A. So the committee's concerns were
- obviously not being able to access public records.
- 24 Our concerns, too, were that there was obviously
- 25 lobbying going on with the Montana Judges

Page 407

Page 404

- 1 Association and the Bar Association using State
- 2 resources and State employees. And then we were
- 3 also concerned about potential violations of
- 4 Montana Judicial Standards.
- **5** Q. Do you remember the three categories of
- 6 information that were sought by the subsequent
- 7 subpoenas of Ms. McLaughlin and to the members of
- 8 the Montana Supreme Court?
- 9 A. I don't. I think they're in one of our
- 10 documents, our FOIA report, but they were pretty
- 11 specific as to information about Senate Bill 140,
- 12 about polling, and about lobbying efforts done
- 13 with State resources.
- 14 Q. How many reports did this committee
- 15 produce?
- 16 A. We produced two reports.
- 17 Q. You referenced something called an
- 18 interim report?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you know when that came out?
- 21 A. I believe that came out sometime around
- 22 the first part of June of 2021.
- 23 Q. And you said a moment ago that those
- 24 topics were outlined -- of those subpoenas were
- outlined in that initial or preliminary report?

- 1 Select Committee on Judicial Accountability and
- 2 Transparency. It's our initial report to the 67th
- 3 Montana Legislature.
- **4** Q. Who participated in the hearing?
- 5 A. This report was the members of that
- 6 select committee.
- **7** Q. How was the committee created?
- 8 A. It was appointed by then Speaker of the
- 9 House Galt, and Senate President Blasdel.
- 10 Q. What sort of duties did this committee
- 11 have?
- 12 A. To look at the concerns that we had in
- 13 regard to what had transpired with Senate Bill
- 14 140, House Bill 685, and other information that
- was out in the public sphere in regards to emails.
- 16 Q. Did this committee operate at the behest
- 17 of the Legislature?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Was this report prepared in the ordinary
- 20 course of the committee's work?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Is this a public record made available
- to anyone who wants to go see it?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Was the committee tasked with creating

- 1 A. Yes, very clearly.
- 2 Q. Would it refresh your recollection on
- 3 this issue as to those topics if we were to take a
- 4 look at that report?
- 5 A. Yes, it would.
- 6 Q. I'll ask you to take a look, please, at
- 7 what's Exhibit V in front of you.
- 8 A. (Examines document) Did you say "V" as
- 9 in victory?
- 10 Q. "V" as in victory.
- 11 A. (Complies) All right. I have it open.
- MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, this is not
- in evidence, and we object to its admission, so I
- would ask that its contents not be read out loud,
- 15 please.
- MR. COLEMAN: We do intend to move its
- 17 admission, and I was going to wait a little bit
- 18 longer, Your Honor, but I'll lay the foundation
- 19 and move its admission right now.
- MR. STRAUCH: I'll wait for the
- 21 foundation, and then I have an objection.
- **CHAIR OGLE:** All right.
- 23 Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) What is Exhibit V in
- 24 front of you?
- 25 A. It is a report from the Special Joint

- 1 this report?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 MR. COLEMAN: Your Honor, I'll move the
- 4 admission of this exhibit.
- 5 **CHAIR OGLE:** Objection, Mr. Strauch?
- 6 MR. STRAUCH: Yes, Your Honor, a couple
- 7 of objections. First of all, this is hearsay. It
- 8 does not fall within the public records exception,
- 9 Rule 803(8). That's limited as to investigative
- 10 reports like this. That's limited to
- 11 investigative reports pursuant to, quote,
- "pursuant to duty imposed by law, and as to which
- 13 there was a duty to report, or factual findings
- 14 resulting from an investigation made pursuant to
- 15 authority granted by law."
- Your Honor, as I indicated earlier, and
- 17 you sustained my objection, in the McLaughlin case
- 18 the Supreme Court already ruled that the
- **19** Legislature did not have -- did not have --
- 20 authority to investigate or make findings
- 21 regarding alleged Judicial or Court Administrator
- 22 misconduct, and I'll again cite for the record
- McLaughlin 2021 Montana 178 Paragraphs 23 to 31.
- Well, let me back up. The Legislature
- 25 in McLaughlin asserted its authority to prepare,

III U	le Watter of Austin Khuusen	1	October 10, 2024
	Page 408		Page 410
1	to do this investigation and to prepare findings,	1	it is, among other things, a waste of time, and
2	and the Supreme Court rejected that. In that	2	this is. It's been litigated and decided.
3	litigation the Legislature submitted three	3	Rule 702. The Senator is not a lawyer.
4	purposes for its position that it had authority.	4	He is not qualified to render an opinion as to
	No. 1, it asserted that it needed these		judicial conduct. He is not an attorney, and he's
5	records in order to determine whether there was an	5	· ·
6		6	not a Judge. He has no experience or
7	improper deletion of emails, and the McLaughlin	7	qualifications in that, and that I already cited,
8	Court Paragraphs 23 to 31 specifically ruled on	8	the fact that these opinions, if he gives them
9	that, and rejected that. It said it did not. I	9	even orally, would be an improper collateral
10	quoted that language earlier.	10	attack.
11	No. 2, the Legislature said that it	11	And last but not least, and probably
12	needed this information to see if there had been	12	most importantly, there was no disclosure of this
13	improper use of State resources to lobby. The	13	gentleman or any other as an expert on this issue.
14	Senator again just repeated that. The Supreme	14	This Commission ruled that those expert
15	Court decided it did not. The Legislature did not	15	disclosures would have been due ten days, ten
16	have authority or a duty under law to do that.	16	business days prior to this hearing. This
17	And that's McLaughlin Pages 33 Paragraphs 33 to	17	gentleman was not identified as an expert. He
18	37.	18	should not be allowed to render opinions that he's
19	The Senator referenced and again,	19	not qualified to give, and that the Montana
20	it's clear that this was an issue litigated in	20	Supreme Court has already decided.
21	McLaughlin that it was concerned about improper	21	MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, if I may
22	prejudging by Judges, and again, the Supreme Court	22	address those in the reverse order in which they
23	said no, the Legislature does not have the	23	were presented.
24	authority to investigate that. That's a matter	24	First off, with respect to the 702
25	for the Judicial Standards Commission, which is a	25	objection, that's not raised in objections to our
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 409		Page 411
	Page 409		Page 411
1	constitutionally mandated body. And so the	1	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's
2	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.	2	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.
2	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that. This report is not admissible in	2	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper. We're absolutely not offering the Senator,
2	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report	2	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper. We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert
2	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law,	2	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the
2 3 4	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the	2 3 4	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper. We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time
2 3 4 5	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we	2 3 4 5	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper. We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.
2 3 4 5 6	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to	2 3 4 5 6	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper. We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or
2 3 4 5 6 7	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's	2 3 4 5 6 7	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually. That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually.  That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually. That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually.  That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those opinions, I would say there are four reasons to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually.  That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the Panel can take or leave.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those opinions, I would say there are four reasons to reject that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually.  That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the Panel can take or leave.  Secondly, let's talk about hearsay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those opinions, I would say there are four reasons to reject that.  One is relevance for the reason I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually. That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the Panel can take or leave.  Secondly, let's talk about hearsay. First off, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those opinions, I would say there are four reasons to reject that.  One is relevance for the reason I just said. This case involves Respondent's conduct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually.  That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the Panel can take or leave.  Secondly, let's talk about hearsay.  We've got two responses to hearsay. First off, it absolutely fits the definition of an exception
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those opinions, I would say there are four reasons to reject that.  One is relevance for the reason I just said. This case involves Respondent's conduct, not the Judiciary's, which is solely the province of the Judicial Standards Committee, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually.  That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the Panel can take or leave.  Secondly, let's talk about hearsay.  We've got two responses to hearsay. First off, it absolutely fits the definition of an exception under Rule 803(8), Public Records and Reports, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those opinions, I would say there are four reasons to reject that.  One is relevance for the reason I just said. This case involves Respondent's conduct, not the Judiciary's, which is solely the province of the Judicial Standards Committee, a constitutional authority. So the evidence has no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually. That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the Panel can take or leave.  Secondly, let's talk about hearsay.  We've got two responses to hearsay. First off, it absolutely fits the definition of an exception under Rule 803(8), Public Records and Reports, and to read the exact language of the rule, "To the extent not otherwise provided in this paragraph,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those opinions, I would say there are four reasons to reject that.  One is relevance for the reason I just said. This case involves Respondent's conduct, not the Judiciary's, which is solely the province of the Judicial Standards Committee, a constitutional authority. So the evidence has no tendency to make the existence of any fact that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually. That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the Panel can take or leave.  Secondly, let's talk about hearsay.  We've got two responses to hearsay. First off, it absolutely fits the definition of an exception under Rule 803(8), Public Records and Reports, and to read the exact language of the rule, "To the extent not otherwise provided in this paragraph, records, reports, statements, or data compilations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those opinions, I would say there are four reasons to reject that.  One is relevance for the reason I just said. This case involves Respondent's conduct, not the Judiciary's, which is solely the province of the Judicial Standards Committee, a constitutional authority. So the evidence has no tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually.  That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the Panel can take or leave.  Secondly, let's talk about hearsay.  We've got two responses to hearsay. First off, it absolutely fits the definition of an exception under Rule 803(8), Public Records and Reports, and to read the exact language of the rule, "To the extent not otherwise provided in this paragraph, records, reports, statements, or data compilations in any form of a public office or agency setting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	constitutionally mandated body. And so the Supreme Court rejected that.  This report is not admissible in evidence because it is hearsay. It is a report that was not pursuant to a duty imposed by law, and not made to authority granted by law, and the Supreme Court has already said so. So what we have is a report that is hearsay purporting to directly attack the Montana Supreme Court's opinion.  Secondly, to the extent that this Senator is being asked to render opinions as a participant in that committee, even if we were not to admit the report, but to be asked to give those opinions, I would say there are four reasons to reject that.  One is relevance for the reason I just said. This case involves Respondent's conduct, not the Judiciary's, which is solely the province of the Judicial Standards Committee, a constitutional authority. So the evidence has no tendency to make the existence of any fact that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	exhibits. I understand Mr. Strauch will say he's not giving expert testimony, that's improper.  We're absolutely not offering the Senator, Representative from Polson rather, as an expert witness. He's not. He's here to talk to the panel about what it was he was doing at the time factually.  The report can be considered or rejected, if it's admitted into evidence by this Panel, for whatever parts that the Panel wants to consider, or not at all. Largely it includes a timeline of what the committee did factually. That's not a finding on any judicial misconduct. It's objective statements that the Court, the Panel can take or leave.  Secondly, let's talk about hearsay.  We've got two responses to hearsay. First off, it absolutely fits the definition of an exception under Rule 803(8), Public Records and Reports, and to read the exact language of the rule, "To the extent not otherwise provided in this paragraph, records, reports, statements, or data compilations

Page 412 Page 414 to duty imposed by law, and as to which there was to what Mr. Knudsen put in his brief, and a duty to report." 2 certainly as to his subjective intent as to 2

That is an exception. This is clearly a 3

- 4 committee established by law, by the Legislature
- itself, for the purpose of doing this. It was 5
- supposed to come up with a report, it did come up 6
- with a report. That's the one that we've got in 7
- front of us here, at least on this current issue. 8
- 9 There are exceptions to what I just
- said. None of them apply. The exceptions are law 10
- enforcement reports, they're clearly not that; 11
- 12 investigative reports prepared for a government
- agency when offered by it in a case in which it is 13
- a party -- that's the part you didn't hear. 14
- The Legislature and this subcommittee, 15
- or this committee rather, is not a party to this 16
- matter. It's defined in this case. Fact findings 17
- by a government agency in criminal matters -- not 18
- at issue; and special investigations of a 19
- particular complaint, case, or incident -- not an 20
- issue; and any other -- there's a catch-all. 21
- This fits the very -- This is exactly a 22
- public report. That was why the committee was 23
- formed, to create a report, and figure out where 24
- it went. That's No. 1. 25

- whether it was false or incorrect. 3
- 4 **CHAIR OGLE:** Number one. Mr. Hertz's
- mindset as to what the Legislature's thoughts were
- is in the record already, and I can't really see 6
- 7 any relevance of this particular report to any of
- the issues before this panel and this proceeding, 8
- 9 so the objection is sustained.
- (Whereupon, Respondent's Exhibit V 10
- 11 was refused)
- MR. COLEMAN: While we're at it, Mr. 12
- Chairman, we'll move the admission also of Exhibit 13
- K, the final report subject to I assume the same 14
- 15 objections with Mr. Strauch, and I would have the
- same response to that. 16
- MR. STRAUCH: Same objection. 17
  - CHAIR OGLE: Objection sustained.
- (Whereupon, Respondent's Exhibit K 19
- 20 was refused)
- 21 Q. (BY MR. COLEMAN) Was one of the topics
- investigated by your committee the question of 22
- 23 whether or not Judges had shown bias?
- 24 A. Yes.

18

25 Q. Was one of the topics of your committee

Page 413 Page 415

- No. 2, we don't even need to get there, 1
- because this is not offered for the truth. You 2
- can take or leave the veracity of the findings 3
- that the committee makes, even on an interim 4
- basis, because what we're offering it for is what 5
- 6 the mindset at the time.
- We're offering it for that very purpose, 7
- and that is -- and I'll tie it right into the 8
- 9 relevance objection -- absolutely relevant for the
- same reasons that we discussed with respect to the 10
- previous witness. It's relevant because Mr. 11
- Knudsen, among the many charges, is accused of 12
- making false statements. He's accused of making 13
- statements that have no -- that were made in 14
- reckless disregard of the facts. 15
- This was not decided in McLaughlin, 16
- because McLaughlin didn't even occur until two 17
- months after this report came out. We're looking 18
- at Mr. Knudsen's conduct as reported to him by his 19
- 20 client. The client did that through many things
- that it was investigating, one of which was this 21
- particular interim report in May of 2021, months 22
- 23 before the McLaughlin decision.
- Their state of mind, their belief as to 24
- what the emails reflected is absolutely relevant 25

- the question of whether members of the Judiciary
- had used State time and resources to lobby?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. Was the committee concerned about the
- Montana Supreme Court Judges, Justices, ruling on
- subpoenas to their own selves or employees?
- A. Yes. 7
- 8 Q. Why?
- 9 A. I think citizens general understanding
- of the Judiciary should be fair and unbiased to 10
- everybody, and when one of the main issues is to 11
- rule in their own case, and it's very disturbing 12
- to myself and others, members of the public, that 13
- when you look at something like this, what was 14
- done, and they ruled on their very own subpoenas. 15
- It would be very similar to a Judge who 16
- had a case in front of him for a company that he 17
- owned, and the case was in a matter of one of his 18
- employees, and he was ruling on that case. 19
- 20 There were other alternatives that we
- had concluded in our investigation that these 21
- Judges could have looked to outside Courts to rule 22
- 23 on these, as Justice Rice did. Yet they held a
- meeting on a Sunday, and ruled directly on 25 subpoenas that were directed at them personally.

	ore the Commission on Practice he Matter of Austin Knudsen		Transcript of Proceedings - Day 2 October 10, 2024
	Page 416		Page 418
1	MR. COLEMAN: Nothing further, Mr.	1	sustained. That document is a marked exhibit in
2	Chairman.	2	this case, although it hadn't been admitted.
3	CHAIR OGLE: Cross-examination.	3	There's no necessity. This is not a proper
4	MR. STRAUCH: No, thank you, Mr.	4	This is an affidavit. It's hearsay.
5	Chairman.	5	In addition to that, there's already, to
6	<b>CHAIR OGLE:</b> Very well. This witness is	6	the extent that there needs to be a preservation
7	excused then. Thank you, Mr. Hertz.	7	of this issue, they filed a motion in limine. The
8	(Witness excused)	8	report was in front of this Commission, and the
9	CHAIR OGLE: You can call your next	9	Commission decided it. There's plenty of case law
10	witness, Mr. Coleman.	10	that says nothing further is needed to be done on
11	MR. COLEMAN: Mr. Chairman, a couple of	11	that issue to preserve it for appeal. So yes, I
12	things. We have no more live witnesses. A couple	12	object. This is an affidavit. It's just a
13	of matters, though.	13	summary of a report.
14	We would like to introduce, present to	14	CHAIR OGLE: That issue has been
15	the Court as evidence I don't think it's	15	determined by the order on the motion in limine,
16	labeled as an exhibit the ODC's discovery	16	Mr. Coleman, so that's not going to be allowed in.
17	responses in this matter.	17	MR. COLEMAN: Absolutely preserved then.
18	MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, ODC's	18	We understand.
19	conduct in this case is not an issue in this case.	19	<b>CHAIR OGLE:</b> It's preserved with the
20	It wasn't listed as an exhibit. I don't know what	20	motion and your
21	the relevance would be. If Counsel can direct my	21	<b>MR.</b> COLEMAN: One moment, if I may.
22	attention to some response that would be probative	22	Just one matter, Mr. Chairman.
23	as to an issue here that's at issue in this	23	We did list on I'm going to reargue
24	litigation, I would reconsider, but I'm not aware	24	something you just told me no on the request
25	of any.	25	for admission being admitted. One admission is

Page 417 Page 419

MR. COLEMAN: We're certainly not saying 1 ODC's conduct is at issue here, Your Honor. We 2 have a series of Requests for Admission in there 3 that we think narrow the scope of the claims 4 against the Attorney General. All but one of 5 6 those were admitted, to my recollection. **CHAIR OGLE:** Are those on the witness 7 list? 8 9 MR. COLEMAN: Not on the witness list. It's not a witness. It is just discovery 10 11 responses.

**CHAIR OGLE:** Is it on the exhibit list? 12

MR. COLEMAN: It's not listed as an 13

exhibit, because it's -- well, I don't know that. 14

**CHAIR OGLE:** Objection sustained then.

15

If it's not on the list, we're not going to allow 16

17 it.

18

MR. COLEMAN: We would also like the

Panel's indulgence to accept an offer of proof. 19

We have it in writing from our expert witness who 20

was excluded from testifying. We'd like to just 21

be able to file that. 22

MR. STRAUCH: Your Honor, I don't even 23

know what it says. I haven't seen it. But the 24

objection to the expert report itself was 25

whether there are other people that have filed

complaints, disciplinary complaints against Mr.

Knudsen over this issue. 3

Mr. Cox testified on the stand he was

not allowed to give that information, or whether 5

6 he has. There are requests, Responses for

7 Requests for Admission that directly address that

8 issue.

15

9 MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, Counsel may

have forgotten, but I objected to that based on 10

relevance. Whether or not other people filed a 11

grievance is not relevant or probative as to any 12

issue in this case. A grievance was filed, and 13

that's all that is needed. 14

And in fact, under the Rules for Lawyer

Disciplinary Enforcement, I believe it's Rule 9 --16

I don't know off the top of my head because I 17

haven't done this stuff in awhile. Five I'm told 18

-- that ODC doesn't need a complaint at all. 19

20 The authority under the rules is that if

something comes to its attention, publicly or 21

22 otherwise, it has an obligation to investigate.

23 Relevance.

**CHAIR OGLE:** The objection is sustained. 24

MR. COLEMAN: We have nothing further 25

ın tı	ne Matter of Austin Knudsen		October 10, 2024
	Page 420		Page 422
1	for the Panel. We conclude our case, rest.	1	ODC filed a detailed Complaint in this
2	CHAIR OGLE: All right. Respondent	2	matter against the Attorney General alleging 41
3	rests. So there's no more witnesses?	3	areas of misconduct. The Attorney General has
4	MR. STRAUCH: No rebuttal.	4	repeatedly called this complaint unprecedented. I
5	CHAIR OGLE: All right. Before we take	5	agree. It is unprecedented because this conduct
6	a break here for lunch, I would like to rule on	6	is unprecedented.
7	the motion for post-trial briefing.	7	In the course of this hearing, we went
8	We do understand the Panel has	8	through some, but not all, of the statements made
9	considered the motion. We do understand and do	9	by the AG's office in court filings comprising the
10	intend to make findings of fact and conclusions of	10	41 counts. The rest of statements are laid out in
11	law. We will do that, and hope to do that as	11	each count of the Complaint, and highlighted in
12	expeditiously as possible.	12	the corresponding court records admitted as
13	We don't believe there is a right to	13	exhibits in this hearing, and I invite the
14	submit post-trial briefs in this matter, or	14	Commission to review those exhibits in rendering
15	post-trial findings and conclusions, and so we are	15	its decision.
16	going to deny that motion. There's a great deal	16	To be clear, not only is there clear and
17	of information in the record. Pleadings,	17	convincing evidence that the Attorney General or
18	exhibits, testimony, all of that is going to be	18	his subordinates made the statements comprising
19	considered, and we are going to render findings of	19	the 41 counts, but it is undisputed that is the
20	fact and conclusions of law as expeditiously as we	20	case. They are right there in the court records
21	can, and I don't believe any additional it	21	admitted as exhibits in this hearing. It doesn't
22	would delay the process in order to wait for a	22	get any clearer than that. That the conduct
23	transcript and then wait for post-trial findings,	23	occurred is an irrefutable fact. The only issue
24	and conclusions, and we're going to move forward	24	is, I would submit, whether it violates the rules
25	without the need for that. So that motion is	25	that the ODC has charged.
	Page 421		Page 423
1	denied.	1	And briefly again, the rules at issue
2	MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, I know we're	2	are 3.4 Charlie, knowing disobedience of an
3	going to break for lunch. I assume after lunch	3	obligation under his sworn duties pursuant to his
4	we'll do closings.	4	oath for admission to the Bar that oath is
5	<b>CHAIR OGLE:</b> Correct. We will take a	5	Exhibit 40; and disobedience of the Montana
6	break. Why we don't reconvene here at 2:00, and	6	Supreme Court's July 14, 2021 order that is
7	both sides will have an opportunity for closing	7	Exhibit 24.
8	arguments, and then we will probably begin	8	No. 2, the Rule 5.1(c), responsibility
9	deliberating shortly after the conclusion of the	9	for subordinates lawyers misconduct, which he
10	closing arguments. We'll see you back here at	10	admits, and the evidence proved, clear and
11	2:00.	11	convincing evidence proved he ratified or ignored.
12	(Lunch recess taken)	12	No. 3, 8.2 Alpha, reckless statements
13	CHAIR OGLE: Okay. We're back from our	13	concerning the qualifications of the integrity of
14	lunch break Back on the record in the matter of	14	the Judge or Judges particularly here the

lunch break. Back on the record in the matter of 14

Austin Miles Knudsen, Supreme Court No.

15

PR-23-0496, ODC File No. 21-094. Is the ODC 16

prepared to proceed? 17

MR. STRAUCH: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 18

**CHAIR OGLE:** Respondent, are you ready 19

20 to proceed?

22

MR. CORRIGAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 21

CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Strauch, why don't you

23 go ahead with your closing argument.

MR. STRAUCH: Mr. Chairman, members of 24

the Commission, esteemed Counsel. 25

the Judge or Judges, particularly here the

Justices of the Montana Supreme Court. 15

Rule 8.4(d), Delta, conduct prejudicial 16

to the administration of justice. 17

And lastly 8.4(a), which as the 18

Commission knows, there is a separate and 19

independent violation of Rule 8.4(a) for any 20

violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, 21

and that is a stand alone, separately charged, and 22

23 separately disciplinable charge.

Since he appeared with his comments 24

25 about his personal involvement, quote unquote -- I

	ic Matter of Austin Khuusen	1	October 10, 2024
	Page 424		Page 426
-	believe that's the term he used since he	١,	issue is whether the supervising etterney violeted
1		1	issue is whether the supervising attorney violated
2	appeared from making that comment to be trying to	2	5.1 by failing to satisfy the ethical
3	distance himself from the statements that his	3	responsibilities of a partner or supervisory
4	subordinates made, I'd like to start with Mr.	4	lawyer in relation to the supervised attorney's
5	Attorney General's responsibility for the conduct	5	misconduct.
6	of his subordinates.	6	And there are several cases on this
7	Rule 5.1 Charlie provides that a lawyer	7	point. In fact, the model rule comment to 5.1
8	within a firm shall be responsible for another	8	lists many of them. But by way of example, In Re:
9	lawyer in the firm's violation of the rules if	9	Anonymous Member of the South Carolina Bar, 552
10	and these are two things, but they're or's,	10	S.E.2d 10; In Re: Phillips, an Arizona case, 244
11	they're disjunctive if the lawyer orders	11	P.3d 549; the same ABA publication that I gave
12	which there's no evidence of here or with		you, and as I said the comments to the rules.
		12	
13	knowledge of the specific conduct which there	13	Thus unlike vicarious liability under
14	is evidence here. He admitted he had knowledge of	14	5.1, it is not necessary to prove that the
15	it ratifies or ignores the conduct involved.	15	Attorney General participated or was personally
16	And here I believe he did both, and the evidence	16	involved in the acts and omissions of his
17	proved.	17	subordinates. That is not an element.
18	No. 2, another way a lawyer, a	18	There is clear and convincing evidence
19	supervisory lawyer violates Rule 5.1 is if he has	19	that the Attorney General ratified the conduct of
20	managerial authority and/or direct supervisory	20	his subordinates and his responsibility under 5.1
21	authority over the other lawyer, and knows of the	21	in his letter to the Court Exhibit 19, and his
22	conduct at a time when its consequences can be	22	response to ODC Exhibit 39, and his testimony
23	avoided or mitigated, but fails to take reasonable	23	yesterday.
24	remedial action. And I would submit the evidence	24	But even if this Commission were to
25	proves violations of both one and two, but as I	25	determine that those actions do not constitute
	1		
	Page 425		Page 427
	Page 425		Page 427
1	Page 425 said, they're disjunctive.	1	Page 427 ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless
1 2		1 2	
	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law		ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless
2	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in	2	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but
2	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the	2 3 4	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial
2 3 4 5	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the	2 3 4 5	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.
2 3 4 5 6	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the	2 3 4 5 6	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584
2 3 4 5 6 7	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional	2 3 4 5 6 7	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that the test here is one of vicarious liability, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper conduct. A true managerial lawyer sets ethical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that the test here is one of vicarious liability, which the lawyers on this committee would understand,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper conduct. A true managerial lawyer sets ethical rule-following example to his subordinates, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that the test here is one of vicarious liability, which the lawyers on this committee would understand, but the lay folks may not. And vicarious	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper conduct. A true managerial lawyer sets ethical rule-following example to his subordinates, not cheerleading their deplorable conduct. There's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that the test here is one of vicarious liability, which the lawyers on this committee would understand, but the lay folks may not. And vicarious liability is where a master or a person that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper conduct. A true managerial lawyer sets ethical rule-following example to his subordinates, not cheerleading their deplorable conduct. There's clear and convincing evidence of 5.1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that the test here is one of vicarious liability, which the lawyers on this committee would understand, but the lay folks may not. And vicarious liability is where a master or a person that somebody works for is vicariously liable for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper conduct. A true managerial lawyer sets ethical rule-following example to his subordinates, not cheerleading their deplorable conduct. There's clear and convincing evidence of 5.1.  Rule 3.4 Charlie states that a lawyer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that the test here is one of vicarious liability, which the lawyers on this committee would understand, but the lay folks may not. And vicarious liability is where a master or a person that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper conduct. A true managerial lawyer sets ethical rule-following example to his subordinates, not cheerleading their deplorable conduct. There's clear and convincing evidence of 5.1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that the test here is one of vicarious liability, which the lawyers on this committee would understand, but the lay folks may not. And vicarious liability is where a master or a person that somebody works for is vicariously liable for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper conduct. A true managerial lawyer sets ethical rule-following example to his subordinates, not cheerleading their deplorable conduct. There's clear and convincing evidence of 5.1.  Rule 3.4 Charlie states that a lawyer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that the test here is one of vicarious liability, which the lawyers on this committee would understand, but the lay folks may not. And vicarious liability is where a master or a person that somebody works for is vicariously liable for people that work for him or her in situations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper conduct. A true managerial lawyer sets ethical rule-following example to his subordinates, not cheerleading their deplorable conduct. There's clear and convincing evidence of 5.1.  Rule 3.4 Charlie states that a lawyer shall not knowingly disobey an obligation under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	said, they're disjunctive.  To be clear, 5.1 is not limited to law firms, but includes, quote, "Managing lawyers in government legal departments." That's the American Bar Association. The title of the publication is "The Legislative History, the Developments of ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct," at Page 592, the 2013 publication.  And there is specific case law on that precise issue. The only case that I was able to find is a Kansas case, In Re: Kline 311 P.3d 321.  I don't believe it was contested here that the rule applies to the Attorney General's Office, but clearly the law says it does.  As for comments regarding personal involvement, that strikes me as suggestive that the test here is one of vicarious liability, which the lawyers on this committee would understand, but the lay folks may not. And vicarious liability is where a master or a person that somebody works for is vicariously liable for people that work for him or her in situations where it's within the scope of the employee's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ratification, the Attorney General nevertheless still bears responsibility under 5.1 if he ignored the conduct and/or knew of it at the time, but failed to take any remedial, reasonable remedial action.  The case law on that is In Re: Myers 584 S.C.2d, 357; also Mandleman, M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N, 714 N.W.2d 512.  If you look at those cases, the facts here are even more compelling. Not only did the Attorney General know what his subordinates had said and do nothing, but his response indicates he vigorously supported and defended them. That's Exhibit 39. The AG's failure to take any remedial action to these subordinates' clear violation is second only to his endorsement of their improper conduct. A true managerial lawyer sets ethical rule-following example to his subordinates, not cheerleading their deplorable conduct. There's clear and convincing evidence of 5.1.  Rule 3.4 Charlie states that a lawyer shall not knowingly disobey an obligation under the rules of a Tribunal except for an open refusal

111 (1	ic watter of Austin Knudsch	1	October 10, 2024
	Page 428		Page 430
-	Now I con't tall for ours I guess	,	athics entering an illegal order and having
1	Now, I can't tell for sure I guess	1	ethics, entering an illegal order, and having
2	we'll hear next but I'm not sure if there's any	2	subpoenas issued in defiance of a Court order."
3	disagreement that the Attorney General's Office	3	The parallel between that case and this
4	disobeyed his Well, he said he disagreed with	4	are uncanny.
5	me that he violated his oath by being	5	The AG admitted yesterday he never
6	disrespectful, and I guess they're saying they	6	informed the Court that he openly refused his
7	didn't violate the Supreme Court order because	7	sworn obligation as an officer of the Court. He
8	they eventually turned over the emails. This	8	admitted that. He never did, and I asked him to
9	Commission can make its own determinations as to	9	revoke it today, and he said no. There was no
10	the validity of either of those arguments.	10	possible way that the exception for an open
11	However, I want to be very crystal clear	11	refusal applies to any violations of his oath as
12	about what was charged and what was not charged.	12	an officer of the Court.
13	The Complaint was in fact very detailed, and very,	13	That oath that oath, Exhibit 40
14	very, very, very carefully drafted, so there could	14	includes the obligation of, quote, "maintaining
15	be no question about this. And the evidence here	15	the respect" "maintaining the respect due to
16	was also narrowly tailored to these issues.	16	the Courts of Justice and Judicial Officers," end
17	The rules of the Tribunal that he's	17	quote. And it includes the obligations of, quote,
18	being charged with violating are, No. 1, his oath	18	"striving" "striving to uphold the honor and to
19	as an officer of the Court, that's Exhibit 40; and	19	maintain the dignity of the profession," end
20	No. 2, his obligations to follow one order, and	20	quote.
21	one order only one order and one order only	21	The statements discussed at this
22	and I'm going to say it a third time one, the	22	hearing, the additional ones highlighted in the
23	July 14th, 2021 order to immediately return	23	Court records admitted as exhibits, were
24	Judicial Branch emails, Exhibit 24.	24	disrespectful. Here are some of the most
25	Lest there be any doubt, although I	25	egregious.
23	Lest there be any doubt, annough i	2.5	egiegious.
	D 400		D 404
	Page 429		Page 431
1	-	1	
1 2	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his	1 2	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The
2	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the	2	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order
2	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but	2	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The
2 3 4	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is	2 3 4	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's
2 3 4 5	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession	2 3 4 5	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of
2 3 4 5 6	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.	2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most	2 3 4 5 6 7	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is	2 3 4 5 6 7	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of a Senator. That's not the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of a Senator. That's not the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate. Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the Justices in the Court of not being a competent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate.  Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to dismiss. You remember it. It doubles down on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the Justices in the Court of not being a competent Tribunal; by preparing or ratifying Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate.  Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to dismiss. You remember it. It doubles down on the letter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the Justices in the Court of not being a competent Tribunal; by preparing or ratifying Court pleadings containing language that was clearly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of as Senator. That's not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate. Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to dismiss. You remember it. It doubles down on the letter. Exhibit 16, the April 18th, 2021 letter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the Justices in the Court of not being a competent Tribunal; by preparing or ratifying Court pleadings containing language that was clearly intemperate, contemptuous, and disrespectful to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate.  Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to dismiss. You remember it. It doubles down on the letter.  Exhibit 16, the April 18th, 2021 letter. You remember it. "Ludicrous." "The Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the Justices in the Court of not being a competent Tribunal; by preparing or ratifying Court pleadings containing language that was clearly intemperate, contemptuous, and disrespectful to the Justices of the Arkansas Supreme Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate.  Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to dismiss. You remember it. It doubles down on the letter.  Exhibit 16, the April 18th, 2021 letter. You remember it. "Ludicrous." "The Court statement is ludicrous." Wholly outside the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the Justices in the Court of not being a competent Tribunal; by preparing or ratifying Court pleadings containing language that was clearly intemperate, contemptuous, and disrespectful to the Justices of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court," parentheses,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate.  Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to dismiss. You remember it. It doubles down on the letter.  Exhibit 16, the April 18th, 2021 letter. You remember it. "Ludicrous." "The Court statement is ludicrous." Wholly outside the bounds of rational thought.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the Justices in the Court of not being a competent Tribunal; by preparing or ratifying Court pleadings containing language that was clearly intemperate, contemptuous, and disrespectful to the Justices of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court," parentheses, "including that they could not obtain due process,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate.  Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to dismiss. You remember it. It doubles down on the letter.  Exhibit 16, the April 18th, 2021 letter. You remember it. "Ludicrous." "The Court statement is ludicrous." Wholly outside the bounds of rational thought. Exhibit 17, April 30th, motion to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the Justices in the Court of not being a competent Tribunal; by preparing or ratifying Court pleadings containing language that was clearly intemperate, contemptuous, and disrespectful to the Justices of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court," parentheses, "including that they could not obtain due process, being deprived of an impartial arbiter, having a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of a Senator. That's not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate.  Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to dismiss. You remember it. It doubles down on the letter.  Exhibit 16, the April 18th, 2021 letter. You remember it. "Ludicrous." "The Court statement is ludicrous." Wholly outside the bounds of rational thought.  Exhibit 17, April 30th, motion to disqualify the Supreme Court Justices, quote,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think Mr. Attorney General admitted in his testimony, but lest there be any doubt that the rules of the Tribunal include not just orders, but also the oath, taken when each one of us is admitted to the Bar in this privileged profession that we have.  And the case on that, the most compelling case on that I found is a case called Ligon versus Stilley, L-I-G-O-N and Stilley is S-T-I-L-L-E-Y, 371 S.W.2d 615. There the Court held that Stilley violated the Arkansas equivalent of Rule 3.4(c) in several respects, including I think it's instructive here  "By intentionally being disrespectful of the justice system of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court by accusing the Justices in the Court of not being a competent Tribunal; by preparing or ratifying Court pleadings containing language that was clearly intemperate, contemptuous, and disrespectful to the Justices of the Arkansas Supreme Court individually and as a Court," parentheses, "including that they could not obtain due process,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 11, the April 12th letter. "The Legislature does not recognize this Court's order as binding and will not abide by it. The Legislature will not entertain the Court's interference in the Legislature's investigation of the series of troubling conduct of members of the Judiciary. The subpoena is valid and will be enforced."  Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, that is the statement of a lawyer. That is not the statement of any of the honorable members of our Legislature. That is the statement of the Lieutenant Attorney General. That is the statement of Mr. Knudsen's subordinate.  Exhibit 13, the April 14th motion to dismiss. You remember it. It doubles down on the letter.  Exhibit 16, the April 18th, 2021 letter. You remember it. "Ludicrous." "The Court statement is ludicrous." Wholly outside the bounds of rational thought. Exhibit 17, April 30th, motion to

Page 432 Page 434 judicial misconduct has come to public light. The 1 It says that the Court's position that the Judges will make this determination, quote, 2 self-interest is so apparent, any attempt by this 2 "defies common sense." Not only are they Court to decide the question runs afoul of State 3 3 4 law and the Code of Judicial Conduct." 4 dishonest, but they don't even have common sense. Not made -- not made in a Judicial Exhibit 26, the August 11th, 2021 5 5 Standards Committee proceeding; made in a public Petition for Rehearing. I'll just go through them 6 6 filing by the Chief Legal Officer of this state, 7 quickly. "Questionable judicial conduct." The 7 whose duty it is to follow the rules, including Court's position, quote, "blinks reality." The 8 8 9 the rules that require those kinds of complaints 9 Court's statement is, quote, "stunning counter-factual denial." "Counter-factual." to be made to that committee, and not in a public 10 10 filing. And any suggestion that that kind of 11 Quote, "These advisory statements must 11 12 language is necessary in order to assert a 12 be withdrawn." This is a lawyer in a brief to the conflict of interest in a motion to disqualify a highest Court of this state telling it what it 13 13 must do, and it's accusing the Court of again 14 Judge is absolute nonsense. 14 It is not the fact that they took the 15 numerous misstatements. 15 position that the Supreme Court had a conflict of But maybe I guess you can disregard even 16 16 interest. It is the way they asserted that all of that, and we just can deal with one. 17 17 position, and only the lawyers control that. 18 December 6th, 2021, Petition for Cert to 18 the United States Supreme Court. Now, I can tell Their clients can scream until they're blue that 19 19 they want their lawyers to say these things about you, it is very difficult to get heard in that 20 20 21 the Court, but the lawyers are not permitted to do 21 Court. It is a privilege. It is a privilege. It it, and they should have told their clients that, 22 is the highest privilege of a lawyer to be able to 22 23 because that was their ethical responsibility. 23 make an argument in writing or orally to that The Honorable Attorney General's letter, Court. That is the highest privilege we have as 24 24 May 19th, is Exhibit 19. "Please refrain." This 25 litigators. There is no higher Court in this 25 Page 433 Page 435 is a lawyer to the Montana Supreme Court in a country. It is extremely well-respected, and it 1 1 public document. "Please refrain from threatening deserves respect. 2 2 or maligning the integrity of my attorneys who are What did the Attorney General say about 3 3 assiduously living up to their ethical obligations the highest Court of this state that sits in this 4 under unusual circumstances." courtroom? "Judicial self-dealing on this scale 5 5 "If you wish to vent." "If you wish to 6 6 might be unprecedented in the Nation's history;" vent any further frustrations about the conduct of an officer of the Montana Supreme Court telling 7 7 attorneys in my office, I invite you to contact me the United States Supreme Court. 8 8 9 directly." 9 Speaking of the Supreme Court, the He also says in the footnote of that Attorney General says, "It reached out to 10 10 letter regarding a Court statement that it's, facilitate a case brought by its appointee to 11 11 quote, "inaccurate almost to a word." I don't conceal its misbehavior." He accused the Court of 12 12 know about you, but where I grew up, if somebody being untrue. These statements, "A panegyric to 13 13 says I'm saying something inaccurate, they're insincerity came after the non-party Justices 14 14 calling me dishonest, maybe worse. 15 staved their own subpoenas." 15 This one makes it sound like the Montana Exhibit 20, the May 26th, 2021 petition 16 16 for rehearing. This is the one that includes the Supreme Court is a gang. The six McLaughlin 17 17 following: "Public records tell a different tale Justices "charged ahead, ensuring a result that 18 18 than what you're saying, Court." It says the 19 bailed themselves out of an investigation prompted 19 20 Court is perverse. Perverted? This is one that 20 by their own imperfect behavior." says the Court appears to suffer from "the bias of And then again, a reference to their 21 21 Maslow's hammer." I had to look it up. It is not "emasculating that power" that they're given, 22 22 23 a compliment. It is very disrespectful. "If all 23 quote, "to conceal Judicial Branch misbehavior you have is a hammer, everything looks like a from the light of day." 24 24

nail."

25

25

He wouldn't admit it. I gave him, I

don't know how many times. Somebody in the 1 Lawyers can imagine what might happen. audience said I'm not going to do it again, am I? 2 The Supreme Court might issue a contempt citation. 2 Yes, I did. I wanted to give him every 3 It might call the Attorney General in before the 3 4 opportunity. I bet if I asked it five times, I 4 Court and say, "Please explain yourself." There asked it 35 times. Maybe more. Yes, I did. "Was are any number of things. They could issue 5 5 this intemperate? Was this contemptuous? Was it sanctions. That's what an open refusal is. You 6 insulting? Was it undignified of our profession?" 7 don't get to just say, "I'm not going to do it," 7 Every single time, "No." Every single time. No you know, whatever you end up doing ten months 8 8 9 acknowledgment of wrongfulness. 9 from now. It doesn't work that way. In addition, the Attorney General You know what, I've researched every 10 10 violated his obligation to comply with the July case I could find under this rule, and that 11 11 12 14th Supreme Court order, Exhibit 24, quashing the 12 exception to 3.4, I could only find one that even subpoenas, and ordering the immediate return of -- one. I mean uniformly when the Courts of other 13 13 all Judicial Branch emails. states have looked at this rule, all they look at 14 14 Important facts: The AG's office never 15 is: Did an attorney disobey an order? They don't 15 obtained a stay of that order; never obtained a even get to some kind of an open refusal. 16 16 stay. If you want to ignore a Court order, if you But I found one, and it's In Re: Ford. 17 17 want to disregard a Court order, there's only one It's an Alaska case, 128 P.3d 178. And it clearly 18 18 way to do it. And you move for stay of says you have to inform the Court. 19 19 enforcement, and he could have done that at the 20 Telling Randy Cox you're not going to do 20 Supreme Court level, the Montana Supreme Court 21 21 it isn't going to get it; telling your level. He could have done that at the US Supreme constituents, your clients you're not going to do 22 22 Court level. He did not. 23 23 it, isn't going to get it. It's not up to Randy What did he do? He decided on his own Cox or Beth McLaughlin to take it upon themselves 24 24 when and how he would comply with the order to 25 to move for sanctions. That suggestion is utter 25 Page 437 Page 439 immediately return. We're not allowed to do that. 1 1 And to be clear, he never ever openly in a letter, One person had the obligation to comply 2 2 in a brief, or otherwise, refused to obey the July with that order, and that one person, the Attorney 3 3 14th, 2021 order. General, never told the Supreme Court he was not 4 Now these guys may point to that early going to follow it. Did he immediately return? 5 5 6 letter that came out a day after the Sunday order. 6 Did he immediately return? Clear and convincing He's not charged with violating that order. And evidence he did not. Eight to nine months later. 7 7 then they may point out the brief that says, "By And I asked him if he would commit under 8 8 9 the way, we're not following that order, but any 9 oath that there were no additional copies in his other order we're not going to follow." You don't client's system somewhere, and he couldn't. What 10 10 get to do that. are you supposed to do when your client is ordered 11 11 If you want to openly defy a Court 12 to do something? You're supposed to confirm it 12 order, there's one way to do it. The Court issues 13 was done. He didn't do it, or if he did do it, he 13 the order; you read it; you understand it; and couldn't commit. 14 14 then you tell the Court, "I can't comply with it." This failure to comply with the Supreme 15 15 Then guess what? The Court has an opportunity to Court's July 14th, 2021 order is clear and 16 16 do something about it. Didn't happen here. convincing evidence of a violation of Rule 3.4(c). 17 17 Didn't happen. Didn't happen. Rule 8.2(a) Alpha, "A lawyer shall not 18 18 And if I'm hearing the suggestions 19 make a statement that the lawyer knows to be false 19 correctly in this courtroom, they're saying, or with reckless disregard." And I'm emphasizing 20 20 "Well, look. The Supreme Court never did anything that because we didn't even attempt, because it 21 21 to us." You never told the Supreme Court you 22 would have been impossible to prove intent to be 22 23 weren't going to immediately return the emails. 23 false. We are contending that these statements You didn't do it. So it's entirely left to were made with reckless disregard as to the truth 24 24 25 25 speculation what would have happened if he had. or falsity concerning the qualifications or

1 integrity of the Montana Supreme Court. these things because his clients wanted him to. And I think this above all else is the most 2 The purpose of the rule is to preserve 2 -- The purpose of Rule 8.2 Alpha is to preserve remarkable thing that I've heard in these hallowed 3 3 4 public confidence in the fairness and impartiality 4 chambers. of our system of justice. Many cases. I will The notion that because one's client 5 5 give you a few cites. wants you to do something as a lawyer means it 6 6 Kentucky Bar Association against Waller, 7 excuses your ethical obligations in any way, 7 929 S.W.2d 181. And this case says, so that it is shape, or form, is so antithetical to the rules, 8 8 9 perfectly clear to everybody in this courtroom, 9 and our rule of law, and our system of justice, "Disrespectful language directed at a Judge is not I'm not even sure how to express it in words, and 10 10 sanctioned." It's not sanctioned because the, 11 I know how to use words. 11 12 quote, "The Judge has such delicate sensibilities 12 I'll direct your attention to just a as to be unable to withstand comment, but rather couple things that every lawyer in the state 13 13 that such language promotes disrespect for the law understands. 14 14 Rule 1.2(a), that's the rule that and the judicial system." 15 15 To similar effect is the Ray case, discusses what a lawyer's job is and what the 16 16 R-A-Y, at 797 So.2d 556. And the Mississippi Bar lawyer's client's job is. It sets -- It sets 17 17 Lumumba, 912 So.2d 871. There are more ABA 18 forth very clearly, and we all know this, that a 18 annotations. 19 client controls the objectives, the client 19 Here the Attorney General repeatedly controls the objectives of the litigation. The 20 20 lawyer controls the means. 21 accused the Montana Supreme Court of judicial 21 misconduct, dishonesty, and defying legality and 22 There is no doubt -- no doubt -- no 22 doubt in my mind that Mr. Attorney General 23 common sense. Now again, to be clear, he didn't 23 just assert that there were errors in court understood his client's objectives. No doubt. 24 24 findings or conclusions. He didn't just assert 25 But he and only he got to control the means; the 25 Page 441 Page 443 that there was a conflict of interest. What did means including what procedures to use; whether to 1 1 he do? Repeatedly, willfully, and knowingly make write a letter as compared to a motion; whether 2 2 statements that undermined the presumed integrity certain language is appropriate; what approach to 3 3 and qualification of our Montana Supreme Court take. Those were his and only his issues to 4 4 Justices, all of them -- regardless control, not his client's. 5 5 6 of their political background. 6 And if there is any doubt as to the A couple of Montana cases. A recent, meaning of Rule 1.2(a), the preamble to our rules 7 7 in three different places say precisely what I'm fairly recent Montana disciplinary case I'll bring 8 8 9 to your attention were nowhere near egregious like 9 saying: Paragraph 6, 9, and 10 briefly. this. Miller, that's PR-18-0139. The Supreme 10 Six, "While it is a lawyer's duty, when 10 Court concluded that Miller violated Rule 8.2(a) necessary, to challenge the rectitude of official 11 11 by asserting that Judge Lovell altered testimony action, it is also a lawyer's duty to uphold the 12 12 and created affirmative defenses. The AG here 13 legal process." 13 attacked the Supreme Court much more explicitly No. 9. To the point of being a zealous 14 14 and repeatedly. advocate, we've heard that term a lot here, and 15 15 Another case fairly recent, and I certainly the Attorney General was a zealous 16 16 suspect this Commission remembers these cases, but advocate. That term was taken out of the rules, 17 17 in any event. Myers PR-16-0245. The Supreme but I'll adopt it for purposes of this. 18 18 Court concluded that Myers violated Rules 8.2(a) 19 No. 9 says, "A lawyer can be a dedicated 19 advocate," and that's the term that's used, "But a 20 and 8.4(d) by using, quote, "highly inflammatory 20 language to make baseless accusations of lawyer can be a dedicated advocate on behalf of a 21 21 conspiracy, fraud, vice, unethical behavior, 22 client, even an unpopular one, but in doing so 22 23 illegal acts against, among other people, the 23 must comply with these Rules of Professional Honorable Judge Langton." Conduct," end quote. And I would submit to this 24 24 25 The Attorney General testified he said committee, to this Commission, that you can even 25

In the Matter of Austin Knudsen October 10, 2024 Page 444 Page 446 insert the word "zealous," and it wouldn't change think, but these are not just opinions. These 2 the meaning. 2 statements insinuate that the Attorney General was Paragraph 10, these principles, the privy to some facts about the two cases and about 3 3 4 basic principles discussed here, "include the 4 the motivation of the Montana Supreme Court in lawyer's obligation to protect and pursue a rendering its decision. That takes it out of the 5 5 client's legitimate interests within the bounds of realm of pure opinion. 6 6 the law, while maintaining a professional courtesy 7 And there's a lot of cases that say 7 and civil attitude toward all persons involved in that, but a very helpful one, instructive one, is 8 8 9 the legal system." There is no question as to the 9 the Topp case, 925 P.2d 1113, where, as I said, there's a complete list in the ABA model rules. meaning of those words. And the clear, and the 10 10 absolute, unquestionable take-away from our ethics Applying the correct standard, the 11 11 12 rules is that lawyers follow their client's 12 objective standard, in the present case, there's instructions until they can't. clear and convincing evidence that the Attorney 13 13 The Attorney General I believe suggested General and his subordinates violated 8.2(a) by 14 14 here, from some of the discussion by his lawyers 15 repeatedly and recklessly accusing the Montana 15 about his belief that what he was pursuing was Supreme Court of judicial misconduct, dishonesty, 16 16 just, and his actions were just and justified, defying reality, common sense, and the law. 17 17 because he believed, he believed they were. Move on to Rule 8.4(d) Delta. That 18 18 makes it professional misconduct for the lawyer to But implied in the argument is that the 19 19 standard for reasonable belief is a subjective engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the 20 20 one; in other words his. It's not. This is not a administration of justice. 21 21 defamation case where that standard applies -- and 22 I would submit to this Commission there 22 23 it's a well known case to the lawyers here in this 23 are two types of conduct here prejudicial to the room -- New York Times against Sullivan 376 US administration of justice. They're the AG's 24 24 254. That subjective standard is not applicable 25 statements, and there's also his obligation to 25

Page 445 Page 447

in a disciplinary case. 1

And lest there be any doubt of it, and 2

- there's cases upon cases upon cases that say that, 3
- but the Montana Supreme Court said in Miller, 4
- according to the Montana Supreme Court, "As 5
- 6 explained in the United States District Court case
- Sandler," and there's a cite, "The standard to be 7
- applied regarding Rule 8.2(a) is not the 8
- 9 subjective standard of the New York Times, but is
- rather an objective standard: What a reasonable 10
- attorney considered in the light of all of his 11
- professional functions would do in the same or 12
- similar circumstances." 13

And so honorable members of this 14

- Commission, the issue that you have to decide is 15
- would a reasonable attorney in these circumstances 16
- make such statements. When you review the records 17
- in evidence, you can see nothing that transpired 18
- in these cases would justify such outrageously 19
- 20 false accusations; and that any evidence, quote
- unquote, the Attorney General relied upon in 21
- making these statements barely even qualifies as 22
- 23 sketchy.
- Also I don't know if this suggestion is 24
- going to be made. I haven't heard it yet, I don't 25

- follow the July 14th, 2021 order, Exhibit 24, to
- immediately return Judicial Branch emails. 2
- We've gone through the statements. I 3
- won't belabor it. But there are additional
- highlighted ones in the Court records, and those 5
- 6 statements were intemperate, contemptuous,
- 7 insulting, and undignified. I gave you the case
- cited earlier where the Arkansas Supreme Court 8
- 9 that said these things are not acceptable.

I would submit they're undignified of

any member of this profession, any member. But 11

probably most importantly the chief member, the 12

general. 13

10

Unlike Rule 8.2(a) Alpha, 8.4(d) Delta 14

15 does not require proving falsity. That's the

Waller case, 929 S.W.2d 181. There the Supreme 16

- Court of Kentucky said the following: The 17
- Respondent appears to believe that truth or some 18
- 19 concept akin to the truth such as accuracy or
- 20 correctness is a defense to the charge against
- 21 him," under 8.4(d).
- 22 "In this respect he has totally missed
- 23 the point. There can never be a justification for
- a lawyer to use such scurrilous language with 24
- respect to a Judge in pleadings or in open court. 25

	ic Matter of Austin Knudsen		October 10, 2024
	Page 448		Page 450
_	The masser is not that the Judge is of such	_	mana compositive. And I've civen very those cites
1	The reason is not that the Judge is of such	1	more generally. And I've given you these cites
2	delicate sensibilities that he would be unable to	2	before, but the case names are Miller, Myers,
3	withstand the comment, but rather that such	3	Kline. Another one is McClellan 754 N.E.2d 500.
4	language promotes disrespect for the law and for	4	Thus the statements that we went through
5	the judicial systems."	5	here constitute clear and convincing evidence of
6	"Officers of the Court are obligated to	6	violation of 8.4(d).
7	uphold the dignity of the Court, and at a minimum	7	The United States Supreme Court in that
8	" "at a minimum, this requires them to refrain	8	Gentile case that I cited to you said, "It is
9	from conduct of the type at issue here."	9	unquestionable that in the courtroom itself during
10	There have been I would say noise in the	10	a judicial proceeding, whatever right to free
11	pleadings here. I can't recall how many pages the	11	speech," quote unquote, "an attorney has is
	Attorney General's amended answer was. I didn't		extremely circumscribed. An attorney may not by
12	•	12	
13	object to it, as I thought it was instructive as	13	speech or other conduct resist a ruling of the
14	to his position and his defiance.	14	Trial Court beyond the point necessary to preserve
15	But in it, it seems to assert that there	15	a claim for appeal."
16	is some First Amendment right to say basically	16	And quote, "An attorney's free speech
17	anything you want. And in the context of judicial	17	rights do not authorize unnecessary resistance to
18	proceedings, an attorney's First Amendment rights	18	an adverse ruling. Once a Judge rules, a zealous
19	are not without limits. Although litigants and	19	advocate complies, then challenges the ruling on
20	the lawyers do not check their First Amendment	20	appeal. The advocate has no free speech right to
21	rights at the Courthouse door, those rights are	21	reargue the issue, resist the ruling, or insult
22	often subordinated to other interests inherent in	22	the Judge," quote unquote. That's In Re: Coe 903
23	the judicial setting.	23	S.W.2d 916.
24	And a fairly instructive case here is	24	As I indicated to the fifth Rule 8.4
25	the United States Supreme Court opinion. I	25	Alpha, "A finding that a lawyer violated any
	Page 449		Page 451
	Page 449		Page 451
1	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist	1	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional
1 2	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to	1 2	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and
	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist		ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional
2	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to	2	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and
2	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was	2	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through
2 3 4	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of	2 3 4	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases
2 3 4 5	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.	2 3 4 5	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly
2 3 4 5 6 7	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991. I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin,	2 3 4 5 6 7	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991. I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus	2 3 4 5 6	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991. I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991. I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1. This one I think is instructive in terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991. I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1. This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case. When you review the records in evidence,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every case this Commission has ever decided. Every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case. When you review the records in evidence, you can see nothing to support an objective	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every case this Commission has ever decided. Every single one of them is here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case.  When you review the records in evidence, you can see nothing to support an objective standard in terms of what a reasonable attorney	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every case this Commission has ever decided. Every single one of them is here.  I want to comment on something that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case.  When you review the records in evidence, you can see nothing to support an objective standard in terms of what a reasonable attorney would do under similar circumstances.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every case this Commission has ever decided. Every single one of them is here.  I want to comment on something that I heard for the first time yesterday, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case.  When you review the records in evidence, you can see nothing to support an objective standard in terms of what a reasonable attorney would do under similar circumstances.  There is a nexus that's required in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every case this Commission has ever decided. Every single one of them is here.  I want to comment on something that I heard for the first time yesterday, and the Attorney General talked about maybe in hindsight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case.  When you review the records in evidence, you can see nothing to support an objective standard in terms of what a reasonable attorney would do under similar circumstances.  There is a nexus that's required in these cases on an 8.4(d) case, and the nexus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every case this Commission has ever decided. Every single one of them is here.  I want to comment on something that I heard for the first time yesterday, and the Attorney General talked about maybe in hindsight, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case.  When you review the records in evidence, you can see nothing to support an objective standard in terms of what a reasonable attorney would do under similar circumstances.  There is a nexus that's required in these cases on an 8.4(d) case, and the nexus required is not limited to harm to the particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every case this Commission has ever decided. Every single one of them is here.  I want to comment on something that I heard for the first time yesterday, and the Attorney General talked about maybe in hindsight, he probably should have not allowed such sharp
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case.  When you review the records in evidence, you can see nothing to support an objective standard in terms of what a reasonable attorney would do under similar circumstances.  There is a nexus that's required in these cases on an 8.4(d) case, and the nexus required is not limited to harm to the particular proceeding, but it also includes establishing by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every case this Commission has ever decided. Every single one of them is here.  I want to comment on something that I heard for the first time yesterday, and the Attorney General talked about maybe in hindsight and I'm paraphrasing maybe in hindsight, he probably should have not allowed such sharp language, but they were in the middle of an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	believe it was written by Chief Justice Rehnquist I haven't read it recently, so I'm not going to sit here to swear to that, but I believe it was a very well-respected jurist in the history of this country, in Gentile versus State Bar of Nevada, 501 US 1030, 1991.  I'll give you some other cites; Sandlin, 12 F.3d 861; Koster, 93 F.R.D. 471; State versus Carruthers, 35 S.W.3d 516; Hill, 860 So.2d 1.  This one I think is instructive in terms of what is being asserted here in this courtroom today. "A lawyer is not free to seek refuge within his own First Amendment right of free speech to fill a courtroom with a litany of speculative accusations and insults." That's Cooper 872 F.2d 1. That's a First Circuit case.  When you review the records in evidence, you can see nothing to support an objective standard in terms of what a reasonable attorney would do under similar circumstances.  There is a nexus that's required in these cases on an 8.4(d) case, and the nexus required is not limited to harm to the particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ethics rule constitutes a separate and additional violation." That is the majority standard, and that's again I don't even need to go through the case law because I think the Commission routinely does that, but in any case, the cases are discussed in the ABA model rules. Certainly the practice of this Commission.  In summary, undermining this is what I see. Undermining public confidence in the judicial system by the highest legal officer in this state, repeated pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, failure to remediate or take corrective action, those are things that this Commission evaluates all the time. These are all aggravating factors in every case this Commission has ever decided. Every single one of them is here.  I want to comment on something that I heard for the first time yesterday, and the Attorney General talked about maybe in hindsight, he probably should have not allowed such sharp

ın tı	ne Matter of Austin Knudsen		October 10, 2024
	Page 452		Page 454
1	And you know, I can understand things	1	everybody in this room should appreciate it.
2	are said in the heat of battle. We all do it, and	2	But he also said something else:
3	we regret it. It's understandable. We're	3	"Cooler heads should have prevailed," and he's
4	supposed to maintain cool heads as lawyers, but	4	right. But who were the cooler heads? Ten, ten
5	sometimes can't. We're human. And I don't think	5	lawyers? The lawyers are supposed to be the
6	this Commission should fault the Attorney General	6	cooler heads. We're supposed to be the ones that
7	for saying something in the heat of battle alone.	7	are independent, and exercise professional
8	It happens.	8	judgment; we're the ones that are supposed to be
9	But what does a responsible attorney do?	9	able to maintain cool in a battle.
10	They apologize. They apologize. They correct it.	10	I want to go through a few cases. As I
11	They remediate it. If you need to file an	11	said when I started, I believe this case is
12	amendment by interlineation to your brief to take	12	unprecedented. I couldn't agree more with those
13	out the sharp language, you do it. If you	13	guys on that. And we have no prior Montana
14	misstate something in the heat of battle, you tell	14	disciplinary cases that even approach the level of
15	the Court you did, and you apologize.	15	misconduct here, but there are a few that I can
16	But I'm also going to ask you: What	16	recall where things like a fraction of this
17	emergency? What emergency did the Attorney	17	happened.
18	General have? By the time they started making	18	In Miller, the one statement that Miller
19	these disrespecting by the time they started	19	made about His Honor Judge Lovell, he got a public
20	disrespecting and maligning the Court in court	20	admonition. In Myers, the lawyer who made some
21	filings April 14th of 2021, they already had the	21	nasty comments about Judge Langton, he was
22	emails. They already had them. Nobody else had	22	suspended for seven months. Epperson, not a case
23	them, not even the Court Administrator, those	23	that I was familiar with until I looked it up.
24	emails she was supposed to control.	24	PR-15-129.
25	But I'll ask you another question.	25	After Judge Simonton notified the
	Page 453		Page 455
1	Emergency in my mind is temporally limited. It	1	parties he would not accept Epperson's client's
2	doesn't stretch out for months and months and	2	nlea agreement. Ennerson sent an email to Judge

- doesn't stretch out for months and months and
- months. The statements in Exhibits 11, 13, 16, 3
- 17, 19, 20, 26, and 30 span the next eight months 4
- after perhaps the emergency on Sunday, April 2021. 5
- 6 Eight months.
- Now, as I said, things happen in the 7
- heat of battle. Okay. Maybe that goes on for
- 9 eight months. I don't think so. This Commission
- can decide. But how was it an emergency? How was 10
- it an emergency? When the Montana Supreme Court 11
- decided this case, and the Attorney General then 12
- applied to the US Supreme Court on a discretionary 13
- writ, how was that situation an emergency that 14
- would excuse maybe things said in the heat of 15
- battle? How was that an emergency? It wasn't. 16
- I'm sorry. It just wasn't. 17
- 18 While I respect the Attorney General's I
- think very sincere statement that things could 19
- 20 have been said differently, maybe hindsight is
- 20/20, I totally absolutely 100 percent respect 21
- that, and I'm not taking away from it, and I don't 22
- 23 think this Commission should take away from it.
- He said it for the first time. It's okay. He 24
- said it, and I appreciate it, and I think 25

- plea agreement, Epperson sent an email to Judge
- Simonton's Judicial Assistant, to the Clerk of
- Court, and stated in part, "One more thing.
- Neither my client nor I will show up if the Judge 5
- refuses to vacate the trial set for July 8th, and
- 7 he can throw my ass in jail for contempt if he
- chooses." Epperson got a public admonition. 8
- 9 Morin I'm sure is a case the Commission
- remembers, PR-19-0017. The Commission found 10
- further that Morin engaged in abusive, 11
- unprofessional, and uncivil conduct toward other 12
- 13 Counsel -- toward other Counsel -- and bullying
- and intimidating tactics that are unbecoming a 14
- 15 Montana lawyer.
- However, the ODC in that case did not 16
- appropriately charge the violations, and when the 17
- COP recommended disbarment notwithstanding that, 18
- 19 the COP recommended disbarment, the Supreme Court
- agreed, and noted, quote, "We share the 20
- Commission's concerns regarding Morin's conduct, 21
- both in this matter, and as an overall pattern of 22
- 23 conduct, her lack of contrition, and her
- unwillingness to accept responsibility for her 24
- 25 actions." These are the words of the Montana

	Page 456		Page 458
	Suprama Court		unothical conduct is normissible or telerable
1	Supreme Court. The Court also noted that Marin	1	unethical conduct is permissible or tolerable.
2	The Court also noted that Morin	2	The rule of law depends on it. <b>CHAIR OGLE:</b> Thank you, Mr. Strauch.
3	perpetuated this conduct in objections filed, that	3	• •
4	she filed with Montana Supreme Court, directed at the Commission on Practice. Does that sound	4	Mr. Corrigan.  MR. CORRIGAN: Mr. Chairman, if I could
5	familiar? It happened here.	5 6	ask for indulgence for a brief five minute break.
6 7	"Morin's conduct is inconsistent with	7	Mr. Strauch threw out a number of cases. I just
8	the effective practice of law, and does not serve	8	want to get my head around that. I promise it
9	the public, the clients, or the legal profession,	9	will be brief.
10	or herself." Morin was disbarred.	10	CHAIR OGLE: All right. We'll take five
11	McCann, PR-16-0635. She filed three	11	minutes. Reconvene here in five minutes.
12	pleadings accusing Judge Manley of "bias,	12	(Recess taken)
13	impartiality, and unethical conduct, and	13	CHAIR OGLE: You may proceed, Mr.
14	impropriety due to the Court violating the law."	14	Corrigan.
15	Sound familiar? McCann was disbarred.	15	MR. CORRIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
16	I'm not going to make a specific	16	and members of the Commission. I want to thank
17	recommendation to this Commission. I can't. I	17	you for your time and attention this week. I know
18	can't. And the reason I can't is I can't relate	18	this isn't an easy case, and this has been a
19	to this. It is so antithetical to what I	19	lengthy proceeding.
20	understand it is to be a lawyer that I am	20	ODC mentioned a number of cases, and I
21	speechless.	21	won't have time to respond to them all, but I do
22	Ours is a wonderful profession. It is	22	want to point out two things quickly, should the
23	necessary and crucial to protecting the rule of	23	Commission decide to reconsider whether to accept
24	law. You know, I'm mindful of people misquoting	24	post-trial briefing or post-hearing briefing, and
25	Shakespeare so often on this. "Kill all the	25	I do think it's important, and I'm going to give
	Page 457		Page 459
1	-	1	•
1 2	Page 457 lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy	1 2	Page 459  you two examples here at least as to why the  Commission should take note of the cases, and be
	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill		you two examples here at least as to why the
2	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy	2	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be
2	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm	2	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.
2 3 4	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.	2 3 4	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held
2 3 4 5	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and	2 3 4 5	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the
2 3 4 5 6	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to	2 3 4 5 6	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for
2 3 4 5 6 7	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold	2 3 4 5 6 7	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest court in the state, and its Judges, and our system	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because  Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in substantial prejudice to a client, specifically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest court in the state, and its Judges, and our system of justice, we are called, we are required to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in substantial prejudice to a client, specifically his conduct caused his client's brief to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest court in the state, and its Judges, and our system of justice, we are called, we are required to take action.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in substantial prejudice to a client, specifically his conduct caused his client's brief to be entirely stricken from the record. Again, Stilley
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest court in the state, and its Judges, and our system of justice, we are called, we are required to take action.  And anybody in this courtroom in front	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in substantial prejudice to a client, specifically his conduct caused his client's brief to be entirely stricken from the record. Again, Stilley was actually an appeal from a lawyer disciplinary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest court in the state, and its Judges, and our system of justice, we are called, we are required to take action.  And anybody in this courtroom in front of me or behind me that thinks that I willingly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in substantial prejudice to a client, specifically his conduct caused his client's brief to be entirely stricken from the record. Again, Stilley was actually an appeal from a lawyer disciplinary case where it appears that the subject of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest court in the state, and its Judges, and our system of justice, we are called, we are required to take action.  And anybody in this courtroom in front of me or behind me that thinks that I willingly signed up for this is dead wrong.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in substantial prejudice to a client, specifically his conduct caused his client's brief to be entirely stricken from the record. Again, Stilley was actually an appeal from a lawyer disciplinary case where it appears that the subject of the discipline then tried to depose Supreme Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest court in the state, and its Judges, and our system of justice, we are called, we are required to take action.  And anybody in this courtroom in front of me or behind me that thinks that I willingly signed up for this is dead wrong.  We must meet the AG's deplorable and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in substantial prejudice to a client, specifically his conduct caused his client's brief to be entirely stricken from the record. Again, Stilley was actually an appeal from a lawyer disciplinary case where it appears that the subject of the discipline then tried to depose Supreme Court Justices over the conflict of interest. That is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest court in the state, and its Judges, and our system of justice, we are called, we are required to take action.  And anybody in this courtroom in front of me or behind me that thinks that I willingly signed up for this is dead wrong.  We must meet the AG's deplorable and unethical conduct with serious and compelling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in substantial prejudice to a client, specifically his conduct caused his client's brief to be entirely stricken from the record. Again, Stilley was actually an appeal from a lawyer disciplinary case where it appears that the subject of the discipline then tried to depose Supreme Court Justices over the conflict of interest. That is not the case we have here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lawyers." There is more to it. Read it. "Kill all the lawyers, and then there would be anarchy or tyranny." That's the full quote. I'm paraphrasing. I'm not a wordsmith as Shakespeare.  Our profession and we individual attorneys are the punch line to lawyer jokes, and sometimes even threats on our person and reputation something I can personally relate to in this case but we persevere, and we uphold our obligations to our clients and the judicial system, and in doing so we protect our profession, the dignity and authority of the Court, and the administration of justice.  But when the chief legal officer of our state demeans, disparages, and defies the highest court in the state, and its Judges, and our system of justice, we are called, we are required to take action.  And anybody in this courtroom in front of me or behind me that thinks that I willingly signed up for this is dead wrong.  We must meet the AG's deplorable and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you two examples here at least as to why the Commission should take note of the cases, and be careful to read them.  ODC referenced a new case called Stilley v. Committee. It's supposed to be an Arkansas Supreme Court case. But it actually held something different. On Page 404 to 405, the Court said, "The use of disrespectful language for a Court or officer of the Court is not in and of itself serious misconduct," and it goes on to say, "However, in this case, Stilley's repeated and continuous use of strident disrespectful language constituted serious misconduct."  Now, why did it say that? Because Stilley engaged in misconduct that resulted in substantial prejudice to a client, specifically his conduct caused his client's brief to be entirely stricken from the record. Again, Stilley was actually an appeal from a lawyer disciplinary case where it appears that the subject of the discipline then tried to depose Supreme Court Justices over the conflict of interest. That is

Page 460

- 1 Nevada, that's 501 US 1030, which is co-authored
- 2 by Chief Justice Rehnquist and Justice Kennedy.
- 3 And in that case Nevada disciplined an attorney
- 4 for speech critical of the exercise of the state's
- 5 power because Nevada claimed it would prejudice an
- 6 adjudicative proceeding.
- 7 In that case, the Supreme Court actually
- 8 reversed the Court's decision there, and said,
- 9 quote, on Page 1058, "The vigorous advocacy we
- 10 demand of the legal profession is excepted because
- 11 it takes place under the neutral and dispassionate
- 12 control of the judicial system." And it goes on
- to say, "It cannot be said that Petitioner's
- 14 conduct demonstrated any real or specific threat
- 15 to an adjudicative proceeding. Any statements
- 16 have the full protection of the First Amendment."
- 17 Those are just the two examples I could
- 18 glean from the cases cited by ODC, so I encourage
- 19 the Commission to read the cases cited very
- 20 carefully, and I'm going to throw out a few cases
- 21 of our own as well, and I would encourage the
- 22 Commission to read those as well.

23

- Yesterday in my opening I told you ODC
- would offer no new evidence in support of its case
- against the Attorney General, and ODC's witnesses

- 1 ODC wants you to view this case through
- 2 the lens of McLaughlin, and decide that there are
- 3 no facts that matter. To paraphrase a famous
- 4 line, ODC simply wants you to know misconduct when
- 5 you see it. But the statements and letters and
- 6 legal filings don't exist in a vacuum. That
- 7 happens in context, and context absolutely matters
- 8 for these charges.
- 9 ODC wants you to believe that nothing
- 10 the Legislature saw mattered; that polling was
- 11 never done; that emails were never released; the
- 12 Judges didn't quash their own subpoenas. What
- we're asking you as a Commission to do is remove
- 14 the lens, and evaluate this on what the Attorney
- 15 General and the Legislature thought at the time.
- The evidence you saw cements the factual
- 17 basis for every statement the Attorney General
- 18 made in litigation, and position taken, even if
- you don't agree with those statements or legal
- 20 positions.
- Now, you've heard a lot of testimony at
- 22 times, non-linear and maybe out of context, so I'd
- 23 like to explain how we got here, and put you in
- 24 the state of mind of the Attorney General and his
- 25 client at the time.

Page 461 Page 463

- 1 really told you nothing more than why the Supreme
- 2 Court Administrator filed her lawsuit, and why her
- 3 lawyer filed an emergency motion over the weekend,
- 4 and how he attempted to get back the documents and
- 5 how the documents were returned.
- The lion's share of ODC's cross involved
- 7 asking the Attorney General if the statements made
- 8 by his office violated the Rules of Professional
- **9** Conduct, to which the Attorney General denied.
- So really what you heard is nothing more
- than ODC's opinion, but ODC isn't an expert, it
- isn't a Judge. ODC wasn't involved in this high
- 13 stakes constitutional litigation. ODC wasn't
- 14 there. All ODC has is the McLaughlin decision,
- 15 the briefing, and the public filings, and now it
- wants to Monday morning quarterback the decisions
- 17 that were made.
- And if the McLaughlin decision is
- 19 controlling here, and no additional facts are
- 20 relevant, that only underscores the Attorney
- 21 General's argument that discipline would have been
- appropriate before the proceedings at issue, not
- years later; not to mention McLaughlin and Brown
- 24 were original proceedings, with no factual
- 25 findings or discovery.

- 1 The Governor signed a bill called Senate
- 2 Bill 140, which changed the mechanism for
- 3 selecting Judges to fill interim vacancies in
- 4 State District Courts. Plaintiffs promptly
- 5 challenged that law in March of 2021 in an
- 6 original action before the Montana Supreme Court
- 7 titled Brown v. Gianforte. Chief Justice McGrath
- 8 recused himself from the case, and District Judge
- 9 Kurt Krueger had been appointed to sit in place of
- 10 the Chief Justice.
- 11 Within two weeks of Brown being filed,
- 12 the media released emails from the Judicial Branch
- concerning SB 140, and it was only after these
- 14 emails were released that the AG's office moved to
- 15 disqualify Judge Krueger.
- As you saw in ODC's Exhibit 5, the
- 17 emails revealed that the Governor and his Counsel
- at the time, the Attorney General's Office, the
- emails revealed that the Montana Supreme Court
- 20 Administrator sent an email poll on January 29th,
- 21 2021 to every Judge and Justice in Montana on
- 22 behalf of the Montana Judges Association to review
- 23 SB 140 and take a position on it. A number of
- 24 Judges expressed their opposition to SB 140 using
- 25 the "reply all" button.

	le Matter of Austin Knudsen		•
		Page 464	Page 466
1	Several months later Judge Krueger had	1	emails or paper notes related to the SB 140 poll.
	accepted an appointment to hear Brown versus	2	She considered them ministerial in nature, and
2		_	
3	Gianforte, which challenged the substantive	3	that they were collected as an administrative
4	constitutionality of SB 140. And Judge Krueger	4	courtesy to Judges, and didn't keep them. To
5	had taken the position on SB 140. As I said,	5	explain the lack of records, she confessed to
6	after learning of these emails, the Attorney	6	sloppiness.
7	General's Office filed a motion to disqualify	7	As you heard from Speaker Galt and
8	Judge Krueger and any Judge who voted on the S	B 8	Senator Hertz, that triggered serious concerns
9	140 polls on April 1st, 2021.	9	from the Legislature about the Judicial Branch's
10	The motion also requested that the Court	10	use of State emails, and why a State employee was
11	disclose the voting results of SB 140 polling, and	11	deleting records of this activity.
12	that the Court stay proceedings in light of the	12	Then as we all saw, the Legislature
13	recusal issues.	13	issued a subpoena to the Department of
14	On April 1st, 2021, the Legislature also	14	Administration for McLaughlin's emails and deleted
15	notified the Attorney General's Office that it	15	emails between January 4th, 2021 and April 8th,
16	intended to pass resolutions to intervene in Brow		2021, excluding any emails with attachments
17	versus Gianforte, but that intervention was	17	related to decisions made by Justices in
18	delayed. Why was it delayed? Because things of		disposition of a final opinion.
19	got more complicated from there.	19	The next day the Department of
20	As you saw in Exhibit C, legislative	20	Administration began complying with the subpoena
21	staff reached out to Administrator McLaughlin or		on April 9th, 2021. That's when Supreme Court
	<del>-</del>		-
22	April 7th, 2021 following the Supreme Court's	22	Administrator McLaughlin hired Randy Cox to
23	order on the Governor's motion to disqualify Judg		represent her in the litigation that of course
24	Krueger. Legislative staff noted in that	24	3
25	communication that while the Supreme Court's o	rder 25	On Saturday, April 10th, 2021, Mr. Cox
		Page 465	Page 467
		_	. ago ioi
1			
1	described the vote total, the order included no	1	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash
2	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.	1 2	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the
2	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way. So the Legislature requested that	1 2 3	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But
2 3 4	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way. So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an	1 2 3 d 4	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order
2 3 4 5	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way. So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find to	1 2 3 d 4 wo 5	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file
2 3 4 5 6	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that  McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an  McLaughlin responded that she could only find to records related to SB 140, neither of which	1 2 3 d 4 4 wo 5 6	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme
2 3 4 5 6 7	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find to records related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.	1 2 3 3 d 4 wo 5 6 7	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find to records related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 wo 5 6 7 8	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that  McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 wo 5 6 7 8 9	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that  McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type	1 2 3 3 dd 4 4 wo 5 6 7 8 9 10	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 wo 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on	1 2 3 3 dd 4 4 wo 5 6 7 8 9 10	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 wo 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that  McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 wo 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with	1 2 3 d 4 WO 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 wo 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that  McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 wo 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 wo 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the requested documents; if she deleted the emails are	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 WO 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 d 17	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly five minute conversation with him. Amazingly Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that  McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the requested documents; if she deleted the emails ar records related to SB 140; and asked her to identify the Judges who called her with SB 140	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 WO 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 d 17 18	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly five minute conversation with him. Amazingly Mr. Cox does not remember this conversation.  Mr. Cox then called Acting Chief Justice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the requested documents; if she deleted the emails ar records related to SB 140; and asked her to identify the Judges who called her with SB 140 poll responses; identify any Judges who	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 d 17 18 19	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly five minute conversation with him. Amazingly Mr. Cox does not remember this conversation.  Mr. Cox then called Acting Chief Justice Rice and left him a voice mail, letting him know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the requested documents; if she deleted the emails ar records related to SB 140; and asked her to identify the Judges who called her with SB 140 poll responses; identify any Judges who participated in the poll who do not use the "reply	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 WO 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 d 17 18 19 20	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly five minute conversation with him. Amazingly Mr. Cox does not remember this conversation.  Mr. Cox then called Acting Chief Justice Rice and left him a voice mail, letting him know he would be filing an emergency motion on behalf
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that  McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the requested documents; if she deleted the emails ar records related to SB 140; and asked her to identify the Judges who called her with SB 140 poll responses; identify any Judges who participated in the poll who do not use the "reply all" feature, and produce all Judicial Branch	1 2 3 3 dd 4 4 WO 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 dd 17 18 19 20 21 22	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly five minute conversation with him. Amazingly Mr. Cox does not remember this conversation.  Mr. Cox then called Acting Chief Justice Rice and left him a voice mail, letting him know he would be filing an emergency motion on behalf of his client. Justice Sandefur informed Mr. Cox
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that  McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the requested documents; if she deleted the emails ar records related to SB 140; and asked her to identify the Judges who called her with SB 140 poll responses; identify any Judges who participated in the poll who do not use the "reply all" feature, and produce all Judicial Branch policy for retention of records.	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 WO 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 d 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly five minute conversation with him. Amazingly Mr. Cox does not remember this conversation.  Mr. Cox then called Acting Chief Justice Rice and left him a voice mail, letting him know he would be filing an emergency motion on behalf of his client. Justice Sandefur informed Mr. Cox that he wasn't sure an emergency motion could be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the requested documents; if she deleted the emails ar records related to SB 140; and asked her to identify the Judges who called her with SB 140 poll responses; identify any Judges who participated in the poll who do not use the "reply all" feature, and produce all Judicial Branch policy for retention of records.  McLaughlin then responded that same day	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 WO 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 d 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly five minute conversation with him. Amazingly Mr. Cox does not remember this conversation.  Mr. Cox then called Acting Chief Justice Rice and left him a voice mail, letting him know he would be filing an emergency motion on behalf of his client. Justice Sandefur informed Mr. Cox that he wasn't sure an emergency motion could be filed in the Brown case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	described the vote total, the order included no breakdown of which Judges voted which way.  So the Legislature requested that  McLaughlin provide a breakdown of the vote, an McLaughlin responded that she could only find trecords related to SB 140, neither of which contained the polling breakdown of SB 140.  McLaughlin told, also told the legislative staff that the Judicial Branch policy did not require retention of ministerial type emails.  As you saw in Exhibit D, the next day on April 8th, the legislative staff followed up with additional questions to McLaughlin. The Legislature wanted to know five things in that email: If McLaughlin would be producing the requested documents; if she deleted the emails ar records related to SB 140; and asked her to identify the Judges who called her with SB 140 poll responses; identify any Judges who participated in the poll who do not use the "reply all" feature, and produce all Judicial Branch policy for retention of records.	1 2 3 3 d 4 4 WO 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 d 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was preparing to file an emergency motion to quash McLaughlin's subpoena or excuse to quash the subpoena to the Department of Administration. But rather than seeking a temporary restraining order from a State District Court, Mr. Cox chose to file an emergency motion with the Montana Supreme Court.  You heard the Clerk of the Supreme Court testify that the Court isn't generally open weekends, and doesn't accept filings on weekends, and he testified that the Court generally doesn't excuse me he testified that the Court doesn't usually, if ever, meet on weekends.  In Exhibit KK you saw Justice Sandefur's admission to this Commission that Mr. Cox called him on Saturday, April 10th, and had a roughly five minute conversation with him. Amazingly Mr. Cox does not remember this conversation.  Mr. Cox then called Acting Chief Justice Rice and left him a voice mail, letting him know he would be filing an emergency motion on behalf of his client. Justice Sandefur informed Mr. Cox that he wasn't sure an emergency motion could be

In the Matter of Austin Knudsen October 10, 2024 Page 470 Page 468 Sunday, April 11th, 2021, Department of 1 On April 12th, McLaughlin filed a new Administration Director Misty Ann Giles informed 2 2 emergency petition to quash the subpoena in a new Mr. Cox that DOA was complying with the subpoena, case called McLaughlin v. Legislature. 3 3 4 and would continue to produce documents on Monday, 4 That week the Legislature formed a April 12th, and that Mr. Cox should talk to the Special Joint Select Committee on Judicial 5 5 Legislature to resolve any issues. Accountability and Transparency, and later that 6 6 Now once again, ODC's witness admitted week the committee issued a new subpoena, this that the timeline didn't quite make sense. He was time to the Montana Supreme Court Justices, 8 8 9 confused as to what propelled him into that ex 9 seeking production of documents. parte conversation, whether it was that Sunday So why was the Legislature concerned 10 10 email or something prior. 11 about the Judicial Branch? Supreme Court 11 12 So ODC's witness couldn't remember a 12 Administrator McLaughlin and her attorney five minute ex parte conversation with a sitting testified that the primary concern for the lawsuit 13 13 Montana Supreme Court Justice, during one of the was to protect sensitive records from public 14 14 most consequential events in the State's history, 15 disclosure, including confidential employee 15 and thought the Sunday email -- excuse me -- and 16 medical records. 16 thought that the Sunday email led him to doing That might be true, but what's 17 17 something he did the day before. 18 undisputed is that the emails the Legislature 18 Importantly, Mr. Cox never provided received from the Department of Administration on 19 19 notice of these ex parte conversations to the April 9th contained a number of concerning 20 20 Governor's Office, the Attorney General's Office, communication that did not fall into those 21 21 Department of Administration, or the Legislature. 22 22 23 The Montana Supreme Court never mentioned these 23 Despite McLaughlin's protestations about medical records, there is no evidence that the communications in any orders or opinions. 24 24

> Page 469 Page 471

contacted the Clerk of Court to come in for 1

On Sunday, April 11th, Justice Rice

- McLaughlin's emergency filing and for the Montana 2
- Supreme Court's emergency order quashing the April 3
- 8th subpoena. 4

25

- And McLaughlin filed her emergency 5
- 6 motion in Brown versus Gianforte, but McLaughlin
- was not a party to Brown, and neither was the 7
- Legislature, and the Legislature had not yet moved
- 9 to intervene in this case, and it wouldn't do so
- until April 1st. 10
- It's undisputed that the Sunday order 11
- was extraordinary. It's also undisputed that this 12
- extraordinary relief was granted to the Supreme 13
- Court's employee. 14
- This highly irregular procedure angered 15
- the Legislature. On Monday, April 12th, the AG's 16
- office began representing the Legislature over the 17
- quashed subpoena. As the Attorney General 18
- testified, the highly irregular nature of the 19
- 20 Sunday order necessitated the irregular step of
- the AG's office sending a letter to the Montana 21
- Supreme Court expressing its displeasure with the 22
- 23 proceedings, and asserting a legal position that
- the Court did not have jurisdiction over the 24
- 25 Legislature.

the Department of Administration that contained

Legislature ever received any email turned over to

- the type of sensitive information that 2
- Administrator McLaughlin claimed she wanted to 3
- protect. 4

- As you heard from Speaker Galt and 5
- 6 Senator Hertz, the Administrator of the Court
- engaged in conduct that was of great interest to 7
- the Montana Legislature. We know Senate Bill 140 8
- 9 was not the only bill that she conducted a poll
- 10 on, and she was conducting pending, polls on
- pending legislation at the behest of a private 11
- 12 organization, the Montana Judges Association, as
- 13 you were shown in Exhibit F.
- I'd like to walk you through the three 14
- categories of counts that we've put in -- in the 15
- 16 three buckets, Rule 8.2(a), Rule 3.4(c), and Rule
- 17 8.4(d).
- For Rule 8.2(a), ODC did not prove by 18
- 19 clear and convincing evidence that the Attorney
- 20 General or his attorneys made a statement that the
- Attorney General knew to be false or in reckless 21
- disregard as to its truth or falsity concerning 22
- 23 the qualifications or integrity of a Judge. There
- was a good faith basis for every statement that 24
- 25 was made.

	ore the Commission on Practice he Matter of Austin Knudsen		Transcript of Proceedings - Day 2 October 10, 2024
	Page 472		Page 474
1	Now, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel	1	disobeyed an obligation under the rules of a
2	in its closing cited a number of Montana	2	Tribunal, except for "an open refusal based on an
3	disciplinary cases for the proposition that the	3	assertion that no valid obligation existed."
4	Attorney General's statements violated the Rules	4	The Attorney General of course made an
5	of Professional Conduct. We actually had a couple	5	open refusal, based on the fact that no valid
6	of these cases on our list as well, but I think	6	obligation existed. These statements were made
7	that the standard that the case employs is	7	openly in public letters and court filings, and
8	particularly important.	8	the only refusal that ODC has now sort of
9	ODC cited a case called In Re: Brian	9	half-heartedly disputed as being open was made
10	Miller, that's MT PR-18-0139, where the allegation	10	directly to McLaughlin's attorney, and that
11	in the motion to recuse were, quote, "wholly	11	attorney never took action to compel return of the
12	unsubstantiated by any evidence."	12	documents.
13	Another case is titled In Re: Genet	13	It's also important to note that the
14	McCann. That's MT PR-16-0635. And the quote is,	14	Montana Supreme Court of course didn't even order
15	"The Court characterized the language as	15	return of the documents until July of 2021. That
16	scurrilous, libelous, and outrageous for	16	was three months after the first tranche of
17	allegations of judicial impropriety for which,"	17	documents had been released via subpoena through
18	quote, "no factual support has ever been	18	the Department of Administration.
19	provided."	19	In other words, as you heard in
20	I believe ODC cited a case called In Re:	20	testimony, the horse was already out of the barn
21	Robert Myers, which is MT PR-16-0245, which says,	21	by the time the Montana Supreme Court issued that
22	quote, "Baseless factual contentions in a	22	order, and the AG's Office preserved the status
23	disqualification motion, none of which," quote,	23	quo by holding on to the documents until the US
24	"appears to have even a minimum quantum of	24	Supreme Court denied the Legislature's final
25	evidentiary support."	25	appeal in March of 2022, and then the documents
	Page 473		Page 475
1	Another Montana disciplinary case called	1	were returned.
2	In Re: Douglas, that's MT-05-029, where an	2	Now, ODC again wants to Monday morning
3	attorney was disciplined for statements about a	3	quarterback this, but ODC doesn't get to decide
4	Judge that had, quote, "No reasonable, factual, or	4	what the law is for openly refusing. ODC wasn't
5	legal basis."	5	there. This goalpost moving is unsurprising.
6	Even if ODC is correct in its position	6	Some things the Attorney General did were too
7	on what was decided in McLaughlin, it doesn't	7	open. Here it wasn't open enough. Was it
8	matter. These concerns didn't come out of	8	important that the Attorney General preserve the
9	nowhere. The Attorney General had a good faith	9	status quo because the horse was out of the barn,
10	basis for making all of those statements that	10	and neither McLaughlin nor her Counsel took action

- 10 basis for making all of those statements that certainly were not reckless. You have seen the 11 evidence about judicial polling, and the subpoena 12
- covered some documents that did belong to the 13 14 Now, a reasonable mind can absolutely 15 disagree on whether that was a conflict of 16 interest, or whether that was proper, but it's 17 wholly unreasonable to say that the Attorney 18 General lacked any reasonable factual basis for 19 20 these arguments, much less that it would have appeared to have not even met a minimum of a
- 21 quantum of evidentiary support. 22 23 Now, moving next to Rule 3.4(c). Again,
- ODC failed to prove by clear and convincing 24 evidence that the Attorney General knowingly 25

- and neither McLaughlin nor her Counsel took action 10
- 11 to compel the return of the documents.
  - Next, there's nothing but unfounded
- speculation by McLaughlin, and her attorney, and 13
- the Office of Disciplinary Counsel, that not all 14
- the documents were returned. In fact, ODC has 15
- failed to present any evidence that they weren't 16
- returned. ODC could have conducted discovery on 17
- this; forensic analysis could have been 18
- 19 introduced; any number of things could have been
- 20 done, but you saw no evidence of that.
- Moving next to Rule 8.4(d). ODC again 21
- 22 failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence
- 23 that the Attorney General engaged in conduct which
- is prejudicial to the administration of justice. 24
- 25 ODC did not offer any evidence that the Attorney

	ic Matter of Austin Khuusen	1	October 10, 2024
	Page 476		Page 478
1	General delayed or altered the course of	1	Attorney General included, really naive enough to
	proceedings that resulted in a direct disruption	2	believe that this could happen? Good grief. It
2	of pending proceedings.		is ludicrous in the extreme to argue that I-166 as
3	1 01 0	3	
4	As my colleague pointed out, we've	4	law would give any public official fair notice of
5	calculated that there are somewhere around 127	5	what he or she is supposed to do or not to do."
6	unique counts in this Complaint, and many of them	6	That case is Montanans Opposed to I-166 v.
7	involve statements made in briefing. I won't take	7	Bullock, 2012 MT 168 Paragraph 36.
8	you through every single statement, but I'd like	8	Finally, on ludicrous, I'd like to point
9	to provide context for a few of the statements	9	the Court or the Commission to the Montana Supreme
10	that ODC highlighted, and some of the ones that	10	Court's 2021 decision in Haffner-Lynn v. Annala,
11	highlight the overreach by ODC in this Complaint.	11	2021 MT 234 N, Paragraph 27, where the Montana
12	Now, some of these charges are overreach	12	Supreme Court described arguments made by
13	because they involve statements that in almost no	13	litigants. Quote, "Finally, Janet decried that
14	circumstances constitute professional misconduct.	14	it's ludicrous the District Court's finding that
15	Just to go through a few examples, Count 25A, 26A,	15	Misty's actions suggest she was striving to meet
16	27A, fault the Attorney General for saying the	16	her father's burgeoning need for care and
17	Montana Supreme Court, quote, "misstated," dot	17	assistance without completely stripping away his
18	dot, "material facts."	18	independence."
19	Moving on to Count 27A, which attempts	19	Now moving on to the quote about defying
20	to sanction the Attorney General for saying that	20	common sense. In 2023, Justice McKinnon wrote an
21	something would, quote, "defy common and	21	opinion in a case, saying quote, "It defies common
22	constitutional sense."	22	sense and sound judgment not to view the latter
23	Count 29H, 30C, 31H, fault the Attorney	23	situation, the SVORA scheme since 2007, as
24	General for saying that the opinion contained,	24	punishment for a person's sexual crime," end
25	quote, "numerous misstatements." Counts 12 and	25	quote, and that case is State v. Hinman, 2023 MT
25	quote, numerous misstatements. Counts 12 and	23	quote, and that case is state v. Himman, 2023 ivii
	Page 477		Page 479
	Page 477		Page 479
1	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal	1	116 Paragraph 18.
1 2	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H,	1 2	116 Paragraph 18. In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote
	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse		116 Paragraph 18. In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of
2	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.	2	116 Paragraph 18. In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote
2	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse	2	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is
2 3 4	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.	2 3 4	116 Paragraph 18. In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are
2 3 4 5	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how	2 3 4 5	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is
2 3 4 5 6	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and	2 3 4 5 6	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.
2 3 4 5 6 7	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are	2 3 4 5 6 7	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36. Moving on to perverse. In a case called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can now be put on trial, requiring evidentiary proof	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice Nelson wrote, quote, "Nevertheless, the Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can now be put on trial, requiring evidentiary proof upon every constitutional challenge, is frankly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	116 Paragraph 18.  In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice Nelson wrote, quote, "Nevertheless, the Court perverts this principle to hold that when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can now be put on trial, requiring evidentiary proof upon every constitutional challenge, is frankly ludicrous, and a serious affront to the delicate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice Nelson wrote, quote, "Nevertheless, the Court perverts this principle to hold that when the Legislature does not enact any legislation at all,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can now be put on trial, requiring evidentiary proof upon every constitutional challenge, is frankly ludicrous, and a serious affront to the delicate balance of constitutional separation of powers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice Nelson wrote, quote, "Nevertheless, the Court perverts this principle to hold that when the Legislature does not enact any legislation at all, i.e., when the Legislature takes no action
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can now be put on trial, requiring evidentiary proof upon every constitutional challenge, is frankly ludicrous, and a serious affront to the delicate balance of constitutional separation of powers upon which our precious forum of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice Nelson wrote, quote, "Nevertheless, the Court perverts this principle to hold that when the Legislature does not enact any legislation at all, i.e., when the Legislature takes no action whatsoever in relation to a particular statute,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can now be put on trial, requiring evidentiary proof upon every constitutional challenge, is frankly ludicrous, and a serious affront to the delicate balance of constitutional separation of powers upon which our precious forum of distributed-powers government so critically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice Nelson wrote, quote, "Nevertheless, the Court perverts this principle to hold that when the Legislature does not enact any legislation at all, i.e., when the Legislature takes no action whatsoever in relation to a particular statute, the Legislature tacitly ratifies this Court's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can now be put on trial, requiring evidentiary proof upon every constitutional challenge, is frankly ludicrous, and a serious affront to the delicate balance of constitutional separation of powers upon which our precious forum of distributed-powers government so critically depends." That's Montana Democratic Party v.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice Nelson wrote, quote, "Nevertheless, the Court perverts this principle to hold that when the Legislature does not enact any legislation at all, i.e., when the Legislature takes no action whatsoever in relation to a particular statute, the Legislature tacitly ratifies this Court's statutory construction. This proposition is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can now be put on trial, requiring evidentiary proof upon every constitutional challenge, is frankly ludicrous, and a serious affront to the delicate balance of constitutional separation of powers upon which our precious forum of distributed-powers government so critically depends." That's Montana Democratic Party v. Jacobsen, 2024, MT 66 Paragraph 162.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice Nelson wrote, quote, "Nevertheless, the Court perverts this principle to hold that when the Legislature does not enact any legislation at all, i.e., when the Legislature takes no action whatsoever in relation to a particular statute, the Legislature tacitly ratifies this Court's statutory construction. This proposition is without foundation in law or reason." That case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	13A fault the Attorney General for calling a legal proposition ludicrous. Counts 25F, 27F, 25H, fault the Attorney General saying it was perverse to suggest something.  I urge the Commission to look at how common it is for attorneys to use such words and phrasing in briefing. In fact, these terms are not infrequently used by Justices of the Montana Supreme Court.  Take the word "ludicrous." In March of this year, Justice Sandefur wrote a fiery dissent in a voting rights case. He said, quote, "The heretofore novel idea that has now been sold to this Court that legislative acts, and thus the alleged ulterior motives of the Legislature can now be put on trial, requiring evidentiary proof upon every constitutional challenge, is frankly ludicrous, and a serious affront to the delicate balance of constitutional separation of powers upon which our precious forum of distributed-powers government so critically depends." That's Montana Democratic Party v.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In 2009, the Montana Supreme Court wrote an opinion that said, quote, "The implications of this argument defy common sense and logic, and are nothing short of Kafkaesque." That case is Ammondson v. Northwest Corp 2009 MT Paragraph 36.  Moving on to perverse. In a case called Inter-Fluve v. Montana 18th Judicial District, that's at 2005 MT 103 Paragraph 36, the Court said, quote, "As stated in Moore Business Forms, Inc. v. Cordant Holdings Corp, because the attorney/client privilege belongs to the client, it would be perverse to allow the privilege to be asserted against the client."  And lastly in dissent in 2011, Justice Nelson wrote, quote, "Nevertheless, the Court perverts this principle to hold that when the Legislature does not enact any legislation at all, i.e., when the Legislature takes no action whatsoever in relation to a particular statute, the Legislature tacitly ratifies this Court's statutory construction. This proposition is

Page 480 Page 482 1 Mr. Chairman, and members of the 1 You may agree with Mr. Cox that no one 2 Commission, my team and I could run Westlaw and 2 had to disclose those conversations, that the Lexis searches for days to find examples of these 3 Court never had an obligation to disclose them, 3 4 phrases being used inside and outside the state of 4 but it's still a fact that they were never Montana in judicial opinions and in briefing. And disclosed, and that's what matters. 5 5 the point I'm trying to make is that these are not Mr. Chairman and members of the 6 6 irregular terms of phrase by any means, and ODC 7 Commission, we all understand that none of this 7 has vastly overreached. occurs in a vacuum. I don't think anyone disputes 8 8 9 Other charges relating to statements by 9 that the events of the Brown and McLaughlin were the Attorney General simply have a factual basis, politically charged and unprecedented. You heard 10 10 and might as well be -- or excuse me. Other the Attorney General himself say that in hindsight 11 11 12 charges made by the Attorney General have a 12 there were probably things he would have done factual basis and are absolutely true. differently. I would imagine there are others 13 13 Counts 15A and 17A allege a violation involved in this saga that also would have done 14 14 for saying, quote, "That weekend transaction which 15 things differently. 15 necessarily included ex parte communications that The important thing from the Attorney 16 16 have neither been acknowledged or disavowed General's perspective was that everyone in this 17 17 resulted in the Court stifling production of its case seemingly moved on after the United States 18 18 own public records held by McLaughlin." Supreme Court denied the Legislature's final 19 19 Similarly Count 29F alleges a violation appeal. So the Judiciary, Beth McLaughlin, 20 20 for saying, quote, "This controversy began when an ultimately won. It might have been messy, but the 21 21 unnoticed weekend order in a case that the present 22 subpoenas were invalidated and the documents were 22 Defendant was not a party to facilitated by ex 23 returned. 23 parte communications." The Montana Supreme Court didn't issue 24 24 Now, you may not like the Attorney 25 sanctions or other discipline against the Attorney 25 Page 481 General's characterization or turns of phrase, but 1

Page 483

nothing in those statements is actually untrue 2

- based on this record. 3
- Mr. Cox admitted to two ex parte 4
- conversations with Justices of the Montana Supreme 5
- 6 Court. Mr. Cox's call to Justice Rice on Saturday
- 7 led to Justice Rice instructing the Clerk of Court
- to come in on a Sunday so that the Court could
- 9 receive and decide that motion. And nothing in
- the record shows that these conversations were 10
- ever acknowledged or disavowed. And Mr. Cox 11
- admitted the quashed subpoena included public 12
- records of the Court Justices. 13
- Similarly Count 27 faults the Attorney 14
- General for saying, quote, "The Court's multiple 15
- procedural irregularities granting unnoticed 16
- weekend relief to non-parties -- for non-parties 17
- refusing to disclose ex parte communications," 18
- 19
- 20 Again, you heard the Clerk of the Court
- testify to the procedural irregularity of the 21
- Sunday motion. Mr. Cox himself admitted that this 22
- 23 was an emergency motion, and the Court granted
- relief to a non-party. That means that these 24
- communications were never disclosed. 25

- General and his staff, and there's no evidence
- that there were any other complaints by attorneys
- involved in the litigation against the Attorney 3
- General or his staff, and that's the way this
- should have ended. 5
- Now, of course the branches of
- 7 government still battle to this day. Some of the
- underlying issues are not going anywhere. To 8
- 9 paraphrase James Madison, "Ambition counteracts
- 10 ambition as the branches push and pull against
- each other," especially on consequential issues of 11
- 12 Montana law. You can see similar conflicts
- 13 between the branches at the national level.
- To rule in favor of the Attorney 14
- 15 General, you don't have to agree that his legal
- positions were correct. You don't have to endorse 16
- every forcible turn of phrase employed in his 17
- briefing. You don't have to take the 18
- 19 Legislature's side. You can actually conclude
- that no one in the Judicial Branch acted 20
- improperly, and that there was no conflict of 21
- interest when the Justices ruled on the subpoenas 22
- 23 in McLaughlin.
- All you have to do is that the Attorney 24
- 25 General had a good faith basis for saying and

	Page 484		Page 486
-	doing what he did. The record is absolutely	,	just more all the more reason for post-trial
1	sufficient for you to make that conclusion.	1 2	briefing there. We of course only had five
3	You've heard a lot of testimony about legal rules	3	minutes to address these cases, so I do apologize.
4	relating to open refusal to comply with a Court	4	But I encourage the Court to read the
5	order, or when ex parte conversations are	5	Gentile case, read all the cases cited by both my
6	appropriate and need be disclosed.	6	friend on the other side of the aisle, and the
7	I would admit these are difficult issues	7	Respondent's, because I do think there are some
8	that even thoughtful lawyers don't always get	8	very important issues to resolve there. So I
9	right. If you think this is a close call at all,	9	apologize for misspeaking in terms of
10	I urge you to err on the side of leniency, given	10	characterizing the Gentile case. Thank you, Mr.
11	these circumstances, the highly unusual nature of	11	Chairman and members.
12	these proceedings, the unique separation of powers	12	CHAIR OGLE: Do you have any response,
13	problems presented here.	13	Mr. Strauch?
14	Now, if you have concern what happens if	14	MR. STRAUCH: Certainly not. Thank you.
15	the Attorney General isn't disciplined for these	15	CHAIR OGLE: Very well. Then we will be
16	actions, let me offer a few thoughts to you in	16	in recess here, or we'll adjourn actually. We're
17	closing. Our position has always been that Courts	17	going to take this matter under advisement, and we
18	have the authority to discipline attorneys if	18	will be meeting, and deliberating, and trying to
19	rhetoric or conduct goes too far. The same Courts	19	get findings of fact and conclusions of law out as
20	and attorneys on the other side can also police	20	soon we can. We're going to try to move
21	refusals to obey Court orders.	21	expeditiously. So thank you all for your
22	If something is prejudicial to the	22	MR. CORRIGAN: Mr. Chairman.
23	administration of justice, especially under unique	23	CHAIR OGLE: Mr. Corrigan.
24	circumstances, the Courts are the best place to	24	MR. CORRIGAN: Does the Commission know
25	make those determinations, and ODC's broadsweeping	25	how long it might take to get a transcript of
	Page 485		Page 487
1	Complaint here goes entirely too far.	1	these proceedings?
2	Now, I understand you might be concerned	2	CHAIR OGLE: We are hoping to have one
3	about what ODC discusses as upholding the dignity	3	by the end of next week. There's certainly no
4	of the Court going forward, and I would simply say	4	guarantees from the Court Reporter, and as you
5	this: Imposing a penalty under those	5	noticed, there were two different Court Reporters
6	circumstances would not serve the purposes of the	6	here, and so they'll have to coordinate on things.
7	Rules of Professional Conduct as ODC alleges. It	7	But that's what we're hoping for.
8	would not actually do anything to help public	8	MR. CORRIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	confidence in the judicial system. It will not	9	CHAIR OGLE: Thank you. All right.
10	seal the fault lines in our political system. In	10	We'll adjourn. Thank you all.
11	fact it will likely only exacerbate the conflict	11	(The proceedings were concluded
12	between the branches. In short, it would not	12	at 3:50 p.m.) * * * * *
13	serve the interests of justice. Thank you for	13	* * * * *
14	your time.	14	
15	CHAIR OGLE: Thank you, Mr. Corrigan.	15	
16	MR. COLEMAN: We have one issue to	16	
17	address with Mr. Corrigan before this moves on, if	17	
18	that's all right.  CHAIR OGLE: Issue to address? You want	18	
19 20	to talk to him?	19 20	
21	MR. COLEMAN: Yes, please.	21	
22	MR. CORRIGAN: 1 es, please. MR. CORRIGAN: Mr. Chairman, I have to	22	
23	come up and apologize under my duty. When we	23	
24		23	
	quoted the Gentile case, we were actually quoting	24	
25	quoted the Gentile case, we were actually quoting a concurrent, so I apologize, and I think that	24 25	

	Page 488
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF MONTANA )
3	: SS.
4	COUNTY OF LEWIS & CLARK )
5	I, LAURIE CRUTCHER, RPR, Court Reporter,
6	Notary Public in and for the County of Lewis &
7	Clark, State of Montana, do hereby certify:
8	That the proceedings were taken before me at
9	the time and place herein named; that the
10	proceedings were reported by me in shorthand and
11	transcribed using computer-aided transcription,
12	and that the foregoing pages 247 to 487 contain a
13	true record of the Day 2 of the proceedings to the
14	best of my ability.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
16	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 17th day of
17	October, 2024.
18	Louris V. Center
19	IAURIE J CRUTCHER
20	NOTARY PUBLIC for the
21	SEAL ST State of Mortana Residing at Helena, Mortana
22	My Commission Expires March 9, 2028
23	
24	
25	

	According (2)	295:16;315:12;319:21;	402:7;407:21;452:23;	278:14;398:16
\$	299:9;445:5	346:10,15;380:24;	461:2;463:20;464:21;	AG (3)
	account (1)	459:6,19;460:7;472:5;	466:22;470:12;471:3,6	254:1;430:5;441:13
<b>\$10</b> (1)	295:17	481:2;483:19;485:8,	Administrator's (2)	again (41)
367:4	Accountability (2)	24;486:16	318:5;359:11	253:11;256:10,14,
	406:1;470:6	ad (1)	admissible (1)	16,17;308:23;319:4,10,
${f A}$	accuracy (1)	277:19	409:3	16;321:16;322:13,23;
	447:19 accurate (3)	adamantly (2) 320:5,23	admission (13) 259:14;386:7;	326:25;330:10;337:21; 343:15;344:21;353:23;
AA (2)	292:20;373:11;	add (1)	405:13,17,19;407:4;	361:19;368:5,9,16,21;
259:22;260:5	374:16	354:2	414:13;417:3;418:25,	373:22;400:15;407:22;
<b>ABA (5)</b> 425:7;426:11;	accurately (1)	added (2)	25;419:7;423:4;467:15	408:14,19,22;423:1;
440:18;446:10;451:6	375:3	296:15;354:5	admit (3)	434:14;435:21;436:2;
abide (2)	accusations (3)	addition (5)	409:14;435:25;484:7	440:23;451:3;459:18;
375:20;431:3	441:21;445:20;	258:25;288:3;	admits (1)	468:7;473:23;475:2,
ability (2)	449:15	294:25;418:5;436:10	423:10	21;481:20
269:17;399:16	accused (4)	additional (13)	admitted (17)	against (13)
able (6)	413:12,13;435:12;	286:25;292:16;	260:1;411:9;417:6;	280:7;417:5;419:2;
376:9;403:23;	440:21	294:20;295:22;296:14;	418:2,25;422:12,21;	422:2;440:7;441:23;
417:22;425:10;434:22;	accusing (4)	327:20;420:21;430:22;	424:14;429:1,5;430:5,	444:24;447:20;460:25;
454:9	429:16;434:14;	439:9;447:4;451:1;	8,23;468:7;481:4,12,22	479:14;482:25;483:3, 10
abouts (1)	446:15;456:12 acknowledge (1)	461:19;465:14 address (11)	admonition (2) 454:20;455:8	age (1)
351:17	451:12	251:19;351:7;361:6;	adopt (1)	268:7
above (2)	acknowledged (3)	374:12;375:10;388:2;	443:18	agencies (1)
328:15;442:2	292:5;480:17;481:11	410:22;419:7;485:17,	adopted (1)	363:2
<b>Abra (5)</b> 323:12;327:1,13;	acknowledgment (1)	19;486:3	314:11	agency (7)
359:2;396:3	436:9	addressed (1)	advanced (1)	306:24;307:1;387:4,
absolute (2)	acquiesce (2)	384:24	334:3	5;411:23;412:13,18
432:14;444:11	329:12;362:5	adjourn (2)	adverse (1)	aggravating (1)
Absolutely (13)	acre (1)	486:16;487:10	450:18	451:16
280:5;284:17;370:4;	380:13	adjudicated (1)	advice (1)	ago (5)
411:3,18;413:9,25;	across (2)	314:18	339:17	254:2;272:10;371:3;
418:17;453:21;462:7;	262:14,25	adjudicative (2)	advised (1)	394:8;404:23
473:15;480:13;484:1	act (2) 310:19;369:24	460:6,15	378:22	agree (9)
abuse (8)	acted (1)	Adjudicatory (1) 251:23	advisement (3) 259:4,7;486:17	258:21;290:6; 373:19;403:3;422:5;
262:20;277:13,15;	483:20	administering (1)	advisory (1)	454:12;462:19;482:1;
278:1;280:9,11;	Acting (1)	306:19	434:11	483:15
284:23;337:1	467:19	administration (37)	advocacy (1)	agreed (1)
<b>abusive (1)</b> 455:11	action (16)	262:6;266:7,16,23;	460:9	455:20
accept (5)	280:7;281:1;336:10;	267:12,12,17;268:9;	advocate (6)	agreement (2)
417:19;455:1,24;	377:3,13;409:23;	269:9;290:10;313:17;	443:15,17,20,21;	257:3;455:2
458:23;467:10	424:24;427:5,15;	336:11;337:14;344:6,	450:19,20	AG's (11)
accept/reject (1)	443:12;451:14;457:18;	10;362:14;363:21;	affect (1)	286:5;373:9;422:9;
319:4	463:6;474:11;475:10;	364:3;371:10;378:13;	313:1	427:14;436:15;446:24;
acceptable (1)	479:19	383:13;397:24;398:5;	affected (2)	457:22;463:14;469:16,
447:9	actions (12)	423:17;446:21,24;	352:24;355:3	21;474:22
accepted (1)	264:25;315:24;	457:13;466:14,20;	affecting (1)	ahead (5)
464:2	316:3;322:24;345:4,8;	467:3;468:2,22;	378:6	256:15;349:25;
access (10)	377:17;426:25;444:17;	470:19;471:1;474:18;	affidavit (2)	350:10;421:23;435:18
261:12,15;268:2;	455:25;478:15;484:16 activities (4)	475:24;484:23 administrative (5)	418:4,12	air (3)
278:17,20;279:3;	262:11;313:15,19;	262:9;263:12;	<b>affirm (1)</b> 267:4	287:18;301:24; 314:23
284:13;294:24;348:15;	411:25	267:21;329:21;466:3	affirmative (1)	aisle (1)
403:23	activity (2)	Administrator (31)	441:13	486:6
accessible (1)	312:18;466:11	261:5,21;262:7;	affirms (1)	akin (2)
347:6	acts (5)	269:18;286:14;305:22;	288:17	268:15;447:19
accommodate (1) 265:10	312:20;369:25;	306:11;312:16,20;	affront (1)	alarmed (1)
accommodated (1)	426:16;441:23;477:14	313:4;338:23;339:1;	477:18	278:12
265:21	actually (21)	357:7;359:18;374:13,	afoul (2)	Alaska (1)
accordance (1)	255:24;257:2;265:2;	21;384:6;385:16;	368:25;432:3	438:18
327:23	271:8;276:19;289:15;	386:25;396:11,15;	afternoon (2)	all' (1)

3/8:1/	202.2.204.22.410.1.	1: (5)	216.10.421.22	
328:12 allegation (1)	382:3;384:23;410:1; 413:12;441:23	<b>applies (5)</b> 254:17;304:1;	316:18;421:23; 434:23;444:19;461:21;	assuming (2) 295:19;342:11
472:10	amounts (1)	425:13;430:11;444:22	479:4	attach (1)
allegations (2)	314:3	apply (12)	arguments (6)	271:19
317:18;472:17	amplified (1)	252:13,20,21;	259:2;421:8,10;	attached (8)
allege (1)	283:10	265:14;304:11,14,20,	428:10;473:20;478:12	253:3;267:24;
480:14	Amy (1)	23,25;305:1;367:20;	arisen (1)	314:10;319:1;324:4;
alleged (3)	379:10	412:10	431:25	325:21,25;397:5
322:5;407:21;477:15	analysis (1)	Applying (1)	Arizona (1)	attachments (6)
alleges (2)	475:18	446:11	426:10	275:5;276:2;337:22;
480:20;485:7	anarchy (1)	appoint (1)	Arkansas (5)	339:3,5;466:16
alleging (2)	457:2	277:19	429:11,15,21;447:8;	attack (8)
317:23;422:2	and/or (6)	appointed (8)	459:5	312:4;314:4,20;
allow (5)	250:22;251:5;284:7,	267:16;301:6;313:9;	around (13)	315:7,15;369:17;
255:15;268:5;	15;424:20;427:3	320:7;394:3,6;406:8;	281:8;283:6;286:20;	409:9;410:10
312:23;417:16;479:13	angered (1)	463:9	314:24;329:20;355:18,	attacked (1)
allowed (7)	469:15	appointee (1)	22;373:7;397:24;	441:14
255:22;347:15;	Ann (1)	435:11	402:1;404:21;458:8;	attacking (1)
410:18;418:16;419:5;	468:2	appointment (3)	476:5	315:12
437:1;451:23	Annala (1)	266:9,25;464:2	ascertain (1)	attacks (1)
allowing (2)	478:10	appreciate (2)	282:13	268:7
256:1;309:15	<b>annotations (1)</b> 440:19	453:25;454:1	aside (2)	attempt (4)
<b>allows (1)</b> 313:13		approach (4)	276:6;377:12	283:2;284:1;432:2; 439:21
almost (2)	<b>Anonymous (1)</b> 426:9	333:23;384:19; 443:3;454:14	ass (1) 455:7	attempted (1)
433:12;476:13	antithetical (2)	appropriate (10)	assert (4)	461:4
alone (3)	442:8;456:19	256:21;268:24;	432:12;440:24,25;	attempting (1)
285:7;423:22;452:7	apart (1)	270:10;298:20;313:25;	448:15	286:15
along (4)	392:23	315:15;370:9;443:3;	asserted (5)	attempts (1)
255:9;335:12;	apologies (1)	461:22;484:6	407:25;408:5;	476:19
352:16;357:14	301:22	appropriately (1)	432:17;449:11;479:14	attention (7)
Alpha (5)	apologize (12)	455:17	asserting (2)	288:14;356:7;
423:12;439:18;	267:10;325:11;	April (75)	441:12;469:23	416:22;419:21;441:9;
440:3;447:14;450:25	373:6;394:9;400:7;	266:11,18;274:22;	assertion (2)	442:12;458:17
altered (2)	452:10,10,15;485:23,	275:6;281:9;282:6;	427:24;474:3	attitude (1)
441:12;476:1	25;486:3,9	286:24;288:14,24;	assiduously (1)	444:8
alternatives (1)	apparent (3)	291:12,14;292:9;	433:4	Attorney (130)
415:20	297:1,7;432:2	293:8,19;294:10,15;	• (2)	
			assign (2)	253:24;256:1;
although (3)	apparently (1)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6;	264:2;313:5	261:15;280:24;281:1,
418:2;428:25;448:19	apparently (1) 341:3	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11,	264:2;313:5 assigned (1)	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25;
418:2;428:25;448:19 <b>always (4)</b>	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8,	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20;	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11,	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2)	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13;
418:2;428:25;448:19 <b>always (4)</b> 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15;
418:2;428:25;448:19 <b>always (4)</b> 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 <b>Amazingly (1)</b>	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1)	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17;
418:2;428:25;448:19 <b>always (4)</b> 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 <b>Amazingly (1)</b> 467:17	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5;	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2)	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1)	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20,
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2)	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7)	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21,
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24;	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1)	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24;	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23;	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1)	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16)	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1)	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1) 393:7	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2) 260:13;403:2	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25; 469:3,10,16;470:1,20	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16) 306:23,24;307:2;	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19; 427:1,11;428:3;429:1;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1) 393:7 amendment (10)	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2) 260:13;403:2 appears (7)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25; 469:3,10,16;470:1,20 arbiter (6)	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16) 306:23,24;307:2; 308:9,13,17,20;309:5;	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19; 427:1,11;428:3;429:1; 431:14;432:24;435:3,
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1) 393:7 amendment (10) 354:11;366:2,6; 393:21;448:16,18,20; 449:13;452:12;460:16	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2) 260:13;403:2 appears (7) 252:8;318:24;	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25; 469:3,10,16;470:1,20 arbiter (6) 252:3;253:14,17;	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16) 306:23,24;307:2; 308:9,13,17,20;309:5; 312:24;374:22;404:1, 1;425:5;440:7;463:22; 471:12	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19; 427:1,11;428:3;429:1; 431:14;432:24;435:3, 10;436:10;438:3,15; 439:3;440:20;441:25; 442:23;443:16;444:14;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1) 393:7 amendment (10) 354:11;366:2,6; 393:21;448:16,18,20; 449:13;452:12;460:16 American (1)	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2) 260:13;403:2 appears (7) 252:8;318:24; 329:18;433:21;447:18; 459:20;472:24 apple (2)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25; 469:3,10,16;470:1,20 arbiter (6) 252:3;253:14,17; 257:5;258:11;429:24 area (1) 368:11	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16) 306:23,24;307:2; 308:9,13,17,20;309:5; 312:24;374:22;404:1, 1;425:5;440:7;463:22; 471:12 assume (8)	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19; 427:1,11;428:3;429:1; 431:14;432:24;435:3, 10;436:10;438:3,15; 439:3;440:20;441:25; 442:23;443:16;444:14; 445:11,16,21;446:2,13;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1) 393:7 amendment (10) 354:11;366:2,6; 393:21;448:16,18,20; 449:13;452:12;460:16 American (1) 425:5	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2) 260:13;403:2 appears (7) 252:8;318:24; 329:18;433:21;447:18; 459:20;472:24 apple (2) 303:2;331:4	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25; 469:3,10,16;470:1,20 arbiter (6) 252:3;253:14,17; 257:5;258:11;429:24 area (1) 368:11 areas (1)	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16) 306:23,24;307:2; 308:9,13,17,20;309:5; 312:24;374:22;404:1, 1;425:5;440:7;463:22; 471:12 assume (8) 272:14;278:22;	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19; 427:1,11;428:3;429:1; 431:14;432:24;435:3, 10;436:10;438:3,15; 439:3;440:20;441:25; 442:23;443:16;444:14; 445:11,16,21;446:2,13; 448:12;449:19;450:11,
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1) 393:7 amendment (10) 354:11;366:2,6; 393:21;448:16,18,20; 449:13;452:12;460:16 American (1) 425:5 Ammondson (1)	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2) 260:13;403:2 appears (7) 252:8;318:24; 329:18;433:21;447:18; 459:20;472:24 apple (2) 303:2;331:4 applicable (1)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25; 469:3,10,16;470:1,20 arbiter (6) 252:3;253:14,17; 257:5;258:11;429:24 area (1) 368:11 areas (1) 422:3	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16) 306:23,24;307:2; 308:9,13,17,20;309:5; 312:24;374:22;404:1, 1;425:5;440:7;463:22; 471:12 assume (8) 272:14;278:22; 297:23;301:17;340:8;	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19; 427:1,11;428:3;429:1; 431:14;432:24;435:3, 10;436:10;438:3,15; 439:3;440:20;441:25; 445:11,16,21;446:2,13; 448:12;449:19;450:11, 12;451:21;452:6,9,17;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1) 393:7 amendment (10) 354:11;366:2,6; 393:21;448:16,18,20; 449:13;452:12;460:16 American (1) 425:5 Ammondson (1) 479:6	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2) 260:13;403:2 appears (7) 252:8;318:24; 329:18;433:21;447:18; 459:20;472:24 apple (2) 303:2;331:4 applicable (1) 444:25	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25; 469:3,10,16;470:1,20 arbiter (6) 252:3;253:14,17; 257:5;258:11;429:24 area (1) 368:11 areas (1) 422:3 argue (1)	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16) 306:23,24;307:2; 308:9,13,17,20;309:5; 312:24;374:22;404:1, 1;425:5;440:7;463:22; 471:12 assume (8) 272:14;278:22; 297:23;301:17;340:8; 348:14;414:14;421:3	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19; 427:1,11;428:3;429:1; 431:14;432:24;435:3, 10;436:10;438:3,15; 439:3;440:20;441:25; 442:23;443:16;444:14; 445:11,16,21;446:2,13; 448:12;449:19;450:11, 12;451:21;452:6,9,17; 453:12,18;460:3,25;
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1) 393:7 amendment (10) 354:11;366:2,6; 393:21;448:16,18,20; 449:13;452:12;460:16 American (1) 425:5 Ammondson (1) 479:6 among (8)	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2) 260:13;403:2 appears (7) 252:8;318:24; 329:18;433:21;447:18; 459:20;472:24 apple (2) 303:2;331:4 applicable (1) 444:25 applied (2)	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25; 469:3,10,16;470:1,20 arbiter (6) 252:3;253:14,17; 257:5;258:11;429:24 area (1) 368:11 areas (1) 422:3 argue (1) 478:3	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16) 306:23,24;307:2; 308:9,13,17,20;309:5; 312:24;374:22;404:1, 1;425:5;440:7;463:22; 471:12 assume (8) 272:14;278:22; 297:23;301:17;340:8; 348:14;414:14;421:3 assumed (2)	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19; 427:1,11;428:3;429:1; 431:14;432:24;435:3, 10;436:10;438:3,15; 439:3;440:20;441:25; 442:23;443:16;444:14; 445:11,16,21;446:2,13; 448:12;449:19;450:11, 12;451:21;452:6,9,17; 453:12,18;460:3,25; 461:7,9,20;462:14,17,
418:2;428:25;448:19 always (4) 255:6;329:17;484:8, 17 Amazingly (1) 467:17 Ambition (2) 483:9,10 amend (2) 354:18;393:10 amended (1) 448:12 amending (1) 393:7 amendment (10) 354:11;366:2,6; 393:21;448:16,18,20; 449:13;452:12;460:16 American (1) 425:5 Ammondson (1) 479:6	apparently (1) 341:3 appeal (6) 418:11;450:15,20; 459:19;474:25;482:20 appear (9) 287:7;297:21;363:5; 402:3,5,11,14,15,20 appearance (2) 402:6,9 appeared (4) 383:23;423:24; 424:2;473:21 appearing (2) 260:13;403:2 appears (7) 252:8;318:24; 329:18;433:21;447:18; 459:20;472:24 apple (2) 303:2;331:4 applicable (1) 444:25	295:13,14;298:1,2,6; 324:20;325:2,6,7,11, 12;326:12;327:16; 328:18,22;330:6,8,11, 12;335:21;337:14,15; 341:12,19;342:7; 355:22;357:20,21; 361:20;377:14;381:21; 384:22;398:1;399:11; 400:11;401:25;402:1; 431:1,16,19,23;452:21; 453:5;464:9,14,22; 465:13;466:15,21,25; 467:16;468:1,5,25; 469:3,10,16;470:1,20 arbiter (6) 252:3;253:14,17; 257:5;258:11;429:24 area (1) 368:11 areas (1) 422:3 argue (1)	264:2;313:5 assigned (1) 263:10 assistance (2) 278:8;478:17 Assistant (1) 455:3 Associate (1) 326:2 associated (7) 263:1;270:24; 272:18,22;273:23; 274:6;280:11 Association (16) 306:23,24;307:2; 308:9,13,17,20;309:5; 312:24;374:22;404:1, 1;425:5;440:7;463:22; 471:12 assume (8) 272:14;278:22; 297:23;301:17;340:8; 348:14;414:14;421:3	261:15;280:24;281:1, 3;283:17;285:25; 290:1;291:9,18,21,24; 294:10,25;300:2,13; 315:21;316:2,15; 317:1,16;319:14,17; 334:4;341:6,17; 343:21,25;344:3; 345:7;365:16;369:20, 24;370:4;372:7,10,21, 23;376:12;383:19; 384:6;410:5;417:5; 422:2,3,17;424:5; 425:13;426:1,15,19; 427:1,11;428:3;429:1; 431:14;432:24;435:3, 10;436:10;438:3,15; 439:3;440:20;441:25; 442:23;443:16;444:14; 445:11,16,21;446:2,13; 448:12;449:19;450:11, 12;451:21;452:6,9,17; 453:12,18;460:3,25;

		I		1
468:21;469:18;470:12;	358:13;359:8;377:14;	355:6;393:2;401:22;	big (1)	21;297:21;345:22;
471:19,21;472:4;	379:6;380:20;382:2;	466:24	350:20	346:4,8,17,19
473:3,9,18,25;474:4,	385:15;392:13;400:9;	become (2)	bill (39)	Brackman (1)
10,11;475:6,8,13,23,	407:24;421:10,13,14;	336:18;355:15	319:1;320:5;321:6;	255:20
25;476:16,20,23;477:1,	461:4	began (4)	353:6,13,17;354:21;	Bradley (1)
3;478:1;480:10,12,25;	background (6)	301:13;466:20;	355:12,13;365:21;	371:24
481:14;482:11,16,25;	253:22;261:19;	469:17;480:21	367:14;368:3,6;369:6;	Branch (71)
483:3,14,24;484:15	351:11,21;380:11;	begin (1)	370:14,21;371:1;	262:9;263:2;266:6,
attorney/client (1)	441:6	421:8	389:25;390:1,10,15;	16;267:15,22;268:2,3,
479:12	bailed (1)	beginning (1)	391:4,5;392:19,23,24;	4,15,23,25;269:1,8,12,
attorneys (11)	435:19	357:21	393:2,3,5,6,12,15;	14,16,23;271:2,25;
284:8,15;291:18;	balance (1)	behalf (6)	404:11;406:13,14;	272:13;273:9,11,12,14,
433:3,8;457:6;471:20;	477:19	310:17;350:16;	463:1,2;471:8,9	17,18;274:7;277:9;
477:6;483:2;484:18,20	ballot (9)	372:22;443:21;463:22;	bills (8)	278:17,22;279:3,7,8,
attorney's (3)	353:9,9;354:8,9,13;	467:21	353:1,3,4;357:14;	11,20;288:4;290:19;
426:4;448:18;450:16	366:3,6;368:4;393:8	behavior (2)	367:4;377:24;389:23,	291:1;297:14,19;
audience (1)	bar (9)	435:20;441:22	24	298:4;301:16;302:21;
436:2	319:5;404:1;423:4;	behest (2)	binder (2)	304:2,6;305:6;312:19;
Auditor (1)	425:5;426:9;429:5;	406:16;471:11	358:3;395:23	313:1,11;316:11;
279:22	440:7,17;449:5	behind (1)	binders (4)	329:18;330:2;331:8;
Auditor's (1)	barely (1)	457:20	358:4,10,17;395:15	334:10;340:20;354:4,
279:22	445:22	belabor (1)	binding (3)	4;361:21;389:9;390:8;
August (1)	barn (2)	447:4	315:1;375:20;431:3	395:2;428:24;435:23;
434:5	474:20;475:9	belief (6)	bit (9)	436:14;447:2;463:12;
Austin (1)	based (12)	335:21;365:13,15;	261:19;290:5;299:9;	465:9,22:470:11;
421:15	257:23;258:5;	413:24;444:16,20	323:2;351:19;352:21;	483:20
authority (34)	262:10;273:4;274:15;	believes (1)	365:18;398:19;405:17	branches (8)
256:1;269:8,11,13,	360:9;368:22;419:10;	253:16	blame (1)	313:8;352:13;390:4;
15,20;284:19,20,22;	427:24;474:2,5;481:3	Belke (21)	350:19	399:15;483:6,10,13;
285:1,4,24;297:13;	baseless (2)	266:14;281:14;	Blasdel (3)	485:12
314:16;321:24;322:3,	441:21;472:22	323:12,14;324:19;	361:17;385:13;406:9	Branch's (6)
11;334:5,15,20;335:4;	basic (1)	325:21;326:11;327:1,	blinds (1)	269:10,19;279:2;
407:15,20,25;408:4,16,	444:4	13;328:15;329:3;	301:19	302:20;303:5;466:9
24;409:6,21;419:20;	basically (4)	359:2,16;360:18;	blinks (1)	break (11)
424:20,21;457:12;	372:5;390:7;398:21;	371:15;372:13;381:8,	434:8	298:21,23;349:17,
484:18	448:16	15;385:14;396:3,25	block (1)	17,19;350:1;420:6;
authorize (1)	basis (9)	belong (1)	323:23	421:3,6,14;458:6
450:17	413:5;462:17;	473:13	blocks (1)	breakdown (7)
available (2)	471:24;473:5,10,19;	belongs (1)	363:13	324:8,14;381:8,13;
362:1;406:22	480:10,13;483:25	479:12	blue (1)	465:2,4,7
avoided (1)	batch (8)	below (5)	432:19	Brian (1)
424:23	291:13,17,22;	283:1;293:19;	Board (3)	472:9
aware (8)	292:12,22;294:9,16;	316:18;367:18;368:2	255:25;256:12,18	<b>brief</b> (12)
290:21;298:4;343:9;	295:9	besides (1)	body (3)	251:9,11;314:11;
355:15;397:20;398:2;	batches (2)	379:13	258:4;334:25;409:1	349:22;414:1;434:12;
401:22;416:24	292:9;344:7	best (2)	borne (1)	437:3,8;452:12;458:6,
away (4)	Bates (4)	363:14;484:24	278:23	9;459:17
369:14;453:22,23;	302:9;316:17;	bet (1)	both (11)	briefer (1)
478:17	338:17;347:19	436:4	275:7;277:25;	349:24
awhile (1)	bathroom (1)	Beth (13)	283:23;292:9;372:2;	briefing (10)
419:18	298:21	260:12,19;261:3;	394:17;421:7;424:16,	317:2;420:7;458:24,
-	battle (7)	311:5;321:5;357:6;	25;455:22;486:5	24;461:15;476:7;
В	452:2,7,14;453:8,16;	359:16,18;366:25;	bottom (11)	477:7;480:5;483:18;
	454:9;483:7	384:6;402:7;438:24;	282:24;302:10,15;	486:2
bachelors (1)	BB (2)	482:20	307:17;326:25;338:17,	briefly (5)
262:5	259:22;260:5	better (2)	21;366:14;373:14;	255:23;257:1;
back (33)	bear (2)	253:1;255:5	374:8;396:24	386:17;423:1;443:9
250:23,24;251:6;	280:18;366:14	beyond (5)	bounds (2)	briefs (1)
259:13;263:22;266:11;	bears (1)	255:25;276:6;283:8;	431:22;444:6	420:14
277:2,11;283:11;	427:2	286:19;450:14	box (3)	bring (2)
316:21;321:1;324:18;	beautiful (2)	bias (5)	274:12;276:4;297:5	259:10;441:8
330:15;331:1;332:1;	380:16,23	391:14;392:19;	boxes (12)	broad (3)
333.2.350.9.352.3 19.	*	414.23.433.21.456.12	294:7:296:6 8 10 16	262:10:373:16:

333:2;350:9;352:3,19; **became (4)** 

414:23;433:21;456:12

294:7;296:6,8,10,16,

262:10;373:16;

403:21	352:12;401:9;	289:13;301:13;312:6,	346:3;434:18	405:12;410:21;414:13;
broader (1)	433:15;477:1	8;314:2,3,7,8;316:22,	certain (5)	416:2,5,11,18;418:22;
307:8	calls (1)	23;317:12;320:9;	280:15;284:24;	419:9;421:2,18,21,24;
broadsweeping (1)	260:12	321:21;322:9,9,16;	352:15;355:15;443:3	431:9;458:5,15;480:1;
484:25	came (18)	326:2;333:20,21;	certainly (16)	482:6;485:22;486:11,
brought (1)	254:14;266:11;	337:1;339:9,17;	258:6;266:1;280:7;	22;487:8
435:11	285:13;287:18,24;	343:13;345:12;347:7;	283:10;285:2;317:16;	challenge (3)
Brown (15)	291:19;292:9,12;	350:22;363:11;368:19;	333:25;368:24;374:5;	335:1;443:11;477:17
266:3;320:8;321:15;	330:6,8;344:8;356:7;	369:14;371:17,20,25;	414:2;417:1;443:16;	challenged (2)
371:19,22;377:16;	369:10;404:20,21; 413:18;435:14;437:6	376:23;377:9,16; 389:20;391:17,17;	451:6;473:11;486:14; 487:3	463:5;464:3 challenges (1)
461:23;463:7,11; 464:2,16;467:24;	can (77)	392:17,22;400:21;	certificate (4)	450:19
469:6,7;482:9	250:13,14;267:3;	407:17;409:18;412:13,	292:3,14;295:8,20	chambers (1)
buckets (1)	279:9;280:1;287:17;	17,20;415:12,17,18,19;	certiorari (1)	442:4
471:16	299:18,19,20,23;	416:19,19;418:2,9;	376:22	chance (2)
budget (2)	300:14,16,18;302:2;	419:13;420:1;422:20;	chain (6)	382:19;385:4
263:4;306:12	308:3;311:6;325:21;	425:9,10,11;426:10;	278:25;293:2;	change (2)
buildings (1)	326:21;329:10,22;	427:6;429:7,8,8;430:3;	311:13;326:24;327:13;	366:7;444:1
267:23	330:14;333:24;341:20;	435:11;438:11,18;	329:1	changed (3)
bulk (4)	342:6;343:6,15;	440:8,16;441:8,16;	CHAIR (107)	353:24;377:22;463:2
324:13;353:2;	347:12;348:18,18;	444:22,23;445:1,6;	250:3,5,8;251:14,17;	changes (1)
381:11;396:20	350:10;351:10;352:21;	446:9,12;447:7,16;	256:24;258:15;259:23;	329:19
Bullock (1)	361:11;365:23;367:3;	448:24;449:16,22;	260:1,7,10,15;273:25;	characterization (1)
478:7	368:18;380:21;381:19;	450:2,8;451:4,5,17;	275:23;284:11;298:14,	481:1
bullying (1)	384:18;386:9;387:11;	453:12;454:11,22;	17,22;299:5,8,14,17,	characterize (1)
455:13	391:1;392:21;397:5;	455:9,16;457:9;	20,23;300:3;311:21,	307:6
bunch (1)	400:2;403:21;411:8,	458:18;459:4,6,11,20,	25;315:8;316:8;	characterized (1)
363:23	15;413:3;416:9,21; 420:21;424:22;428:9;	23,25;460:3,7,24; 462:1;463:8;467:24;	317:15;318:3;321:9, 12;322:17;323:4,7;	472:15
burgeoning (1) 478:16	432:19;434:16,17,19;	469:9;470:3;472:7,9,	330:16,19;335:8,24;	characterizing (1) 486:10
business (9)	438:1;443:19,21,25;	13,20;473:1;477:12;	345:14,17;347:8;	charge (3)
255:17;278:19;	445:18;447:23;449:18;	478:6,21,25;479:5,7,	348:12,19,25;349:5,8,	423:23;447:20;
293:20;324:15;332:5;	452:1;453:10;454:15;	23;480:22;482:18;	11,18,25;350:4,9,13,	455:17
351:22;388:8;410:16;	455:7;457:8,24;	485:24;486:5,10	17,23;356:15;358:7;	charged (9)
479:10	473:15;477:15;483:12,	cases (34)	360:8;368:8,20;369:4;	369:21;422:25;
Butte (1)	19;484:20;486:20	251:19;252:10;	370:10;379:22;384:13,	423:22;428:12,12,18;
262:2	Canyon (1)	254:24;255:19;257:16;	20;386:5,10,15;387:7,	435:18;437:7;482:10
button (2)	380:17	262:21;280:12;339:10;	11,14,19;392:3;394:4;	charges (6)
319:6;463:25	capacity (2)	378:4;426:6;427:9;	395:8;400:4;402:25;	257:21;413:12;
buttons (1)	338:22;339:1	440:5;441:7,17;445:3,	405:22;407:5;414:4,	462:8;476:12;480:9,12
319:4	caption (1)	3,3,19;446:3,7;449:22;	18;416:3,6,9;417:7,12,	Charlie (4)
	372:2	451:5;454:10,14;	15;418:14,19;419:24;	323:10;423:2;424:7;
C	cardboard (1)	458:7,20;459:2;	420:2,5;421:5,13,19,	427:21
calculated (1)	294:7 Care (5)	460:18,19,20;472:3,6; 486:3,5	22;458:3,10,13;485:15, 19;486:12,15,23;487:2,	check (1) 448:20
476:5	298:17;315:8;	catch-all (2)	9	checking (1)
calendar (1)	367:15;369:16;478:16	306:6;412:21	chaired (1)	259:24
342:11	careful (1)	categories (3)	388:23	cheerleading (1)
call (16)	459:3	404:5;470:22;471:15	Chairman (71)	427:19
260:8;271:18;	carefully (2)	cause (2)	250:4,7;251:13;	<b>Chief (17)</b>
349:12,13;350:5,10,11;	428:14;460:20	258:2,7	257:1;259:11;260:3,9;	301:10;323:16,23;
353:1;371:9,14;	Carolina (1)	caused (2)	278:7;298:12,15,19,25;	325:5;326:3;359:3;
387:14,16;416:9;	426:9	276:20;459:17	299:22;300:2;311:14,	367:10;396:6;403:3;
438:3;481:6;484:9	Carruthers (1)	CC (1)	20;312:1,7;314:21;	432:7;447:12;449:1;
called (19)	449:9	361:6	315:10;317:22;318:7;	457:14;460:2;463:7,
274:24;328:7;	case (158)	cements (1)	321:8;322:18;330:18,	10;467:19
371:15;399:7;404:17;	252:15;253:1,17,20;	462:16	25;333:18;335:13;	child (11)
422:4;429:8;457:17; 459:4,25;463:1;	254:13;255:2,20,23,24, 25;256:6,8,11;257:13,	centers (1) 262:25	336:2;341:10;345:15; 347:1,9;348:8,22;	256:7;262:20; 277:12,14,15,25;278:1;
465:19;467:15,19;	22;259:13;260:12;	Central (1)	347:1,9,348:8,22; 349:2,13,16;350:21;	280:9,11;284:23;
470:3;472:9,20;473:1;	266:2,3;270:6,8,8,11,	351:18	368:7;370:16;379:21,	336:25
479:7	22;277:13,13,16,21;	cert (5)	24,25;384:11;386:12,	chooses (1)
calling (4)	279:7;280:15;284:23;	314:5;343:25;344:3;	18;391:19;400:1;	455:8
<b>a</b> ( -)		,,,	- , , ,	

chose (1)	471:19;473:24;475:22	407:3;410:21;414:12,	24;402:2,20,25;403:3,	compliment (1)
467:5	clearer (1)	21;416:1,10,11;417:1,	16,19;404:14;406:1,6,	433:23
chunk (1)	422:22	9,13,18;418:16,17,21;	7,10,16,25;409:13,20;	comply (8)
277:4	clearly (13)	419:25;485:16,21	411:12;412:4,16,23;	286:9;436:11,25;
Circuit (1)	277:7;280:25;284:2;	collateral (7)	413:4;414:22,25;	437:15;439:2,15;
449:16	286:4;329:18;335:3;	312:4;314:4,20;	415:4;425:18;432:6,	443:23;484:4
circulating (1)	405:1;412:3,11;	315:7,15;369:17;410:9	10;443:25;459:5;	complying (2)
283:18	425:14;429:19;438:18;	collaterally (1)	470:5,7	466:20;468:3
circumscribed (1)	442:18	315:12	committees (1)	composed (1)
450:12	Clerk (8)	colleague (1)	388:21	394:16
circumstance (1)	270:10,13;324:5;	476:4	committee's (3)	comprising (2)
273:4	455:3;467:8;469:1;	collect (1)	403:20,22;406:20	422:9,18
circumstances (8)	481:7,20	359:10	common (9)	compromised (1)
433:5;445:13,16;	Clerk's (1)	collected (1)	434:3,4;440:23;	385:19
449:20;476:14;484:11,	343:5	466:3	446:17;476:21;477:6;	computer (3)
24;485:6	client (18)	collecting (1)	478:20,21;479:4	304:4,9,19
citation (1)	272:18;279:14;	308:25	communicating (1)	computers (3)
438:2	316:4;369:25;385:15;	college (1)	277:2	288:8;305:4;318:5
cite (9)	413:20,20;439:11;	351:22	communication (2)	conceal (2)
255:18,20;256:3,6,	442:5,19,19;443:22;	comfortable (3)	464:25;470:21	435:12,23
11;313:21;407:22;	455:5;459:16;462:25;	324:12;381:10,17	communications (7)	concept (1)
445:7;479:25	467:22;479:12,14	coming (1)	330:22;340:17;	447:19
cited (11)	clients (6)	387:2	468:24;480:16,24;	concern (13)
251:20;314:7;410:7;	432:19,22;438:22;	Comment (7)	481:18,25	274:11;284:9;
447:8;450:8;460:18,	442:1;456:9;457:10	313:21;393:23;	company (2)	313:16,19;316:22;
19;472:2,9,20;486:5	client's (11)	424:2;426:7;440:13;	415:17;479:24	321:20;356:20;357:2;
cites (3)	315:23;370:1,2;	448:3;451:19	compared (1)	361:1;375:14;397:18;
440:6;449:7;450:1	439:10;442:17,24;	comments (8)	443:2	470:13;484:14
citing (4)	443:5;444:6,12;455:1;	317:17,17;367:14;	compel (4)	concerned (13)
254:9;257:15;313:2;	459:17	392:15;423:24;425:15;	345:5,8;474:11;	274:10;278:3;
321:25	clock (2)	426:12;454:21	475:11	283:21,25;354:25;
citizen (2)	298:24;299:9	Commission (68)	compelling (3)	364:23;365:1;389:16;
309:15;392:8	close (7)	252:2,7,24;253:4,13,	427:10;429:8;457:23	404:3;408:21;415:4;
citizens (1)	266:12;267:1;	16;254:9,16;255:4,6,7,	competent (1)	470:10;485:2
415:9	301:19;324:15;350:22;	14;256:10;258:17;	429:17	concerning (15)
City (2)	457:25;484:9	265:15;278:6;298:16;	compilation (1)	316:24,25;321:14;
309:25;340:24	closes (1)	309:15;310:2;321:24;	288:17	370:21;372:7;390:12;
Civil (3)	350:22	322:12;334:17,24;	compilations (1)	391:8,9;393:18,19;
252:11,13;444:8	closing (6)	337:4;347:12;348:2; 354:6;355:1;366:7;	411:22	423:13;439:25;463:13 470:20;471:22
claim (5)	259:2;421:7,10,23;		Complaint (12)	*
290:6;317:10,11;	472:2;484:17	375:9;379:25;408:25;	317:19,22;412:20; 419:19;422:1,4,11;	concerns (22)
322:15;450:15	closings (1) 421:4	410:14;418:8,9; 421:25;422:14;423:19;		276:16;280:21;
<b>claimed (2)</b> 460:5;471:3		426:24;428:9;431:9;	428:13;466:24;476:6,	282:13;283:1,3,8;
claims (1)	<b>co-authored (1)</b> 460:1	420:24;428:9;431:9;	11;485:1 <b>complaints (5)</b>	284:16;352:1;365:6; 370:25;371:5,6;
417:4	COB (1)	441.17,443.23,443.13, 446:22;451:4,7,15,17;	353:25;419:2,2;	392:14;394:23;395:2;
clarification (1)	328:18	452:6;453:9,23;455:9,	432:9;483:2	403:21,22,24;406:12;
304:21	Code (10)	10;456:5,17;458:16,	432.9,463.2 complete (1)	455:21;466:8;473:8
clarify (3)	263:17;264:19,21,	23;459:2;460:19,22;	446:10	conclude (2)
304:16;327:20;381:1	23;305:24,25;313:12,	462:13;467:15;477:5;	completed (1)	420:1;483:19
clean (1)	21;321:25;432:4	478:9;480:2;482:7;	382:14	concluded (5)
266:12	Coe (1)	486:24	completely (2)	322:2;415:21;
clear (34)	450:22	Commission's (4)	268:22;478:17	441:11,19;487:11
252:1,5;298:1;	COLEMAN (52)	258:21;298:13;	compliance (1)	conclusion (8)
304:22;329:11;330:20;	349:13,23;350:2,11,	386:3;455:21	309:16	251:8;259:8;297:2;
361:11;363:24;364:14;	15,15,19;351:5;	commit (2)	complicated (1)	391:20;392:5;395:7;
371:19;390:3;392:4;	356:16;358:5,8,13,16;	439:8,14	464:19	421:9;484:2
393:2;408:20;422:16,	360:9;368:24;369:5;	committee (55)	complied (1)	conclusions (11)
16;423:10;425:2;	370:16,17;379:20;	310:17;311:5;363:8;	283:11	251:10,24;255:12;
426:18;427:15,20;	386:16,17,21;387:6,15,	379:3,7,8,14,17;	Complies (7)	258:10,18;420:10,15,
428:11;429:25;437:2;	16;388:1;390:24;	388:24;394:4,6,7,9,10,	359:1;360:16;364:8;	20,24;440:25;486:19
439:6,16;440:9,23;	391:3;392:4,6;395:10;	13,16,21,22;399:23;	396:1;397:13;405:11;	concurrent (1)
444:10;446:13;450:5;	400:6,9;405:16,23;	400:22;401:1,7,19,22,	450:19	485:25
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 ' ' ' ' '		İ	Î.

	conditioner (2)	consequence (1)	contending (1)	313:8;487:6	395:17
conduct (55)   256:1328916;   309:17:3131.3.21;   309:17:3131.3.21;   309:181.522409:18;   411.512.24225.22;   311.52.2409:18;   411.512.24225.22;   411.512.242225.22;   411.512.24225.22;   411.512.24225.22;   411.512.24225.					
256:13/289-16; 309:17/31/3.21; 322:1.10/332.5; 309:17/31/3.21; 322:1.10/332.5; 309:15/31/3.21; 472:22 423:46/2.41/3.45/3.15; consider of 07 252:15/2.22; 423:16/2.14/3.51/3.15; considered (7) 472:22; 423:46/2.01.29; 411:8.4/20.919; 441:18.4/20.919; 441:18.4/20.919; 441:18.4/20.919; 445:11.4/6.27, 7.22; 473:14/6.01.45; 461:9.47/3.44/3.24/4.6/2.0.23; 456:3.7, 13.457-23; 221:25/2.559.16; 222:15/2.559.16; 223:15/2.559.16; 223:15/2.559.16; 233:17/3.26/2.5; 222:15/2.559.16; 233:17/3.26/2.5; 233:18/3.29/2.29/3.29/3.29/3.29/3.29/3.29/3.29	· ·				
contention (1)   cont					
3221.10332:5;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:10339:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:103.39:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:103.39:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:103.39:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:103.39:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:103.39:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:103.39:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.10   329:103.39:16;   3479.18.20405:14   301.4.204.204.204.204.204.204.204.204.204.2					
					,
4417:2425.22; 4231.62.14245.13.16; 22425.846.19; 4273.17.194.3116; 42243.37.3744.7; 4432.4346.20.23; 488.9449.2545.40.13; 451.13.45571.22; 478.13.457.23; 488.1459.17,460.14; 461.94.17.472.5; 478.23.48.19.4857.17; 2284.30.411.24; 401.94.17.242.5; 479.24.75.17; conducting (1) 2894.30.411.24; 479.19.475.17; conducting (1) 312.22 479.19.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.20.			contents (4)		
222458.426.19; 4273.17,194.31-6. 4413.420.9.19; 44817.46277,22; 44817.4620.33; 44817.46277,22; 44817.4620.33; 44817.46277,22; 44817.4620.33; 44817.46277,22; 44817.4620.33; 339.213.416.34817; 4619.4717.47215; 475.23.484.19.485.7; 4719.475.17 conducted; 0. 2294.20.411.24; constituted (1) conducts (1) 470.10 311.174.025; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.7; 440.484.19.485.9; 440.484.19.19.895.9; 440.484.19.485.9; 440.484.19.485.9; 440.484.19.485.9; 440.484.19.19.895.9; 440.484.19.19		258:11;329:19;	347:9,18,20;405:14	361:4,10	Counter-factual (2)
2245.84.26.19; 4273.17, 1943.16; 432.24.58.24.26.13; 4418.420.9.19; 44511.466.2 432.44.437.43.44.20.23; 44511.24.62.2 438.24.451.24.23; 4451.24.23; 4561.24.24.73.13; 4561.24.77.13, 4561.24.23; 4561.	417:2;422:5,22;	411:11	contested (1)	copies (13)	
4473.47.34.77, 445.24.446.20.23. considering (1)	423:16,21;424:5,13,15,		425:12		
443:24443(2-0.2).3 448:9449:25450:13; 448:9449:25450:13; 451:134551:22.123; 456:37.13457:23: 458:1439:17440:14; 4619:471:7472:5; conducted (8) 2894;20:411:24; 471:9475:17 conducting (1) 312:12 condition (1) 471:10 311:17426:25; constituted (1) 470:10 312:12 confidence (3) 440:4451:9485:9 confidence (3) 385:16.19470:15 confidence (3) 385:16.19470:15 confidence (3) 385:16.19470:15 confidence (3) 385:16.19470:15 confidence (1) 279:19 confirm (6) 289:23.289:24 289:23.289:24 289:23.289:24 289:23.389:24 470:20 470:2					
448;24446;20,23; 458;13,455;12,21,23; 458;13,455;12,21,23; 458;14,59;17;460;14; 4619;471;7472:5; 475;23;484;19;485;7 conducted (5) 289;4,20;41;124; 471;10 constitute (1) 483;22 confistent (3) 361;1439;9 257;18,20;258;1; 459;12,20; 458;14,59;17;460;14; 471;10 conducting (1) 471;10 confistinted (1) 485;23 confistent (2) 331;17,426;25; 266;4289;6 266;4289;6 266;4289;6 273;32;42;42;42;42;42;43;43;43;43;43;43;43;43;43;43;43;43;43;					
449:949:25;450:13: 456:37,13;457:23: 456:37,13;457:23: 458:14357:17460:14; 461:9;471:7;472:5; 475:23;4841:9;4857 conducted (5) 2894;20;411:24; 2000 consisten (1) 471:10 doubted (1) 2000 conducts (1) 471:10 doubted (1) 471:11 doubted (1) 471:					
456:34,713457:23; 458:14:59:17:460:14; 4619:471:74725; 475:23:484:19;485:7 conducted (5) 475:23:484:19;485:7 conducted (5) 475:23:484:19;485:7 conducted (5) 471:10					
458:1439:17460:14, 4619:471:74725: 4619:471:74725: 471:9475:17 conducted (5) 2894-20:411:24; 2471:9475:17 conducting (1) 471:10 conducts (1) 331:1746:25; 471:10 conducts (1) 471:10 conducts (1) 466:5 confidence (3) 271:11,12,14,17; 272:13,6274:13,14, 14:278:3,11,13; 272:13,6274:13,14, 14:278:3,11,13; 272:2,136:274:13,14, 14:278:3,11,13; 279:23283234-24; 288-22,2090:16; 336:13,17,2378:9; 385:16,19,470:15 confidentiality (1) 279:19 confident (6) 289:3310:24; 365:20:374:1386:22; 439:12 confident (8) 289:23:10:24; 365:20:374:1386:22; 439:12 confident (9) 289:3310:24; 365:20:374:1386:22; 449:245:332:13,16; 441:1459:22:473:18; 449:245:13,16; 441:1459:22:473:18; 461:9 288:23:289:24 confident (8) 288:23:289:24 confident (9) 289:33:10:24; 365:20:374:1386:22; 460:12 confident (8) 289:13 constitutionality (1) 461:19 279:19 confident (8) 289:23:289:24 confident (8) 289:23:30:24; 385:1436:80:20 confident (9) 289:33:346:89 288:23:289:24 confident (9) 289:33:346:89 288:23:289:24 confident (9) 289:33:346:89 288:23:289:24 confident (9) 289:33:346:89 289:23:340:24; 369:20 confident (9) 289:33:346:89 289:23:340:24; 389:12 confident (9) 289:33:346:89 289:23:340:24; 389:12 confident (9) 289:33:340:24; 389:12 confident (9) 289:33:340:24; 389:13 confident (9) 289:33:340:24; 389:13 confident (9) 289:23:340:24; 389:13 confident (1) 289:13 289:23:340:20 289:23:33:340:30 289:23:340:20 289:23:33:340:30 289:21:25:340:20 289:23:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:340:340 289:13 289:21:29:33:340:30 289:21:29:33:340:30					
consistent (3)					
461:9:471:7472:5; conducted (5) 2894;20:411:24; constitutents (1) 441:22 262:12 2694;20:411:24; constitutents (1) 441:22 262:12 2694;20:411:24; constitutents (1) 441:22 262:12 260:14:26:23:33:22 260:14:26:25; 256:42:289:6 278:9 249:13:366:20:373:8 366:20:30:13:30:1					
considered (5)					
262:12					
289:4.20.411:24; constituents (1) 438:22 contrary (2) 256:4289:6 contrary (2) 256:4289:6 contrary (3) 331:17;426:25; contrary (2) 256:4289:6 contrary (3) 323:33431.5; 336:12.380:13;381.5; 366:12.380:13;381.5; 376:14 contributed (1) constituted (1) contributed (1) 459:13 control (9) 459:13 control (9) 271:11.12,14.17; 272:1,3,6;274:13,14, 14:278:331.1,3; 279:23:283:24,24; 354:10:366:2,6;393:6, 21.25:409:21;46:13; 461:19 confidentiality (1) 279:19 confidentiality (1) 289:23:310:24; 369:22,343:11.3; 289:23:310:24; 369:22,343:11.3; 289:23:310:24; 369:22,343:11.3; 289:23:310:24; 369:22,343:11.3; 289:23:310:24; 369:22,343:11.3; 289:23:310:24; 369:22,343:11.3; 289:23:310:24; 369:22,343:11.3; 289:23:310:24; 369:22,343:11.3; 289:23:310:24; 360:23:36:34:36:23 controlling (1) 409:1 controlling (1) 409:1 controlling (1) 46:14 constitutionally (1) 46:14 const					
conducting (1) conducts (1) 312:12 confessed (1) 459:13 confidence (3) 440:43:19:9485:9 confidence (3) 440:43:19:9485:9 272:11.36:274:13.14, 142:78:3.11.13, 272:13.62:24; 142:78:3.11.13, 322:33:353:9.15, 336:13.17.20:378:9, 336:13.17.20:378:9, 336:13.17.20:378:9, 356:12.393:16, 340:19 40:19 279:19 confidentiality (1) 288:21:23:23:23:23:23:23:23:23:23:23:23:23:23:					
conducting (1)         constitute (4)         contrary (2)         Core-Mark (1)         366:12:380:13:381:5;         366:12:380:13:381:5;         366:12:380:13:381:5;         351:17:426:25;         256:4:289:6         256:11         395:15;407:6;416:11,         200:11:10 (1)         366:12:380:13:381:5;         395:15;407:6;416:11,         200:11:10 (1)         366:12:380:13:381:5;         395:15;407:6;416:11,         256:11         395:15;407:6;416:11,         200:11:10 (1)         342:6         Corner (1)         21:41:7;442:13:47:25         200:11:30 (20)         252:16;253:25;         252:16;253:25;         479:11         279:11:11,214:17;         254:18:390:2,35;         354:18:390:2,35;         354:18:390:2,35;         354:18:390:2,35;         354:18:390:2,35;         354:18:390:2,35;         354:18:390:2,35;         460:12         479:11         conrectly (1)         459:11         251:3;278:19;300:1;         316:23:347:23:406:20;         251:3;278:19;300:1;         316:23:347:23:406:20;         251:3;278:19;300:1;         316:23:347:23:406:20;         200:11         479:11         correctly (1)         459:11         479:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11         470:11					
conducts (1)					
condicts (1)					
312:22 confessed (1) 466:5 confidence (3) 459:13 constitutes (1) 252:16:253:25; 252:47:280:4;432:18; 442:254:43:5;452:24; 460:12 controlled (1) 264:25 controlling (1) 264:25 controlling (1) 336:13,17,20;378:9; 335:10,19;470:15 confidentiality (1) 279:19 confirm (6) 279:19 constitutional (14) 469:1 constitutionally (1) 469:1 construction (1) 499:1 confirm (2) 288:23:289:24 confict (8) 492:25;432:13,16; 441:1459-224;73:16; 441:1459-224;73:16; 449:15:1 confict (8) 265:93:01:10;433:8 contact (2) 288:23:289:24 confict (8) 271:11,24:277:5; conforms (1) 271:16,25;276:12; 288:21;485:11 confict (8) 36:15 confirm (9) 252:16;253:25; 254:32:18; 440:12 contact (3) 440:12 contact (4) 264:25 contact (3) 460:19 304:43:08:5300:19; 305:10;331:1,324: 265:29:301:10;433:8 contact (2) 288:23:289:24 confict (8) 265:93:01:10;433:8 contact (2) 288:23:289:24 confict (8) 271:16,25;276:12; 288:21;485:11 conficts (1) 271:16,25;276:12; 288:21;485:11 confict (8) 271:11,24:277:5; 286:20;336:13;465:7; 271:11,24:277:5; 286:20;336:13;465:7; 271:11,24:277:5; 286:20;336:13;465:7; 271:11,24:277:5; 286:20;336:13;465:7; 271:11,24:277:5; 286:20;336:13;465:7; 271:11,24:277:5; 286:20;336:13;465:7; 271:11,24:277:5; 286:20;336:13;465:7; 271:11,24:277:5; 286:20;336:13;465:7; 286:20;36:20;36:20;36:20;36:20;36:20;36:20;36:20;36:20;36:20;36:20;36:			*		
confessed (1)         459:13 (constitutes (1))         control (9) (252:16;253:25; (2547:280:4;432:18; (440:4;451:9;485:9)         Corp (2) (252:16;253:25; (2547:280:4;432:18; (451:14)         251:3;278:19;300:1; (422:7;466:23;474:4, (451:14)         252:16;253:25; (2547:280:4;432:18; (460:12)         Corp (2) (279:11,12,14,17; (279:13,14,14,17; (279:13,14,14,17; (279:23;283:24,24; (284:22,20;290:16; (284:22,20;290:16; (284:22,20;290:16; (284:22,20;290:16; (284:22,20;290:16; (284:22,20;290:16; (284:22,20;290:16; (284:22,20;290:16; (284:22,20;290:16; (284:21,20;290:16; (284:21,20;290:16; (284:21,20;290:16; (284:21,20;20)         461:19 (280:21)         289:21;290:3; (293:310:24; (297:21,23)         253:10,16,19;254:1,2, (255:493:21,13,14, (461:19)         310:19;311:7,18; (201:19)         310:19;311:7,18; (201:19)         265:29;330:10,14,133:8 (201:19)         266:29;330:10,14,33:8 (201:19)         266:20;330:1,1,13,23:5; (201:11,23,22;22:72:22,23)         260:29;23,33:13,24,5; (262:7,16;263:11,11,13,23; (262:7,16;263:11,11,13,23; (262:7,16;263:11,11,13,23; (262:7,17,17,19)         266:20;23,33:13,24,5; (262:7,16;263:11,11,13,23; (262:7,16;263:11,14,13,22,22; (201:10,13,22,22;27;27;22)         260:11,14,15;290:22,23;293:13,23,25; (201:11,24;277:5)         260:11,14,15;290:22,23,23;293:13,24,5; (201:10,13,23,23;23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,2					
252:16;253:25;   254:7;280:4;432:18;   254:7;280:4;280:29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:3;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:3;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:3;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:3;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:3;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:3;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:3;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:3;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:3;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   251:25;252:33;4,4.5;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19;284:1,29;   253:10,16,19					
confidence (3) 440:4;451:9;485:9 confidential (26) 271:11,12,14,17; 272:21,36;274:13,14, 14;278:3,11,13; 279:23;283:24,24; 284:2;20;290:16; 285:12,09;214:61:13; 336:13,17,20;378:9; 335:16,19;470:15 confidentiality (1) 279:19 confidentiality (1) 279:19 confidence (3) 289:21;290:32; 460:12 210:55:409:21;461:13; 461:19 210:55:409:21;461:13; 462:19 20:01 289:21;290:22; 460:12 210:55:409:21;461:13; 462:19 20:01 289:21;290:32; 461:19 20:01 330:4;308:5;309:19; 30:4;308:23; 462:19 20:01 30:4;308:5;309:19; 30:19;311:7,18; 463:19 20:01 30:19;311:7,18; 463:20;21 327:25;328:48,12,19; 467:17,18;468:10,13 327:25;328:48,12,19; 480:11 327:25;328:48,12,19; 480:12 329:7,13,23;331:19,24; 480:12 329:7,13,23;331:19,24; 487:20 288:23;289:24 confict (8) 489:21;485:11 conflicts (1) 281:649:1 contacts (2) 281:649:1 contact (3) 288:23;289:24 306:15 2001act (4) 281:649:1 contact (2) 281:649:1 contact (3) 281:649:1 contact (4) 281:649:1 contact (5) 281:649:1 contact (6) 281:649:1 contact (7) 281:649:1 contact (8) 483:21;485:11 conflicts (1) 271:16,25;276:12; 289:25;346:9 483:12 297:22 contact (2) 381:15 conveyed (1) 365:13 367:23 482:2484:5 conveyed (1) 365:13 367:23 482:2484:5 conveyed (1) 365:13 367:23 482:21;21;21;23;234:22;21;23;235:23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;23;2		constitutes (1)			
confidential (26)         354:18;390:2,3,5;         460:12         correctly (39)         Court (326)         251:25;252:3,4,5;         252:3,4,5;         260:12         controlled (1)         289:21:290:3;         259:31:290:3;         259:31:290:3;         251:25;252:3,4,4,5;         252:3,1,1,13;         252:3,3,53:9,15;         264:25         293:15;295:25;300:22;         253:10,16,19;254:1,2,2         243:10,20,2         243:11,21,2	confidence (3)			corrective (1)	
271:11,12,14,17; 272:1,3,6;274:13,14, 14;278:3,11,13; 279:23;283:24,24; 284:2,20;290:16; 336:13,17,20;378:9; 385:16,19;470:15 confidentiality (1) 279:19 confirm (6) 289:3,310:24; 293:15;295:25;300:22; 289:3,310:24; 299:19 confirm (6) 289:3,310:24; 299:19 confirm (6) 289:3,310:24; 299:19 confirm (2) 289:3,310:24; 299:19 confict (8) 288:23;289:24 confict (8) 288:23;289:24 confict (8) 429:25;432:13,16; 449:12 conficts (1) 288:23;289:24 confict (2) 288:23;289:24 confict (3) 288:23;289:24 confict (2) 281:6;469:1 conficts (1) 297:20 contacted (2) 382:17,215 conficts (1) 297:20 contacted (2) 382:17,215 confict (3) 288:23;289:24 confict (3) 380:15 281:6;469:1 contact (2) 380:15 297:22 483:12 confict (3) 288:23;289:24 confict (3) 380:15 297:13,18,25;333:19; 328:23;339:13,18,25;333:13; 280:7;281:3285:8,9; 479:22 468:20;481:5,10; 482:2;484:5 conveyed (1) 381:15 Conveyed (1) 366:15 297:13,14,24;277:5; contacted (2) 381:15 Conveyed (1) 380:15 297:22 483:12 confict (3) 482:2;484:5 contacted (2) 382:23 284:281:3,16; 442:12;485:17 contact (2) 382:17,20;439:6, 17;446:13,450:5; 286:20,336:13,47,20; 289:23,336:14,24,2; 289:29:19 Contaming (1) 49:1 Containing		Constitution (7)			
272:1,3,6;274:13,14, 14;278:3,11,13;					
14;278;3,11,13;   279:23;283:24,24;   354:10;366:2,6;393:6,   461:19   304:4;308:5;309:19;   3,5,9,15;255:24;257:4,   461:19   310:19;311:7,18;   6:258:13,23;261:5,20;   262:7,16;263:11,11,13;   336:13,17,20;378:9;   476:22;477:17,19   constitutionality (1)   464:4   constitutionally (1)   469:1   controwersy (1)   327:25;328:4,8,12,19;   279:19   constitutionally (1)   480:21   329:7,13,23;331:19,24;   277:12,17,23;279:14;   289:3;310:24;   construction (1)   468:20;481:5,10;   content (3)   339:24;353:11;354:12;   286:11,14,15;290:22,   439:12   contact (3)   288:23;289:24   contact (2)   288:23;289:24   contact (2)   288:23;289:24   contact (2)   281:6;469:1   contacts (2)   281:6;469:1   contact (2)   271:16,25;276:12;   conficts (1)   271:16,25;276:12;   conforms (1)   306:15   271:11,24;277:5;   conforms (1)   306:15   271:11,24;277:5;   conforms (2)   286:20;336:13;465:7;   470:20;471:1;476:24   containing (1)   429:19   contempt (2)   438:2;455:7   contempt (2)   438:2;455:7   contempt (2)   438:2,455:7   contempt (2)   437:20   contempt (2)   438:2,455:7   contempt (2)   438:2,455:7   contempt (2)   438:2,455:7   contempt (2)   449:16   449:16   472:1;475:10,14   370:13;371:8;373:23;					
279:23;283:24,24; 284:2,20;290:16; 21,25;409:21;461:13; 262:17;17,19 279:19 279:19 289:33;10:24; 339:24;233:19:24; 299:12 20nfirm (6) 288:23;289:24 24:21;454:9; 268:29;473:16; 441:1;459:22;473:16; 483:12 20nficts (1) 279:19 283:23;289:24 20nfirm (8) 232:5;432:13,16; 441:1;459:22;473:16; 260:15; 271:10,25;276:12; 297:22 20nficts (1) 271:16,25;276:12; 20nficts (1) 232:33:33:12; 20:33:33:12; 20:33:33:13:33:33:33:33:33:33:33:33:33:33:					
284:2,20;290:16; 336:13,17,20;378:9; 385:16,19;470:15 constitutionality (1) 464:4 480:21 confirm (6) 409:1 479:22 confirms (2) 288:23;289:24 conflict (8) 429:25;432:13,16; 449:12 conflicts (1) 48:21 conflicts (1) 297:25;432:13,16; 441:1459:22;473:16; 483:21;485:11 conflicts (1) 279:19 (200 confirms (2) 283:23;289:24 confict (8) 429:25;432:13,16; 441:1459:22;473:16; 483:12 conforms (1) 306:15 conforms (2) 271:11,24;277:5; conforms (3) 306:15 271:11,24;277:5; conforms (2) 271:11,24;277:5; conforms (1) 306:15 271:11,24;277:5; conforms (2) 283:23;386:9 470:22 470:20;					
336:13,17,20;378:9; 385:16,19;470:15 constitutionality (1) 464:4 480:21 279:19 constitutionally (1) 469:1 469:1 467:17,18;468:10,13 329:7,13,18;25;333:13; 280:7;281:3;285:8,9; 24 contacted (2) 288:23;289:24 contacted (2) 288:23;289:24 contacted (2) 281:6;469:1 contain (4) 429:1 429:12 (20 tontain (4) 429:1 429:12 (20 tontain (4) 429:1 429:12 (20 tontact (3) 281:6;469:1 281:6;469:1 297:22 (20 tontact (3) 281:6;469:1 281:12 (20 tontain (4) 279:14;459:22;473:16; 483:21;485:11 (20 tontain (4) 279:22 (20 tontact (3) 281:6;469:1 299:22;473:16; 483:12 (20 tontain (4) 271:16;25;276:12; 297:22 (20 tontain (4) 271:16;25;276:12; 297:22 (20 tontain (4) 297:22 (20 tontain (4) 271:16;25;276:12; 297:22 (20 tontain (4) 271:14;476:24 (20 tontain (3) 233:2 429:19 (20 tontain (4) 429:16 (20 tontain (4) 332:7,13,18;25;333:13; 284:1,12,12; 297:22 (20 tontain (4) 20 tontain (4) 297:22 (20 tontain (4) 20 tontain (4) 297:22 (20 tontain (4) 20 tontain (5) 20 tontain (6) 20 tontain (7) 20 tontain (8) 20 tontain (9) 20					
385:16,19;470:15         constitutionality (1)         464:4         controversy (1)         327:25;328:4,8,12,19;         327:25;328:4,8,12,19;         10,12,13,22,22;272:24;         277:12,17,23;279:14;					
confidentiality (1) 279:19         464:4 constitutionally (1) confirm (6)         480:21 conversation (4) def:17,18;468:10,13 def:17,18;468:10,13 def:18;285:8,9; and solve the final point of the final					
279:19         confirm (6)         409:1         conversation (4)         332:7,13,18,25;333:13;         280:7;281:3;285:8,9;           289:3;310:24;         construction (1)         conversations (5)         355:23;379:11;354:12;         286:11,14,15;290:22,           439:12         contact (3)         482:2;484:5         correctness (1)         304:23;305:11,221;           conflict (8)         281:6;469:1         conveyed (1)         47:20         312:5,9,11,14,16,20,22,           499:25;432:13,16;         contacts (2)         381:15         conveying (1)         422:12         314:4,614,23,25;           483:12         297:22         422:17;423:11;         297:22         422:17;423:11;         2332:2,11;324:5;           conficts (1)         271:11,24;277:5;         286:20;336:13;465:7;         426:18;427:20;439:6,         268:20         336:63;338:22;339:1;           consect (1)         286:24;445:9         429:19         429:19         260:13;465:17;         429:19         420:13;45:49:9         425:4;45:9         437:16;348:15;350:18;         330:24;333:13;45:17;         522,24;344:2347:11,           connection (3)         429:19         Cooler (3)         380:7,8;383:24;400:2;         355:11,366:17;         355:11,366:11;366:11					
confirm (6)         409:1         467:17,18;468:10,13         339:24;353:11;354:12;         286:11,14,15;290:22,           289:3;310:24;         construction (1)         conversations (5)         355:23;379:11;382:17;         22,23;295:24;301:79;           439:12         contact (3)         482:2;484:5         correctness (1)         306:10;308:15;309:17;           288:23;289:24         contacted (2)         365:15         corresponding (1)         22;313:4,513,23,25;           409:1 (8)         281:6;469:1         conveyed (1)         447:20         312:5,9,11,14,16,20,22,           285:23;289:24         contacted (2)         365:15         corresponding (1)         22;313:4,5,13,23,25;           409:1 (8)         281:6;469:1         conveyed (1)         422:12         23;313:4,5,13,23,25;           441:1;459:22;473:16;         contacts (2)         381:15         CORRIGAN (12)         315:7;317:14;318:5,           483:12         271:16,25;276:12;         conveying (1)         422:17;423:11;         486:22,23,24;487:8         300:24;333:19,22;           conflies (1)         297:22         422:17;423:11;         268:20         336:63         336:63           conflued (2)         286:20;336:13;465:7;         470:20;471:1;476:24         420:19         452:4;454:9         471:19;473:24;475:22         289:25;316:10	• , ,				
289:3;310:24; 365:20;374:1;386:22; 439:12 confirms (2) 288:23;289:24 conflict (8) 429:25;432:13,16; 483:21;485:11 conflicts (1) 271:16,25;276:12; conforms (1) 385:23;379:11;382:17; 437:20 304:23;305:1,1,2,21; 306:10;308:15;309:17; 312:5,9,11,14,16,20,22, 23;313:4,5,13,23,25; 365:15 conveyed (1) 447:20 corresponding (1) 422:12 314:4,6,14,23,25; 200 contacts (2) 381:15 CORRIGAN (12) 315:7;317:14;318:5, 231:17,21 contain (4) 367:23 convient (1) 483:12 conforms (1) 306:15 contained (9) 306:15 271:11,24;277:5; confused (2) 325:3;468:9 429:19 contection (3) 347:7;362:11;396:8 Convept (1) 452:4;454:9 Cooper (1) 455:13,13;463:17; 472:1;475:10,14 304:23;309:17; 437:20 correctness (1) 437:20 304:23;309:17; 437:20 304:23;309:17; 306:15;47:20 22;3;295:24;301:7,9; 304:23;309:17; 447:20 Corresponding (1) 447:20 Corresponding (1) 422:12 314:4,6,14,23,25; 314:4,6,14,23,25; 314:4,6,14,23,25; 314:4,6,14,23,25; 315:7;317:14;318:5, 22;2,11;324:5; 320:8;321:17,25;			` /		
365:20;374:1;386:22;       479:22       468:20;481:5,10;       437:20       304:23;305:1,1,2,21;         439:12       contact (3)       265:9;301:10;433:8       convey (1)       447:20       312:5,9,11,14,16,20,22,         288:23;289:24       contacted (2)       365:15       corresponding (1)       23;313:4,5,13,23,25;         conflict (8)       281:6;469:1       contacts (2)       381:15       CORRIGAN (12)       315:7;317:14;318:5,         441:1;459:22;473:16;       312:17,21       contain (4)       367:23       15;485:15,17,22;       322:2,11;324:5;         conflicts (1)       271:16,25;276:12;       contained (9)       422:17;423:11;       486:22,23,24;487:8       330:24;333:19,22;         confused (2)       286:20;336:13;465:7;       470:20;471:1;476:24       452:4;454:9       251:3;254:22;281:2;       341:19;342:14;343:1,         connect (1)       containing (1)       452:4;454:9       347:16;348:15;350:18;       332:2       341:19;342:14;343:1,         connection (3)       contempt (2)       454:3,46       416:21;419:9;421:25;       357:7,8;359:11,18;         Connell (1)       479:19       49:16       479:16       479:10,14       370:13;371:8;373:23;					
439:12 confirms (2)         contact (3)         482:2;484:5 convey (1)         306:10;308:15;309:17; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 365:15 convey (1)         312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:1,12,12,12,12         Corresponding (1)         422:12         312:5,911,14,16,20,22, 20; 312:14,24,12,12; 312:14,24,12,12; 312:14,24,12,12; 312:14,24,12,12; 312:14,24,12,12; 312:14,24,12,12; 312:14,24,12,12; 312:14,24,12,12; 20; 312:14,24,12,12; 20; 312:14,24,12,12; 20; 312:14,24,12,12; 20; 312:14,24,12,12; 20; 312:14,24,12,12; 20; 312:14,24,12,12; 20; 312:14,24,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12; 20; 312:14,124,12,12,12,12,12,12,12,12,12,12,12,12,12,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
confirms (2)         265:9;301:10;433:8         convey (1)         447:20         312:5,9,11,14,16,20,22,         365:15         corresponding (1)         23;313:4,5,13,23,25;         312:5,9,11,14,16,20,22,         23;313:4,5,13,23,25;         365:15         corresponding (1)         422:12         314:4,6,14,23,25;         314:4,6,14,23,25;         314:4,6,14,23,25;         314:4,6,14,23,25;         314:4,6,14,23,25;         314:4,6,14,23,25;         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         315:7;317:14;318:5,         323:2:17,25;         323:2:2,11;324:5;         323:2:2,11;324:5;         323:2:2,11;324:5;         323:2         426:18;427:20;439:6,         268:20         334:2,8,22;335:2,15;         334:2,8,22;335:2,15;         325:3;468:9         325:3;468:9         470:20;471:1;476:24         325:3;468:9         470:20;471:1;476:24         345:4;454:9         347:16;348:15;350:18;         322:2,2,23;34:11,234:14;343:1,         323:2         323:2         429:19         Cooler (3)         380:7,8;383:24;400:2;         35:10,16;356:1,8;         35:10,16;356:1,8;         35:10,16;356:1,18;         365:11;367:11;368:12; <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
288:23;289:24 conflict (8) 281:6;469:1 conveyed (1) 429:25;432:13,16; 441:1;459:22;473:16; 483:21;485:11 contain (4) 271:16,25;276:12; 297:22 conforms (1) 306:15 confused (2) 306:15 confused (2) 365:15 conveyed (1) 313:4;4,6,14,23,25; 314:4,6,14,			*		
conflict (8)         281:6;469:1         conveyed (1)         422:12         314:4,6,14,23,25;           429:25;432:13,16;         441:1;459:22;473:16;         312:17,21         conveying (1)         421:21;458:4,5,14,         23;320:8;321:17,25;           483:21;485:11         contain (4)         367:23         15;485:15,17,22;         322:2,11;324:5;           conforms (1)         297:22         422:17;423:11;         426:18;427:20;439:6,         268:20         336:6;338:22;339:1;           confused (2)         286:20;336:13;465:7;         470:20;471:1;476:24         422:17;423:12         Counsel (22)         340:8,9,9,22,24,25;           connect (1)         containing (1)         452:4;454:9         289:25;316:10;321:13;         5,22,24;344:2;347:11,           connection (3)         438:2;455:7         Cooper (1)         454:3,4,6         46:21;419:9;421:25;         357:7,8;359:11,18;           Connell (1)         438:2;455:7         Cooper (1)         459:16         472:1;475:10,14         370:13;371:8;373:23;	, ,		• , ,		
429:25;432:13,16;       contacts (2)       381:15       CORRIGAN (12)       315:7;317:14;318:5,         441:1;459:22;473:16;       312:17,21       conveying (1)       421:21;458:4,5,14,       23;320:8;321:17,25;         483:21;485:11       contain (4)       367:23       15;485:15,17,22;       322:2,11;324:5;         conflicts (1)       271:16,25;276:12;       convincing (11)       486:22,23,24;487:8       330:24;333:19,22;         conforms (1)       contained (9)       426:18;427:20;439:6,       cost (1)       334:2,8,22;335:2,15;         confused (2)       286:20;336:13;465:7;       471:19;473:24;475:22       268:20       36:6;338:22;399:1;         connect (1)       286:20;336:13;465:7;       471:19;473:24;475:22       251:3;254:22;281:2;       341:19;342:14;343:1,         connect (1)       containing (1)       452:4;454:9       347:16;348:15;350:18;       347:16;348:15;350:18;       13;348:1,6,9;352:2;         323:2       429:19       Cooler (3)       380:7,8;383:24;400:2;       355:10,16;356:1,8;         connection (3)       438:2;455:7       Cooper (1)       455:13,13;463:17;       365:11;367:11;368:12;         Connell (1)       449:16       472:1;475:10,14       370:13;371:8;373:23;					
441:1;459:22;473:16;       312:17,21       conveying (1)       421:21;458:4,5,14,       23;320:8;321:17,25;         483:21;485:11       conflicts (1)       271:16,25;276:12;       convincing (11)       486:22,23,24;487:8       330:24;333:19,22;         483:12       297:22       422:17;423:11;       cost (1)       334:2,8,22;335:2,15;         conforms (1)       contained (9)       426:18;427:20;439:6,       268:20       336:6;338:22;339:1;         306:15       271:11,24;277:5;       17;446:13;450:5;       Counsel (22)       340:8,9,922,24,25;         confused (2)       286:20;336:13;465:7;       471:19;473:24;475:22       251:3;254:22;281:2;       341:19;342:14;343:1,         325:3;468:9       470:20;471:1;476:24       cool (2)       289:25;316:10;321:13;       5,22,24;344:2;347:11,         connect (1)       containing (1)       452:4;454:9       347:16;348:15;350:18;       13;348:1,69;352:2;         323:2       429:19       Cooler (3)       380:7,8;383:24;400:2;       355:10,16;356:1,8;         connection (3)       438:2;455:7       Cooper (1)       455:13,13;463:17;       365:11;367:11;368:12;         Connell (1)       contemptuous (3)       449:16       472:1;475:10,14       370:13;371:8;373:23;					
conflicts (1)         271:16,25;276:12;         convincing (11)         486:22,23,24;487:8         330:24;333:19,22;           483:12         297:22         422:17;423:11;         cost (1)         334:2,8,22;335:2,15;           conforms (1)         contained (9)         426:18;427:20;439:6,         268:20         336:6;338:22;339:1;           306:15         271:11,24;277:5;         17;446:13;450:5;         Counsel (22)         340:8,9,9,22,24,25;           confused (2)         286:20;336:13;465:7;         471:19;473:24;475:22         251:3;254:22;281:2;         341:19;342:14;343:1,           connect (1)         containing (1)         452:4;454:9         289:25;316:10;321:13;         5,22,24;344:2;347:11,           connection (3)         429:19         Cooler (3)         380:7,8;383:24;400:2;         355:10,16;356:1,8;           connection (3)         438:2;455:7         Cooper (1)         455:13,13;463:17;         365:11;367:11;368:12;           Connell (1)         contemptuous (3)         449:16         472:1;475:10,14         370:13;371:8;373:23;					23;320:8;321:17,25;
483:12	483:21;485:11	contain (4)	367:23	15;485:15,17,22;	322:2,11;324:5;
conforms (1)         contained (9)         426:18;427:20;439:6,         268:20         336:6;338:22;339:1;           306:15         271:11,24;277:5;         17;446:13;450:5;         Counsel (22)         340:8,9,9,22,24,25;           confused (2)         286:20;336:13;465:7;         471:19;473:24;475:22         251:3;254:22;281:2;         341:19;342:14;343:1,           325:3;468:9         470:20;471:1;476:24         cool (2)         289:25;316:10;321:13;         5,22,24;344:2;347:11,           connect (1)         containing (1)         452:4;454:9         347:16;348:15;350:18;         13;348:1,6,9;352:2;           323:2         429:19         Cooler (3)         380:7,8;383:24;400:2;         355:10,16;356:1,8;           connection (3)         438:2;455:7         Cooper (1)         455:13,13;463:17;         365:11;367:11;368:12;           Connell (1)         contemptuous (3)         449:16         472:1;475:10,14         370:13;371:8;373:23;	conflicts (1)	271:16,25;276:12;	convincing (11)	486:22,23,24;487:8	330:24;333:19,22;
306:15       271:11,24;277:5;       17;446:13;450:5;       Counsel (22)       340:8,9,9,22,24,25;         confused (2)       286:20;336:13;465:7;       471:19;473:24;475:22       251:3;254:22;281:2;       341:19;342:14;343:1,         325:3;468:9       470:20;471:1;476:24       cool (2)       289:25;316:10;321:13;       5,22,24;344:2;347:11,         connect (1)       containing (1)       452:4;454:9       347:16;348:15;350:18;       13;348:1,6,9;352:2;         323:2       429:19       Cooler (3)       380:7,8;383:24;400:2;       355:10,16;356:1,8;         connection (3)       contempt (2)       454:3,4,6       416:21;419:9;421:25;       357:7,8;359:11,18;         347:7;362:11;396:8       438:2;455:7       Cooper (1)       455:13,13;463:17;       365:11;367:11;368:12;         Connell (1)       contemptuous (3)       449:16       472:1;475:10,14       370:13;371:8;373:23;				cost (1)	
confused (2)       286:20;336:13;465:7;       471:19;473:24;475:22       251:3;254:22;281:2;       341:19;342:14;343:1,         325:3;468:9       470:20;471:1;476:24       cool (2)       289:25;316:10;321:13;       5,22,24;344:2;347:11,         connect (1)       containing (1)       452:4;454:9       347:16;348:15;350:18;       13;348:1,6,9;352:2;         323:2       429:19       Cooler (3)       380:7,8;383:24;400:2;       355:10,16;356:1,8;         connection (3)       contempt (2)       454:3,4,6       416:21;419:9;421:25;       357:7,8;359:11,18;         347:7;362:11;396:8       438:2;455:7       Cooper (1)       455:13,13;463:17;       365:11;367:11;368:12;         Connell (1)       contemptuous (3)       449:16       472:1;475:10,14       370:13;371:8;373:23;	, ,				
325:3;468:9       470:20;471:1;476:24       cool (2)       289:25;316:10;321:13;       5,22,24;344:2;347:11,         connect (1)       containing (1)       452:4;454:9       347:16;348:15;350:18;       13;348:1,6,9;352:2;         323:2       429:19       Cooler (3)       380:7,8;383:24;400:2;       355:10,16;356:1,8;         connection (3)       contempt (2)       454:3,4,6       416:21;419:9;421:25;       357:7,8;359:11,18;         347:7;362:11;396:8       438:2;455:7       Cooper (1)       455:13,13;463:17;       365:11;367:11;368:12;         Connell (1)       contemptuous (3)       449:16       472:1;475:10,14       370:13;371:8;373:23;					
connect (1)         containing (1)         452:4;454:9         347:16;348:15;350:18;         13;348:1,6,9;352:2;           323:2         429:19         Cooler (3)         380:7,8;383:24;400:2;         355:10,16;356:1,8;           connection (3)         contempt (2)         454:3,4,6         416:21;419:9;421:25;         357:7,8;359:11,18;           347:7;362:11;396:8         438:2;455:7         Cooper (1)         455:13,13;463:17;         365:11;367:11;368:12;           Connell (1)         contemptuous (3)         449:16         472:1;475:10,14         370:13;371:8;373:23;					
323:2       429:19       Cooler (3)       380:7,8;383:24;400:2;       355:10,16;356:1,8;         connection (3)       contempt (2)       454:3,4,6       416:21;419:9;421:25;       357:7,8;359:11,18;         347:7;362:11;396:8       438:2;455:7       Cooper (1)       455:13,13;463:17;       365:11;367:11;368:12;         Connell (1)       contemptuous (3)       449:16       472:1;475:10,14       370:13;371:8;373:23;			, ,		
connection (3)       contempt (2)       454:3,4,6       416:21;419:9;421:25;       357:7,8;359:11,18;         347:7;362:11;396:8       438:2;455:7       Cooper (1)       455:13,13;463:17;       365:11;367:11;368:12;         Connell (1)       contemptuous (3)       449:16       472:1;475:10,14       370:13;371:8;373:23;		0 , ,	*		
347:7;362:11;396:8 438:2;455:7 <b>Cooper (1)</b> 455:13,13;463:17; 365:11;367:11;368:12; <b>Connell (1)</b> 49:16 472:1;475:10,14 370:13;371:8;373:23;					
<b>Connell (1) contemptuous (3)</b> 449:16 472:1;475:10,14 370:13;371:8;373:23;					
250.0 429.20,450.0,447.0 <b>cool alliate (2) coulingers (1)</b> 574.13,20;570:19,21;					
	430.0	727.20,730.0,747.0	Coordinate (2)	Counsel 5 (1)	3/7.13,40,3/0.17,41,

377:2;378:3,4,13; 383:23;384:6,7; 385:15;386:24;389:21;	369:16;375:19;409:9; 423:6;431:2,4;434:1,8, 9;439:16;460:8;	D	8,10,13;315:2,7; 320:11;333:21;335:22; 343:13,22;355:25;	deliberations (1) 378:4 delicate (3)
390:17,21,22;391:12,	464:22,25;469:3,14;	daily (1)	356:8;370:3;413:23;	440:12;448:2;477:18
18;392:17;396:10;	478:10,14;479:21;	268:13	422:15;446:5;460:8;	deliver (2)
398:8,14,17,20;400:21;	481:15	data (2)	461:14,18;478:10	288:7;346:13
402:6,13,14;403:2,8;	covered (2)	263:8;411:22	decisional (1)	delivered (7)
404:8;407:18,21;	334:16;473:13	date (10)	289:13	291:16,18,22;
408:2,8,15,22;409:2,7;	Cox (31)	266:10;298:5,6;	decisions (11)	295:13,16;346:5;
410:20;411:14;415:5;	281:6,24;282:7,17;	303:10;324:25;325:1;	259:5;275:14,20;	382:16
416:15;421:15;422:9,	283:2;289:7,15;345:8,	330:10;381:19,20;	276:2,5;337:23;338:6;	delivering (1)
12,20;423:15;426:21;	10,11;384:7,22;385:12,	383:10	339:18;340:6;461:16;	263:4
428:7,19;429:10,15,16,	22;419:4;438:20,24;	<b>dated</b> (13)	466:17	delivery (6)
17,18,21,22;430:2,6,7,	466:22,25;467:5,15,18,	274:21;288:13;	declaration (1)	292:3,14;294:1;
12,23;431:20,24;432:3,	19,22;468:3,5,19;	307:23;318:14;320:1;	288:13	295:8,21;332:6
16,21;433:1,11,19,20,	481:4,11,22;482:1	321:2;324:19,23;	<b>decried (1)</b> 478:13	Delta (3)
21;434:13,14,19,21,24, 25;435:4,7,8,9,12,17;	Cox's (1) 481:6	326:10,12;327:16;	dedicated (2)	423:16;446:18; 447:14
436:12,17,18,21,21,23;	create (1)	361:20;397:25	443:19,21	demand (1)
437:12,13,15,16,21,22;	412:24	dates (1)	<b>defamation</b> (1)	460:10
438:2,4,19;439:4;	created (5)	343:16	444:22	demeans (1)
440:1,21,24;441:4,11,	332:10;347:7;	David (4)	Defendant (1)	457:15
14,19;445:4,5,6;446:4,	399:23;406:7;441:13	291:24;326:22;	480:23	Democratic (1)
16;447:5,8,17,25;	creating (1)	327:4;329:2	defended (1)	477:22
448:6,7,25;450:7,14;	406:25	day (12) 257:25;266:21;	427:13	demonstrated (1)
452:15,20,20,23;	creation (1)	295:17;325:12;367:5;	defending (1)	460:14
453:11,13;455:4,19;	255:8	435:24;437:6;465:12,	254:25	demonstrative (1)
456:1,2,4,14;457:12,	crime (2)	24;466:19;468:18;	defense (1)	296:5
16;459:6,8,9,9,21,25;	280:15;478:24	483:7	447:20	denial (1)
460:7;461:2;463:6,19;	criminal (1)	days (8)	defenses (1)	434:10
464:10,12;466:21;	412:18	254:1,19;258:9,9;	441:13	denied (9)
467:5,7,8,9,11,12;	critical (2)	367:3;410:15,16;480:3	defiance (2)	254:2;314:6;343:25;
468:14,23;469:1,22,24;	369:11;460:4	day-to-day (1)	430:2;448:14	344:2;346:3;421:1;
470:8,11;471:6;	critically (1)	359:6	defies (3)	461:9;474:24;482:19
472:15;474:7,14,21,24;	477:21	<b>DD</b> (2)	434:3;457:15;478:21	deny (1)
476:17;477:9,14;	cross (2)	259:22;260:6	defined (1)	420:16
478:9,12;479:2,9,16;	298:21;461:6	dead (1)	412:17	denying (1)
480:18;481:6,7,8,13,	cross-examination (5)	457:21	definition (1)	253:20
20,23;482:3,19,24;	299:24;300:6;	deal (6)	411:18	<b>Department (45)</b>
484:4,21;485:4;486:4; 487:4,5	379:23;380:2;416:3 cross-examine (1)	251:7;274:12;	<b>defy (3)</b> 437:12;476:21;479:4	261:22;266:7,15,23; 267:12,17;268:8;
courtesy (10)	298:18	276:21;284:1;420:16;	defying (3)	282:12;285:15,17;
266:13,22;267:5;	crucial (1)	434:17	440:22;446:17;	289:3,16;290:10,14;
273:2;274:4;281:10,	456:23	dealt (2)	478:19	292:15;293:3;294:9,
13;337:12;444:7;466:4	crystal (1)	330:24;335:9	degree (6)	19;295:3,21;313:9,11;
Courthouse (1)	428:11	<b>December (1)</b> 434:18	262:5;351:23,24,25;	336:11;337:14;341:1;
448:21	CSED (1)	decide (9)	383:18;392:7	344:5,9;346:2;362:14;
courtroom (7)	256:8	320:8;348:3;432:3;	delay (2)	363:21;364:3;371:9;
435:5;437:20;440:9;	current (3)	445:15;453:10;458:23;	255:13;420:22	378:13;383:8,13;
449:11,14;450:9;	301:6;375:8;412:8	462:2;475:3;481:9	delayed (3)	397:24;398:5;466:13,
457:19	currently (4)	decided (20)	464:18,18;476:1	19;467:3;468:1,22;
Courts (25)	289:24;388:7,17,18	312:11;313:23;	delete (1)	470:19;471:1;474:18
253:12;262:14,14,	custodial (1)	320:11;321:17;322:8;	328:2	departments (1)
15,24;270:5;272:22;	270:18	334:2;335:3,15;356:4;	deleted (9)	425:4
304:17;305:3;306:19;	custodian (2)	368:12;370:7;408:15;	275:9;360:21,24;	depending (1)
309:24,25,25;314:15;	270:16;279:25	410:2,20;413:16;	374:13;376:6;387:3;	340:24
321:23;340:10,23;	custody (4)	418:9;436:24;451:17;	397:16;465:17;466:14	depends (2)
352:24;415:22;430:16;	278:25;293:3;295:3;	453:12;473:7	deleting (2)	458:2;477:22
438:13;463:4;484:17, 19,24	346:7 cyber (1)	deciding (1)	403:12;466:11 <b>deletion (2)</b>	<b>deplorable (2)</b> 427:19;457:22
19,24 Court's (24)	268:7	369:15	334:8;408:7	depose (1)
292:19;315:13;	200.7	decision (27)	deliberating (2)	459:21
326:1;343:12;368:22;		253:19;258:12,23;	421:9;486:18	deprived (1)
		259:7;312:5,10;314:5,	1.,,100.10	

429:24 disrespectful (9) 401:20,21;404:10; 266:22;267:7,11; discretionary (1) Derek (1) 270:10:273:3.14.15: 453:13 428:6:429:14.20: 461:4.5:465:17:468:4: discuss (1) 430:24;433:23;440:10; 291:23 274:6;287:3,5,7,25; 470:9;473:13;474:12, derogatory (1) 290:25;298:3,5; 252:11 457:25;459:8,12 15.17.23.25:475:11.15: 317:4 372:13;415:25;440:10; discussed (5) disrespecting (2) 482:22 452:19,20 describe (3) 456:4 392:25;413:10; domain (1) 365:25;375:13; 430:21;444:4;451:6 316:25 directly (8) disruption (1) discusses (2) 476:2 402:23 316:1,6;317:18; done (17) described (2) 409:9;415:24;419:7; 442:16;485:3 disruptive (1) 256:16;353:7; 465:1;478:12 433:9;474:10 discussing (1) 250:25 356:24;394:22;398:21, describes (1) Director (6) 396:9 disseminated (2) 23;404:12;415:15; 261:22;267:11,19; discussion (4) 347:11:348:6 324:6 418:10;419:18;436:20, 269:8;383:7;468:2 deserves (1) 277:11;284:21,23; dissent (3) 22:439:13:462:11: directs (1) 444:15 477:11,24;479:15 475:20;482:12,14 435:2 Despite (1) 281:15 discussions (2) distance (1) door (2) disagree (4) 276:4;339:8 424:3 390:8;448:21 470:23 dishonest (2) 252:21;256:20; distinct (1) detail (1) dot (2) 257:4;473:16 433:15;434:4 476:17,18 321:14 257:14 dishonesty (2) distress (1) detailed (2) disagreed (2) doubles (1) 422:1;428:13 372:4;428:4 440:22;446:16 276:21 431:17 details (1) disagreement (1) disjunctive (2) distributed-powers (1) doubt (9) 424:11:425:1 335:3:428:25:429:2: 389:14 428:3 477:21 determination (2) disavowed (2) dismayed (2) District (23) 442:22,22,23,24;443:6; 409:23:434:2 480:17:481:11 329:16;362:7 253:12;262:15; 445:2 determinations (3) disbarment (2) dismiss (1) 263:11;270:12;277:12, Douglas (1) 258:22;428:9;484:25 455:18,19 431:17 17;305:1;306:19; 473:2 disbarred (2) determine (6) disobedience (2) 308:14;309:17;312:17, down (8) 344:15:362:11; 456:10,15 423:2,5 21,23;326:3;340:9,21; 311:4;329:9;333:6; 385:17;393:9;408:6; discharge (1) disobey (2) 403:7;445:6;463:4,8; 337:16;349:15;373:14; 426:25 368:14 427:22;438:15 467:5;478:14;479:8 379:7;431:17 determined (4) disciplinable (1) disobeved (2) disturbing (2) downstairs (2) 254:3:315:1.1: 423:23 428:4:474:1 389:18:415:12 349:15:387:18 418:15 Disciplinary (17) disparages (1) diverse (1) drafted (1) **Developments (1)** 251:21:252:13.16: 457:15 339:2 428:14 drafting (1) 425:7 253:23;254:22;380:8; dispassionate (1) Division (1) 267:25 Dewhirst (2) 395:17;419:2,16; 460:11 333:9 displeasure (1) **DOA** (3) 291:24;346:6 441:8;445:1;454:14; drives (8) 364:13;377:20;468:3 288:4;293:5,10,21; diem (1) 459:19;472:1,3;473:1; 469:22 475:14 disposed (1) document (17) 294:5,6;296:19,20 367:5 difference (2) discipline (5) 368:23 266:14,24;271:4,10, Drug (3) 257:9:295:18 457:24;459:21; disposition (5) 11,14;273:11;302:10; 272:22,24;279:14 different (13) 461:21;482:25;484:18 275:15:337:24; 303:7;358:8;361:25; due (5) disciplined (3) 338:7;348:3;466:18 257:18,21;273:4; 364:9;366:13;385:3; 359:7;410:15; 314:10;387:4,5;390:4; 460:3;473:3;484:15 dispute (6) 405:8;418:1;433:2 429:23;430:15;456:14 disclose (7) 301:15;317:11,12; documentation (1) 395:15;403:5;433:18; duly (3) 319:15,18;336:11; 335:15;352:12;388:25 403:11 260:20;351:1;387:23 443:8;459:7;487:5 464:11;481:18;482:2,3 duplicated (1) differently (4) disputed (2) documents (80) disclosed (13) 257:7;474:9 251:3;266:3,17; 372:15 353:12;453:20; duplicative (1) 482:13,15 284:6;285:23; disputes (1) 271:3,15,20;272:4,21, difficult (4) 288:18;290:3,7,18; 482:8 21;279:8,21;280:11, 294:20 250:17;344:14; 319:19:347:11:391:23, disqualification (1) 16;281:16;282:12,22; during (9) 434:20:484:7 25:481:25:482:5:484:6 283:22:286:16:287:9. 472:23 263:4,5;264:6; digital (1) disclosure (8) disqualify (5) 10,10,11;290:16; 347:22;348:13;370:12; 275:7 277:8;285:7;290:11, 431:24;432:13; 291:11;292:16;294:20; 380:19;450:9;468:14 295:15,22;296:6; dignity (4) 13,15;389:1;410:12; 463:15;464:7,23 duties (16) 430:19;448:7; 470:15 disregard (8) 297:3;327:22;328:17; 263:10,16,21;264:1, 457:12;485:3 disclosures (1) 369:23;370:6; 329:16,21;338:3; 1;286:15;305:21; DIRECT (12) 413:15;434:16;436:18; 344:11,12,15,18,22; 306:10;312:20;313:4; 410:15 260:25;288:12,14; discovered (1) 439:20,24;471:22 345:1,5,9,22;346:1,8, 315:4;339:2;368:15; 347:14:351:4:357:5; 291:14 disregarding (1) 10,12,13,24;347:3,5, 406:10;423:3;425:24 387:25:395:4:416:21: discovery (5) 429:25 10,19,21;348:12,16; duty (11) 424:20;442:12;476:2 347:17:416:16; disrespect (2) 357:18;363:2;378:12, 314:1;407:12,13; directed (19) 417:10;461:25;475:17 440:14:448:4 24;387:2;400:22; 408:16;409:5;412:1,2;

				1
432:8;443:10,12;	elk (1)	381:16;385:15;387:3;	436:20	evaluate (1)
485:23	380:18	389:1,7,10,15,18;	engage (2)	462:14
	else (13)	391:3;393:13;394:25;	313:18;446:20	evaluates (1)
${f E}$	259:9;273:17;	396:10;397:15;398:6;	engaged (4)	451:15
	300:23;303:21;344:18,	402:18;403:12,20;	455:11;459:15;	even (46)
earlier (16)	20,22,25;362:24;	406:15;408:7;413:25;	471:7;475:23	252:20,21;254:7;
278:21;283:23;	394:13;442:2;452:22;	428:8,24;436:14;	enough (3)	270:14;275:2;277:20;
292:8;305:20;306:6;	454:2	437:23;447:2;452:22,	348:9;475:7;478:1	279:6;284:1,2,17;
307:14;335:6;336:9;	email (92)	24;462:11;463:12,14,	ensure (1)	289:10;338:8;349:23;
337:17;338:1;345:21;	268:12;276:3;278:2,	17,19;464:6;465:11,	272:17	366:3;391:6;392:16,
346:16;368:11;407:16;	13;282:2,6,10;283:1,	17;466:1,10,14,15,16;	ensuring (2)	22;393:16;409:13;
408:10;447:8	11,22;286:21;289:8,	470:18	306:14;435:18	410:9;413:1,4,17;
early (1)	14;302:11,20,22;	emasculating (1)	enter (2)	417:23;426:24;427:10;
437:5	307:17,22;308:2;	435:22	253:10;347:2	434:4,16;438:12,16;
easier (1)	309:9;310:3,5,14,25;	emergency (22)	entered (3)	439:21;442:10;443:22,
367:18	311:4,13;318:13,16,25;	338:13;341:6;	331:12;342:15,17	25;445:22;451:3;
easiest (1)	319:9,24;320:4,18,23;	342:16;451:25;452:17,	entering (1)	452:23;454:14;457:7,
270:3	321:2,5;324:2,19,24;	17;453:1,5,10,11,14,	430:1	24;462:18;472:24;
eastern (1)	325:1,2,5,20,25;	16;461:3;467:1,6,21,	entertain (1)	473:6,21;474:14;484:8
351:19	326:10,16,24,25;	23;469:2,3,5;470:2;	431:4	evening (4)
easy (1)	327:10,12,13;329:1,20;	481:23	entire (5)	278:15;280:22;
458:18	330:10;331:8,13,19;	emphasizing (1)	311:23;313:24;	281:7,9
economics (1)	332:4,4,15,24;333:9,	439:20	315:5;333:20;368:10	event (4)
351:23	10;338:3,6;359:15;	employed (1)	entirely (5)	270:23;284:22;
educational (1)	360:17;361:4,6,22;	483:17	322:15;335:1;	403:1;441:18
351:20	364:3;366:21,24;	employee (6)	437:24;459:18;485:1	events (6)
EE (2)	367:7,21;368:14;	276:25;301:4;304:6;	entitled (2)	263:19;264:8;
259:22;260:6	376:5;381:20;382:13;	466:10;469:14;470:15	314:18;376:4	301:12;303:15;468:15;
effect (5)	384:5,12,22;385:5;	employees (10)	entitlement (1)	482:9
263:21;286:11;	396:25;397:20;455:2;	304:2,3;305:6;	316:18	eventually (4)
303:5;331:8;440:16	463:20;465:16;468:11,	332:16,21;333:10;	environment (1)	297:19;343:24;
effective (2)	16,17;470:25	395:3;404:2;415:6,19	268:12	390:20;428:8
303:10;456:8	emails (172)	employees' (1)	Epperson (3)	everybody (4)
efficient (1)	266:6;268:2;273:14,	336:22	454:22;455:2,8	299:8;415:11;440:9;
255:11	24;274:12,25;275:4,5,	employee's (1)	Epperson's (1)	454:1
effort (2)	10,14,20;276:1,11,16,	425:23	455:1	everyone (4)
326:17;382:6	24;277:5,22;278:17,18,	employs (1)	equipment (2)	250:12,13,18;482:17
efforts (2)	20,24;279:3,17,24;	472:7	313:14,25	evidence (43)
330:1;404:12	280:3;281:12,19;	enact (1)	equipped (1)	257:13;260:6;293:2;
egregious (2)	283:7,18;284:6,14,19,	479:18	289:16	315:14;317:9,24;
430:25;441:9	20;285:2,3,14,18,22,	encounter (1)	equivalent (1)	384:25;405:13;409:4,
Eight (6)	24;287:16,25;288:5,6,	378:17	429:11	21,25;411:9;416:15;
362:19;388:16;	18,24;289:24;290:9,11,	encourage (3)	err (1)	422:17;423:10,11;
439:7;453:4,6,9	13;291:1,5,8,11,13,16;	460:18,21;486:4	484:10	424:12,14,16,24;
either (13) 258:18;259:15;	294:7,9,24;295:2; 296:13,23;297:19,22;	end (15) 256:22;264:2;313:5,	ERROL (2)	426:18;427:20;428:15;
	298:4;301:16;312:4,	17,20;380:22;382:11;	350:25;351:8	431:25;439:7,17;
266:10;267:1;272:1,6; 274:14;275:7;277:10;	13;313:24;314:22,24,	391:12;392:17;430:16,	errors (1) 440:24	445:18,20;446:13; 449:17;450:5;460:24;
290:17;331:17;390:14;	25;316:11,14,14,19,24;	19;438:8;443:24;	especially (2)	462:16;470:24;471:19;
428:10	317:13;319:15,18,19;	478:24;487:3	483:11;484:23	472:12;473:12,25;
elected (4)	321:13,14;322:6,20,22;	ended (1)	established (3)	475:16,20,22,25;483:1
269:15;313:8;	323:15;328:3;329:5;	483:5	256:8;401:20;412:4	evidentiary (5)
351:12;356:24	330:20;334:5,8,13;	endorse (2)	establishing (1)	257:10;315:15;
electronic (7)	336:12;337:4,22;	254:15;483:16	449:24	472:25;473:22;477:16
271:3,5;282:17;	339:3,5;344:4,7,8;	endorsement (1)	esteemed (1)	Ex (11)
288:4;302:4;332:4;	348:5;352:16,18,20;	427:16	421:25	291:20;294:12;
	355:15,18;356:6;	enforceable (1)	etc (2)	295:16;468:9,13,20;
/1017:17	357:4;359:11,23;	398:10	288:5;481:19	480:16,23;481:4,18;
402:17			ethical (5)	484:5
electronically (1)		enforced (2)		
electronically (1) 366:15	360:1,2,12,21,23;	enforced (2) 390:10:431:8		
electronically (1) 366:15 element (1)	360:1,2,12,21,23; 361:21;362:8,12,15;	390:10;431:8	426:2;427:17;	exacerbate (1)
electronically (1) 366:15 element (1) 426:17	360:1,2,12,21,23; 361:21;362:8,12,15; 363:23;364:12,15,21,	390:10;431:8 Enforcement (6)	426:2;427:17; 432:23;433:4;442:7	<b>exacerbate (1)</b> 485:11
electronically (1) 366:15 element (1)	360:1,2,12,21,23; 361:21;362:8,12,15;	390:10;431:8	426:2;427:17;	exacerbate (1)

Sacrophic   Sacr		440.7	222.17.20	E2.1 (1)	266.16.275.12
385437212237913; 26715171222684; 1838-921223 25918,161827312; 2729123912, 2019 exercise (3) 2602535134; 29019 exercise (3) 26020351138723 25814455474004 exhaustive (1) 235118 Exhibit (116) (2) 25613,14 Exhibit (116) (2) 273183803 (2) 26020351138723 274182822.52889; example (7) 29441329562965; 336523.53403 260203515; 274182822.52889; example (7) 294452921.25; 2729,1027910; 29441329562965; 30710,15163105.22 examples (5) 407148 38810.344111.14.20; examples (6) 242123715; 243812 examples (6) 360515.353613; 2521040788; 3880.93411.11.42.0; exception (6) 360515.153613; 2521040788; 364714.24.36519.20; 4118.4412.343010; 3686730183515; 43812 exceptions (2) 412821383515; 490224 40301334311.619.23; 44566.22 exchange (2) 395243906.223; exchange (2) 395243906.223; 40744118.4412.343010; 3405234312.34 4301334311.619.23; 44566.2041712.14; 33922 44566.22 exchange (2) 395243906.223; exchange (2) 395243906.223; exchange (2) 395243906.223; 44566.24471.14341.14, 33722 2825331614342.3 4118.41420.3442.3 4118.4123.343010; 340526342341.14 42018.4142.33922 44566.254411.14, 33722 282533164342.3 4118.41420.342.34361.9 395243906.223; exchange (2) 395243906.223; exchange (2) 395243906.223; exchange (2) 474236.26 exchange (2) 3942430323311.3 exclusively (1) 42725 exchange (2) 274714282810.3023.3 3118.3 exclusively (1) 42725 exclusion (5) 27634626 exclusion (5) 27634626 exclusion (6) 27634626 ex	exactly (7)	442:7	332:16,20	F3d (1)	366:16;375:13
284,941,222   269,18,16,18,273,12,12   278,223,792,20   290,19   2352,233,93,11   386,20,337,25   284,233,22,234,10,10,24,13,14   245,14					` '
EXAMINATION (4)   278:222792.20; 200:19   200:253114; 386:203387.25   exercise (3)   200:203511; 387:22   258:14,458:74,604   exhaustive (1)   228:14,1458:74,604   486:21   229:64,201:12,20; 238:33,405:8   274:18,282.25,288:9; example (7)   272:91,00279:10   274:18,282.25,288:9; example (7)   272:91,00279:10   230:14,302.223,233   300:14,302.223,233   300:14,302.223,233   400:17,47615,480:3   400:17,480:3   400:17,480:3   400:17,480:3   400:17,480:3   400:17,480:3					
cspadient (2)   cspadient (2)   cspadient (2)   cspadient (3)   cspadient (3)   cspadient (4)   cspadient (4					
2557.10			,		
examined (3)   258:144547-3604   260:20351:1387.23   250:131.4   Examines (2)   256:131.4   Examines (2)   264:11.16.20;2673; 385:34058   272:9.10;279:10; 291:4;292:1,25; 272:9.10;279:10; 291:4;292:1,25; 272:9.10;279:10; 291:4;292:1,25; 282:24;259:15; 336:21,254268; 298:330:225;305:15; 370:10,15,163:10; 225; 282:282:291:336:194:391:332:4; 400:17476:15;480; 391:01,21;336:10; 400:17476:15;480; 391:01,21;336:10; 400:17476:15;480; 391:01,21;336:10; 400:17476:15;480; 391:01,21;336:10; 400:17476:15;480; 391:023:10; 400:17476:15;480; 400:17476:1					
250:0351:387:23					
Examiner (2)   250:13.14   Examines (2)   250:13.14   Examines (2)   264:11.16.20;267:3; 385:3405:8   272:9.10;279:10; 385:3405:8   291:42921.25; 272:9.10;279:10; 336:21.254268; 298:3302.25305:15; 336:21.254268; 298:3302.25305:15; 307:10.15.16.318.10.12; 230:143222.223233, 460:174.76.15480.3   250:143222.223233, 427:18   306:174.76.15480.3   250:14322.223233, 427:18.3   306:143.232.223233, 427:18.3   306:143.232.223233, 427:18.3   306:143.232.22333, 427:18.3   306:143.232.23233, 427:18.3   306:143.232.23233, 427:18.3   306:143.232.23233, 427:18.3   306:143.232.23233, 427:18.3   306:15.153.61.3   3					
Examines (2)					
Examines (2)   264:11.16.20;267:3; assistants (27:29)   27:19.10; assistants (27:29)   27:19.10; assistants (27:29)   29:14:3295:6296:5; assistants (27:29)   29:14:3295:3396:29; assistants (27:29)   29:14:3295:3396:39; assistants (27:29)   29:14:32999; assistants (27:					
274:18.example (7)					
272-91.0279-10;   291-43-295-6296-5;   327-219.0279-10;   336-21,254-26-8;   298-3302-25-305-15;   298-3302-25-305-15;   398-17,214-10-6;   398-17,214-10-25;   398-17,214-10					
272-9.10/279-10; 294-13/295-6296.5; 336-21/2546-8; 427-18					
336:21,25426:8; 298:3302:25305:15; 398:17,214:106 427:18 examples (5) 336:19;459:1; 307:10,15,16;318:10,12; 320:14;322:22;3232; 3, 460:17;476:15;480:3 except (7) 252:14;255:20; 252:19;289:13,3824; 427:23,474;2 excepted (1) 460:10 38:91,72,5359:15; 398:11,10,3378:8,88; 427:23,474;2 exception (6) 252:10,4078; 411:18;412:3;430:10; 388:17,214:11,14,20; 427:23,484:11,14,20; 484:10,13,19; exchanged (1) 339:12,339:15,330:17 252:10,4078; 411:18;412:3;430:10; 368:6370:18;372:15; 438:12 exceptions (2) 412:19,10 exchanged (2) 359:15,360:17 exchanged (1) 339:22 exchange (2) 359:15,360:17 exchanged (1) 445:65 412:19,10 exchanges (2) 359:15,360:17 exchanged (1) 339:22 exchanges (2) 359:15,360:17 exchanged (1) 446:16,20,3417:12,14; 4316:6; 432:341:14 2339:22 exchanges (2) 359:15,360:17 exchanged (1) 339:22 exchanges (2) 359:15,360:17 exchanged (1) 337:22 252:10,246:6:16 exchanges (2) 339:13,143:341:16,19,23; explains (1) 339:22 exchange (2) 339:16,21 exchanges (3) 339:16,21 exchanges (4) 339:22 exchanges (2) 339:16,21 exchanges (3) 339:16,21 exchanges (4) 339:22 exchanges (2) 339:16,21 exchanges (3) 339:17,11,141:24; 445:6 exchanges (4) 45:16 exchanges (4) 45:16 exchanges (4) 46:20,346:18 expert (11) 46:56 exchanges (4) 478:16 exchanges (4) 478:16 exchanges (4) 486:19 486:19 485:6 exchanges (4) 486:19 486:19 486:19 486:10 486:10 486:10 486:10					
Agricological points					
273:8   273:8   251:24:253:10;   330:1432:22:23233.   400:17:476:15;480:3   9.10.21:324:19;   301:13.22:23232:1,   252:142:253:20;   223:326:22:3327:2,   233:29:21:333:24;   417:20.25;461:11   238:23:348:4348:1;   336:23:389:15;438:11   460:10   358:9.17:25:399:15;   360:15.15;361:3;   360:15.15;361:3;   364:7.14.24.36:19.20;   438:12   373:4380:25:281:2;   427:23:466:10   342:1.18:343:15;   438:12   438:12   438:12   427:23:436:12   438:12   427:23:436:12   438:12   427:23:436:12   438:12   447:36:19.20;   441:18.44:23:430:10;   438:12   447:146:16.10.31:9;   448:10.13.19;   448:10.13.19;   448:10.13.19;   448:10.13.19;   448:10.13.19;   449:25:417:21   439:25:417:21   439:25:417:21   439:25:213:343:14.42:19;   448:12.43:57.42:21;   439:12.33:22:11;   337:18   462:0465:12:467:14;   459:25:29   excluding (3)   430:26;466:16   exclusion (5)   422:23:23:23:38:23:38:23   411:420:18:42:19;   426:23:466:18   426:23:466:18   427:23:23:23:38:23:38:23   411:420:18:42:35:33:18:33:42:3   411:420:18:42:35:23:38:19;   430:123:32:23:11;   337:18   432:35:29:25:23:38:11:33:32:1;   439:10:38:11;   439:10:38:11;   439:10:38:11;   439:10:38:11;   439:10:38:11;   439:10:38:11;   439:10:38:11;   439:10:38:11;   439:10:38:11;   449:22   449:22   449:23:466:19   449:22   449:23:466:19   449:22   449:23   4					
336.19:459:1; 460:17-47-(15:480:3 9.10.21:324:19; 30:23:25:29:326:22:327:2, 25:214:255:20; 25:22:44:255:20; 25:22:22:3309:10.11, 275:19:289:13:382:4; 427:23:474:2	examples (5)				
460:17:476:15;480:3 275:19;289:13;382:4; 472:33:474:2 excepted (1) 460:10 538:91,72:53:91:5; 460:10 538:91,72:53:91:5; 438:12 exception (6) 438:12 exception (6) 412:9,10 exception (2) 412:9,10 exception (2) 412:9,10 exception (3) 395:24;396:22:2; 339:15;360:17 406:162:04;171:14;42:4; 439:15;47:14;42:4; 439:15;47:14;43:4; 419:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 441:18;412:3;430:10; 445:6 exchange (2) 397:4;12;405:7;23; 399:15;360:13;438:1; 400:25;414:10;31,41;2;45;438:1; 418:1423:5;742:62; 400:25;414:10;31,41;2;45;438:1; 418:1423:5;742:62; 428:10;340:16;438:1; 430:13;41:1,16;19;23; 445:6 exclusion (5) 409:25;417:21 400:20;347:61:40:31 400:20;418:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:40:			expert (11)		
252:14:255:20;   252:23:39:2,339:0,10,11;   275:19;289:13;382:4;   477:23:474:2   2339:2,339:0,10,11;   417:20,25:461:11   393:23:399:15:402:20;   400:12:410:40:10,   407:13:278:16;   400:10   342:1,183:43:15;   358:19,341:11,14,20;   342:1,183:43:15;   358:19,17,25:359:15;   368:6,370:18,372:15;   418:142:3;430:10;   438:12;   373:4;380:25;381:2,   21382:1,1383:5,6;   412:9,10   395:24;396:2,22;   2397:4,12,12;40:57,23;   440:18;40:19;   445:6   445:6   445:6   449:18;40:10;   446:20:46:11,14,20;   445:6   445:6   445:6   449:18;40:19;   449:18;40:10;   449:18;40:19;   449:18;40:10;   445:16   44	460:17;476:15;480:3	9,10,21;324:19;		286:10;295:4;297:25;	
252:14;255:20; 253:19;10:3378,88; 427:23:474:2 excepted (f) 342:1,18;341:1,14;20; 342:1,18;343:15; 252:10;407:8; 411:18;412:3;430:10; 438:12 exceptions (2) 342:1,183:34:12, 243:18; 348:18; 348:12, 243:18; 348:18; 348:12, 243:18; 348:18; 348:12, 243:18; 3	except (7)	325:20;326:22;327:2,	410:13,14,17;411:2,4;	307:4;314:9;317:7;	277:7;284:22;
275:19;289:13;382:4;   427:23;474:2   338:10;341:11,14;20;   279:23   409:22;410;841:21;   400:10   342:1,18;343:15;   358:9,17,25;359:15;   360:15,15;361:3;   360:15,15;361:3;   360:15,15;361:3;   360:15,15;361:3;   368:6370:18;372:15;   438:12   exception (6)   368:6370:18;372:15;   438:12;   exception (2)   412:9,10   395:23;380:12,   21:382:11;383:5,6;   359:15;360:17   exchange (2)   397:4,12,12;405:7,23;   409:22;410;414-10;3,19;   exchange (1)   416:16,20;417:12,14;   339:2   480:13;341:1,16,19,23;   excluded (2)   430:13;431:1,16,19,23;   excluded (1)   462:3   462:3,466:16   excludes (1)   462:3,466:16   excludes (1)   462:3,466:16   excluding (3)   337:143:12;322:11;   337:12   excluding (3)   337:143:12;322:21;   excluding (3)   337:143:12;322:21;   excluding (3)   259:15,20;260:1,4;   261:12,16;264:11,14,   275:13,14,25;276:1;   337:14;   259:15,20;260:1,4;   261:12,16;264:11,14,   262:13,332:1;   337:143:12;332:1;   331:18;334:23   411:1420:18;422:13,   469:22   failed (5)   409:22   failed (5)   409:23;440:144:10;   409:22   failed (5)   409:23;440:144:10;   409:24   409:24   409:24   409:25;417:21   409:22   failed (5)   409:23;443:14;430:10;   409:24   409:24   409:24   409:25;417:21   409:22   failed (5)   409:23;443:14;430:10;   409:24   409:22   failed (5)   409:24,470:448:14;   409:22   failed (5)   409:24,410:448:14;   409:22   failed (5)   409:24,410:448:14;   409:24,410:448:14;   409:22   failed (5)   409:24,410:448:14;   409:24,410:448:14;   409:24,410:448:14;   409:24,410:448:14;   409:24,400:448:14;   409:25,417:14;   409:25,417:14;   409:22   409:24,400:448:14;   409:25,417:14;   409:29;   409:24,400:448:14;   409:29;   409:24,400:448:14;   409:29;   409:24,400:448:14;   409:29;   409:24,400:448:14;   409:29;   409:29;   409:24,400:448:14;   409:29;					336:22;380:12
exception (1)	275:19;289:13;382:4;		experts (1)	393:23;399:15;402:20;	famous (1)
46:10         358:9,17,25;359.15;         270:3;278:16;         42:223;426:7;428:13;         376:22;398:9           exception (6)         360:15,15;361:3;         360:15,15;361:3;         317:25;365:23;438:4;         432:15;474:5;475:15;         far(2)           41:118;412:3;430:10;         368:6;370:18;372:15;         373:4380:23:18;         462:23;466:5         477:7;482;4485:11;         353:19;377:9;           42:9,10         395:24;396:2,23;         21;382:11;383:5,6;         395:24;396:2,23;         499:24;499:9         factors (1)         486:19         factors (1)         484:19,485:1         factors (1)         486:19,485:1         factors (1)         484:19,485:1         factors (1)         486:19,485:1         factors (1)         486:19,485:1         factors (1)         486:19,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482:11,486:1         482	427:23;474:2	338:10;341:11,14,20;	279:23	409:22;410:8;412:17;	462:3
exception (6)         360:15,15;361:3;         364:7,14,24;365:19,20;         364:7,14,24;365:19,20;         438:12         438:12         477:7;482:4;455:11;         438:13         438:12         452:23;466:5         477:7;482:4;485:11;         488:19,485:11         488:16         488:19,485:11         488:16         488:14	excepted (1)	342:1,18;343:15;	explain (7)	419:15;420:10,20;	
252:10;407:8; 411:18;412:3;430:10; 438:12		358:9,17,25;359:15;	270:3;278:16;	422:23;426:7;428:13;	
411:18:412:3;430:10;   368:6;370:18;372:15;   445:6					
438:12					
exceptions (2)					
412:9.10         395:24;396:2,22;         385:12         facts (8)         37:31           exchange (2)         397:4,12,12;405:7,23;         explains (1)         316:6;413:15;427:9;         373:7           asy:15;360:17         407:4;414:10,13,19;         explains (1)         316:6;413:15;427:9;         462:3;46:119;         478:16           exchanged (1)         416:16,20;417:12,14;         251:23;441:14         462:3;476:18         478:16           say:22         418:1;423:5,7;426:21,         express (1)         462:16;472:18,22;         477:13;485:10           asy:16,21         430:13;431:1,16,19,23;         excluded (2)         432:25;433:16;434:5;         442:10         462:16;472:18,22;         477:13;485:10           excludes (1)         464:20;465:12;467:14,         463:24         factual (9)         481:14           excluding (3)         exhibits (29)         441:17.12         faver (2)         328:12;465:22         failing (1)         faver (2)         328:12;465:22         failing (1)         faver (2)         328:12;465:22         failing (1)         failing (1)         February (1)         321:33:33:23:11         424:23         321:33:33:					
exchange (2) 359:15;360:17 exchanged (1) 407:4;414:10,13,19; 407:4;414:10,13,19; 407:4;414:10,13,19; 418:16,20;417:12,14; 418:16,20;417:12,14; 418:16,20;417:12,14; 418:16,20;417:12,14; 418:16,20;417:12,14; 418:16,20;417:12,14; 418:14,23:5,7;426:21, 22;427:14;428:19,24; 430:13;431:1,16,19,23; excluded (2) 432:25;433:16;434:5; 440;25;417:21 436:12;447:1;463:16; 440;25;417:13 464:20;465:12,467:14, 337:22 excluding (3) 340:2,6;466:16 exclusion (5) 275:13,14,25;276:1; 337:18 321:23;322:11; 337:18 321:23;322:11; 321:23;322:11; 321:23;322:11; 321:23;322:11; 321:23;322:11; 321:33:18;334:23 exclusivel (4) 257:4;265:12; exclusivel (1) 257:4;265:12; 268:14;283:5;289:2; 268:14;283:5;289:2; 276:3;462:6 extra-judicial (1) 313:19 27:14;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:15;439:139:14;449:13;449:13;449:14;449:13;449:14;449:14;449:14;449:14;449:14;449:14;449:14;449:14;449:					
359:15;360:17					
exchanged (1)					
339:22					
exchanges (2) 339:16,21 excluded (2) 430:13;431:1,16,19,23; 440:25;417:21 436:12;447:1;463:16; 442:10 442:10 447:13;461:24; 462:16;472:18,22; 477:1,3;485:10 faults (1) 463:24 expressed (1) 464:20;465:12;467:14, 25;471:13 excluding (3) 340:2,6;466:16 exclusion (5) 275:13,14,25;276:1; 337:18 exclusive (4) 321:23,322:11; 337:18 exclusive (4) 321:23,322:21; 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 321:23,322:11; 321:23,423:13 33:18;334:23 41:11,420:18,422:13 442:10 442:10 447:1.3,461:24; 442:10 453:41;40;10,13 469:22 411:7,12 426:2 43:14,473:16,22 426:2 43:11,40;11 45:14,473:16,22; 441:17,12 426:2 43:14,473:14,24; 430:12,4473:14,24; 442:10 453:41,43,11,13,13 45:14,14,41,14,14,14 45:10,43 45:16,43,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,			<b>2</b>	,	
339:16,21			,		
excluded (2)					
409:25;417:21					
excludes (1)					
337:22         25;471:13         469:22         469:22         290:23;483:14           excluding (3)         259:15,20;260:1,4;         259:15,20;260:1,4;         314:17         473:24;475:16,22         328:12;465:22           exclusion (5)         261:12,16;264:11,14,         24;288:10;302:3;         252:14;306:17,18;         323:5;329:25;358:18,         426:2         321:3           337:18         307:14;312:2;322:21;         323:5;329:25;358:18,         312:15;409:11;411:21;         426:2         321:3           321:23;322:11;         19,21;395:16,18,25;         418:6         424:23         291:20;294:12;           331:18;334:23         411:1;420:18;422:13,         418:6         427:14;439:15;         427:14;439:15;           exclusively (1)         14,21;430:23;453:3         extraordinary (3)         451:13         389:11           252:9         276:3;462:6         exited (2)         478:3         474:3,6         extreme (1)         356:6;392:20;         350:17           268:14;283:5;289:2;         474:3,6         exited (2)         478:3         415:10;478:4         felt (3)           298:2;331:15;3467:2,12;         409:22         375:1;377:5;435:1;         296:17;349:22;377:2;         363:12;372:4;377:4           450:12         427:25         exist (1)         427:25					
excluding (3)         exhibits (29)         extends (1)         355:14;427:4;         feature (2)         328:12;465:22           exclusion (5)         259:15,20;260:1,4;         261:12,16;264:11,14,         extent (7)         extent (7)         failing (1)         February (1)         328:12;465:22         February (1)         321:3         Fed (3)         321:3         Fed (3)         321:3         February (1)         321:3         Fed (3)         427:14;439:15;         426:12         427:14;439:15;         427:14;439:15;         427:14;439:15;         427:14;439:15;         427:14;439:15;         427:14;439:15;         427:14;439:15;         427:13;439:15;         427:13;439:15;         427:13;439:15;         427:14;4			469·22	,	
340:2,6;466:16 exclusion (5) 259:15,20;260:1,4; 261:12,16;264:11,14, 275:13,14,25;276:1; 307:14;312:2;322:21; exclusive (4) 321:23;322:11; 321:23;322:11; 331:18;334:23 exclusively (1) 252:9 exclusively (1) 257:4;265:12; 268:14;283:5;289:2; 298:2;331:15;333:23; 335:22;341:10;394:15; 453:15;467:2,12; 409:22 474:3,6 extremely (6) 259:15,20;260:1,4; 242:28:10;302:3; 251:4;306:17,18; 312:15;409:11;411:21; 426:2 failing (1) 321:3 32:13 426:2 321:3 426:2 321:3 426:2 321:3 426:2 321:3 321:3 321:3 321:3 321:3 321:3 321:3 321:3 321:3 321:3 321:3 32:13 426:2 321:3 426:2 31:3 6 41:17,18; 426:2 424:23 427:14;439:15; 427:14;439:15; 427:14;439:15; 427:14;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 427:12;439:15; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11; 426:10;439:11					
exclusion (5)         261:12,16;264:11,14, 24;288:10;302:3; 337:18         261:12,16;264:11,14, 24;288:10;302:3; 307:14;312:2;322:21; 307:14;312:2;322:21; 307:14;312:2;322:21; 312:15;409:11;411:21; 488:6         failing (1)         February (1)           exclusive (4)         323:5;329:25;358:18, 321:23;329:15;16,18,25; 331:18;334:23         19,21;395:16,18,25; 411:1;420:18;422:13, 411:1;420:18;422:13, 252:9         extra-judicial (1)         failure (3)         295:16           exclusively (1)         14,21;430:23;453:3         extra-judicial (1)         313:19         427:14;439:15; 427:14;439:14; 427:14;439:14; 427:14;439:14; 427:14;439:14; 427:14;439:14; 427:14;439:14; 42					
275:13,14,25;276:1; 337:18					-
337:18	` '				
exclusive (4)					
321:23;322:11; 19,21;395:16,18,25; 411:1;420:18;422:13, exclusively (1) 14,21;430:23;453:3 exist (2) 276:3;462:6 extreme (1) 356:6;392:20; 350:17 exist (2) 478:3 extremely (6) 298:2;331:15;333:23; 409:22 474:10;283:21; 453:15;467:2,12; 468:16;480:11 excused (6) 49:3,10;387:11,13; 416:7,8 41:14,20:18;422:13, 411:1;420:18;422:13, 411:1;420:18;422:13, 411:1;420:18;422:13, 411:1;420:18;422:13, 411:1;420:18;422:13, 411:1;420:18;422:13, 411:1;420:18;422:13, 411:1;420:18;422:13, 427:13;328:15,16; 416:7,8 41:11;420:18;422:13, 413:19 extraordinary (3) 427:13;395:15; 451:13 fair (4) fellows (1) 356:6;392:20; 415:10;478:4 felt (3) 350:17 felt (3) 350:17 fellows (1) 356:6;392:20; 415:10;478:4 felt (3) 363:12;372:4;377:4 felt (3) 363					
331:18;334:23					
252:9 excuse (16) 257:4;265:12; 268:14;283:5;289:2; 298:2;331:15;333:23; 335:22;341:10;394:15; 453:15;467:2,12; 468:16;480:11 excuse (6) 377:3;469:12,13 extreme (1) 478:3 extremely (6) 274:10;283:21; 450:12  Ferry (1) 375:1;377:5;435:1; 450:12  Ferry (1) 375:1;377:5;435:1; 450:12  Ferry (1) 274:10;283:21; 390:2;441:8,16;448:24 fair (4) 356:6;392:20; 350:17 fellows (1) 350:17 fell (3) 363:12;372:4;377:4 Ferry (1) 380:17 few (17) 251:18,19;255:18; 468:16;480:11 excused (6) 349:3,10;387:11,13; 471:24;473:9;483:25 Feld (1)  Feld (1) 471:24;473:9;483:25 380:24;440:6;454:10,	331:18;334:23	411:1;420:18;422:13,		427:14;439:15;	fell (1)
excuse (16)       276:3;462:6       extreme (1)       356:6;392:20;       350:17         257:4;265:12;       existed (2)       478:3       415:10;478:4       felt (3)         268:14;283:5;289:2;       474:3,6       extremely (6)       274:10;283:21;       262:10;276:23;       Ferry (1)         335:22;341:10;394:15;       409:22       375:1;377:5;435:1;       296:17;349:22;377:2;       380:17         468:16;480:11       427:25       427:25       51:18,19;255:18;         excused (6)       expect (4)       F       258:8;440:4       274:20;288:15;293:4;         349:3,10;387:11,13;       273:13;328:15,16;       471:24;473:9;483:25       380:24;440:6;454:10,	exclusively (1)	14,21;430:23;453:3	extraordinary (3)	451:13	389:11
257:4;265:12; existed (2) 478:3 extremely (6) fairly (9) 363:12;372:4;377:4 extremely (6) 274:10;283:21; 353:22;341:10;394:15; 409:22 exists (1) 453:15;467:2,12; exists (1) 427:25 excused (6) 349:3,10;387:11,13; 416:7,8 478:3 extremely (6) 274:10;283:21; 375:1;377:5;435:1; 450:12 375:1;377:5;435:1; 450:12 427:25 expect (4) F  Fairness (2) 251:18,19;255:18; 274:20;288:15;293:4; faith (3) 30:15;331:6;338:9; 471:24;473:9;483:25 380:24;440:6;454:10,	252:9	exist (2)	377:3;469:12,13	fair (4)	fellows (1)
268:14;283:5;289:2; 474:3,6 existence (1) 274:10;283:21; 355:22;341:10;394:15; 409:22 exists (1) 453:15;467:2,12; 468:16;480:11 excused (6) expect (4) 349:3,10;387:11,13; 416:7,8 478:24 exists (1) 471:24;473:9;483:25 380:24;440:6;454:10, 471:24;473:9;483:25 380:24;440:6;454:10, 471:24;473:9;483:25 380:24;440:6;454:10,	excuse (16)	276:3;462:6	extreme (1)	356:6;392:20;	350:17
298:2;331:15;333:23; existence (1)					
335:22;341:10;394:15; 409:22					
453:15;467:2,12; 468:16;480:11 427:25 427:25 429:313;328:15,16; 416:7,8 450:12 450:12 390:2;441:8,16;448:24 few (17) fairness (2) 251:18,19;255:18; 251:18; 251:18; 251:18; 25					
468:16;480:11					
excused (6)       expect (4)       F       258:8;440:4       274:20;288:15;293:4;         349:3,10;387:11,13;       273:13;328:15,16;       faith (3)       330:15;331:6;338:9;         416:7,8       378:11       F2d (1)       471:24;473:9;483:25       380:24;440:6;454:10,			450:12		
349:3,10;387:11,13; 273:13;328:15,16; 416:7,8 faith (3) 330:15;331:6;338:9; 471:24;473:9;483:25 380:24;440:6;454:10,			T-51		
416:7,8 378:11 <b>F2d (1)</b> 471:24;473:9;483:25 380:24;440:6;454:10,			<b>F</b>		
			E21(1)		
excuses (1) expectation (2) 449:16 faithfully (2) 15;460:20;4/6:9,15;			, ,		
	excuses (1)	expectation (2)	449.10	raiminity (2)	13;400:20;476:9,13;

FF (2) 259 22;200 6 filery (1) 477:11 477:11 477:11 477:11 477:11 478:14 450:24 films (1) 388:17 films (1) 388:17 films (1) 388:17 films (1) 428: 400:10.104331.8; 449:8 400:10.104331.8; 449:8 417:22.421:16452.11 256:22.66:22.66:22.296.15; 381:16.17.345.10; 381:16.		T	T		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FF (2)	484.16	299:3 6	327:10:378:22:428:20:	307:10	351:8 11:358:9 16:
259:22;260:6 firery (1) 477:11 firm (1) 480:24 firm (1) 450:24 firm (1) 450:24 firm (1) 450:24 firm (1) 427:18;311:16; 425:3 firm's (2) 256:2266:2296:15; 344:16,173:345:10; 467:15 firet (61) 257:18;241:23 467:15 firet (61) 257:18;241:23 251:225324(2173, 251:92.5334(2173, 251:92.534(2173, 251:92.534(2173, 251:92.534(2173, 251:92.534(2173, 251:92.534(2173, 251:92.534(2173		,			
First (1)					
450:24   Firm (1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Marcia   M					*
450-24   file (11)   428-33   479-28					
Figure (3)   4248   400:10.104331:18,   479:8   780:19436:10,   479:22421:16452:11   422:4   452:3   50llows (4)   479:12.13450:10,   479:22.421:16452:11;   467:1.5   268:16;424:9   377:15:387:24   467:1.5   269:02:066:58.270:2;   180:(43)   251:92:237:24.12231:18.232:11;   251:92:23324;37:3,   332:44:336:5.10;   22:292:122797:14;   438:17   438:14.3245:12;   461:23.465:11;   472:2421:1466:34,11:   422:1456:34,11:   422:	fifth (1)	388:17	following (8)	441:22	435:17
Figure (3)   4248   400:10.104331:18,   479:8   780:19436:10,   479:22421:16452:11   422:4   452:3   50llows (4)   479:12.13450:10,   479:22.421:16452:11;   467:1.5   268:16;424:9   377:15:387:24   467:1.5   269:02:066:58.270:2;   180:(43)   251:92:237:24.12231:18.232:11;   251:92:23324;37:3,   332:44:336:5.10;   22:292:122797:14;   438:17   438:14.3245:12;   461:23.465:11;   472:2421:1466:34,11:   422:1456:34,11:   422:	450:24	firm (1)	327:21;331:16;	FRD (1)	gather (1)
	figure (3)	, ,			
## 42:24   ## 42:24   ## 42:24   ## 51:22:296:15   ## 52:22:296:266:22:266:22:266:256:276:2576:361:266:362:11   ## 52:22:296:256:362:276:2576:361:26766:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:26766:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:361:26766:2676:361:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:2676:2676:361:2676:2676:2676:2676:2676:2676:2676:26					
Display				` '	
256.2266.2296.15; 341:16.173451:0; 41722:421:16.452:11; 467:1-5; 616d (3) 251:72.1257:2; 262.2292:12.297:14; 325:9.235.24; 317:3, 3324:4;336.5,10; 251:9.235.24;317:3, 3324:4;336.5,10; 222.221:2297:14; 438:16.289.291:17, 341:6.8,12.2342.7,25; 303.23.24;308:1; 244:487.491:1,11,13; 432:25.25.362.5327:12; 461:2.3466311:466.7; 432:136.6332.1; 461:2.3466311:466.7; 432:136.6332.1; 461:2.3466311:466.7; 432:136.6332.1; 461:2.3466311:466.7; 432:136.6332.1; 461:2.3466311:466.7; 432:136.6332.1; 461:2.3466311:466.7; 432:1460.24411:174481:6, 422:2.9452.21; 461:15.462.66467.10; 474.71 481:6, 422:2.9452.21; 461:15.462.6647.10; 474.16 424.491:13.16; 422:2.9452.21; 461:15.462.6647.10; 474.16 424.491:13.16; 422:2.9452.21; 461:15.462.6647.10; 474.16 424.491:13.16; 422:2.9452.21; 461:15.462.6647.10; 474.16 424.491:13.16; 422:2.9452.21; 461:15.462.6647.10; 474.16 424.491:13.16; 422:2.9452.21; 461:15.462.24.67.10; 474.16					
341:16.17;345:10; first (64)					
447:12.4467:452:11.					
467-1,5					*
Elied (3)   251-9253:24;317:3,					
251:0,253:24;317.3, 332:44;365:1.0; 22;92:12;22;92:12;92:12;92:12;92:12;92:12;92:12;93:13;91:1; 303:23.24;308:1; 303:23.258:11 303:23.258:1] 334:4338:25:369:20, 244:11:23.34; 233:11:23.34; 233:23.358:1] 334:4338:25:369:20, 244:11:23.34; 233:11:23.34; 238:25:338:1, 233:23.358:1] 303:	467:1,5	260:20;266:5,8;270:2;	forcible (1)	Friday (26)	306:21
251:0,253:24;317.3, 332:44;365:1.0; 22;92:12;22;92:12;92:12;92:12;92:12;92:12;92:12;93:13;91:1; 303:23.24;308:1; 303:23.258:11 303:23.258:1] 334:4338:25:369:20, 244:11:23.34; 233:11:23.34; 233:23.358:1] 334:4338:25:369:20, 244:11:23.34; 233:11:23.34; 238:25:338:1, 233:23.358:1] 303:	filed (31)	274:21;281:18;282:11;	483:17	266:8,18;267:2;	General (79)
3324.4(336.5.10; 341.6(3.2); 342.242.7(2.2); 303.23.24.2081; 303.23.24.2081; 303.23.24.2081; 303.23.24.2081; 303.23.24.2081; 322.133.116.33.21; 322.133.116.33.21; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.27.348.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.34.33.34.23.35.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.34.33.34.23.35.2; 332.34.33.35.2; 332.33.6; 332.134.23.34.2; 332.33.6; 332.23.35.			Ford (1)		
343:4357:3376:23, 309:12315:11319:11; 475:18 288:5318.14234:1; 325:25;326:25;327:12; 509:12315:1319-11; 6rgot (2) 326:19;381:11,7,24; 326:25;332.12; 509:22;388:11 382:2,2368:3, 6rgot (1) 382:8,22;383:3,6; 3894.6415:9417:5; 6rgot (1) 49:10 49:10; 6rm (3) 49:14463:3 46:125,462:6467:10; 474:7 filling (6) 45:29;452:21; 46:123,462:6467:10; 474:7 filling (7) 44:14:643:3 46:125,462:6467:10; 474:7 fillel (1) 47:21469:2 474:16 fillel (1) 47:2169:2 41118;412:22 44:18;452:15 (1) 36:23,275:15; 320:11;337:24,338:7; 335:23,275:15; 320:11;337:24,338:7; 34:15,318:42:14; 46:618,474:24;482:19 final (1) 32:33:24,408:13; 46:15;46:17;468:13; 46:15;46:15;46:17;468:13; 48:22 429:43,438:18,13 (1) 28:11:345:26; 18:345:11;345:26; 18:345:11;46:35:21; 46:13,46:25; 48:13; 48:14:35:20; 49:23,334:12;394:17; 46:13,46:25; 48:13; 48:14:35:20; 49:23,334:12;394:17; 46:13,46:25; 48:13; 48:12; 48:13; 48:14; 46:15;46:15;46:17;7468:13; 48:12; 48:12; 48:15; 48:15; 48:11; 48:11;34:13,25; 48:15; 48:18; 48:18; 48:18; 48:18; 48:18; 48:18; 49:25;34:13,20; 40:10; 51:10; 25:11;25:28:11; 48:18; 49:25; 25:37;10:258:10; 18; 29:15; 29:33; 40:258:11; 40:254:41;27:143:3; 40:258:33; 40:258:31;23:36:14; 41:18; 41:22; 478:18; 4					
343:4357:337623,					
244(48:74(91:1,11,13; 42:1456:54,41): 461:2,3463:11,464:7; 467:24;469:5,470:1 files (3)					
422:1;4563;4,11: 467:24:469:5;470:1 files (3) 270:12;15:293:15 filing (5) 388:43:27,11: 467:21:469:2 496:24-404:22:407.7; 410:24:411:17:448:16, 422:94:52:21; 467:21:469:2 18:20:449:13,16; 474:7 filing (6) 410:24:411:17:448:16, 422:94:52:21; 467:21:469:2 18:20:449:13,16; 474:7 filid (1) 390:2 filing (3) 398:823:27:15; 336:10:364:3:369:5; 373:5.68.15:395:23; 570:12:40:22:1; 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:13 300:14 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:12 470:13 300:14 477:20 570:12:42:22 470:13 570:12:42:22 470:13 570:12:42:22 470:13 570:12:42:22 470:13 570:12:42:22 470:13 570:12:42:22 470:13 570:12:42:22 570:13:13:13:13:2:45:12 570:12:42:12:22 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:22:21 570:12:42:22 570:12:42:42:48:13 570:12:42:42:48:12 570:12:42:42:48:13 570:12:42:42:48:13 570:12:42:42:48:13 570:12					
461:2.3:463:11:464.7; 467:24:469:5;470:1 files (3) 351:1.12:357:3; 363:10:3643:369:5; 270:12;15:29:315 381:12:1384:2; 388:8432.7,11: 387:23:388:15:395:23; 366:19:367:23:460:16; 472:9452:21; 467:21:469:2 396:24;404:22:407:7; filings (6) 410:24;411:17;448:16, 422:9;452:21; 449:14;463:3 461:15;462:6;467:10; 474:7 filil (2) 449:14;463:3 filled (1) 390:2 449:14;463:3 filled (1) 390:2 filled (1) 390:					
ds.					
Files (3)   363:10;364:3;369:5;   70m (3)   290:12,15;293:15   373:5.68,15;374:2.5.8;   381:12;384:2;   387:23;388:15;395:23;   387:23;388:15;395:23;   396:24;404:22;407:2;   401:15;462:6;467:10;   474:16   475:16   4	461:2,3;463:11;464:7;	336:21;342:7;348:6;	forgotten (1)		422:2,3,17;426:15,19;
Trilling (5)   381:1,21:384:2;   440:2,444:13,444:2;   440:2,444:13,444:2;   440:2,444:1,444:45:21;   440:1,444:1,444:39:0;   440:1,444:1,13;   440:1,444:1,13;   440:1,444:1,13;   440:1,444:1,13;   440:1,444:1,14*   440:1,44*	467:24;469:5;470:1	351:1,12;357:3;	419:10	friend (1)	427:1,11;429:1;
Trilling (5)   381:1,21:384:2;   440:2,444:13,444:2;   440:2,444:13,444:2;   440:2,444:1,444:45:21;   440:1,444:1,444:39:0;   440:1,444:1,13;   440:1,444:1,13;   440:1,444:1,13;   440:1,444:1,13;   440:1,444:1,14*   440:1,44*	files (3)	363:10;364:3;369:5;	form (3)	486:6	431:14;435:3,10;
Siling (5)   388:432:7,11;   387:23388:15;395:24;404:22;407:7;   10				front (21)	
398:8432:7.11; 467:21;469:2   396:24;404:22;407:7; filings (6)					
#467:21;469:2   #396:24;404:22;407:7;   #400:24;411:17;448:16,   #400:29;452:21;   #400:25;461:7,   #400:24;410:17;448:16,   #400:25;461:7,   #400:25;461:7,   #400:25;461:7,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:9,   #400:25;461:7,   #41:28;415:17,418:8;   #45:20,21;   #45:20,21;   #45:20,21;   #45:20,21;   #475:68,823;476:1.16;   #475:68,823;476:1.16;   #475:68,823;476:1.16;   #475:10,823;476:					
filings (6)         410:24:411:17;448:16, 18,20;449:13,16; 461:15;462:6;467:10; 471:17         410:24:411:17;448:16, 18,20;449:13,16; 471:17         302:4         394:11:395:143:396:22; 402:3,53405:7,24; 453:12;460:25;461:7, 244; 471:16         451:20;453:24;460:16; 471:17         451:20;453:24;460:16; 471:17         379:7,14;401:24; 412:24;470:4 475:10, 479:10 479:10 479:10 477:20 42:35:25;233:22;433:22;					
422:9;452:21; 451:20;453:24;460:16; 474:16 472:24;470:4; 474:16 472:24;470:4; 474:16 472:24;470:4; 474:16 472:24;470:4; 474:16 472:24;470:4; 474:16 472:24;470:4; 475:19 469:18;471:20;21; 471:19; 473:19;25:474:1; 473:19;24:48:19; 486:2 477:20 26:13;23:26:27; 35:29:26:25; 35:20:35:25:35:36; 472:24;485:19; 473:19; 4					
461:15;462:6;467:10; 451:20;453:24;460:16; 474:70 fill (2) fiscal (2) formerly (1) fist(3)					
474:7   fill (2)					
fill (2)         fiscal (2)         formerly (1)         frustrations (1)         473:9,19,25;474:4;         475:68,23,476:1,16;         100         20,24;471:1,3;478:1;         478:10         501:10         60th (6)         60th (6)         479:10         60th (1)         60th (1)         479:10         477:33,11;352:20;         315:1;397:19         480:10,12;481:15; </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
## 49:14;463:3   filled (1)	474:7	474:16	412:24;470:4	457:19	469:18;471:20,21;
## 49:14;463:3   filled (1)	fill (2)	fiscal (2)	formerly (1)	frustrations (1)	473:9,19,25;474:4;
filled (1)         fits (2)         411:18;412:22         Forms (1)         479:10         301:7;361:9;394:11;         20,24;477:1,3;478:1;         480:10,12;481:15;         470:10         470:20         260:29;263:25;         351:13,97:19         470:13,482:12         470:10         470:13,482:12         470:10         470:10					
\$\frac{411}{18} \frac{11}{18} \frac{11}{15} \frac{1}{18} \frac{11}{18} \frac{11}{15} \frac{1}{18} \frac{1}{15} \frac{1}{					
final (11)         five (10)         forth (6)         457:3;460:16         482:11;483:1,4,15,25;         482:11;483:1,4,15,25;         482:11;483:1,4,15,25;         482:11;483:1,4,15,25;         484:15         482:11;483:1,4,15,25;         484:15         484:14         484:14         485:14         486:2         477:20         477:20         477:20         470:24;485:4         470:13         470:19         470:19         470:19         470:19         470:19         470:19<					
258:23;275:15; 320:11;337:24;338:7; 343:12;345:18;414:14; 466:18;474:24;482:19 Finally (3) 263:7;478:8,13 financial (1) 280:14 392:20 find (9) 281:20;307:13; 319:5;352:18;425:11; 438:11,12;465:5;480:3 finding (3) 411:13;450:25; 478:14 findings (29) 251:10,24;252:25; 253:7,10;254:19; 255:3,12,222;256:2,10, 15,19,21;258:10,18; 322:4;407:13,20; 408:1;412;143:3; 420:10,15,19,23; 440:23;425:19 400:24;485:4 forum (1) 359:9;411:24;442:18 forum (1) 488:12; 479:20 477:20 477:20 262:9;263:25; 267:21;313:2;445:12 504:04 40:24;485:4 found (6) 340:19 420:24;485:4 fund (1) 366:13;283:6; fund (1) 366:13;283:6; fund (1) 268:24 fund (1) 368:438:17; 455:10 268:4;306:19 funding (2) 268:4;306:19 450:19 484:15 generally (19) 365:19;25;335:26;373:9; 361:0;352:5;331:2;357:10,18; 362:9;263:25; 351:20;352:1;357:10,18; 364:12;267:35:10,242:35:21;357:10,18; 479:23 365:19;20;268:4;306:19 four (3) 316:3;405:18,21; 499:19 484:15 generally (19) 365:19;25;335:6, 362:9;263:25; 351:20;352:1;357:10,18; 364:2;366:8;373:9; 450:19 480:19 480:19 480:19 484:15 generally (19) 362:9;263:25; 351:20;352:1;357:10,18; 364:2;366:8;373:9; 450:19 450:19 484:15 generally (19) 362:9;263:25; 351:20;352:1;357:10,18; 364:9;36:19;36:19 450:19 480:19 484:15 generally (19) 362:9;263:25; 351:20;352:1;357:10,18; 364:1;27:31:31;2;445:12 420:24;485:14 420:24;485:4 fund (1) 4268:4;36:19 450:19 450:19 450:19 450:19 450:19 450:19 484:15 262:9;263:25; 351:20;352:1;357:10,18; 364:19;36:29 450:19 450:					
320:11;337:24;338:7; 343:12;345:18;414:14; 466:18;474:24;482:19 Finally (3) 263:7;478:8,13 financial (1) 280:14 392:20 filip (3) 352:21 find (9) 281:20;307:13; 319:5;352:18;425:11; 438:11,12;465:5;480:3 finding (3) 411:13;450:25; 478:14 findings (29) 251:10,24;252:25; 253:7,10;254:19; 255:31,22;256:2,10, 15;19,21;258:10,18; 322:4;407:13,20; 400:10,15,19,23; 400:23;425:19 400:23;425:19 400:23;425:19 400:23;425:19 400:23;425:19 400:23;425:19 400:23;425:19 400:23;425:19 400:23;425:19 400:10,15,19,23; 400:23;425:19 400:24;424:18 477:20 477:20 262:9;263:25; 266:12;331:2;445:12 fund (1) 340:19 400:10 340:19 400:10 477:20 262:9;263:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 351:20;352:25; 360:19 266:13;283:6; 400:1) 450:10 47					
343:12;345:18;414:14;         465:15;467:17;468:13;         forum (1)         functions (5)         268:11;270:1,25;         351:20;352:5;353:6,         268:11;270:1,25;         351:20;352:5;353:6,         268:11;270:1,25;         351:20;352:5;353:6,         268:11;270:1,25;         351:20;352:5;353:6,         268:11;270:1,25;         351:20;352:5;353:6,         268:11;270:1,25;         351:20;352:5;353:6,         268:11;270:1,25;         351:20;352:5;353:6,         268:11;270:1,25;         351:20;352:5;353:6,         268:24;352:12;313:2;445:12         243:24;45:12         243:24;485:4         240:24;485:4         400:10         340:19         364:2;366:8;373:9;         364:2;366:8;373:9;         369:13;283:6;         455:10         450:10         450:10         450:10         450:10         450:10         450:10         450:10         450:11         45					
466:18;474:24;482:19         486:2         477:20         262:9;263:25;         351:20;352:5;353:6,         24;355:21;357:10,18;           Finally (3)         263:7;478:8,13         352:21         420:24;485:4         fund (1)         364:2;366:8;373:9;         364:2;366:8;373:9;         365:19;367:6;375:17         fund (6)         340:19         389:13;394:21;398:20;         450:1;467:9;11         General's (35)         Ge					
Finally (3) 263:7;478:8,13 financial (1) 280:14 find (9) 281:20;307:13; 319:5;352:18;425:11; 438:11,12;465:5;480:3 finding (3) 411:13;450:25; 478:14 findings (29) 251:10,24;252:25; 251:10,24;252:25; 251:10,24;252:25; 251:10,24;252:25; 251:10,24;252:25; 251:10,24;252:25; 402:24;485:4 found (6) 266:13;283:6; 400:10,13 365:19;367:6;375:17 409 479:23 409:15 400:14 409:15 409					
263:7;478:8,13         352:21         420:24;485:4         fund (1)         364:2;366:8;373:9;         389:13;394:21;398:20;         389:13;394:21;398:20;         450:14,479:11         389:13;394:21;398:20;         450:14,485:4         450:14,485:20;         450:14,467:9,11         450:14,467:19,11         450:14,467:9,11         450:14,467:9,11         450:14,467:9,11         450:14,467:9,11         450:14,467:9,11         450:14,467:9,11         450:14,467:9,11         450:14,467:9,11         450:14,475:345:1         450:14,475:345:1         470:13,20;	466:18;474:24;482:19	486:2		262:9;263:25;	351:20;352:5;353:6,
financial (1)         flies (1)         found (6)         340:19         389:13;394:21;398:20;         450:1;467:9,11         389:13;394:21;398:20;         450:1;467:9,11         470:1;41         470:1;41         470:1;41 <t< td=""><td>Finally (3)</td><td>flavor (1)</td><td>forward (2)</td><td>267:21;313:2;445:12</td><td>24;355:21;357:10,18;</td></t<>	Finally (3)	flavor (1)	forward (2)	267:21;313:2;445:12	24;355:21;357:10,18;
financial (1)         flies (1)         found (6)         340:19         389:13;394:21;398:20;         450:1;467:9,11         389:13;394:21;398:20;         450:1;467:9,11         470:1;41         470:1;41         470:1;41 <t< td=""><td>263:7:478:8.13</td><td>352:21</td><td>420:24:485:4</td><td>fund (1)</td><td>364:2:366:8:373:9:</td></t<>	263:7:478:8.13	352:21	420:24:485:4	fund (1)	364:2:366:8:373:9:
280:14		flies (1)	,	340:19	
find (9)         flip (3)         367:16;429:8;438:17;         268:4;306:19         General's (35)           281:20;307:13;         365:19;367:6;375:17         455:10         foundation (4)         268:24         291:9,19,21;294:10;           381:1,12;465:5;480:3         373:14         316:3;405:18,21;         further (19)         295:1;315:21;316:2;           411:13;450:25;         357:3,5,17;359:14;         303:14;388:17;         348:23;363:24;377:13;         343:21,25;344:4;           478:14         363:4;381:2;396:14,         303:14;388:17;         348:23;363:24;377:13;         365:16;372:7,22,24;           findings (29)         18;404:10         409:15         379:4,20;386:11,15;         376:12;424:5;425:13;           251:10,24;252:25;         folder (1)         50urth (6)         387:8;416:1;418:10;         428:3;432:24;448:12;           255:3,12,22;256:2,10,         384:18         332:9;333:6,8,9;         419:25;433:7;455:11         453:18;461:21;463:18;           322:4;407:13,20;         408:1;412:17;413:3;         402:3;425:19         454:16         General's (35)           408:1;412:17;413:3;         402:3;425:19         254:25;276:18;         250:11         Genet (1)           402:3;425:19         402:3;425:19         254:25;276:18;         250:11         Gentile (6)           402:5;461:25;486:19 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
281:20;307:13; 365:19;367:6;375:17					
319:5;352:18;425:11;       Flipping (1)       foundation (4)       268:24       291:9,19,21;294:10;         438:11,12;465:5;480:3       FOIA (9)       316:3;405:18,21;       further (19)       252:5;279:6;298:16;       317:17;319:14,17;         411:13;450:25;       357:3,5,17;359:14;       four (3)       314:2;327:24;335:11;       343:21,25;344:4;         478:14       363:4;381:2;396:14,       four (3)       314:2;327:24;335:11;       348:23;363:24;377:13;       365:16;372:7,22,24;         findings (29)       18;404:10       409:15       379:4,20;386:11,15;       376:12;424:5;425:13;         251:10,24;252:25;       folder (1)       384:18       332:9;333:6,8,9;       419:25;433:7;455:11       453:18;461:21;463:18;         255:3,12,22;256:2,10,       15,19,21;258:10,18;       296:15;297:1,4       fraction (1)       G       464:7,15;468:21;         408:1;412:17;413:3;       402:3;425:19       454:16       gallery (1)       472:13       Genet (1)         400:15,19,23;       402:3;425:19       524:25;276:18;       259:11       Galt (15)       Gentile (6)         402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19				*	
438:11,12;465:5;480:3       373:14       316:3;405:18,21;       further (19)       295:1;315:21;316:2;         finding (3)       411:13;450:25;       357:3,5,17;359:14;       479:23       525:5;279:6;298:16;       317:17;319:14,17;         478:14       363:4;381:2;396:14,       303:14;388:17;       348:23;363:24;377:13;       365:16;372:7,22,24;         findings (29)       18;404:10       409:15       379:4,20;386:11,15;       376:12;424:5;425:13;         251:10,24;252:25;       folder (1)       fourth (6)       387:8,416:1;418:10;       428:3;432:24;448:12;         255:3,12,22;256:2,10,       384:18       332:9;333:6,8,9;       366:19,20       464:7,15;468:21;         408:1;412:17;413:3;       296:15;297:1,4       fraction (1)       G       472:4;481:1;482:17         408:1;412:17;413:3;       402:3;425:19       254:25;276:18;       250:11       Genet (1)         402:3;425:19       402:3;425:19       254:25;276:18;       250:11       Gentile (6)         449:5;450:8;459:25;		* * *		, ,	
finding (3)         FOIA (9)         479:23         252:5;279:6;298:16;         317:17;319:14,17;           411:13;450:25;         357:3,5,17;359:14;         6our (3)         314:2;327:24;335:11;         343:21,25;344:4;           478:14         363:4;381:2;396:14,         303:14;388:17;         348:23;363:24;377:13;         365:16;372:7,22,24;           findings (29)         18;404:10         409:15         379:4,20;386:11,15;         376:12;424:5;425:13;           251:10,24;252:25;         folder (1)         50urth (6)         387:8;416:1;418:10;         428:3;432:24;448:12;           253:7,10;254:19;         384:18         332:9;333:6,8,9;         419:25;433:7;455:11         453:18;461:21;463:18;           255:3,12,22;256:2,10,         296:15;297:1,4         fraction (1)         G         472:4;481:1;482:17           408:1;412:17;413:3;         408:1;412:17;413:3;         402:3;425:19         454:16         gallery (1)         472:13           400:10,15,19,23;         402:3;425:19         525:25;276:18;         250:11         Gentile (6)           400:25;461:25;486:19         follow (10)         345:7;352:6;370:12         Galt (15)         449:5;450:8;459:25;					
411:13;450:25;					
478:14 363:4;381:2;396:14, 409:15 379:4,20;386:11,15; 376:12;424:5;425:13; 251:10,24;252:25; folder (1) 384:18 32:9;333:6,8,9; 255:3,12,22;256:2,10, 15,19,21;258:10,18; 322:4;407:13,20; 408:1;412:17;413:3; 420:10,15,19,23; 440:25;461:25;486:19 follow (10) 435:7;352:6;370:12 499:15 379:4,20;386:11,15; 376:12;424:5;425:13; 379:4,20;386:11,15; 379					
findings (29)         18;404:10         409:15         379:4,20;386:11,15;         376:12;424:5;425:13;           251:10,24;252:25;         folder (1)         384:18         332:9;333:6,8,9;         419:25;433:7;455:11         428:3;432:24;448:12;           255:3,12,22;256:2,10,         15,19,21;258:10,18;         366:19,20         464:7,15;468:21;           15,19,21;258:10,18;         296:15;297:1,4         fraction (1)         G         472:4;481:1;482:17           408:1;412:17;413:3;         402:3;425:19         402:3;425:19         254:25;276:18;         250:11         Gentile (6)           440:25;461:25;486:19         follow (10)         345:7;352:6;370:12         Galt (15)         449:5;450:8;459:25;					
findings (29)         18;404:10         409:15         379:4,20;386:11,15;         376:12;424:5;425:13;           251:10,24;252:25;         folder (1)         384:18         332:9;333:6,8,9;         419:25;433:7;455:11         428:3;432:24;448:12;           255:3,12,22;256:2,10,         folders (3)         366:19,20         464:7,15;468:21;         472:4;481:1;482:17           322:4;407:13,20;         408:1;412:17;413:3;         420:10,15,19,23;         402:3;425:19         402:3;425:19         60low (10)         254:25;276:18;         250:11         Gentile (6)           440:25;461:25;486:19         follow (10)         345:7;352:6;370:12         Galt (15)         449:5;450:8;459:25;	478:14	363:4;381:2;396:14,	303:14;388:17;	348:23;363:24;377:13;	365:16;372:7,22,24;
251:10,24;252:25;	findings (29)	18:404:10	409:15	379:4.20:386:11.15:	376:12:424:5:425:13:
253:7,10;254:19; 384:18 332:9;333:6,8,9; 419:25;433:7;455:11 453:18;461:21;463:18; 255:3,12,22;256:2,10, 15,19,21;258:10,18; 296:15;297:1,4 folks (5) 454:16 452:17;413:3; 420:10,15,19,23; 400:25;461:25;486:19 follow (10) 332:9;333:6,8,9; 366:19,20 464:7,15;468:21; 464:7,15;468:21; 472:4;481:1;482:17 Genet (1) 472:13 Genet (1) 472:13 Gentile (6) 449:5;450:8;459:25;		*			
255:3,12,22;256:2,10, 15,19,21;258:10,18; 296:15;297:1,4 folks (5) 408:1;412:17;413:3; 420:10,15,19,23; 400:25;461:25;486:19 follow (10) 366:19,20 fraction (1) 472:4;481:1;482:17 Genet (1) 472:13 Genet (1) 472:13 Genetic (6) 440:25;461:25;486:19 follow (10) 345:7;352:6;370:12 Galt (15) 449:5;450:8;459:25;					
15,19,21;258:10,18; 296:15;297:1,4 fraction (1) G 472:4;481:1;482:17 dots (5) 454:16 gallery (1) 472:13 dots (125;461:25;486:19 follow (10) 454:7;352:6;370:12 Galt (15) 472:5;450:8;459:25;				.15.25, .55.7, .55.11	
322:4;407:13,20; 408:1;412:17;413:3; 420:10,15,19,23; 400:25;461:25;486:19				C	
408:1;412:17;413:3;       282:8;310:16;319:1;       frame (5)       gallery (1)       472:13         420:10,15,19,23;       402:3;425:19       254:25;276:18;       250:11       Gentile (6)         440:25;461:25;486:19       follow (10)       345:7;352:6;370:12       Galt (15)       449:5;450:8;459:25;			, ,	G	
420:10,15,19,23; 402:3;425:19 254:25;276:18; 250:11 <b>Gentile (6)</b> 440:25;461:25;486:19 <b>follow (10)</b> 345:7;352:6;370:12 <b>Galt (15)</b> 449:5;450:8;459:25;				11 (4)	` /
440:25;461:25;486:19 <b>follow (10)</b> 345:7;352:6;370:12 <b>Galt (15)</b> 449:5;450:8;459:25;					
fine (2) 279:18;305:12; Frank (1) 349:14;350:12,25; 485:24;486:5,10	440:25;461:25;486:19	follow (10)	345:7;352:6;370:12	Galt (15)	449:5;450:8;459:25;
	<b>fine</b> (2)	279:18;305:12;	Frank (1)	349:14;350:12,25;	485:24;486:5,10
		. ,	. ,		

gentleman (2)	graduate (1)		377:6;390:20	22;388:4,6;416:7;
410:13,17	351:22	H	heads (5)	466:8;471:6
Gentlemen (1)	granted (6)		313:9;452:4;454:3,4,	Hertz's (1)
349:21 <b>gets (2)</b>	323:1;342:20; 407:15;409:6;469:13;	Haffner-Lynn (1)	6 Health (4)	414:4 <b>HH (2)</b>
322:23;368:9	481:23	478:10	261:23;267:23;	259:22;260:6
GG (2)	granting (3)	half (2)	331:23;332:23	Hi (1)
259:22;260:6	342:15;343:2;481:16	261:25;276:25	hear (16)	309:13
Gianforte (7)	great (8)	half-heartedly (1)	250:13,14;286:4;	hide (1)
320:9;371:22,24;	276:20;300:25;	474:9	299:18,18,19,20;300:9,	365:12
463:7;464:3,17;469:6	310:4;311:3;325:4;	hallowed (1) 442:3	14,18;311:5;356:11;	high (1)
Giles (25)	330:14;420:16;471:7	hammer (2)	377:2;412:14;428:2;	461:12
267:11,14,15;268:2;	GREEN (58)	433:22,24	464:2	higher (2)
273:3;274:4,18,24;	250:4;256:25;	handful (7)	heard (28)	354:15;434:25
278:16;281:11,14,19,	259:11;260:3;273:25;	258:9;352:25;353:3;	257:11,12;263:15;	highest (6)
23;282:6,10;283:11;	275:22;284:10;298:19;	358:18;366:10;373:3;	311:23;315:18;352:15;	434:13,22,24;435:4;
284:14,17;285:4,11;	299:6,16;300:1,2,4,7,	395:20	355:17;359:25;360:6,	451:10;457:15
288:1;289:7,14;298:3;	11;301:18,21,23;	handing (1)	6;369:1,2;389:8;	highlight (2)
468:2	307:19;315:9,10;	384:22	400:18;434:20;442:3;	366:10;476:11
Giles' (1)	317:16,21;318:7,8;	handled (2)	443:15;445:25;451:20;	highlighted (4)
298:7	322:17,18;323:8;	254:24;353:25	461:10;462:21;466:7;	422:11;430:22;
given (8)	324:22;330:17,18,25;	handling (1)	467:8;471:5;474:19;	447:5;476:10
283:21;339:1;	331:1;333:25;335:12,	277:12	481:20;482:10;484:3	highlighting (1)
382:19,25;386:25;	13;336:1,3;340:13;	Hansen (10)	hearing (24)	373:8
435:22;450:1;484:10	341:13,15,21,24,25;	288:13,17;289:20;	254:20;256:7,8,13,	highly (7)
gives (4)	342:14,19,22,23;343:3,	372:25;373:4,15;	13,14;258:1,19;259:8;	274:13;316:7;
288:19;370:1;	19,20;345:15,18;	374:7,17;375:13,18	310:18;363:8;392:22;	398:14;441:20;469:15, 19;484:11
395:13;410:8 <b>giving (2)</b>	347:1;348:8,21,22; 349:11	Hansen's (3)	398:22;401:1;402:21, 23,24;406:4;410:16;	Hill (1)
258:8;411:2	Greg (3)	375:2,7;377:15	422:7,13,21;430:22;	449:9
236.6,411.2 glare (1)	379:9,13;387:17	happen (7)	437:19	himself (4)
301:20	GREGORY (2)	347:3;437:17,18,18;	hearings (2)	424:3;463:8;481:22;
glean (1)	387:22;388:4	438:1;453:7;478:2	263:3;363:6	482:11
460:18	grew (1)	happened (9)	hearsay (9)	hindsight (4)
goalpost (1)	433:13	257:9;283:20; 322:25;346:13;356:7;	284:10;356:14;	451:21,22;453:20;
475:5	grief (1)	373:12;437:25;454:17;	360:7;407:7;409:4,8;	482:11
goes (8)	478:2	456:6	411:16,17;418:4	Hinman (1)
279:6;361:12;	grievance (2)	happening (2)	heart (1)	478:25
391:18;453:8;459:10;	419:12,13	277:6;343:9	334:1	hint (1)
460:12;484:19;485:1	grocery (3)	happenings (1)	heat (5)	457:24
good (14)	388:8,8;399:3	352:2	452:2,7,14;453:8,15	hire (1)
258:2,7,20;260:15,	group (1)	happens (4)	heels (1)	281:1
17;300:8,9;348:9;	291:19	400:10;452:8;462:7;	377:15	hired (3)
350:4;380:6;471:24;	grow (1)	484:14	held (7)	256:12;305:7;466:22
473:9;478:2;483:25	262:1	happy (1)	255:24;289:25;	historical (1)
Gotcha (1)	guaranteed (1)	282:16	347:14;415:23;429:11;	403:1
358:22	332:15	hard (3)	459:6;480:19 <b>Hello (1)</b>	History (4)
governed (1) 310:1	guarantees (1) 487:4	299:1;346:8;366:20	324:3	425:6;435:6;449:4; 468:15
governing (1)	Guardian (1)	harm (1)	help (1)	Hogan (1)
302:22	277:19	449:23	485:8	364:18
government (14)	guess (9)	harms (1)	helpful (1)	Hogan's (1)
261:24;273:7;	258:21;302:20;	449:25	446:8	364:16
274:10;304:3;318:4;	303:13;304:22;343:8;	<b>HB (4)</b> 308:3,22;309:13;	helps (1)	hold (3)
332:5,6;390:4;399:15;	428:1,6;434:16;437:16	357:13	385:2	310:7;323:4;479:17
412:12,18;425:4;	guide (1)	head (8)	Henry (1)	holder (1)
477:21;483:7	366:15	267:16;278:15;	305:17	282:11
governmental (1)	Guidelines (1)	354:23;364:5,19;	heretofore (1)	holding (2)
352:13	333:3	367:24;419:17;458:8	477:13	315:13;474:23
Governor (2)	guys (3)	header (4)	herself (1)	Holdings (1)
463:1,17	350:4;437:5;454:13	303:23;331:16;	456:10	479:11
Governor's (2)		333:3,7	Hertz (10)	honest (1)
464:23;468:21		heading (2)	379:9,13;387:17,19,	338:8
	I .	l	1	1

Honor (12)	identifying (1)	280:10	263:9;266:12;	446:8;448:13,24;
358:6;369:1;386:7;	332:23	inappropriate (3)	267:25;271:12,12,13,	449:10
387:10;405:18;407:3,	ie (1)	251:4;285:7;374:25	17;272:1,5,11,15;	insult (1)
6,16;417:2,23;430:18;	479:19	inappropriately (3)	273:11;276:12;277:6;	450:21
454:19	ignore (1)	278:24;280:16;	278:5;284:6;290:2,7;	insulting (2)
honorable (4)	436:17	290:19	297:4,12;306:15;	436:7;447:7
				insults (1)
431:12;432:24;	ignored (3)	Inaudible (1)	308:25;309:4;310:11;	
441:24;445:14	399:18;423:11;427:2	308:10	312:25;315:25;324:13;	449:15
hope (2)	ignores (1)	Inc (1)	325:16;326:18;329:4;	insurance (1)
366:16;420:11	424:15	479:11	330:3,21;331:22,23;	267:24
hoping (3)	ill (1)	incident (1)	332:23,24;336:13,17,	integrity (5)
375:4;487:2,7	286:22	412:20	20;338:6;339:22;	423:13;433:3;440:1;
horse (2)	illegal (2)	incidental (1)	340:1,15;363:13,15;	441:3;471:23
474:20;475:9	430:1;441:23	313:15	369:13,25;378:3,14,16,	intemperate (4)
hot (1)	imagine (2)	include (10)	20;381:12;382:7,15,	317:23;429:20;
287:19	438:1;482:13	268:10;275:5;306:5,	22;383:15;385:17,19;	436:6;447:6
hotel (1)	immediate (1)	11;313:4;318:22;	389:7,12;394:24;	intend (6)
261:8	436:13	333:11;339:5;429:3;	395:1;397:1;401:6;	370:20;384:15,17;
hours (1)	immediately (8)	444:4	402:16,17;403:11,14;	399:19;405:16;420:10
315:20	291:6;381:13;	included (6)	404:6,11;406:14;	intended (1)
house (23)	428:23;437:1,23;	256:9;287:25;465:1;	408:12;419:5;420:17;	464:16
288:5;311:13;	439:5,6;447:2	478:1;480:16;481:12	471:2	intent (7)
351:13,14;352:10,15;	impartial (1)	includes (8)	informed (5)	286:1,6;329:11;
353:13;355:13;365:21;	429:24	262:24;324:8;	374:10;376:25;	361:12;378:1;414:2;
367:14,19;368:6;	impartiality (2)	411:11;425:3;430:14,	430:6;467:22;468:2	439:22
369:6;376:4;388:16;	440:4;456:13	17;433:17;449:24	infrequently (1)	intentionally (1)
392:24;393:3,5,6,15;	imperfect (1)	including (9)	477:8	429:14
394:15;406:9,14	435:20	262:14;263:3;313:8;	inherent (1)	interchangeably (1)
housed (1)	impetus (1)	340:17;429:12,23;	448:22	340:12
283:16	322:24	432:8;443:1;470:15	initial (4)	interest (8)
housekeeping (1)	implicate (1)	inconsistent (3)	371:8,9;404:25;	429:25;432:13,17;
250:9	339:3	252:17,17;456:7	406:2	441:1;459:22;471:7;
Human (5)	implications (1)	incorrect (3)	initiative (8)	473:17;483:22
261:22,23;262:11;	479:3	309:22;341:21;414:3	353:10,15;354:8,9,	interesting (1)
276 22 452 5				
276:22;452:5	implied (1)			402:24
276:22;452:5 hundred (1)	<b>implied (1)</b> 444:19	independence (1)	14;366:4;393:7,25	402:24
hundred (1)	444:19	independence (1) 478:18	14;366:4;393:7,25 <b>injures (1)</b>	402:24 interests (6)
<b>hundred (1)</b> 380:13	444:19 <b>imply (1)</b>	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14;
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15;	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13;	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15;	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13;	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19;	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12;	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4,
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19 I I-166 (2) 478:3,6	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19 I I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19  I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19 I I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19  I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18;	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19 I I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19  I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18;	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19  I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19  I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19  I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1) 331:22	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12) 312:4,12;315:2,6;	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4) 269:22;273:22;	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6 instead (2)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24 intervene (2)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19  I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1) 331:22 identification (1)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12) 312:4,12;315:2,6; 334:7,21;408:7,13,21;	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4) 269:22;273:22; 390:5;401:6	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6 instead (2) 302:14;329:11	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24 intervene (2) 464:16;469:9
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19  I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1) 331:22 identification (1) 296:4	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12) 312:4,12;315:2,6; 334:7,21;408:7,13,21; 410:9;411:2;427:16	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4) 269:22;273:22; 390:5;401:6 indulgence (5)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6 instead (2) 302:14;329:11 instruct (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24 intervene (2) 464:16;469:9 intervention (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19   I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1) 331:22 identification (1) 296:4 identified (1)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12) 312:4,12;315:2,6; 334:7,21;408:7,13,21; 410:9;411:2;427:16 improperly (1)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4) 269:22;273:22; 390:5;401:6 indulgence (5) 298:13;349:14;	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6 instead (2) 302:14;329:11 instruct (1) 376:12	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24 intervene (2) 464:16;469:9 intervention (1) 464:17
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19   I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1) 331:22 identification (1) 296:4 identified (1) 410:17	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12) 312:4,12;315:2,6; 334:7,21;408:7,13,21; 410:9;411:2;427:16 improperly (1) 483:21	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4) 269:22;273:22; 390:5;401:6 indulgence (5)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6 instead (2) 302:14;329:11 instruct (1) 376:12 instructing (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24 intervene (2) 464:16;469:9 intervention (1) 464:17 intimidating (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19   I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1) 331:22 identification (1) 296:4 identified (1)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12) 312:4,12;315:2,6; 334:7,21;408:7,13,21; 410:9;411:2;427:16 improperly (1)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4) 269:22;273:22; 390:5;401:6 indulgence (5) 298:13;349:14;	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6 instead (2) 302:14;329:11 instruct (1) 376:12 instructing (1) 481:7	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24 intervene (2) 464:16;469:9 intervention (1) 464:17
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19   I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1) 331:22 identification (1) 296:4 identified (1) 410:17	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12) 312:4,12;315:2,6; 334:7,21;408:7,13,21; 410:9;411:2;427:16 improperly (1) 483:21	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4) 269:22;273:22; 390:5;401:6 indulgence (5) 298:13;349:14; 386:3;417:19;458:6	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6 instead (2) 302:14;329:11 instruct (1) 376:12 instructing (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24 intervene (2) 464:16;469:9 intervention (1) 464:17 intimidating (1)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19   I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1) 331:22 identification (1) 296:4 identified (1) 410:17 identifies (1) 374:19	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12) 312:4,12;315:2,6; 334:7,21;408:7,13,21; 410:9;411:2;427:16 improperly (1) 483:21 impropriety (2) 456:14;472:17	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4) 269:22;273:22; 390:5;401:6 indulgence (5) 298:13;349:14; 386:3;417:19;458:6 inflammatory (2) 317:4;441:20	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6 instead (2) 302:14;329:11 instruct (1) 376:12 instructing (1) 481:7	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24 intervene (2) 464:16;469:9 intervention (1) 464:17 intimidating (1) 455:14 into (18)
hundred (1) 380:13 hunt (1) 380:17 hunting (1) 380:19   I  I-166 (2) 478:3,6 Idaho (1) 388:12 idea (6) 285:19;293:18; 319:19;323:19;355:5; 477:13 identifiable (1) 331:22 identification (1) 296:4 identified (1) 410:17 identifies (1)	444:19 imply (1) 319:10 important (8) 257:8;436:15; 458:25;472:8;474:13; 475:8;482:16;486:8 importantly (4) 369:15;410:12; 447:12;468:19 imposed (3) 407:12;409:5;412:1 Imposing (1) 485:5 impossible (3) 283:20;369:12; 439:22 improper (12) 312:4,12;315:2,6; 334:7,21;408:7,13,21; 410:9;411:2;427:16 improperly (1) 483:21 impropriety (2)	independence (1) 478:18 independent (3) 256:12;423:20;454:7 indicated (3) 386:6;407:16;450:24 indicates (8) 282:16;292:15; 293:5,20,20,25;294:19; 427:12 indicating (1) 293:9 indication (1) 283:25 individual (2) 340:16;457:5 individually (2) 429:16,22 individuals (4) 269:22;273:22; 390:5;401:6 indulgence (5) 298:13;349:14; 386:3;417:19;458:6 inflammatory (2)	14;366:4;393:7,25 injures (1) 449:25 inquiries (2) 328:16;329:18 inquiry (1) 311:9 insert (1) 444:1 inside (1) 480:4 insincerity (1) 435:14 insinuate (1) 446:2 instance (3) 272:20;304:24;363:6 instances (1) 399:6 instead (2) 302:14;329:11 instruct (1) 376:12 instructing (1) 481:7 instructions (1)	402:24 interests (6) 258:8;272:8;279:14; 444:6;448:22;485:13 interference (1) 431:5 Inter-Fluve (1) 479:8 interim (5) 263:6;404:18;413:4, 22;463:3 interlineation (1) 452:12 internet (1) 268:16 interpose (1) 395:14 interrupt (1) 390:24 intervene (2) 464:16;469:9 intervention (1) 464:17 intimidating (1) 455:14

397:5;465:19,20

inadvertently (1)

254:14;429:13;

information (75)

363:12;368:9,11;

in the Mutter of Museum 1	Kiiuuseii			0 000001 10, 202.
277.6.279.1.290.11	2.216.4.217.2.11.12.	: (1)	410.5.411.12.429.24	441.5.450.22.466.17
377:6;378:1;389:11,	3;316:4;317:2,11,12;	journalism (1)	410:5;411:13;428:24;	441:5;459:22;466:17;
14;411:9;413:8;468:9;	321:16;322:15;334:2;	262:5	429:25;430:16;432:1,	470:8;473:14;477:8;
470:21	368:23;369:1,15;	JSC (2)	4,5;434:7;435:5,23;	481:5,13;483:22
introduce (1)	372:8;375:10;376:13;	278:10,10	436:14;440:15,21;	justification (1)
416:14	386:22;392:1;397:16;	Judge (41)	446:16;447:2;448:5,	447:23
introduced (3)	399:16;402:8;405:3;	277:12,17,23;311:9;	17,23;450:10;451:10;	justified (1)
308:3;309:13;475:19	408:20;410:13;412:8,	319:1,24;320:7,21;	455:3;457:10;460:12;	444:17
invalidated (1)	19,21;416:19,23,23;	321:20;326:3;392:15,	463:12;465:9,22;	justify (1)
482:22	417:2;418:7,11,14;	18;410:6;415:16;	466:9;470:5,11;	445:19
	419:3,8,13;422:23;	423:14;432:14;440:10,	472:17;473:12;479:8;	juvenile (1)
inventory (2)				• • •
347:18,20	423:1;425:10;426:1;	12;441:12,24;447:25;	480:5;483:20;485:9	262:17
investigate (7)	438:2,5;445:15;448:9;	448:1;450:18,22;	judiciary (9)	<b>T</b> 7
322:4;334:5;379:3;	450:21;461:22;482:24;	454:19,21,25;455:2,5;	306:14;311:14;	K
399:25;407:20;408:24;	485:16,19	456:12;461:12;463:8,	374:12;389:15,19;	
419:22	issued (16)	15,21;464:1,4,8,8,23;	415:1,10;431:7;482:20	Kafkaesque (1)
investigated (1)	266:15;285:9;	471:23;473:4	Judiciary's (1)	479:5
414:22	343:13;356:8;363:9,	Judges (73)	409:19	Kansas (1)
investigating (3)	25;374:3;383:24;	304:15,17,17,19;	July (19)	425:11
278:9;400:23;413:21	384:7;397:23;398:4;	305:1,2,2;306:23,23,	261:21;292:19;	keep (4)
investigation (8)	401:16;430:2;466:13;	25;308:9,12,15,17,19;	303:10;335:22;343:13,	367:18;377:24,25;
334:21;337:5;	470:7;474:21	309:5,16,23;312:17,22,	17,19;345:3;356:4;	466:4
399:17;407:14;408:1;	issues (19)	23,24;313:13,18;	369:9;370:3;423:6;	keeper (2)
415:21;431:5;435:19	280:2;317:24;	324:8;326:7;328:3,6,	428:23;436:11;437:3;	270:7,18
investigations (2)	335:10,16;368:19;	10;330:23;334:22;	439:16;447:1;455:6;	keeping (1)
337:4;412:19	374:11;391:16;396:8;	339:9,17,19,22;340:8,	474:15	368:1
investigative (3)	414:8;415:11;428:16;	9,9,10,11,16,20,21,22,	jump (1)	Kennedy (1)
407:9,11;412:12	437:13;443:4;464:13;	23;341:3;374:22;	352:19	460:2
invite (2)	468:6;483:8,11;484:7;	381:9;390:2,14,21;	jumping (1)	Kentucky (2)
422:13;433:8	486:8	391:4,13,21;393:14,24;	373:7	440:7;447:17
invoice (4)	issuing (1)	403:25;408:22;414:23;	June (3)	kept (2)
272:17,19;279:10,13	389:11	415:5,22;423:14;	303:11;335:22;	330:22;377:19
invoices (3)	item (1)	434:2;457:16;462:12;	404:22	
	251:7			key (1)
272:12,14,25		463:3,22,24;465:2,19,	Jurisdiction (9)	357:13
involve (2)	items (11)	20;466:4;471:12	262:15;304:18;	kicks (1)
476:7,13	250:9;271:24;284:1;	Judges' (1)	305:3;309:24;314:16;	301:24
involved (25)	325:21;331:17;390:5,	378:4	338:13;340:10,24;	kidding (1)
255:1;257:17;258:1;	9;394:23;396:20;	judgment (2)	469:24	380:22
262:20;265:24,25;	397:5,8	454:8;478:22	jurist (1)	Kill (2)
277:14;352:11;353:21;	ITSD's (1)	judgments (1)	449:4	456:25;457:1
359:10;362:21,25;	268:15	314:15	justice (54)	kind (12)
379:15;388:7;398:19;		Judicial (116)	258:8;285:15,18;	289:17;314:17;
400:13;401:8,12;	J	262:9;266:6,16;	290:14;292:16;293:3;	337:3;340:1;351:10;
424:15;426:16;444:8;		268:2,3,22,25;269:9,	294:10,19;295:4,21;	352:17;357:13,20;
461:6,12;482:14;483:3	Jacobsen (1)	12,22;271:25;272:13;	301:10;309:25;313:17;	389:11;390:7;432:11;
involvement (4)	477:23	273:9,11,14,18;274:7;	321:21;326:3;340:11,	438:16
388:13;398:25;	jail (1)	278:6,7,17;279:3,8;	25;346:2;367:10;	kinds (4)
423:25;425:16	455:7	288:3;291:1;297:14,	392:21;403:3,6,10;	336:17,19;391:23;
involves (2)	James (1)	19;298:3;301:16;	415:23;423:17;429:15;	432:9
280:25;409:18	483:9	302:19,21;303:5;	430:16;440:5;442:9;	KK (1)
irrefutable (1)	Janet (1)	304:2,6;305:6;306:12;	446:21,24;449:1,25;	467:14
422:23	450 10	200 17 210 1 212 10	457.12 17.460.2 2.	TZ12 (A)
	478:13	309:17;310:1;312:19;	457:13,17;460:2,2;	Kline (2)
irregular (4)		309:17;310:1;312:19; 313:1,13,21;315:2;		
irregular (4) 469:15.19.20:480:7	January (7)	313:1,13,21;315:2;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19,	425:11;450:3
469:15,19,20;480:7	<b>January (7)</b> 253:21;275:6;	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24;	425:11;450:3 knew (9)
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1)	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1;	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20;	425:11;450:3 knew (9) 281:1;283:15;316:1,
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1) 481:16	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1; 463:20;466:15	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18; 331:7;334:10,24;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20; 479:15;481:6,7;	425:11;450:3 <b>knew (9)</b> 281:1;283:15;316:1, 2;363:13;377:5,24;
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1) 481:16 irregularity (1)	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1; 463:20;466:15 job (7)	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18; 331:7;334:10,24; 337:3;339:23;340:20;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20; 479:15;481:6,7; 484:23;485:13	425:11;450:3 <b>knew (9)</b> 281:1;283:15;316:1, 2;363:13;377:5,24; 427:3;471:21
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1) 481:16 irregularity (1) 481:21	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1; 463:20;466:15 job (7) 262:7;267:18;304:8;	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18; 331:7;334:10,24; 337:3;339:23;340:20; 352:25;353:8,10,16,21,	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20; 479:15;481:6,7; 484:23;485:13 <b>Justices (31)</b>	425:11;450:3 knew (9) 281:1;283:15;316:1, 2;363:13;377:5,24; 427:3;471:21 knowing (4)
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1) 481:16 irregularity (1) 481:21 irrelevant (5)	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1; 463:20;466:15 job (7) 262:7;267:18;304:8; 312:20;323:19;442:16,	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18; 331:7;334:10,24; 337:3;339:23;340:20; 352:25;353:8,10,16,21, 24;354:3,4,25;356:17;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20; 479:15;481:6,7; 484:23;485:13 <b>Justices (31)</b> 275:15,20;276:3,5;	425:11;450:3 knew (9) 281:1;283:15;316:1, 2;363:13;377:5,24; 427:3;471:21 knowing (4) 280:1;343:11;
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1) 481:16 irregularity (1) 481:21 irrelevant (5) 315:6;318:1,6;	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1; 463:20;466:15 job (7) 262:7;267:18;304:8; 312:20;323:19;442:16, 17	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18; 331:7;334:10,24; 337:3;339:23;340:20; 352:25;353:8,10,16,21, 24;354:3,4,25;356:17; 361:21;366:7;367:16;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20; 479:15;481:6,7; 484:23;485:13 <b>Justices (31)</b> 275:15,20;276:3,5; 326:2;337:23;338:7;	425:11;450:3 knew (9) 281:1;283:15;316:1, 2;363:13;377:5,24; 427:3;471:21 knowing (4) 280:1;343:11; 399:13;423:2
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1) 481:16 irregularity (1) 481:21 irrelevant (5) 315:6;318:1,6; 322:15;409:25	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1; 463:20;466:15 job (7) 262:7;267:18;304:8; 312:20;323:19;442:16, 17 Joint (2)	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18; 331:7;334:10,24; 337:3;339:23;340:20; 352:25;353:8,10,16,21, 24;354:3,4,25;356:17; 361:21;366:7;367:16; 368:14;375:9;388:24;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20; 479:15;481:6,7; 484:23;485:13 <b>Justices (31)</b> 275:15,20;276:3,5; 326:2;337:23;338:7; 340:6,7;378:3;402:13;	425:11;450:3 knew (9) 281:1;283:15;316:1, 2;363:13;377:5,24; 427:3;471:21 knowing (4) 280:1;343:11; 399:13;423:2 knowingly (3)
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1) 481:16 irregularity (1) 481:21 irrelevant (5) 315:6;318:1,6; 322:15;409:25 issue (53)	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1; 463:20;466:15 job (7) 262:7;267:18;304:8; 312:20;323:19;442:16, 17 Joint (2) 405:25;470:5	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18; 331:7;334:10,24; 337:3;339:23;340:20; 352:25;353:8,10,16,21, 24;354:3,4,25;356:17; 361:21;366:7;367:16; 368:14;375:9;388:24; 391:14,21;394:10;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20; 479:15;481:6,7; 484:23;485:13 <b>Justices (31)</b> 275:15,20;276:3,5; 326:2;337:23;338:7; 340:6,7;378:3;402:13; 403:2,6,13,15;415:5;	425:11;450:3 knew (9) 281:1;283:15;316:1, 2;363:13;377:5,24; 427:3;471:21 knowing (4) 280:1;343:11; 399:13;423:2 knowingly (3) 427:22;441:2;473:25
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1) 481:16 irregularity (1) 481:21 irrelevant (5) 315:6;318:1,6; 322:15;409:25 issue (53) 257:21;276:7;	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1; 463:20;466:15 job (7) 262:7;267:18;304:8; 312:20;323:19;442:16, 17 Joint (2)	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18; 331:7;334:10,24; 337:3;339:23;340:20; 352:25;353:8,10,16,21, 24;354:3,4,25;356:17; 361:21;366:7;367:16; 368:14;375:9;388:24;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20; 479:15;481:6,7; 484:23;485:13 <b>Justices (31)</b> 275:15,20;276:3,5; 326:2;337:23;338:7; 340:6,7;378:3;402:13; 403:2,6,13,15;415:5; 423:15;429:17,21;	425:11;450:3 knew (9) 281:1;283:15;316:1, 2;363:13;377:5,24; 427:3;471:21 knowing (4) 280:1;343:11; 399:13;423:2 knowingly (3)
469:15,19,20;480:7 irregularities (1) 481:16 irregularity (1) 481:21 irrelevant (5) 315:6;318:1,6; 322:15;409:25 issue (53)	January (7) 253:21;275:6; 318:14;319:12;320:1; 463:20;466:15 job (7) 262:7;267:18;304:8; 312:20;323:19;442:16, 17 Joint (2) 405:25;470:5	313:1,13,21;315:2; 316:10;321:24,25; 322:5,10,12;329:18; 331:7;334:10,24; 337:3;339:23;340:20; 352:25;353:8,10,16,21, 24;354:3,4,25;356:17; 361:21;366:7;367:16; 368:14;375:9;388:24; 391:14,21;394:10;	463:7,10,21;467:14,19, 22;468:14,25;475:24; 477:11,24;478:20; 479:15;481:6,7; 484:23;485:13 <b>Justices (31)</b> 275:15,20;276:3,5; 326:2;337:23;338:7; 340:6,7;378:3;402:13; 403:2,6,13,15;415:5;	425:11;450:3 knew (9) 281:1;283:15;316:1, 2;363:13;377:5,24; 427:3;471:21 knowing (4) 280:1;343:11; 399:13;423:2 knowingly (3) 427:22;441:2;473:25

22;374:3;398:24;	370:7;374:9;439:7;	285:25;286:5;371:12;	322:3;327:22;333:15;	425:21
400:24;424:13,14	461:23;464:1;470:6	401:18	334:4;335:4;337:18;	liaison (1)
known (3)	latter (1)	learned (3)	340:19;344:9;352:2,9,	312:18
345:1;370:8;444:23	478:22	266:8;356:17;371:13	23;353:18;354:17;	libelous (1)
knows (6)	law (52)	learning (1)	355:13,14,15;356:20;	472:16
255:4;299:8;370:5;	251:24;255:13;	464:6	357:1;359:8,22;361:1;	Library (2)
423:19;424:21;439:19	258:10;262:22,23,25;	least (7)	362:10,18;363:19,25;	262:22,23
Knudsen (4)	263:23;268:16;313:16;	255:18;256:17;	367:2,25;370:12;	licensed (1)
413:12;414:1;419:3;	339:17;340:17;351:24,	293:24;317:10;410:11;	371:16;373:10;374:10,	383:19
421:15		412:8;459:1	17,20,25;375:4,7,14,	Lieutenant (5)
	25;355:6;383:18,21;	/		
Knudsen's (2)	390:6;392:6,10;393:3;	leave (6)	19;376:5;377:17;	288:13,22;289:19,
413:19;431:15	407:12,15;408:16;	277:3;348:2,20;	378:2,17;379:2,6;	23;431:14
Koster (1)	409:5,6;412:1,4,10;	349:7;411:15;413:3	383:7;388:14,15,20;	light (6)
449:8	418:9;420:11,20;	led (2)	393:13;397:23;398:6;	317:4,10;432:1;
Kristin (1)	425:2,9,14;427:6;	468:17;481:7	399:19;400:16,21,23;	435:24;445:11;464:12
372:25	432:4;440:14;442:9;	leeway (1)	401:2;406:3,17;	Ligon (1)
Krueger (8)	444:7;446:17;448:4;	323:2	407:19,24;408:3,11,15,	429:9
319:24;320:7;463:9,	451:4;456:8,14,24;	left (4)	23;412:4,15;431:2,4,	L-I-G-O-N (1)
15;464:1,4,8,24	458:2;463:5;475:4;	266:9;437:24;	13;462:10,15;464:14;	429:9
Kurt (1)	478:4;479:23;483:12;	457:24;467:20	465:3,15,25;466:9,12;	likely (3)
463:9	486:19	legal (22)	468:6,22;469:8,8,16,	311:13;393:15;
	laws (3)	280:25;313:16;	17,25;470:3,4,10,18,	485:11
${f L}$	279:7,16,18	376:22;385:18;391:20;	25;471:8;477:15;	limbo (1)
	lawsuit (2)	392:5;395:7;425:4;	479:18,19,21	357:24
labeled (1)	461:2;470:13	432:7;443:13;444:9;	Legislature's (14)	limine (3)
labeled (1)				
416:16	Lawyer (42)	451:10;456:9;457:14;	284:8;289:25;	314:11;418:7,15
lack (2)	251:21;252:15;	460:10;462:6,19;	335:17,20;336:12;	Limited (14)
455:23;466:5	265:25;266:2;282:7,	469:23;473:5;477:1;	344:3;369:9;375:24;	262:15;304:18;
lacked (1)	14;297:10;343:3;	483:15;484:3	399:10;414:5;431:5;	305:3;309:24;339:6;
473:19	345:12;391:22;410:3;	legality (1)	474:24;482:19;483:19	340:10,23;359:7;
laid (1)	419:15;424:7,9,11,18,	440:22	legitimate (1)	370:14;407:9,10;
422:10	19,21;426:4;427:17,	legislation (13)	444:6	425:2;449:23;453:1
Lakeside (1)	21;431:10;433:1;	313:1;316:19;	lengthy (1)	limits (1)
388:12	434:12,22;439:18,19;	352:22;354:24;355:19;	458:19	448:19
Langton (2)	442:6,13,21;443:19,21;	357:23;378:6;389:16,	leniency (1)	line (12)
441:24;454:21	446:19;447:24;449:12;	19,21;392:15;471:11;	484:10	311:23;315:5;
language (21)	450:25;454:20;455:15;	479:18	lens (2)	318:16;321:11;322:14;
252:1,20;317:5;	456:20;457:6;459:19;	legislative (38)	462:2,14	327:9;330:17;345:23;
333:16;365:12;392:13;	461:3	263:3,4;264:7;	less (3)	361:7;368:10;457:6;
408:10;411:20;429:19;	lawyers (18)	269:13;283:19;285:6;	253:3;409:24;473:20	462:4
432:12;440:10,14;	255:1;423:9;425:3,	286:25;292:18;294:21;		lines (2)
441:21;443:3;447:24;	18;432:18,20,21;	295:4,23;310:16;	428:25;429:2;445:2	335:12;485:10
				ŕ
448:4;451:24;452:13;	438:1;444:12,15,23;	313:10;316:13;330:2;	letter (18)	link (1)
459:8,12;472:15	448:20;452:4;454:5,5;	334:3,25;340:2,5;	283:1;286:10,13;	288:19
laptops (1)	457:1,2;484:8	341:18;362:16,21;	294:16,18;372:18;	lion's (1)
288:4	lawyer's (5)	363:9,17,20;373:16,23;	375:2;377:15;426:21;	461:6
large (1)	442:16,17;443:10,	374:2;389:9;390:8;	431:1,18,19;432:24;	list (9)
262:23	12;444:5	397:23;400:13;425:6;	433:11;437:2,6;443:2;	259:19;318:24;
Largely (1)	lay (3)	464:20,24;465:9,13;	469:21	417:8,9,12,16;418:23;
411:11	398:13;405:18;	477:14	letters (4)	446:10;472:6
largest (1)	425:19	legislator (4)	358:21;395:16;	listed (3)
309:15	layer (1)	285:5;367:3;392:9;	462:5;474:7	293:14;416:20;
Last (12)	354:2	402:25	letting (1)	417:13
255:18,23;256:17;	lays (1)	legislators (8)	467:20	listen (1)
290:5;293:24;320:13;	316:3	283:19;284:7,18;	level (5)	301:1
326:15;328:14;338:25;	leader (1)	312:17,22;334:14,19;	436:21,22,23;	listing (1)
375:17;382:4;410:11	352:14	390:13	454:14;483:13	331:18
		T !-1-4 (100)	Lexis (1)	lists (2)
lastly (2)	leadership (2)	Legisiature (109)	LCAIS (1)	11313 (2)
lastly (2) 423:18:479:15	leadership (2) 323:17:24	<b>Legislature (109)</b> 266:6:273:23:		1 1
423:18;479:15	323:17,24	266:6;273:23;	480:3	305:21;426:8
423:18;479:15 <b>later (14)</b>	323:17,24 <b>leading (3)</b>	266:6;273:23; 279:16;282:25;283:14,	480:3 <b>liability (6)</b>	305:21;426:8 litany (1)
423:18;479:15 later (14) 259:3;291:14;	323:17,24 leading (3) 253:5;275:22;400:3	266:6;273:23; 279:16;282:25;283:14, 16,17;284:15;288:23;	480:3 <b>liability (6)</b> 280:2,14;286:18;	305:21;426:8 litany (1) 449:14
423:18;479:15 <b>later (14)</b>	323:17,24 <b>leading (3)</b>	266:6;273:23; 279:16;282:25;283:14,	480:3 <b>liability (6)</b>	305:21;426:8 litany (1)

litigant (1)	383:5;395:20,22,24;	maker (1)	287:12;289:4;296:6	301:1,12;305:14;
398:25	396:22,24;397:11;	258:24	math (1)	306:21;312:6,9,10,13;
litigants (2)	403:8;405:4,6;406:12;	makes (4)	381:24	315:3;318:9;319:20;
448:19;478:13	415:14;427:9;433:22;	252:5;413:4;435:16;	matter (31)	321:17,18;323:9;
litigated (4)	437:21;438:14;477:5	446:19	258:24;263:23;	324:3;326:16;327:19;
	looked (13)	making (13)	273:2;275:19;276:9;	329:25;331:2;333:15,
408:20;410:2	257:19;264:10;	263:7;297:7;321:21;	278:7,10;281:5;	20,21;334:3,11;335:2;
litigation (13)	297:3;333:22;346:17,	369:22,22;392:15;	300:14;301:2;322:8;	336:4;339:2;340:14;
256:23;316:24;	23;370:21;380:11;	398:22;413:13,13;	335:2;338:2,5;348:1;	342:4,23;345:3,19;
321:16;339:10;347:23,	394:22;395:9;415:22;	424:2;445:22;452:18;	352:1;379:4;388:22;	349:6;355:25;356:9;
25;408:3;416:24;	438:14;454:23	473:10	408:24;412:17;415:18;	357:6;359:16,18,23;
442:20;461:13;462:18; <b>I</b>	looking (10)	maligning (2)	416:17;418:22;420:14;	360:18,20;362:3;
466:23;483:3	302:14,15;303:7;	433:3;452:20	421:14;422:2;431:25;	366:25;369:7,14;
litigators (1)	318:13;322:6;342:10;	manage (2)	455:22;462:3;473:8;	370:3,7;373:22;382:2,
434:25	389:6;394:25;396:21;	262:19,22	486:17	5;383:14;397:1,14;
little (12)	413:18	management (6)	mattered (1)	402:7;404:7;407:17,
	looks (9)	262:11,12;267:22,	462:10	23,25;408:7,17,21;
298:24;299:9;310:8;	257:25;295:12;	23;270:7;276:22	matters (17)	413:16,17,23;435:17;
323:2;351:10,18;	318:19,22;320:2,18;	managerial (2)	253:9;255:11;	438:24;461:14,18,23;
352:21;353:12;398:19;	330:20;391:13;433:24	424:20;427:17	274:13,13;278:10;	462:2;464:21;465:4,5,
	lost (2)	manages (2)	289:13;313:11,20;	8,14,16,24;466:22;
live (1)	315:19;324:22	262:16;272:23	316:13;321:22;398:18,	469:5,6;470:1,3,12;
416:12	lot (11)	managing (2)	20;411:25;412:18;	471:3;473:7;475:10,
Livestock (1)	278:12;309:14;	263:3;425:3	416:13;462:7;482:5	13;480:19;482:9,20;
256:12	343:4;357:12;359:6;	mandated (1)	May (45)	483:23
living (2)	365:9,25;443:15;	409:1	251:16;256:25;	McLaughlin's (11)
388:6;433:4	446:7;462:21;484:3	M-A-N-D-E-L-M-A-N (1)	260:15;264:2;276:12,	314:1;361:10;
*	loud (2)	427:7	16;281:16;284:2;	368:15;382:13;384:6;
395:3;408:13;415:2	384:25;405:14	Mandleman (1)	288:5;298:12,14;	397:3;466:14;467:2;
	*	427:7		
	Lovell (2)		300:4;311:23;313:5;	469:2;470:23;474:10
307:4,7;312:3,3,18;	441:12;454:19	Manley (1)	321:10;333:23;347:23;	mean (8)
	Ludicrous (8)	456:12	349:2,5;358:4,5;369:1;	268:12;280:8;
367:25;403:25;404:12	431:20,21;477:2,10,	manner (2)	384:11,13,19;385:1,23;	283:16,18;286:14;
local (5)	18;478:3,8,14	331:24;390:6	386:2,5;387:17;	294:23;340:7;438:13
304:2;332:5;340:22,	Lumumba (1)	many (14)	390:21;409:25;410:21;	meaning (4)
25;341:1	440:18	257:17;285:14;	413:22;418:21;419:9;	290:1;443:7;444:2,
lodged (2)	lunch (6)	297:20;339:2;363:12;	425:19;432:25;433:16;	10
269:1;270:24	350:1;420:6;421:3,3,	394:19;404:14;413:12,	437:5,8;450:12;	means (10)
log (3)	12,14	20;426:8;436:1;440:5;	458:13;480:25;482:1	280:17;283:13;
271:18,19,23		448:11;476:6	maybe (22)	309:4;338:8;442:6,21,
logic (1)	$\mathbf{M}$	March (16)	253:2,2;257:8;	25;443:1;480:7;481:24
479:4	141	292:4,5,7,12;293:24;	291:14;298:20;300:23;	meant (2)
	(2)			
. ,	ma'am (3)	294:2;307:23;309:10;	302:15;303:13;307:21;	283:16;286:16
302:2	303:2;333:7;338:23	310:6,14;311:1,10;	325:10;362:24;396:19;	mechanism (1)
	Madison (1)	345:4;463:5;474:25;	400:22;433:15;434:16;	463:2
388:11	483:9	477:10	436:5;451:21,22;	media (1)
	Magistrate (1)	marked (2)	453:8,15,20;462:22	463:12
356:23;362:17;		206.4.410.1	Marion (1)	mediation (2)
400:15;486:25	309:23	296:4;418:1	Mayer (1)	` /
longer (1)	309:23 <b>mail (1)</b>	Martinsdale (2)	256:17	262:21;263:1
405:18				` /
	mail (1) 467:20	<b>Martinsdale (2)</b> 351:9,18	256:17 MCA (2)	262:21;263:1 medical (5)
look (51)	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1)	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1)	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9;
look (51)	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3)	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24
267:4;274:17;277:4;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1)	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1)	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8)
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1)	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2;
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3; 302:13;303:22;309:8;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11 maintain (4)	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17 Maslow's (1)	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1) 450:3	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2; 386:12,14;398:14;
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3; 302:13;303:22;309:8; 311:3;319:3;323:20;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11 maintain (4) 347:4;430:19;452:4;	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17 Maslow's (1) 433:22	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1) 450:3 McGrath (3)	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2; 386:12,14;398:14; 457:22;467:13;478:15
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3; 302:13;303:22;309:8; 311:3;319:3;323:20; 324:2,18,24;325:19;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11 maintain (4) 347:4;430:19;452:4; 454:9	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17 Maslow's (1) 433:22 master (1)	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1) 450:3 McGrath (3) 326:4;367:8;463:7	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2; 386:12,14;398:14; 457:22;467:13;478:15 meeting (2)
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3; 302:13;303:22;309:8; 311:3;319:3;323:20; 324:2,18,24;325:19; 327:12;328:25;330:9;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11 maintain (4) 347:4;430:19;452:4; 454:9 maintained (1)	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17 Maslow's (1) 433:22 master (1) 425:20	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1) 450:3 McGrath (3) 326:4;367:8;463:7 McKinnon (1)	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2; 386:12,14;398:14; 457:22;467:13;478:15 meeting (2) 415:24;486:18
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3; 302:13;303:22;309:8; 311:3;319:3;323:20; 324:2,18,24;325:19; 327:12;328:25;330:9; 337:16;338:9;340:14;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11 maintain (4) 347:4;430:19;452:4; 454:9 maintained (1) 348:7	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17 Maslow's (1) 433:22 master (1) 425:20 masters (1)	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1) 450:3 McGrath (3) 326:4;367:8;463:7 McKinnon (1) 478:20	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2; 386:12,14;398:14; 457:22;467:13;478:15 meeting (2) 415:24;486:18 member (5)
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3; 302:13;303:22;309:8; 311:3;319:3;323:20; 324:2,18,24;325:19; 327:12;328:25;330:9; 337:16;338:9;340:14; 348:16;357:18;358:3,	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11 maintain (4) 347:4;430:19;452:4; 454:9 maintained (1) 348:7 maintaining (3)	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17 Maslow's (1) 433:22 master (1) 425:20 masters (1) 262:6	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1) 450:3 McGrath (3) 326:4;367:8;463:7 McKinnon (1) 478:20 McLaughlin (104)	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2; 386:12,14;398:14; 457:22;467:13;478:15 meeting (2) 415:24;486:18
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3; 302:13;303:22;309:8; 311:3;319:3;323:20; 324:2,18,24;325:19; 327:12;328:25;330:9; 337:16;338:9;340:14;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11 maintain (4) 347:4;430:19;452:4; 454:9 maintained (1) 348:7	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17 Maslow's (1) 433:22 master (1) 425:20 masters (1)	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1) 450:3 McGrath (3) 326:4;367:8;463:7 McKinnon (1) 478:20	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2; 386:12,14;398:14; 457:22;467:13;478:15 meeting (2) 415:24;486:18 member (5)
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3; 302:13;303:22;309:8; 311:3;319:3;323:20; 324:2,18,24;325:19; 327:12;328:25;330:9; 337:16;338:9;340:14; 348:16;357:18;358:3, 24;360:14;361:9;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11 maintain (4) 347:4;430:19;452:4; 454:9 maintained (1) 348:7 maintaining (3) 430:14,15;444:7	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17 Maslow's (1) 433:22 master (1) 425:20 masters (1) 262:6	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1) 450:3 McGrath (3) 326:4;367:8;463:7 McKinnon (1) 478:20 McLaughlin (104)	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2; 386:12,14;398:14; 457:22;467:13;478:15 meeting (2) 415:24;486:18 member (5) 301:9;426:9;447:11,
267:4;274:17;277:4; 287:13;288:9;291:3; 302:13;303:22;309:8; 311:3;319:3;323:20; 324:2,18,24;325:19; 327:12;328:25;330:9; 337:16;338:9;340:14; 348:16;357:18;358:3, 24;360:14;361:9;	mail (1) 467:20 mailing (1) 291:20 main (1) 415:11 maintain (4) 347:4;430:19;452:4; 454:9 maintained (1) 348:7 maintaining (3)	Martinsdale (2) 351:9,18 Mary's (1) 388:12 mashed (1) 296:17 Maslow's (1) 433:22 master (1) 425:20 masters (1) 262:6 material (2)	256:17 MCA (2) 263:21;327:23 McCann (3) 456:11,15;472:14 McClellan (1) 450:3 McGrath (3) 326:4;367:8;463:7 McKinnon (1) 478:20 McLaughlin (104) 260:12,16,19;261:3,	262:21;263:1 medical (5) 277:1;284:21;378:9; 470:16,24 meet (8) 265:16;367:2; 386:12,14;398:14; 457:22;467:13;478:15 meeting (2) 415:24;486:18 member (5) 301:9;426:9;447:11, 11,12

				,
304:23,25;308:16,19;	264:15;366:17	478:15	477:8,22;478:9,11;	motives (1)
311:6;313:7;318:23;	minimum (4)	misuse (4)	479:2,8,25;480:5;	477:15
336:22;374:12;379:25;	448:7,8;472:24;	312:14;315:3;	481:5;482:24;483:12	move (16)
389:15;394:15,16;	473:21	331:13,18	Montanans (1)	259:14;368:19;
404:7;406:5;415:1,13;	ministerial (2)	mitigated (1)	478:6	370:15;386:7;391:19;
421:24;431:6,9,12;	465:10;466:2	424:23	month (1)	392:2;395:6;405:16,
445:14;458:16;480:1;	minor (2)	MJA (5)	292:11	19;407:3;414:13;
482:6;486:11	277:14;351:23	309:21;310:17,18;	months (15)	420:24;436:19;438:25;
membership (5)	minute (11)	319:2;328:3	272:10;369:14;	446:18;486:20
308:4,8,11,12;309:2	267:6;298:20,23;	MJA's (1)	413:18,22;438:8;	moved (4)
memory (4)	306:22;349:17,19;	324:6	439:7;453:2,2,3,4,6,9;	347:5;463:14;469:8;
257:14;379:10;	357:19;369:11;458:6;			482:18
		MMA (3)	454:22;464:1;474:16	
384:12,17	467:17;468:13	309:18,21,22	Moore (1)	moves (1)
mention (2)	minutes (7)	Model (4)	479:10	485:17
276:11;461:23	331:6;338:10;	425:7;426:7;446:10;	more (33)	moving (9)
mentioned (11)	349:20;350:6;458:11,	451:6	250:16;252:19;	255:9;355:19;
252:10;253:13;	11;486:3	modern (1)	253:2;273:22;287:4;	357:15;473:23;475:5,
274:8;278:21;279:9;	misbehavior (2)	268:7	294:5;302:2;326:11;	21;476:19;478:19;
296:22,25;307:14;	435:12,23	moment (9)	329:15;360:5,11;	479:7
384:8;458:20;468:23	mischaracterization (1)	264:17;298:13;	367:1,1,4;369:15;	MRLDE (1)
message (1)	340:4	341:22;345:16;358:5;	387:2;389:22;409:24;	254:10
332:20	mischaracterizes (1)	386:4;394:8;404:23;	416:12;420:3;427:10;	MT (9)
messages (6)	341:11	418:21	436:5;440:18;441:14;	472:10,14,21;
331:21;332:10,10,	misconduct (18)	moments (2)	450:1;454:12;455:4;	477:23;478:7,11,25;
17,22;333:10	322:5;353:25;	330:15;371:3	457:1;461:1,10;	479:6,9
messy (1)	407:22;411:13;422:3;	Monday (9)	464:19;486:1,1	MT-05-029 (1)
482:21	423:9;426:5;432:1;	282:1,21;321:2;	<b>Morin</b> (11)	473:2
met (3)	440:22;446:16,19;	325:9;398:21;461:16;	253:17,22;254:3,4;	much (8)
300:19;380:8;473:21	451:11;454:15;459:10,	468:4;469:16;475:2	312:7;314:7,13;455:9,	253:23;358:23;
microphone (1)	13,15;462:4;476:14	money (1)	11;456:2,10	361:2;367:15;379:16;
333:24	misdemeanor (1)	268:19	M-O-R-I-N (1)	380:20;441:14;473:20
middle (2)	280:9	Montana (123)	253:18	multiple (2)
401:25;451:24	mispronounce (1)	253:25;258:23;	Morin's (2)	451:12;481:15
midway (1)	320:17	261:9;262:4,18,25;	455:21;456:7	Murnion (1)
337:16	mispronounced (1)	263:17;270:6;290:22;	morning (13)	320:19
might (21)	267:10	292:18;293:3;295:24;	250:18;259:2;	Musselshell (1)
263:10;272:14;	misquoting (1)	303:4;304:14,17,20;	260:16,17;261:6;	479:24
273:16;311:15;328:21;	456:24	306:22,23;308:9,12,17;	283:5;289:15;299:2;	must (5)
349:24;357:17;360:4;	miss (1)	309:5,24;312:5,10,23;	300:8,9;350:7;461:16;	253:10;434:11,14;
367:18;376:5;384:1;	263:11	313:12,23;314:4,13,25;	475:2	443:23;457:22
390:9;435:6;438:1,2,3;	missed (1)	315:12;317:13;318:23;	most (9)	Myers (6)
470:17;480:11;482:21;	447:22	320:8;321:17,18;	301:10;364:15;	427:6;441:18,19;
485:2;486:25	Mississippi (1)	323:17,24;332:12;	401:5;410:12;429:7;	450:2;454:20;472:21
Mike (1)	440:17	334:2;335:15;336:6;	430:24;442:2;447:12;	myriad (1)
367:7	Missoula (1)	340:7;343:12;351:9,	468:15	271:24
Miles (1)	261:8	18,19;355:7,9;356:1,	Mostly (1)	myself (3)
421:15	misspeaking (1)	24;367:10;368:12;	365:3	345:2;390:13;415:13
Miller (7)	486:9	371:7;374:21;388:5,	mother (3)	3.2,370.13,713.13
441:10,11;445:4;	misspoke (1)	12,13,19;390:3,16;	277:14,20,25	N
450:2;454:18,18;	325:10	393:7,14;395:4;	motion (34)	11
472:10		3/3.1,17,3/3.7,	monon (37)	
	micetata (1)	200-12-402-14-402-25-	250.7.214.11.241.7	noil (1)
	misstate (1)	399:13;402:14;403:25;	259:7;314:11;341:7,	nail (1)
mind (16)	452:14	404:4,8;406:3;407:23;	16,17;342:16,25;	433:25
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22;	452:14 misstated (1)	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5;	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15,	433:25 naive (1)
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18;	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7,	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25;	433:25 naive (1) 478:1
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18; 315:23,23;335:17;	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17 misstatements (2)	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7, 16;436:21;440:1,21;	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25; 431:16,23;432:13;	433:25 naive (1) 478:1 name (19)
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18; 315:23,23;335:17; 369:9;370:11;413:24;	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17 misstatements (2) 434:15;476:25	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7, 16;436:21;440:1,21; 441:4,7,8;445:4,5;	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25; 431:16,23;432:13; 443:2;461:3;464:7,10,	433:25 naive (1) 478:1 name (19) 261:2,3;267:10;
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18; 315:23,23;335:17; 369:9;370:11;413:24; 442:23;453:1;462:24;	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17 misstatements (2) 434:15;476:25 mistake (1)	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7, 16;436:21;440:1,21; 441:4,7,8;445:4,5; 446:4,15;453:11;	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25; 431:16,23;432:13; 443:2;461:3;464:7,10, 23;467:1,6,21,23;	433:25 naive (1) 478:1 name (19) 261:2,3;267:10; 272:18,24;299:25;
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18; 315:23,23;335:17; 369:9;370:11;413:24; 442:23;453:1;462:24; 473:15	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17 misstatements (2) 434:15;476:25 mistake (1) 302:8	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7, 16;436:21;440:1,21; 441:4,7,8;445:4,5; 446:4,15;453:11; 454:13;455:15,25;	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25; 431:16,23;432:13; 443:2;461:3;464:7,10, 23;467:1,6,21,23; 469:6;472:11,23;	433:25 naive (1) 478:1 name (19) 261:2,3;267:10; 272:18,24;299:25; 300:10,11,22;320:18;
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18; 315:23,23;335:17; 369:9;370:11;413:24; 442:23;453:1;462:24; 473:15 mindful (1)	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17 misstatements (2) 434:15;476:25 mistake (1) 302:8 mistaken (2)	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7, 16;436:21;440:1,21; 441:4,7,8;445:4,5; 446:4,15;453:11; 454:13;455:15,25; 456:4;463:6,19,21,22;	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25; 431:16,23;432:13; 443:2;461:3;464:7,10, 23;467:1,6,21,23; 469:6;472:11,23; 481:9,22,23	433:25 naive (1) 478:1 name (19) 261:2,3;267:10; 272:18,24;299:25; 300:10,11,22;320:18; 323:15;327:7;350:13;
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18; 315:23,23;335:17; 369:9;370:11;413:24; 442:23;453:1;462:24; 473:15 mindful (1) 456:24	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17 misstatements (2) 434:15;476:25 mistake (1) 302:8 mistaken (2) 292:10,11	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7, 16;436:21;440:1,21; 441:4,7,8;445:4,5; 446:4,15;453:11; 454:13;455:15,25; 456:4;463:6,19,21,22; 467:6;468:14,23;	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25; 431:16,23;432:13; 443:2;461:3;464:7,10, 23;467:1,6,21,23; 469:6;472:11,23; 481:9,22,23 <b>motions (2)</b>	433:25 naive (1) 478:1 name (19) 261:2,3;267:10; 272:18,24;299:25; 300:10,11,22;320:18; 323:15;327:7;350:13; 351:6;364:16;380:6;
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18; 315:23,23;335:17; 369:9;370:11;413:24; 442:23;453:1;462:24; 473:15 mindful (1) 456:24 mindset (2)	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17 misstatements (2) 434:15;476:25 mistake (1) 302:8 mistaken (2) 292:10,11 Misty (2)	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7, 16;436:21;440:1,21; 441:4,7,8;445:4,5; 446:4,15;453:11; 454:13;455:15,25; 456:4;463:6,19,21,22; 467:6;468:14,23; 469:2,21;470:8;471:8,	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25; 431:16,23;432:13; 443:2;461:3;464:7,10, 23;467:1,6,21,23; 469:6;472:11,23; 481:9,22,23 <b>motions (2)</b> 317:3;398:22	433:25 naive (1) 478:1 name (19) 261:2,3;267:10; 272:18,24;299:25; 300:10,11,22;320:18; 323:15;327:7;350:13; 351:6;364:16;380:6; 388:2;394:8,11
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18; 315:23,23;335:17; 369:9;370:11;413:24; 442:23;453:1;462:24; 473:15 mindful (1) 456:24 mindset (2) 413:6;414:5	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17 misstatements (2) 434:15;476:25 mistake (1) 302:8 mistaken (2) 292:10,11 Misty (2) 267:11;468:2	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7, 16;436:21;440:1,21; 441:4,7,8;445:4,5; 446:4,15;453:11; 454:13;455:15,25; 456:4;463:6,19,21,22; 467:6;468:14,23; 469:2,21;470:8;471:8, 12;472:2;473:1;	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25; 431:16,23;432:13; 443:2;461:3;464:7,10, 23;467:1,6,21,23; 469:6;472:11,23; 481:9,22,23 motions (2) 317:3;398:22 motivation (1)	433:25 naive (1) 478:1 name (19) 261:2,3;267:10; 272:18,24;299:25; 300:10,11,22;320:18; 323:15;327:7;350:13; 351:6;364:16;380:6; 388:2;394:8,11 named (2)
mind (16) 276:15,20;280:22; 283:8;299:25;301:18; 315:23,23;335:17; 369:9;370:11;413:24; 442:23;453:1;462:24; 473:15 mindful (1) 456:24 mindset (2)	452:14 misstated (1) 476:17 misstatements (2) 434:15;476:25 mistake (1) 302:8 mistaken (2) 292:10,11 Misty (2)	404:4,8;406:3;407:23; 409:9;410:19;415:5; 423:5,15;433:1;435:7, 16;436:21;440:1,21; 441:4,7,8;445:4,5; 446:4,15;453:11; 454:13;455:15,25; 456:4;463:6,19,21,22; 467:6;468:14,23; 469:2,21;470:8;471:8,	16,17;342:16,25; 343:2;347:2;418:7,15, 20;420:7,9,16,25; 431:16,23;432:13; 443:2;461:3;464:7,10, 23;467:1,6,21,23; 469:6;472:11,23; 481:9,22,23 <b>motions (2)</b> 317:3;398:22	433:25 naive (1) 478:1 name (19) 261:2,3;267:10; 272:18,24;299:25; 300:10,11,22;320:18; 323:15;327:7;350:13; 351:6;364:16;380:6; 388:2;394:8,11

names (3)	449:6;460:1,3,5	Northwest (1)	288:17;289:24;	317:8;390:16;403:23,
277:25;280:11;450:2	nevertheless (2)	479:6	423:4,4;428:5,18;	24
narrow (4)	427:1;479:16	notation (1)	429:4;430:11,13,13;	occasion (1)
377:24,25;403:21;	new (8)	293:9	439:9	270:4
417:4	377:5;444:24;445:9;	note (6)	obey (2)	Occasionally (1)
narrowly (1)	459:4;460:24;470:1,2,	253:8;319:6;322:20;	437:3;484:21	400:19
428:16	7	329:3;459:2;474:13	object (7)	occasions (1)
nasty (1)	newspapers (1)	noted (7)	252:6;254:11;317:7;	269:21
454:21	296:16	254:4;306:2;335:25;	335:5;405:13;418:12;	occupation (1)
national (1)	next (31)	397:15;455:20;456:2;	448:13	351:15
483:13	260:8;280:23;309:9;	464:24	objected (3)	occur (1)
Nation's (1)	310:21;323:3,5;	notes (8)	259:15;315:17;	413:17
435:6	324:11;326:6,22;	252:12;259:25;	419:10	occurred (2)
nature (4)	329:9,15;349:12,22;	295:20;297:6,15;	objection (44)	315:24;422:23
451:13;466:2;	350:10;360:14;367:6;	329:6;348:18;466:1	259:23;260:13;	occurs (1)
469:19;484:11	368:5;374:19;375:6,	notice (3)	273:25;275:22;284:10;	482:8
NE2d (1)	18;387:14;397:11;	327:24;468:20;478:4	311:22;315:16;316:21;	October (1)
450:3	416:9;428:2;453:4;	noticed (1)	317:20;318:6;321:10;	314:9
near (2)	465:12;466:19;473:23;	487:5	322:13;323:4;330:20,	ODC (59)
360:2;441:9	475:12,21;487:3	notified (2)	23;333:18;334:18;	255:8;257:15;
nearly (1)	nexus (2)	454:25;464:15	335:7,8,11;340:3;	260:12;282:2;288:10;
252:9	449:21,22	notify (1)	345:13;356:14;360:7;	292:1;322:21;337:8;
necessarily (1)	nice (3)	385:18	368:18,20;370:14;	338:17,17;339:14;
480:16	380:18;386:12,14	notifying (1)	371:2;386:8;391:1;	342:13;345:2;346:12,
necessary (7)	Nicholas (1)	266:14	392:3;395:6;400:1;	14,20,21,23,25;348:13;
256:21,22;426:14;	320:18	noting (2)	405:21;407:5,17;	350:22;372:15;395:17;
432:12;443:11;450:14;	night (2)	326:1;334:9	410:25;413:9;414:9,	419:19;421:16,16;
456:23	255:23;371:14	notion (1)	17,18;417:15,25;	422:1,25;426:22;
necessitated (1)	nine (1)	442:5	419:24	455:16;458:20;459:4;
469:20	439:7	notwithstanding (1)	objectionable (1)	460:18,23;461:11,12,
necessity (1)	nineteen (2)	455:18	392:12	13,14;462:1,4,9;
418:3	324:23,25	novel (1)	objections (6)	471:18;472:9,20;
need (17)	Nobody (3)	477:13	259:17;395:14;	473:6,24;474:8;475:2,
279:13,18;291:3;	329:15;391:16;	nowhere (2)	407:7;410:25;414:15;	3,4,15,17,21,25;
301:24;310:16,19;	452:22	441:9;473:9	456:3	476:10,11;480:7;
311:15;348:16;366:2;	noise (2)	number (27)	objective (4)	485:3,7
367:17;413:1;419:19;	250:11;448:10	257:11;258:1;	411:14;445:10;	ODC-0320 (1)
420:25;451:3;452:11;	Nomination (1)	272:11,21;302:13,15;	446:12;449:18	347:19
478:16;484:6	355:1	305:24;307:15;318:20;	objectives (3)	ODC-11 (1)
needed (6)	non-disclosable (1)	319:22;327:21;338:17,	442:19,20,24	373:5
363:16;377:10;	276:13	20;366:16;368:2;	obligated (1)	ODC-2796 (1)
408:5,12;418:10;	none (4)	370:10,12;394:23,24;	448:6	347:20
419:14	258:17;412:10;	414:4;438:5;458:7,20;	obligation (16)	ODC's (18)
needs (2)	472:23;482:7	463:23;470:20;472:2;	277:8;279:18;	260:11;261:12;
317:9;418:6	non-judges (1)	475:19	286:17;419:22;423:3;	267:3;322:21;338:10;
nefarious (2)	354:6	numbered (4)	427:22;430:7,14;	358:19;371:2;416:16,
329:11;361:12	non-lawyers (1)	264:15;338:20;	436:11;439:2;444:5;	18;417:2;460:25;
neglect (7)	354:5	358:20;366:13	446:25;474:1,3,6;	461:6,11;463:16;
262:21;277:13,15;	non-linear (1)	numbering (1)	482:3	467:25;468:7,12;
278:1;280:12;284:23;	462:22	302:9	obligations (11)	484:25
337:1	non-parties (2)	numbers (4)	265:10,16;279:15;	Oestreicher (12)
neither (6)	481:17,17	302:10;316:17;	284:25;385:18;427:24;	291:23;292:4,15;
256:21;455:5;465:6;	non-party (2)	347:19;395:18	428:20;430:17;433:4;	293:10,21,25;294:15,
469:7;475:10;480:17	435:14;481:24	numerous (2)	442:7;457:10	18;295:12,20;297:23;
Nelson (2)	nonsense (2)	434:15;476:25	observed (1)	346:5
477:25;479:16	432:14;439:1	Nursing (1)	411:25	off (12)
network (13)	noon (3)	255:25	obtain (5)	250:21;251:5;277:4;
268:4,5,8,23,25;	283:6;299:3;349:20	NW2d (1)	375:4;378:2;396:10;	302:1;354:22;364:5,
269:1,10,12,14,19;	nor (4)	427:8	400:22;429:23	19;367:17;369:5;
270:6;278:21;382:21	316:17;391:25;	727.0	obtained (2)	410:24;411:17;419:17
	455:5;475:10	0	436:16,16	offenses (1)
<b>neutral (1)</b> 460:11	normal (1)	J	450:10,10 Obviously (6)	451:12
Nevada (4)	278:19	oath (11)	309:14;316:15;	offer (4)
11craua ( <b>7</b> )	210.17	vatii (11)	JUJ.17,J1U.1J,	OHCI (T)

	T	T		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
417:19;460:24;	417:7,12,15;418:14,19;	335:18;346:20;396:2;	ordering (1)	276:24;281:22;282:18;
475:25;484:16	419:24;420:2,5;421:5,	405:11;427:23;430:10;	436:13	283:13;287:19;288:24;
offered (3)	13,19,22;458:3,10,13;	438:6,16;447:25;	orders (5)	289:9;308:23;330:21;
334:6;412:13;413:2	485:15,19;486:12,15,	467:9;474:2,5,9;475:7,	277:23;424:11;	332:11,24;336:6;
offering (4)	23;487:2,9	7;484:4	429:3;468:24;484:21	350:17;380:13;388:25;
317:24;411:3;413:5,	omissions (1) 426:16	<b>opened (2)</b> 346:17,19	ordinary (1) 406:19	419:3;424:21;428:8; 459:22;461:3;469:17,
office (65)	once (5)	opening (1)	organization (5)	24;470:25
262:13,16;265:9;	265:8;379:14;	460:23	296:18;307:5,7;	overall (2)
266:9,11,25;267:24;	399:10;450:18;468:7	openly (5)	309:23;471:12	262:8;455:22
268:10;270:11,25;	One (104)	430:6;437:2,12;	original (9)	overreach (2)
272:13,23;276:23,23;	251:1,15;254:4;	474:7;475:4	253:18;336:5,10;	476:11,12
279:22;281:2,5;286:1,	263:20,22,25;264:17,	opens (1)	338:12;341:15;401:9,	overreached (1)
6;290:1;291:9,19,21,	24;265:2;267:6;270:2,	390:8	9;461:24;463:6	480:8
24;294:10;295:1;	16;273:22;287:4;	operate (2)	originally (1)	oversee (1)
303:21;315:21;316:2,	302:2;303:18;305:18;	388:8;406:16	320:12	309:16
5;317:17;318:5;	307:13,19;312:2;	operations (1)	or's (1)	oversight (1)
319:14,17;343:6,21; 344:4;346:10;359:12;	327:5,16,21;330:12; 341:22;346:25;347:15;	359:7 <b>opinion (14)</b>	424:10 others (4)	276:22 over-simplifying (1)
365:16;372:7,22,24;	352:16;353:4,14,17,25;	275:16;337:24;	358:20;384:23;	366:8
373:10;376:12;380:7;	358:13,19;359:13;	338:7;348:1;392:21;	415:13;482:13	own (13)
395:17;411:23;422:9;	361:15;364:12;366:3,	409:10;410:4;446:6;	otherwise (7)	268:5;289:21;415:6,
425:14;428:3;433:8;	13;369:1;370:10,14;	448:25;461:11;466:18;	252:22;254:16;	12,15;428:9;435:15,
436:15;461:8;463:14,	372:16;374:12;389:24;	476:24;478:21;479:3	322:4;403:20;411:21;	20;436:24;449:13;
18;464:7,15;468:21,	390:17;393:23,24;	opinions (8)	419:22;437:3	460:21;462:12;480:19
21;469:17,21;472:1;	394:24;395:15,23,23;	365:9;409:12,15;	ours (2)	owned (1)
474:22;475:14	396:19;397:25;399:22;	410:8,18;446:1;	358:11;456:22	415:18
Officer (11)	400:18;401:10;404:9;	468:24;480:5	out (55)	D
256:8;347:13;348:9;	409:17;412:7;413:21;	opportunity (8)	259:5;265:9,13,18;	P
428:19;430:7,12; 432:7;435:7;451:10;	414:4,21,25;415:11,18; 417:5;418:21,22,25;	251:11;252:6; 254:11;259:1;395:14;	277:18;278:23;281:21; 282:25;283:6,23;	P2d (2)
457:14;459:9	424:25;425:17;428:20,	421:7;436:4;437:16	285:14;286:21;292:20;	255:20;446:9
Officers (2)	21,21,21,22;429:4;	oppose (1)	308:3,7,8,14;309:1;	P3d (3)
430:16;448:6	433:17,20;434:17;	320:24	311:16;347:17;367:16;	425:11;426:11;
official (5)	435:16;436:18;437:13;	opposed (3)	369:10;370:3;372:6,	438:18
267:16;273:12;	438:12,13,17;439:2,3;	320:5;321:5;478:6	10,13;384:25;389:8;	Page (51)
279:20;443:11;478:4	443:22;444:21;446:8,	opposition (1)	390:3;401:5;404:20,	282:5;302:10,13,14,
officials (5)	8;449:10;450:3;	463:24	21;405:14;406:15;	16;307:13,15,16,17,20;
269:16;290:19;	451:18;454:18;455:4;	orally (2)	412:24;413:18;422:10;	309:9,9;310:5,12,22,
313:8,9;356:25 often (2)	457:24;468:14;482:1; 483:20;485:16;487:2	410:9;434:23 order (68)	435:10,19;437:6,8; 443:17;446:5;452:13;	22;318:13;319:21,22;
448:22;456:25	ones (6)	252:24;253:1,3;	453:2;458:7,22;	320:14,14;321:1,2; 323:21;324:5,18;
OGLE (102)	370:20;430:22;	258:3;285:10,13,20;	460:20;462:22;464:21;	331:10,10;332:1;
250:3,5,8;251:14,17;	447:5;454:6,8;476:10	286:2,7,24;287:24;	473:8;474:20;475:9;	333:3;337:17;338:21;
256:24;258:15;259:23;	one's (1)	291:5;292:19;324:4,6,	476:4;486:19	339:13;342:7;347:20;
260:1,7,10,15;275:23;	442:5	7;326:1;342:15,17;	outlined (3)	366:19,20;367:6;
284:11;298:14,17,22;	ongoing (2)	343:1;368:22;375:19;	290:20;404:24,25	368:5;373:6,15;374:8;
299:5,8,14,17,20,23;	339:9;340:16	377:16;384:8;398:8;	Outlook (1)	375:18;381:7,21;
300:3;311:21,25;	only (30)	400:11;408:6;410:22;	274:12	396:23,24;397:4;
315:8;316:8;317:15; 318:3;321:9,12;	255:13;275:13,24; 276:1;280:13;284:13;	418:15;420:22;423:6;	outrageous (1)	425:8;459:7;460:9
322:17;323:7;330:16,	296:5;345:1;396:19;	428:7,20,21,21,21,23; 430:1,2;431:2;432:12;	472:16 outrageously (1)	Pages (2) 408:17;448:11
19;335:8,24;345:14,	422:16,23;425:10;	436:12,16,17,18,25;	445:19	paid (1)
17;347:8;348:12,19,	427:10,16;428:21,21;	437:4,6,7,9,10,13,14;	outreach (1)	345:11
25;349:5,8,11,18,25;	432:18;434:3;436:18;	438:15;439:3,16;	281:23	panegyric (1)
350:4,9,13,17,23;	438:12;442:25;443:4;	447:1;464:23,25;	outside (7)	435:13
356:15;358:7;360:8;	461:20;463:13;464:18;	465:1;467:4;469:3,11,	277:9;280:3;284:7;	<b>Panel</b> (21)
368:8,20;369:4;	465:5;471:9;474:8;	20;474:14,22;480:22;	347:24;415:22;431:21;	251:23;257:5,12;
370:10;379:22;384:13,	485:11;486:2	484:5	480:4	258:6,11;315:18;
20;386:5,10,15;387:7,	OP-20-0007 (1)	ordered (8)	outstanding (1)	320:10;350:5;351:10;
11,14;392:3;395:8; 400:4;405:22;407:5;	253:19 open (18)	252:22;254:16; 256:15,18;290:25;	286:18 over (26)	352:21;365:23;368:25; 390:22;402:23;411:6,
414:4,18;416:3,6,9;	307:9;314:20;	291:6;348:6;439:11	267:20;272:4,15;	10,10,15;414:8;420:1,8
+1+.+,10,410.3,0,7,	307.3,314.20,	271.0,540.0,437.11	201.20,212.4,13,	10,10,13,414.0,420.1,0

Panel's (3)	282:11;371:16;412:14,	performed (1)	Phillips (1)	plenty (1)
349:14;350:2;417:19	16;469:7;477:22;	374:21	426:10	418:9
paper (7)	480:23	Perhaps (2)	phone (4)	pm (7)
275:7;294:7;296:13,	pass (4)	399:3;453:5	250:22;251:5;	307:24;309:10;
22;297:14;329:5;466:1	357:24;366:3;368:4;	period (4)	299:10;371:14	310:14;320:2;326:12;
Paragraph (40)	464:16	258:16;270:25;	phones (3)	384:23;487:12
288:16,22;289:19,	passed (3)	275:11;355:20	250:21;288:4,7	point (34)
23;303:23,24;308:2;	355:13;391:6;392:16	permissible (1)	phonetic (1)	251:9;252:8;255:8;
309:12;324:11;326:15;	past (1)	458:1	256:6	273:6,10;285:22;
329:9;331:15;332:3,9;	276:25	permitted (2)	photographs (4)	290:8;291:25;307:14,
333:6,8;334:9;337:17;	path (1)	391:24;432:21	250:20,22;251:2,6	16;315:20;322:23;
338:22,23;339:16,21;	379:7	perpetuated (1)	phrase (3)	335:14;341:20;342:18;
361:10;373:5,9,15;	pattern (2)	456:3	480:7;481:1;483:17	343:15;346:18;347:17;
374:8;375:15,17;	451:11;455:22	perpetuity (1)	phrases (1)	355:12;365:3;370:11;
382:12;411:21;443:9;	pay (1)	347:14	480:4	371:7;387:3;399:20;
444:3;477:23;478:7,	277:19	persevere (1)	phrasing (1)	426:7;437:5,8;443:14;
11;479:1,6,9,25	payment (1)	457:9	477:7	447:23;450:14;458:22;
Paragraphs (9)	277:24	person (8)	physically (2)	477:24;478:8;480:6
289:2;312:11;	payments (2)	284:3,21;342:25;	271:5;286:21	pointed (2)
321:19;328:14;333:21;	272:12;284:24	398:13;425:20;439:2,	pick (2)	283:23;476:4
338:21;407:23;408:8,	payroll (1)	3;457:7	263:14;367:17	points (3)
17	262:12	personal (16)	PII (2)	251:18;257:1;258:20
parallel (1)	PDF (9)	261:19;266:9,25;	331:22;332:23	Police (2)
430:3	302:13;307:15,16;	272:2,7;273:1;277:5;	Pine (1)	340:25;484:20
paraphrase (2)	309:9;310:22;319:21,	280:6;286:20;290:17;	388:5	policies (2)
462:3;483:9	22;366:16,19	331:22;336:22;349:17;	place (5)	375:8;378:19
paraphrasing (3)	PDF-4 (1)	402:6;423:25;425:15	348:7;380:19;	policy (22)
374:10;451:22;457:4	367:7	personally (8)	460:11;463:9;484:24	302:20,21;303:5,8,
parentheses (2)	PDF's (1)	276:12;286:22;	placed (1)	22,24;304:1,11;305:5,
313:3;429:22	268:12	332:22;378:24;385:23;	358:9	10;329:19;331:8,10;
part (12)	penalty (1)	415:25;426:15;457:8	places (2)	332:2,3;333:17;
253:2;269:1;279:11;	485:5	persons (1)	377:6;443:8	334:12;361:23,25;
320:10;322:21;326:24;	pendency (1)	444:8	plain (1)	397:20;465:9,23
334:10;347:6;380:12;	348:14	person's (1)	333:16	political (2)
404:22;412:14;455:4	pending (11)	478:24	Plaintiffs (1)	441:6;485:10
			463:4	*
parte (8)	316:19;321:22; 339:10;389:16,19,23;	perspective (1) 482:17		politically (1) 482:10
468:10,13,20;			plan (1)	
480:16,24;481:4,18; 484:5	392:15,18;471:10,11; 476:3	<b>pertained (1)</b> 397:15	306:16 <b>plastic (1)</b>	<b>poll (13)</b> 312:22;319:11;
participant (1)	people (19)	pertains (1)	296:21	324:6;325:17;328:3,7,
	250:11,20,21;251:2;	` ′		
409:13	262:23;268:13;271:4;	253:9	plea (1)	11;330:3;463:20; 465:20,21;466:1;471:9
participants (1)		perverse (4)	455:2	
272:25	284:13;285:23;295:5;	433:20;477:3;479:7,	Pleadings (5)	polling (19)
participated (3)	346:23;359:14;363:3;	13	420:17;429:19;	273:23;312:13;
406:4;426:15;465:21	385:18;419:1,11;	Perverted (1)	447:25;448:11;456:12	316:12,14;322:6;
particular (14)	425:22;441:23;456:24	433:20	please (54)	352:15,20;355:18;
250:14,16;251:22;	people's (2)	perverts (1)	250:13,18;251:17;	356:12,17;357:13;
264:12;276:15;315:22;	286:19;378:8	479:17	259:19;261:18;264:17;	360:10,24;375:10;
390:1,9;403:18;	per (2)	Peter (1)	278:16;288:9;292:1;	404:12;462:10;464:11;
412:20;413:22;414:7;	367:4,5	342:1	301:25;302:24;305:14;	465:7;473:12
449:23;479:20	perceived (1)	petition (13)	307:9,12;309:8;310:4,	polls (2)
Particularly (4)	391:13	253:20,24;314:6;	21;311:24;319:3,20;	464:9;471:10
360:23;390:12;	percent (3)	336:5;338:12;343:25;	320:14;326:22;327:20;	Polson (3)
423:14;472:8	348:22;377:11;	344:3;346:3;376:22;	330:15;331:2,9;332:2;	388:5,11;411:4
parties (5)	453:21	433:16;434:6,18;470:2	335:11;338:16;339:14;	portion (1)
258:17,25;339:4;	perceptions (1)	petitioned (1)	341:22;345:16;351:6;	291:10
394:17;455:1	370:2	314:5	358:4,25;364:7;	portions (1)
partner (1)	Perfect (2)	Petitioner's (1)	366:18;368:19;370:15;	271:10
426:3	358:15;395:12	460:13	375:18;383:5;385:1;	position (23)
parts (1)	perfectly (1)	petitions (1)	388:2;391:2;395:24;	256:5;269:21;301:7;
411:10	440:9	317:3	397:11;400:2,4;405:6,	319:2;334:3;335:24;
party (10)	perform (3)	PHI (2)	15;432:25;433:2;	336:16;352:8;375:24;
266:1;270:9;271:18;	263:25;264:1;286:15	331:23;332:24	438:4;485:21	376:1;399:10;408:4;

432:16,18;434:1,8;	443:8	previously (3)	309:14;336:22;484:13	457:5,11;460:10
448:14;462:18;463:23;	precluding (1)	335:9;368:21;400:13	procedural (2)	professional (13)
464:5;469:23;473:6;	258:3	prima (1)	481:16,21	265:10,16;423:21;
484:17	pre-decision (1)	314:16	Procedure (4)	425:7;443:23;444:7;
positions (3)	335:18	primary (2)	252:11,13;254:6;	445:12;446:19;454:7
259:2;462:20;483:16	preference (1)	274:11;470:13	469:15	461:8;472:5;476:14;
possession (5)	350:3	principle (1)	procedures (2)	485:7
316:15;329:4;	prejudging (2)	479:17	253:5;443:1	professionally (1)
348:13;383:1;387:1	321:21;408:22	principles (2)	proceed (10)	265:20
possibility (1)	prejudice (2)	444:3,4	250:3,5;260:15;	Program (5)
273:16	459:16;460:5	printed (3)	299:15,21,23;300:4;	262:16,19,21,23;
possible (8)	prejudicial (5)	297:14,21,23	421:17,20;458:13	263:1
255:7;259:6;306:17,	423:16;446:20,23;	printout (1)	proceeding (15)	progressed (1)
18;358:24;363:15;	475:24;484:22	307:18	250:12,23;251:8;	353:18
420:12;430:10	preliminary (1)	printouts (1)	253:18,23;263:20;	prohibitive (1)
possibly (2)	404:25	302:12	317:19;348:14;414:8;	268:21
294:20;389:20	premises (1)	prior (15)	432:6;449:24;450:10;	promise (1)
post-hearing (1) 458:24	313:14	254:20;261:21; 273:21;276:17;288:1;	458:19;460:6,15 proceedings (13)	458:8
post-trial (7)	<b>prepare (3)</b> 379:17;407:25;408:1	298:5,6;335:10;	252:14;278:2;301:2;	promotes (2) 440:14;448:4
251:10;420:7,14,15,		362:20,23;369:9;	448:18;461:22,24;	prompted (1)
23;458:24;486:1	prepared (5) 260:8;333:12;	372:6;410:16;454:13;	464:12;469:23;476:2,	435:19
potential (6)	406:19;412:12;421:17	468:11	3;484:12;487:1,11	promptly (2)
280:2;286:19;	preparing (5)	privacy (11)	process (9)	329:17;463:4
339:10,18;340:15;	258:12;306:11;	272:2,7;273:1;	271:22,22,23;	pronouncing (1)
404:3	401:8;429:18;467:1	274:15;279:14;280:6;	278:23;293:14;362:17;	300:21
power (6)	present (4)	286:20;290:17;332:15,	420:22;429:23;443:13	proof (2)
258:6;362:13;	416:14;446:12;	17;347:4	processed (2)	417:19;477:16
363:14;373:16;435:22;	475:16;480:22	private (5)	269:25;270:2	propelled (1)
460:5	presented (5)	268:16;276:12;	processes (1)	468:9
powers (2)	305:5;369:18;	285:4;307:2;471:11	375:9	proper (8)
477:19;484:12	392:19;410:23;484:13	privately (1)	processing (1)	334:5,20;348:3;
PR-15-129 (1)	presenting (1)	385:1	339:9	359:14;368:13,14;
454:24	306:12	privilege (9)	procure (2)	418:3;473:17
PR-16-0245 (2)	preservation (1)	271:18,19,23;	268:8;278:21	properly (1)
441:18;472:21	418:6	434:21,21,22,24;	produce (8)	316:25
PR-16-0635 (2)	preserve (5)	479:12,13	266:16;274:24;	property (1)
456:11;472:14	418:11;440:2,3;	privileged (1)	287:10,12;327:24;	332:12
PR-17-0448 (1)	450:14;475:8	429:5	404:15;465:22;468:4	proposal (2)
314:8	preserved (3)	privileges (1)	produced (25)	263:4;366:5
PR-18-0139 (2)	418:17,19;474:22	339:4	271:20;281:12,16,	proposed (15)
441:10;472:10	President (16)	privy (1)	17,19,25;282:1;283:7;	252:25;253:6;255:2,
PR-19-0017 (1)	324:3,12;326:18;	446:3	284:14;285:14;289:25;	12,22;256:2,9,15,19,
455:10	329:10;352:16;361:11,	Pro (1)	292:17;295:23;316:16;	20;258:10,18;312:25;
PR-23-0496 (1)	17;379:5;381:10,16;	351:13	329:6;344:14;347:18;	354:8,9
421:16	382:8,22;385:13;	probable (1)	359:23;360:1;364:21;	proposition (5)
Practice (4)	394:5;396:7;406:9	409:24	365:8,11;370:25;	255:21;314:10;
252:2;451:7;456:5,8	press (2)	probably (16)	389:10;404:16	472:3;477:2;479:22
practiced (2)	288:19;376:13	254:15;256:4;	produces (1)	propriety (2)
383:21;392:10	presume (1)	268:21;269:14;281:7;	282:21	317:13;322:10
pre (1)	399:1	309:15;320:17;373:6;	producing (3)	prosecuting (1)
370:2	presumed (1) 441:3	376:25;396:23;403:1; 410:11;421:8;447:12;	327:21;378:12; 465:16	256:1
<b>preamble (1)</b> 443:7	presumption (1)	451:23;482:12	product (1)	<b>protect (8)</b> 273:1;277:8;279:13;
	314:19	probation (1)	339:23	286:17;444:5;457:11:
pre-April (1) 363:22	pretty (2)	262:17	production (5)	470:14:471:4
precedent (1)	277:1;404:10	probative (3)	328:17;334:12;	protected (8)
356:23	prevailed (1)	317:10;416:22;	389:1;470:9;480:18	272:6;286:17,22;
precious (1)	454:3	419:12	products (1)	290:2,7;331:23;
477:20	prevent (1)	problem (3)	268:11	332:23;348:11
precise (2)	268:7	301:21,23;325:4	profession (9)	protecting (1)
326:11;425:10	previous (2)	problems (5)	429:5;430:19;436:7;	456:23
precisely (1)	277:11;413:11	250:10;285:21;	447:11;456:9,22;	protection (1)
r		===::0,===:,		F- 300000 (1)

460:16	nulled (3)	290:23;338:13;	Dandy (7)	460:14
	pulled (3)		Randy (7) 281:6;282:7;384:7,	
<b>protective (1)</b> 265:15	264:18;302:5;303:3	341:7,16,18;371:8;		reality (2)
	punch (1) 457:6	462:12;467:1,2;470:2	22;438:20,23;466:22	434:8;446:17
protestations (1) 470:23		quashed (8)	rarely (2) 398:23;400:19	realized (1) 379:5
	<b>punishment</b> (1) 478:24	292:18;295:24;	rather (6)	
proud (1) 380:12	pure (1)	398:8;399:11,18; 401:10;469:18;481:12	411:4;412:16;	really (8) 322:25;379:10;
prove (5)	446:6	quashing (5)	440:13;445:10;448:3;	380:23;389:4;414:6;
426:14;439:22;	purported (1)	285:10;377:16;	467:4	461:1,10;478:1
471:18;473:24;475:22	314:18	400:11;436:12;469:3	ratification (1)	realm (2)
proved (3)	purporting (1)	Questionable (1)	427:1	377:5;446:6
423:10,11;424:17	409:8	434:7	ratified (2)	reargue (2)
proves (2)	purpose (5)	quick (1)	423:11;426:19	418:23;450:21
366:1;424:25	396:15;412:5;413:7;	257:1	ratifies (2)	reason (12)
provide (8)	440:2,3	quickly (4)	424:15;479:21	269:4;279:1,4;
253:6;312:24;	purposely (1)	310:18,19;434:7;	ratifying (1)	293:13;316:4;334:14;
329:19;382:21;398:5;	280:10	458:22	429:18	369:10;409:17;448:1;
403:14;465:4;476:9	purposes (8)	quiet (2)	rational (1)	456:18;479:23;486:1
provided (7)	253:22;296:4,5;	250:13,18	431:22	reasonable (9)
329:3;390:6;401:21;	312:13;333:23;408:4;	quite (5)	Ray (1)	424:23;427:4;
403:10;411:21;468:19;	443:18;485:6	278:12;389:18;	440:16	444:20;445:10,16;
472:19	pursuant (11)	394:1;399:17;468:8	R-A-Y (1)	449:19;473:4,15,19
provider (1)	253:11;281:20;	quo (2)	440:17	reasonableness (1)
268:17	289:9;292:17;295:23;	474:23;475:9	re (11)	335:21
provides (4)	407:11,12,14;409:5;	quote (66)	324:7;425:11;426:8,	reasoning (1)
262:13,19;358:8;	411:25;423:3	252:22;253:10;	10;427:6;438:17;	394:1
424:7	pursue (1)	264:1,2;312:3,15,18;	450:22;472:9,13,20;	reasons (5)
providing (5)	444:5	313:5,6,7,14,17,18,20;	473:2	334:6;391:24;
263:5;271:14;313:3,	pursuing (1)	314:13,14;316:12;	reach (8)	399:22;409:15;413:10
3;327:23	444:16	321:20;362:4;370:5;	269:9,12,13,18;	rebuttal (1)
province (2)	push (3)	382:14;407:11;423:25;	270:15;297:2;372:13;	420:4
334:24;409:19	254:14;380:20;	425:3;430:14,17,17,20;	401:5	recall (33)
proving (1)	483:10	431:24;433:12;434:2,	reached (5)	277:13;282:1;287:2,
447:15	put (13)	8,9,11;435:23;440:12;	278:24;372:6,10;	5,7,11,14,15,23;291:8
provision (2)	258:9;297:15;302:9;	441:20;443:24;445:20;	435:10;464:21	295:15;296:16;303:20
264:5,6	337:19;366:5;379:11;	450:11,16,22;455:20;	reaching (1)	319:13;320:12;356:3;
Psychologists (1)	384:16;393:8;395:21;	457:3;460:9;472:11,	282:25	357:10;359:21;361:3;
256:18	414:1;462:23;471:15;	14,18,22,23;473:4;	reaction (1)	364:19;370:22;384:5;
Public (46)	477:16	476:17,21,25;477:12,	359:25	385:2,12;386:1;389:5;
261:23;262:6;	putting (2)	25;478:13,19,21,25;	read (59)	393:23;397:14;398:4,
270:19,21,24;271:9;	276:6;278:9	479:3,10,16;480:15,21;	255:22;258:3;	7,12;448:11;454:16
272:11,14;279:24;	270.0,270.0	481:15	289:21;290:3;293:15;	receipt (2)
285:3;316:25;332:21;	Q	quoted (2)	295:24;304:4;308:1,4;	292:5;294:1
333:12;336:18;347:6;	•	408:10;485:24	309:19;310:19;311:7,	receive (12)
356:25;362:1;380:18;	qualification (1)	quotes (2)	17;318:25;319:6;	266:22;270:4,23;
389:8;394:24;397:20;	441:4	314:23,23	321:6;323:25;324:9,	274:1;286:25;298:10;
403:23;406:15,22;	qualifications (4)	quoting (1)	16;325:23;326:4,19;	324:13;338:2;357:3;
407:8;411:19,23;	410:7;423:13;	485:24	327:25;328:4,8,12,18;	363:19;381:11;481:9
412:23;415:13;432:1,	439:25;471:23		329:7,12,22;331:19,24;	received (40)
6,10;433:2,18;440:4;	qualified (4)	R	332:6,12,17,25;333:12,	251:1;260:6;266:24;
451:9;454:19;455:8;	313:18;392:1;410:4,		12;337:21;338:25;	272:10,20;273:2,22;
456:9;461:15;470:14;	19	raise (2)	339:6,11,23;366:24;	275:2,6,10;281:10,13;
474:7;478:4;480:19;	qualifies (1)	283:8;322:13	367:13,21;382:16;	288:24;291:8,10,10,13
481:12;485:8	445:22	raised (2)	384:24;385:10;405:14;	17;293:6;294:8,12,19;
publication (3)	quantity (1)	282:14;410:25	411:20;437:14;449:2;	324:4;336:7;337:11,
425:6,8;426:11	257:13	ran (2)	457:1;459:3;460:19,	15;344:5,23;346:2,11,
publicly (4)	quantum (2)	359:6;388:15	22;486:4,5	18,24;363:23;364:12,
272:14;284:6;	472:24;473:22	Ranch (3)	reading (2)	23;367:22;385:8;
288:18;419:21	quarter (1)	351:8;380:13;479:24	255:23;281:15	396:19;470:19,25
public's (2)	349:20	rancher (1)	ready (9)	receives (1)
394:25;399:14	quarterback (2)	351:16	250:3,4,5;299:14,16,	339:2
pull (2)	461:16;475:3	Randal (1)	17,20;367:24;421:19	receiving (2)
302:24;483:10	quash (10)	366:21	real (1)	361:3;384:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		T. Control of the Con	, ,	i ·

recent (4)	recover (1)	refusing (2)	280:1,3,4;293:10;	rendered (2)
276:16;441:7,8,16	362:12	475:4;481:18	347:24;462:11;463:12,	314:8;335:23
recently (1)	recoverable (2)	regard (7)	14;474:17	rendering (2)
449:2	275:9;376:8	318:4;365:7;375:3;	releasing (2)	422:14;446:5
recess (6)	rectitude (1)	388:22;389:7;403:7;	272:5,17	renew (1)
299:12,13;350:8;	443:11	406:13	relevance (11)	368:18
421:12;458:12;486:16	recusal (1)	regarding (10)	315:18;316:22;	reopening (1)
recipients (1)	464:13	312:25;316:18;	317:6,7;345:13;	260:11
318:20	recuse (1)	322:5;327:9;367:19;	409:17;413:9;414:7;	rep (2)
reckless (8)	472:11	407:21;425:15;433:11;	416:21;419:11,23	311:14,14
369:23;370:6;	recused (1)	445:8;455:21	relevant (11)	repeat (4)
413:15;423:12;439:20,	463:8	regardless (1)	312:25;316:1,7;	286:3;287:17;
24;471:21;473:11	redact (4)	441:5	317:19;322:9;370:4;	300:10;308:23
recklessly (1)	271:13;272:24;	regards (1)	413:9,11,25;419:12;	repeated (3)
446:15	378:14,16	406:15	461:20	408:14;451:11;
				459:11
recognize (4)	redacted (2)	Regier (4)	relied (1)	
364:9;372:18;	279:13;378:24	267:8,9;379:11;	445:21	repeatedly (5)
375:19;431:2	redacting (1)	385:13	relief (5)	422:4;440:20;441:2,
recollection (7)	378:21	regret (1)	265:15,15;469:13;	15;446:15
254:24;286:8;	redaction (3)	452:3	481:17,24	replace (1)
365:10;381:20;385:7;	271:22;289:11;	regularly (2)	relies (1)	326:3
405:2;417:6	378:20	411:24,24	252:9	reply (3)
recommendation (3)	redirect (2)	rehearing (2)	relitigated (1)	328:12;463:25;
251:25;258:22;	348:25;386:20	433:17;434:6	312:7	465:21
456:17	re-election (1)	Rehnquist (2)	rely (1)	report (28)
recommendations (4)	388:18	449:1;460:2	333:16	379:18;404:10,18,
252:7;254:12;259:5;	refer (2)	reject (1)	remain (1)	25;405:4,25;406:2,5,
333:4	270:13;366:15	409:16	348:13	19;407:1,13;409:3,4,8,
recommended (2)	reference (4)	rejected (5)	remaining (2)	14;411:8;412:2,6,7,23,
455:18,19	282:24;309:21;	334:8;408:2,9;409:2;	403:13,15	24;413:18,22;414:7,
reconsider (2)	358:18;435:21	411:9	remarkable (1)	14;417:25;418:8,13
416:24;458:23	referenced (5)	relate (4)	442:3	reported (1)
reconvene (5)	310:2;404:17;	365:21,24;456:18;	remedial (4)	413:19
298:23;299:11;	408:19;459:4,24	457:8	424:24;427:4,4,14	Reporter (1)
		related (27)		487:4
349:19;421:6;458:11	referencing (2)		remediate (2)	
record (38)	341:21;397:9	272:7,12,25;274:11;	451:14;452:11	Reporters (1)
257:10,24;258:16;	referred (1)	275:14,20;276:2;	remember (39)	487:5
259:14,18;260:2;	270:17	279:7,19;313:11;	323:12,14;345:23;	reports (11)
263:24;266:18;270:8,	referring (3)	317:18,23;325:22;	346:12;353:10,14,20;	250:19;251:1;
17,18;279:25;282:5;	308:11;341:13;381:3	328:3;329:17;330:3;	354:7,12,20,22,24;	379:18;404:14,16;
291:4;293:20;304:22;	reflected (1)	337:23;338:6;360:23;	355:4,23;356:16,19;	407:10,11;411:19,22;
305:24;322:21;330:22;	413:25	369:1;374:11;381:15;	357:16,16,20;360:20;	412:11,12
335:25;347:6;350:9,	reform (1)	397:6;465:6,18;466:1,	364:2,20;372:12;	represent (6)
14;351:7;356:25;	353:1	17	376:24;377:21;379:9,	263:2;281:5;300:13;
367:13;384:14,16;	refrain (4)	relates (5)	11,12;384:9;385:20;	331:17;373:11;466:23
388:3;406:22;407:22;	335:11;432:25;	269:15;272:2;316:9,	389:22;396:17;398:3;	Representative (1)
414:6;420:17;421:14;	433:2;448:8	10;366:5	401:23;404:5;431:17,	411:4
459:18;481:3,10;484:1	refresh (5)	relating (5)	20;467:18;468:12	representing (3)
recorded (2)	381:20;384:12,17;	325:16;337:5;371:1;	remembers (2)	262:24;281:24;
366:17;411:25	385:7;405:2	480:9;484:4	441:17;455:10	469:17
records (43)	refuge (1)	relation (2)	reminded (1)	Republican (2)
269:23;270:5,9,16,	449:12	426:4;479:20	333:10	323:17,24
20,21,22,22,24;325:22;	refusal (9)	relationship (3)	remote (1)	reputation (1)
326:7;328:3;338:3;	427:23;430:11;	268:14,15,16	250:17	457:8
359:11;374:13;376:5;	438:6,16;451:12;	relayed (1)	remotely (1)	request (24)
		289:7	260:13	270:11,17,20,21,23;
377:18;378:9;383:12;	474:2,5,8;484:4			
397:6,21;403:23;	refusals (1)	releasable (1)	remove (1)	271:4,10;272:11,20;
407:8;408:6;411:19,	484:21	279:24	462:13	325:15;330:2,8;
22;422:12,20;430:23;	refused (4)	release (5)	removed (3)	337:22;338:13;346:11;
433:18;445:17;447:5;	414:11,20;430:6;	271:9;279:9;280:10,	264:5,6;306:7	357:17;359:22;362:2;
449:17;465:6,18,23;	437:3	16;293:13	render (4)	363:4;381:2;396:14,
466:5,11;470:14,16,24;	refuses (1)	released (11)	409:12;410:4,18;	18;402:2;418:24
480:19;481:13	455:6	271:16;274:16;	420:19	requested (16)

270:9;271:3,8;275:4;	458:21	464:11	right (112)	19,21;253:8,11,11;
288:6;324:13;326:18;	responded (8)	retail (2)	265:4,14,18,22;	254:10,17;255:9,16;
327:22;328:17;381:12;	263:8,9;281:23;	388:7.8	267:8;274:15,22,25;	290:23;313:12;322:1;
382:7;403:12,14;	311:12;328:11;360:21;	retain (3)	275:16,21;280:19;	369:21;384:16;386:8;
464:10;465:3,17	465:5,24	326:7;329:5;465:25	282:8,14,18,22;288:20;	389:20;407:9;409:24;
requesting (6)	Respondent (16)	retained (3)	289:5,6;294:16;	410:3;411:19,20;
251:9;270:9;271:17;	251:9;252:6,9,12;	347:13;362:8;373:10	295:10;299:14;301:13,	415:12,22;419:16;
324:14;381:12;397:1	254:11;260:14;264:16;	retaining (1)	16;302:6,18,22;303:8,	420:6;423:8,16,20;
requests (11)	265:20;347:16;348:15;	361:22	16;304:12;305:22,25;	424:7,19;425:13;
263:8,9;269:22;	350:11,16;387:16;	retention (6)	306:3,16,18;307:5,13;	424.7,19,423.13, 426:7;427:21;429:12;
270:4;279:17,21;	420:2;421:19;447:18	329:20;334:12;	308:9,22;309:6;	438:11,14;439:17,18;
313:10;330:6;417:3;			319:15;320:5,19,24;	
	<b>Respondent's (17)</b> 259:20;260:4;	361:25;397:20;465:10, 23	323:13;324:21;325:8,	440:2,3;441:11;442:9,
419:6,7				15,15;443:7;445:8;
require (4)	264:11;302:24;305:15;	retired (1) 308:19	17,23;326:4,8,13;	446:18;447:14;450:24
289:10;432:9;	307:10;323:9;342:1;	retrieve (2)	327:1,14;328:23;	451:1;456:23;458:2;
447:15;465:10	358:20,25;370:18;		330:4,11;331:5;	471:16,16,16,18;
required (7)	395:16,25;409:18;	362:14;389:12	333:16;335:24;336:1,	473:23;475:21;483:14
253:4;268:6;354:13;	414:10,19;486:7	retrieved (1)	3,18,23;337:1,5,12,24;	ruled (11)
400:20;449:21,23;	response (19)	332:11	338:4,14;339:6,11;	368:17,21;369:6,17;
457:17	251:14;256:24;	retroactively (1)	341:4,7,11,19;342:6,	373:23;407:18;408:8;
requirement (1)	315:11;317:15;325:20;	329:22	16;343:14,22;344:6;	410:14;415:15,24;
317:9	326:11;328:15;329:1;	return (13)	348:19;352:6;354:14,	483:22
requires (3)	336:12;357:2;359:21;	290:25;345:5,9;	18;355:7,10;357:25;	rule-following (1)
254:19;255:10;448:8	363:20;372:21;397:3;	428:23;436:13;437:1,	361:4;363:25;380:14;	427:18
requiring (3)	414:16;416:22;426:22;	23;439:5,6;447:2;	384:3;387:20;394:25;	<b>Rules (39)</b>
334:12;378:19;	427:12;486:12	474:11,15;475:11	396:1;399:14;405:11,	251:21;252:12,15;
477:16	responses (9)	returned (20)	19,22;413:8;420:2,5,	255:5;258:3;281:4;
researched (1)	250:15;263:5;	266:24;291:6;	13;422:20;448:16;	309:16;315:14;317:8;
438:10	322:19;366:11;411:17;	293:21;294:25;297:19,	449:13;450:10,20;	367:19;391:14,21;
reside (4)	416:17;417:11;419:6;	24;344:4,7,12,16,19,	454:4;458:10;484:9;	419:15,20;422:24;
270:6,6;283:22;	465:20	19,24;346:4,9;461:5;	485:18;487:9	423:1,21;424:9;425:7;
388:4	responsibilities (1)	475:1,15,17;482:23	rights (8)	426:12;427:23;428:17
resides (1)	426:3	revealed (2)	290:17;339:4;390:3;	429:3;432:8,9;441:19;
268:3	responsibility (10)	463:17,19	448:18,21,21;450:17;	442:8;443:7,17,23;
resist (2)	263:12;267:20;	reverse (1)	477:12	444:12;446:10;450:18
450:13,21	307:8;340:22;423:8;	410:22	risk (3)	451:6;461:8;472:4;
resistance (1)	424:5;426:20;427:2;	reversed (1)	272:5;280:13,17	474:1;484:3;485:7
450:17	432:23;455:24	460:8	risks (2)	ruling (15)
resolution (1)	responsible (8)	review (24)	340:16;341:4	318:1;323:4;335:10;
255:11	262:8,10;263:7;	251:11;258:12;	RLDE (1)	368:25;369:10;370:13
resolutions (1)	276:21;279:25;396:9;	271:21;272:16;289:4,	252:18	390:16;398:15;403:8;
464:16	424:8;452:9	17,21;319:2;343:21;	Road (3)	415:5,19;450:13,18,19
resolve (4)	rest (3)	344:11,18,22;353:8,10,	351:8;363:12;388:5	21
282:25;283:2;468:6;	282:21;420:1;422:10	16,21;354:3;385:1,4,	Robert (1)	rulings (1)
486:8	restate (2)	16;422:14;445:17;	472:21	398:23
resource (1)	341:16;346:16	449:17;463:22	Rocky (1)	run (2)
276:22	restraining (1)	reviewed (4)	388:5	368:25;480:2
Resources (12)	467:4	297:2,8,15;345:1	role (3)	running (3)
261:22;262:11;	restriction (1)	reviewing (3)	359:5;378:17;388:19	363:12;379:6;388:18
313:15;315:3;331:19;	276:8	272:4;390:22;401:20	Ronan (1)	runs (1)
334:16;365:4;395:3;	restrictions (2)	revised (1)	388:11	432:3
404:2,13;408:13;415:2	276:7,9	303:11	room (8)	
respect (14)	rests (1)	revision (1)	250:23,24;251:6;	$\mathbf{S}$
286:1,6;393:11;	420:3	303:13	261:8,10;287:18;	
400:12;403:19;410:24;	result (1)	revisions (2)	444:24;454:1	saga (1)
413:10;430:15,15;	435:18	303:14,19	rough (1)	482:14
435:2;447:22,25;	resulted (4)	revoke (1)	355:5	same (29)
453:18,21	355:25;459:15;	430:9	roughly (2)	269:4;275:10;
respects (1)	476:2;480:18	rhetoric (1)	254:24;467:16	277:10;279:19;282:20
429:12	resulting (1)	484:19	routinely (2)	287:15;302:14;309:19
respond (7)	407:14	Rice (6)	313:10;451:5	310:11;313:12;318:13
271:1;313:10;315:8;	results (3)	403:6;415:23;	Rule (63)	329:2;342:15,20;
322:19;329:17;385:22;		467:20;468:25;481:6,7	251:22;252:5,11,17,	343:2;345:7;371:1,5,6
,,,,	, ,		_ · ·- = , = ·- , , - , - , ,	

382:25;393:11;413:10;	478:23	365:9;390:14	331:16;382:4	share (3)
414:14,16,17;426:11;	school (1)	seemingly (1)	separate (4)	359:8;455:20;461:6
445:12;465:24;484:19	262:3	482:18	268:22;392:23;	sharp (2)
sanction (1)	scope (9)	seems (1)	423:19;451:1	451:23;452:13
476:20	275:18;276:8,17;	448:15	separately (2)	shed (1)
sanctioned (2)	283:12;373:23;377:21,	segregation (1)	423:22,23	317:9
440:11,11	25;417:4;425:23	279:2	separation (3)	Sheriff (1)
sanctions (3)	scream (1)	Seidel-Joukova (1)	399:14;477:19;	341:1
438:6,25;482:25	432:19	479:24	484:12	Sheriffs (1)
sanctity (1)	screen (1)	Select (9)	sequential (1)	340:23
347:4	395:22	388:23;394:7,10;	302:11	shoot (1)
Sandefur (3)	scrutiny (1)	401:19,22,23;406:1,6;	sequestered (1)	319:6
403:10;467:22;	332:21	470:5	387:18	short (2)
477:11	scurrilous (2)	selecting (1)	series (5)	479:5;485:12
Sandefur's (1)	447:24;472:16	463:3	276:24;364:14;	shortly (2)
467:14	SE2d (1)	self-dealing (1)	370:19;417:3;431:6	267:1;421:9
Sandler (1)	426:10	435:5	serious (5)	shout (1)
445:7	seal (2)	self-help (1)	457:23;459:10,13;	300:17
Sandlin (1)	347:5;485:10	262:25	466:8;477:18	shove (1)
449:7	search (4)	self-interest (1)	serve (4)	254:14
sat (1)	326:17;382:7,15,21	432:2	394:7;456:8;485:6,	show (6)
388:21 satisfactory (1)	searches (1) 480:3	selves (1) 415:6	13 served (6)	363:8;384:11;399:7; 401:1,7;455:5
348:20	season (1)	Senate (24)	273:12,17;290:24;	showing (1)
satisfy (1)	380:19	323:17,25;325:5,16;	337:13;362:17;388:16	365:4
426:2	sec (1)	352:17;355:12;359:4,	Services (8)	shown (2)
Saturday (4)	330:12	7;370:21;371:1;	261:23;262:13,20;	414:23;471:13
398:15;466:25;	second (28)	388:18;389:25;390:10;	268:8;278:21;281:7;	shows (1)
467:16;481:6	252:8;253:8;270:18;	391:5;392:23;393:2,	332:6;345:11	481:10
saves (1)	282:4;291:13,19;	12;394:5;396:6;	session (4)	shut (3)
268:18	292:22;294:9,16;	404:11;406:9,13;	264:7;351:13,14;	250:21;251:4;346:11
saw (13)	295:9;305:18;307:19;	463:1;471:8	353:3	side (6)
297:3,4;389:17,18;	310:7;311:4;323:20;	Senator (12)	sessions (1)	257:3;380:17;
462:10,16;463:16;	327:5;331:10;332:2;	267:8;385:13;	263:5	483:19;484:10,20;
464:20;465:12;466:12;	333:2;334:14;336:25;	391:22;394:14;408:14,	set (4)	486:6
467:14,25;475:20	361:9;381:7;382:12;	19;409:12;410:3;	295:15;303:14;	sides (1)
saying (19)	384:19;387:17;396:23;	411:3;431:11;466:8;	316:5;455:6	421:7
297:24;385:20;	427:16	471:6	sets (4)	signature (2)
398:9;417:1;428:6;	Secondly (4)	Senators (2)	390:3;427:17;	295:9;323:23
433:14,19;437:20;	250:19;369:8;	379:12;394:15	442:17,17	<b>signed (7)</b> 267:8;292:4;293:9;
443:9;452:7;476:16, 20,24;477:3;478:21;	409:11;411:16 <b>Section (5)</b>	send (4) 286:10;308:3;309:1;	setting (4) 377:12;379:3;	294:1;295:14;457:21;
480:15,21;481:15;	264:19,21,23;	332:22	411:23;448:23	463:1
483:25	305:25;306:8	sending (6)	settled (1)	significance (1)
SB (31)	secure (3)	308:7,8,14;331:21;	314:14	368:1
318:16;321:14;	271:2,5;277:3	333:10;469:21	seven (5)	significant (2)
324:7;325:17,22;	secured (3)	sense (9)	254:19;315:20;	257:13;277:1
328:4,7,11;329:4,17;	278:24;281:6;291:12	434:3,4;440:23;	318:23;403:1;454:22	similar (6)
330:3;353:4;354:20;	security (5)	446:17;468:8;476:22;	several (7)	370:25;415:16;
355:3,6;357:13;	268:7;340:16,19,21;	478:20,22;479:4	277:22;312:2;	440:16;445:13;449:20;
360:24;381:9;397:6;	341:4	sensibilities (2)	388:21;398:20;426:6;	483:12
463:13,23,24;464:4,5,	seeing (1)	440:12;448:2	429:12;464:1	Similarly (2)
8,11;465:6,7,18,19;	310:24	sensitive (8)	sexual (1)	480:20;481:14
466:1	seek (1)	290:2,6;348:4;	478:24	Simonton (1)
SC2d (1)	449:12	378:14,16,20;470:14;	Shakespeare (2)	454:25
427:7	seeking (6)	471:2	456:25;457:4	Simonton's (1)
scale (1)	277:1;278:8;357:11,	sent (12)	shall (5)	455:3
435:5	12;467:4;470:9	275:10;283:2;	251:23;291:5;424:8;	simple (1)
schedule (1)	seeks (1)	286:13;294:15;318:19;	427:22;439:18	381:24
256:9 scheduling (1)	374:10 seem (2)	325:5;328:23;332:10, 21;383:7;455:2;463:20	Shane (1) 350:15	simply (6) 255:15;258:22;
253:1	365:20;367:15	sentence (4)	shape (1)	335:16;462:4;480:10;
scheme (1)	seemed (2)	326:6;329:15;	442:8	485:4
		520.0,527.15,	112.0	100.1

sincere (2)	someone's (2)	270:22;278:6;280:8;	253:3;255:21	State-owned (4)
297:24;453:19	272:2;274:15	404:11;424:13;425:9;	stands (1)	304:3,9,18;305:3
single (4)	sometime (4)	456:16;460:14	312:8	State-provided (1)
436:8,8;451:18;	264:4;356:8;401:25;	specifically (23)	start (9)	332:3
476:8	404:21	252:19;254:9;	269:7;302:19;	states (18)
sit (5)	Sometimes (5)	256:14;262:21;263:24;	309:18;322:25;332:2;	251:22;282:10;
252:2;253:14;320:7;	271:4;302:11;	272:3;274:17;278:11;	367:25;380:25;381:7;	289:20;290:1;291:5;
449:3;463:9	371:24;452:5;457:7	288:16;312:6,15;	424:4	341:11;374:19;381:8;
sits (2)	somewhat (1)	316:19;317:1;322:6;	started (8)	382:14;427:21;434:19;
253:16;435:4	265:25	324:14;333:19;335:20;	250:8;298:21;	435:8;438:14;445:6;
Sitting (7) 296:2,3;326:2;	somewhere (3) 357:21;439:10;476:5	340:6;356:11;372:23; 389:22;408:8;459:16	299:24;350:6;396:25; 452:18,19;454:11	448:25;450:7;459:25; 482:18
345:22;392:14;393:13;	soon (1)	specifics (3)	starting (3)	State's (5)
468:13	486:20	329:20;331:7;354:22	290:5;373:5;396:23	332:11;361:22,25;
situation (4)	sorry (30)	speculation (2)	starts (3)	460:4;468:15
254:8;399:25;	264:13;270:19;	437:25;475:13	252:19,22;332:9	statewide (1)
453:14;478:23	286:3,5;287:4,17,21;	speculative (1)	state (75)	269:15
situations (1)	290:21;296:12;300:9;	449:15	257:10;261:24;	stating (1)
425:22	308:16;310:10;319:3,	speech (6)	262:14,17,22,22,25;	299:25
six (9)	9,16,22;324:22,25;	449:14;450:11,13,	267:23,25;268:19;	stationery (1)
272:10;315:19;	325:1,10;327:4,5;	16,20;460:4	270:5;273:6;274:9;	313:14
326:1;388:8;390:17;	331:4;337:21;341:13;	speechless (1)	279:22,22;280:14,17;	status (3)
394:19,20;435:17;	350:15;358:12;362:18;	456:21	286:18;301:4;304:20;	257:24;474:22;475:9
443:10	383:6;453:17	speed (1)	306:15,19,24,25;307:1;	statute (9)
sketch (1)	sort (5)	400:7	315:22,23;323:17,25;	264:5;272:3;277:18;
351:11	351:24;377:8;	speedy (1)	325:5,15;330:2;	278:11;290:18;305:19,
sketchy (1) 445:23	396:14;406:10;474:8 sorts (1)	255:10 sphere (1)	331:19;332:5,12; 334:16;335:17;350:13,	21;313:3;479:20 statutes (2)
Slightly (1)	361:21	406:15	20;351:6,12;355:7;	280:8;395:4
357:21	sought (4)	sponsor (1)	365:4;369:9;370:11;	statutorily (5)
sloppiness (3)	288:1;339:18;	367:3	375:3;387:4,5;388:2,	272:6;274:14;
329:12;362:5;466:6	343:21;404:6	spring (2)	14;391:24;393:14;	276:13;278:3;283:24
slower (1)	sound (6)	344:1;389:1	404:1,2,13;408:13;	statutory (2)
310:8	300:23;343:14;	Springs (1)	413:24;415:2;432:3,7;	263:16;479:22
small (1)	435:16;456:5,15;	380:14	434:13;435:4;442:13;	stay (4)
276:23	478:22	St (1)	449:5,8;451:11;	436:16,17,19;464:12
So2d (3)	sounded (1)	388:12	457:15,16;462:24;	stayed (1)
440:17,18;449:9	394:9	staff (28)	463:4;466:10,10;	435:15
so-called (2)	South (1)	270:15;271:2;281:2;	467:5;478:25;480:4	step (3)
355:25;371:19 sold (1)	426:9 <b>span (1)</b>	283:19;284:18,18,21; 285:5,6;290:10,12;	stated (4) 335:3;391:11;455:4;	250:23;251:6;469:20 stick (1)
477:13	453:4	294:21;295:5,5;	479:10	400:4
solely (2)	Spaulding (2)	313:14;323:16,24;	statement (19)	stifling (1)
334:23;409:19	366:21,25	325:6;359:3,7,8;396:6;	319:9;373:11,19;	480:18
solicit (1)	speak (1)	464:21,24;465:9,13;	374:16;431:10,11,12,	still (16)
309:3	301:24	483:1,4	13,15,21;433:11;	259:12;268:25;
soliciting (1)	speaker (14)	stakes (1)	434:9;439:19;453:19;	295:4;316:15;335:18;
308:21	326:19;329:10;	461:13	454:18;462:17;471:20,	357:23;368:6;369:14;
Solicitor (1)	351:13,14;352:10;	stamp (1)	24;476:8	374:7;376:3,4,8;
291:25	358:11;361:11;376:4;	338:17	statements (46)	397:25;427:2;482:4;
solve (3)	380:4;382:8;384:21;	stand (3)	316:23;317:1,23,25;	483:7
284:8,15;285:20	406:8;466:7;471:5	345:23;419:4;423:22	318:2;321:21;334:22;	Stilley (6)
somebody (4)	speaking (4)	standard (11)	369:22,22;370:5;	429:9,9,11;459:4,15,
276:13;425:21;	316:10;353:6;	444:20,22,25;445:7,	373:4;411:14,22;	18 STILLEV(1)
433:13;436:1 somebody's (1)	389:13;435:9 speaks (2)	9,10;446:11,12; 449:19;451:2;472:7	413:13,14;422:8,10,18; 423:12;424:3;430:21;	S-T-I-L-L-E-Y (1) 429:10
272:7	253:11;317:8	449:19;451:2;472:7 Standards (15)	434:11;435:13;439:23;	Stilley's (1)
someone (14)	spearhead (1)	278:6,8;310:1;	441:3;445:17,22;	459:11
273:17;274:6;	352:17	321:24;322:12;334:24;	446:2,25;447:3,6;	stipulate (3)
279:16,21;280:4,6;	Special (4)	337:4;366:7;367:16;	450:4;453:3;460:15;	342:13,20;343:17
281:4;293:10;297:13;	380:7;405:25;	375:9;391:15;404:4;	461:7;462:5,19;472:4;	stop (1)
303:21;356:19;364:16;	412:19;470:5	408:25;409:20;432:6	473:3,10;474:6;476:7,	299:1
385:23;394:2	specific (8)	standing (2)	9,13;480:9;481:2	store (1)

399:4 18,21;301:21;302:14; 263:20;275:19; 286:25;363:25; supervisory (5) stores (1) 276:9:277:15.20: 253:25;254:7; 307:22;310:11,25; 377:20:383:24:389:11: 388:9 278:1;318:16;321:15; 399:16,18;401:13,16; 424:19,20;426:3 317:21:318:12:319:23; strategic (1) 332:21:348:1:414:14: 404:7.24:415:6.15.25: support (6) 338:8;341:22;347:2,3; 430:2;435:15;436:13; 256:7;449:18; 306:15 459:20;466:24 348:10;353:13;383:18; Strauch (105) subjective (4) 462:12;482:22;483:22 460:24;472:18,25; 428:1,2;442:10;455:9; 414:2;444:20,25; 250:6,7;251:12,13, subsequent (3) 473:22 467:23 401:12,15;404:6 15,18;257:3;258:20; 445:9 supported (1) surprised (1) 427:13 399:17 259:13,16,18,23,24; submission (9) substance (1) 260:7,9,11;261:1; 252:25;253:6; 364:24 supposed (13) suspect (2) 264:13;274:3;275:24; 254:18,19;255:2,12; substantial (1) 288:7;334:7,21; 349:23;441:17 256:9,15,18 284:12;287:21,23; 459:16 412:6;439:11,12; suspended (1) 298:12,15,25;299:21, submit (12) substantive (1) 452:4,24;454:5,6,8; 454:22 22;305:20;306:2; 251:10:254:13; 464:3 459:5;478:5 sustain (1) 311:20,22;312:1; 255:4;263:22;314:21; Sue (1) Supreme (166) 330:19 379:11 252:4,4;253:16,19; 315:17;316:8,9;321:8, 315:5;420:14;422:24; Sustained (22) 424:24;443:24;446:22; 254:1,2,3,5,15;257:6; 275:23;284:11; 10,13;333:18;334:1; suffer (1) 447:10 433:21 258:13,23;261:5,20; 317:20;318:6;330:23; 337:18;340:3;341:10, submitted (3) sufficient (2) 14,23,24;342:13,19,21; 264:2;281:3;290:22, 334:17;335:8;345:14; 343:17;345:13,21; 258:18;396:14;408:3 375:10;484:2 22;292:19;295:24; 356:15;360:8;368:10, 347:8,9;348:17;349:1, subordinate (1) suggest (5) 304:23,25;306:10; 20;370:15;371:2; 289:11;297:12; 312:5,9,11,14;313:5, 2.16:350:21.23: 431:15 392:3;395:8;407:17; 356:14;360:7;368:7,9; subordinated (1) 390:9;477:4;478:15 23;314:4,6,14,22,25; 414:9,18;417:15; 379:22,24;380:3,7; 448:22 suggested (3) 315:7,13;317:14; 418:1;419:24 384:11,14,15,21;386:2, subordinates (9) 334:19;391:4;444:14 318:23;320:8;321:17, sustaining (3) 6,11;387:8,9;390:25; 422:18;423:9;424:4, suggestion (5) 335:7,10;368:18 25;322:2,11;324:5; 391:1,19;395:6,13; 6;426:17,20;427:11, 273:20;284:5; 326:1;330:24;333:19, SVORA (1) 400:1;405:12,20; 18;446:14 432:11;438:25;445:24 22;334:2,8,22;335:2, 478:23 407:5,6;411:1;414:15, subordinates' (1) suggestions (1) 15;336:6;340:8; SW2d (4) 17;416:4,18;417:23; 427:15 437:19 341:18;342:14;343:1, 429:10;440:8; 419:9;420:4;421:2,18, Subparagraph (3) suggestive (1) 5,12,22,24;344:2; 447:16;450:23 22.24:458:3.7:459:24: 339:8:340:15:341:2 425:16 SW3d (1) 347:10;348:1,5; 486:13.14 Subpart (2) suite (1) 355:10;356:1;357:7; 449:9 Strauch's (4) 331:21;375:6 268:10 367:10;368:12,22; swear (1) subparts (2) suited (1) 370:13;371:8;376:19, 449:3 257:15:335:14; 337:8;348:8 257:19,21 282:12 21;377:2;378:3,13; swears (1) Sullivan (1) streamlined (1) subpoena (88) 384:7;390:17;402:13, 288:23 266:15,22;267:5,7; 444:24 switch (1) 358:23 14:403:1:404:8: 273:3,10,13,22;274:2, Sulphur (1) 407:18;408:2,14,22; stretch (2) 306:21 379:10;453:2 4,5,18,21;275:18; 380:14 409:2,7,9;410:20; sworn (5) stricken (1) 280:25:281:11.14.20: sum (1) 415:5;421:15;423:6, 260:20;351:1; 459:18 283:12;285:10;287:2, 256:20 15;428:7;429:15,21; 387:23;423:3;430:7 strident (1) 5,14,24,24;288:1; summarize (1) 431:24;432:16;433:1; system (22) 262:17;267:24; 459:12 289:10,10;290:24; 259:1 434:19;435:7,8,9,17; summarizing (1) strike (3) 292:18;295:23;298:2, 436:12,21,21,22; 268:5;270:7;313:16; 391:20;392:2;395:6 4,7,10;325:12;336:7, 353:23 437:21,22;438:2; 332:4,24;355:16; summary (2) 12;337:11,13;338:14; 368:14;429:15;439:10; strikes (2) 439:4,15;440:1,21; 350:21;425:16 418:13;451:8 340:2,5;341:18;349:4; 441:4,10,14,18;445:4, 440:5,15;442:9;444:9; string (1) 362:13,13,17,21,25; Sunday (42) 5;446:4,16;447:8,16; 449:25;451:10;457:11, 311:4 363:9,17,20;371:9; 281:23;282:6;283:5, 448:25;450:7;453:11, 16;460:12;485:9,10 stripping (1) 373:24;374:2;377:16; 6,6;285:9,20;286:2,6, 13;455:19;456:1,4; systems (3) 478:17 383:7,10;384:2; 24;289:8,15;320:1; 459:6,21,25;460:7; 312:14;332:11;448:5 striving (3) 386:23;397:23;398:4, 341:7,8,19;342:9,12, 461:1;463:6,19; 12,15,20;343:1,2; 464:22,25;466:21; T 430:18,18;478:15 9;399:11;400:11,12,13, 20,25;401:9;402:3,9; 371:14;384:8,22; 467:6,8;468:14,23; strong (1) 398:7,15;399:8; 469:3,13,22;470:8,11; 365:12 403:7,8;431:7;466:13, **Tab** (1) 474:14,21,24;476:17; stuff (2) 20;467:2,3;468:3; 295:6 400:10;415:24;437:6; 297:15;419:18 469:4,18;470:2,7; 453:5;468:1,10,16,17, 477:9;478:9,12;479:2; table (4) stunning (1) 473:12;474:17;481:12 25;469:11,20;481:8,22 481:5;482:19,24 251:3;296:2,3; 434:9 subpoenaed (6) supervised (1) sure (31) 350:18 subcommittee (1) 265:6,8;266:6; 426:4 256:2,25;258:12; tabs (1) 412:15 287:12;364:20;383:12 supervising (1) 263:7;266:10;271:7; 297:6 subject (13) subpoenas (20) 281:19;297:22;300:1, tacitly (1) 426:1

470.21	220 1 246 16 251 2	455 7 460 20	404.24.405.2	270 10 200 12 205 1
479:21	338:1;346:16;351:2;	455:7;460:20	404:24;405:3;	379:10;389:12;395:1;
tactics (1)	387:24;419:4;441:25;	thumbnail (1)	414:21,25	396:10;400:6,7;424:2;
455:14	467:11,12;469:19;	351:11	<b>Topp</b> (1)	480:6;486:18
tailored (1)	470:13	Thursday (4)	446:9	turn (18)
428:16	testify (5)	274:22;311:1;	total (6)	259:13;272:4,15;
take-away (1)	265:6;311:15;399:7;	327:16;382:13	315:19;324:6;326:8;	292:19;305:15;310:5,
444:11	467:9;481:21	Thus (3)	344:14;394:19;465:1	21;318:9;319:21;
tale (1)	testifying (1)	426:13;450:4;477:14	totally (2)	320:13;323:9;326:21;
433:18	417:21	tie (2)	447:22;453:21	330:14;331:9;337:7;
talk (9)	testimony (14)	323:6;413:8	toward (5)	338:16;339:13;483:17
	• ` `			
306:22;331:6;341:2;	250:1,17;315:20;	Tielking (4)	338:21;382:11;	turned (7)
362:16;392:12;411:5,	317:16;318:4;350:7;	293:11,14,17,21	444:8;455:12,13	282:18;283:13;
16;468:5;485:20	411:2;420:18;426:22;	Tim (1)	towards (3)	289:9;302:1;330:21;
talked (6)	429:2;441:12;462:21;	380:6	365:4;366:1;392:19	428:8;470:25
265:3;306:6;337:17;	474:20;484:3	timeline (2)	to-wit (1)	turns (1)
	Thanks (4)		250:1	481:1
377:12;403:12;451:21		411:12;468:8		
talking (11)	300:3;329:3;349:5;	timelines (1)	track (2)	twenty (1)
303:15;308:23;	350:23	367:19	315:19;349:15	273:9
323:3;325:13;330:1;	theory (1)	timely (1)	tranche (1)	two (43)
363:21;369:5,8;	270:14	254:18	474:16	257:25;270:1;
			transaction (1)	
388:23;389:24;397:25	therefore (1)	times (7)	` '	291:18;293:5;294:5,6,
taped (1)	314:17	390:6;436:1,4,5;	480:15	6;296:6,7,10,19,20;
346:11	thereof (2)	444:24;445:9;462:22	transcript (2)	297:21;325:21;326:24;
tasked (2)	292:17;295:22	title (1)	420:23;486:25	328:14;344:7;346:4;
359:13;406:25	third (3)	425:5	transfer (1)	349:22;358:4,9,17;
	282:11;337:3;428:22			
tasks (1)		titled (2)	293:14	359:23;360:1,12;
374:21	Thompson (1)	463:7;472:13	Transparency (4)	370:13;396:20;397:5,
taxpayers (2)	388:11	today (11)	388:24;394:11;	8;404:16;411:17;
268:18;280:18	though (5)	269:2;300:19;	406:2;470:6	413:17;424:10,25;
team (1)	270:14;277:20;	311:17;323:1;324:4,	transpired (2)	446:3,23;458:22;
480:2	341:16;399:6;416:13		406:13;445:18	
		15;326:1;328:16;	-	459:1;460:17;463:11;
Technology (2)	thought (16)	388:23;430:9;449:12	Treatment (2)	465:5;481:4;487:5
267:25;306:16	292:9;325:9;335:9;	today's (1)	272:22;277:2	two-thirds (1)
telling (6)	349:21,24;363:15,15;	263:22	trial (5)	366:2
342:11;356:19;	374:25;376:9;390:15;	Todd (1)	253:5;392:20;	Tyler (2)
434:13;435:7;438:20,	398:13;431:22;448:13;	319:1	450:14;455:6;477:16	300:2,11
21	462:15;468:16,17	together (4)	Tribunal (5)	type (5)
Tem (1)	thoughtful (1)	258:9;278:9;296:17;	427:23;428:17;	270:17;341:3;448:9;
351:13	484:8	323:6	429:3,18;474:2	465:10;471:2
temporally (1)	thoughts (2)	told (16)	tried (2)	types (6)
453:1	414:5;484:16	257:20;362:3;	377:24;459:21	280:15;283:21;
temporary (2)	thousand (1)	363:10;371:15;382:24;	triggered (1)	338:3;352:22;389:23;
285:10;467:4	380:13	394:8;418:24;419:18;	466:8	446:23
ten (13)	threat (1)	432:22;437:22;439:4;	trip (1)	typo (1)
324:23,24;349:17,	460:14	460:23;461:1;465:8,8,	399:3	328:21
18;350:6;367:2,3,4;	threatening (1)	25	troubling (1)	tyranny (1)
		tolerable (1)		
410:15,15;438:8;	433:2	` ′	431:6	457:3
454:4,4	threats (1)	458:1	true (4)	***
tendency (1)	457:7	tomorrow (3)	279:20;427:17;	$\mathbf{U}$
409:22	three (17)	328:18,22;382:16	470:17;480:13	
term (7)	276:19;278:14;	took (5)	truth (7)	ulterior (1)
266:1;376:23;381:3;	324:7,15;334:6;	298:20;340:7;	369:23;370:6;413:2;	477:15
424:1;443:15,17,20	336:17,19;374:11;	432:15;474:11;475:10	439:24;447:18,19;	ultimate (6)
terms (9)	394:14,15;404:5;	tool (1)	471:22	252:2,3;253:14,17;
253:15;294:24;	408:3;443:8;456:11;	319:5	try (7)	257:5;258:11
357:24;389:4;449:10,	471:14,16;474:16	top (20)	283:2;311:16;323:5;	ultimately (8)
19;477:7;480:7;486:9	threshold (3)	282:3,6;293:5;	366:14;377:18;380:20;	280:18;290:23;
Terri (2)	354:15;366:1;368:3	296:20;308:24;311:12;	486:20	355:6,14,24;369:16;
364:16,18		325:19;327:7;329:1;	trying (21)	379:17;482:21
test (2)	threw (1)			
test (2)	458:7	341:12;342:6;354:23;	251:2;277:17;309:3;	unable (2)
	458:7	341:12;342:6;354:23;	251:2;277:17;309:3;	
425:17,25	458:7 <b>throughout (1)</b>	341:12;342:6;354:23; 364:5,16,19;366:24;	251:2;277:17;309:3; 310:10;335:16;352:18;	440:13;448:2
	458:7	341:12;342:6;354:23;	251:2;277:17;309:3;	

unbiased (1)	23	457:21;465:13;485:23	339:3	violations (4)
415:10	uniquely (1)	uphold (4)	various (3)	404:3;424:25;
uncanny (1)	313:18	430:18;443:12;	296:6;316:12,19	430:11;455:17
430:4	United (7)	448:7;457:9	vastly (1)	virtually (2)
uncivil (1)	434:19;435:8;445:6;	upholding (1)	480:8	275:19:317:6
455:12	448:25;450:7;459:24;	485:3	vein (1)	voice (1)
unclear (1)	482:18	upon (9)	313:12	467:20
344:8	University (1)	362:1;368:22;369:7;	vent (2)	volume (1)
unconstitutional (7)	262:4	438:24;445:3,3,21;	433:6,7	274:11
390:15,18;391:5;	UNKNOWN (1)	477:17,20	veracity (1)	vote (13)
392:16;393:15,22,25	358:11	upwards (1)	413:3	308:4,7,21;309:2,2;
undecided (1)	unless (4)	285:16	verbal (1)	324:6;326:7;328:7;
369:13	252:22;254:16;	urge (2)	330:22	354:15;365:25;368:2;
under (38)	271:17;386:8	477:5;484:10		465:1,4
252:25;254:10;	unlike (2)	USB (6)	versa (1) 279:3	voted (5)
259:3,7;263:17,21;	426:13;447:14	293:5,9;294:5,6;	version (4)	324:8;381:9;393:16;
288:17;289:24;316:5;	unlimited (1)	296:19,20	263:23;264:19;	464:8;465:2
323:23;331:16;333:6;	275:19	use (30)	306:3;313:2	voters (1)
349:3;361:22;369:21;	unnecessary (1)	266:1;268:13,24;	versus (9)	393:9
384:16;386:8;408:16;	450:17	302:22;304:8,18;	320:9;371:22,24;	votes (2)
411:19;419:15,20;	unnoticed (2)	305:3;312:12;313:13,	429:9;449:5,8;464:2,	309:3;367:17
423:3;425:25;426:13,	480:22;481:16	15,25;315:2;318:4;	17;469:6	voting (4)
20;427:2,22;433:5;	unpopular (1)	319:4;328:11;331:8;	via (5)	319:4,5;464:11;
438:11;439:8;447:21;	443:22	333:24;334:16;340:11;	260:21;294:12;	477:12
449:20;460:11;474:1;	unprecedented (6)	368:13;384:17;408:13;	295:16;311:15;474:17	
484:23;485:5,23;	422:4,5,6;435:6;	442:11;443:1;447:24;	vicarious (3)	$\mathbf{W}$
486:17	454:12;482:10	459:8,12;465:21;	425:17,19;426:13	
undergo (1)	unprofessional (1)	466:10;477:6	vicariously (1)	wait (5)
271:21	455:12	used (18)	425:21	382:23;405:17,20;
undergoing (1)	unquestionable (2)	254:7;268:11;306:8;	vice (2)	420:22,23
253:23	444:11;450:9	314:9;317:5;332:4;	279:3;441:22	waiting (4)
underlying (3)	unquote (8)	362:13;363:14;365:4;	victory (2)	324:12;381:11,17;
301:15;316:6;483:8	252:23;253:10;	374:3,5;381:3;400:19;	405:9,10	383:14
undermined (1)	312:3;316:12;423:25;	415:2;424:1;443:20;	view (5)	waived (1)
441:3	445:21;450:11,22	477:8;480:4	257:9,23;285:6;	271:18
undermining (2)	unreasonable (1)	useful (1)	462:1;478:22	walk (2)
451:8,9	473:18	258:13	viewed (4)	373:3;471:14
underneath (1)	unsecured (1)	Usher (1)	284:19;290:9,11,14	wall (1)
303:24	331:23	311:14		
			views (1)	279:5
underscores (1)	unsubstantiated (1)	using (8)	308:22	Waller (2)
461:20	472:12	304:3;314:23;	vigorous (1)	440:7;447:16
understandable (1)	unsurprising (1)	336:19;381:24;395:2;	460:9	wants (10)
452:3	475:5	404:1;441:20;463:24	vigorously (1)	255:6;391:16;
understands (2)	untrue (2)	usually (4)	427:13	406:23;411:10;442:6;
279:17;442:14	435:13;481:2	269:25;363:4,7;	Vinton (1)	461:16;462:1,4,9;
understood (2)	unusual (4)	467:13	379:11	475:2
265:12;442:24	377:3;398:14;433:5;	utter (1)	violate (1)	warrant (1)
undertake (2)	484:11	438:25	428:7	377:9
334:20;374:17	unwillingness (1)		violated (11)	waste (1)
undignified (3)	455:24	$\mathbf{V}$	280:6;426:1;428:5;	410:1
436:7;447:7,10	up (44)		429:11;436:11;441:11,	watch (1)
undisputed (5)	253:5;259:10;262:1;	vacancies (2)	19;446:14;450:25;	301:1
313:7;422:19;	263:14;264:18;266:12;	390:1;463:3	461:8;472:4	watching (2)
469:11,12;470:18	298:24;299:9;301:19,	vacate (1)	violates (2)	250:11;354:4
unethical (4)	25;302:5,24;303:3;	455:6	422:24;424:19	Water (3)
441:22;456:13;	306:25;310:18;327:10;	vacuum (3)	violating (5)	263:13;305:2;340:9
		369:25;462:6;482:8	391:14,21;428:18;	
//5////20//5/201		JUJ.4J,4U4.U,484.8		way (26)
457:23;458:1	350:7;353:2;358:11;	volid (4)	127.7.156.11	760,7,704,12,274.0.
unfounded (1)	363:8;376:16;379:3;	valid (4)	437:7;456:14	269:7;284:13;324:9;
<b>unfounded (1)</b> 475:12	363:8;376:16;379:3; 388:17;391:12;392:17;	427:24;431:7;474:3,	violation (11)	333:22;343:10;348:20;
unfounded (1) 475:12 uniformly (1)	363:8;376:16;379:3; 388:17;391:12;392:17; 393:9;399:7,20;400:8;	427:24;431:7;474:3, 5	violation (11) 335:6;395:4;423:20,	333:22;343:10;348:20; 351:15;353:18,24;
unfounded (1) 475:12 uniformly (1) 438:13	363:8;376:16;379:3; 388:17;391:12;392:17; 393:9;399:7,20;400:8; 401:1,7;407:24;412:6,	427:24;431:7;474:3, 5 validity (2)	<b>violation (11)</b> 335:6;395:4;423:20, 21;424:9;427:15;	333:22;343:10;348:20; 351:15;353:18,24; 363:14;369:18;376:19;
unfounded (1) 475:12 uniformly (1)	363:8;376:16;379:3; 388:17;391:12;392:17; 393:9;399:7,20;400:8;	427:24;431:7;474:3, 5	violation (11) 335:6;395:4;423:20,	333:22;343:10;348:20; 351:15;353:18,24;

_		1	1	
432:17;436:19;437:9,	441:2	457:4	430:5;451:20;460:23	11:23 (1)
13;438:9;442:7;465:2;	willing (2)	work (13)	yesterday's (2)	282:7
483:4	382:23;394:7	265:9,13;268:11,13;	251:8;301:2	1113 (1)
ways (1)	willingly (1)	299:5;339:23;343:5;	York (2)	446:9
270:1	457:20	373:1;393:12;403:19;	444:24;445:9	116 (1)
Wednesday (9)	wish (4)	406:20;425:22;438:9	young (1)	479:1
307:23;324:20;	347:24;384:24;	worked (5)	277:25	11th (13)
325:2,6,7,11;326:10,	433:6,6	261:24;265:18;	Youth (1)	282:7;283:6;285:9;
12;381:21	Withdraw (1)	274:9;277:23;362:24	262:16	286:24;341:19;342:7;
week (8)	287:22	working (2)	202.10	363:22;384:23;399:11;
276:25;277:10,11;	withdrawn (2)	309:18;379:15	${f Z}$	400:11;434:5;468:1,25
291:14;458:17;470:4,	259:16;434:12	works (5)		12 (8)
7;487:3	Within (31)	271:2;281:3;334:11;	Zach (1)	251:22;252:18;
weekend (7)	262:22;269:16;	396:6;425:21	293:11	254:10;320:15;338:10;
281:22;336:6;	271:2,25;273:18;	world (1)	zealous (4)	341:11;449:8;476:25
398:24;461:3;480:15,	276:3,17;277:5,18,22;	280:9	443:14,16;444:1;	127 (2)
22;481:17	278:25;279:12,23;	worried (2)	450:18	257:21;476:5
weekends (3)	281:2;282:13;283:22;	336:18;378:5	zero (3)	128 (1)
467:10,10,13	290:12;296:23;305:2;	worse (1)	269:11,13,14	438:18
weeks (2)	312:20;321:23;334:23;	433:15	Zimbra (1)	12th (9)
292:23;463:11	355:15;356:24;401:22;	writ (4)	327:8	293:8,19,22;341:12;
weigh (1)	407:8;424:8;425:23;	253:20,25;254:6;	Zoom (2)	377:14;431:1;468:5;
310:17	444:6;449:13;463:11	453:14	260:21;311:15	469:16;470:1
			200:21;311:13	
weighed (1)	without (13)	write (2)	1	13 (2)
390:23	260:13;272:4,15;	311:6;443:2	1	431:16;453:3
weighing (4)	326:3;335:3;342:10;	writes (3)	1 (15)	1300 (1)
389:19;390:14,18;	373:7;383:13;392:12;	373:9;382:2;397:5	1 (15)	257:15
391:16	420:25;448:19;478:17;	writing (3)	250:10;252:18;	13A (1)
well-respected (2)	479:23	378:7;417:20;434:23	255:10;275:5;282:5;	477:1
435:1;449:4	withstand (2)	written (10)	303:10;313:22;324:18;	14 (2)
weren't (2)	440:13;448:3	251:15;283:12;	334:7;397:4;408:5;	288:9;423:6
437:23;475:16	witness (37)	361:10;366:25;373:16;	412:25;428:18;449:9,	140 (46)
Westlaw (1)	260:8,17;299:3,19;	374:7,9;375:7,18;	16	318:17;321:15;
480:2	301:18,22;345:23;	449:1	1.0 (1)	324:7;325:17,22;
whatnot (1)	349:3,7,9,10,12;350:6,	wrong (5)	303:23	328:4,7,11;329:5,17;
352:20	10;358:15;369:19;	292:11;319:22;	1.2a (2)	330:3;353:4,8;354:20;
what's (11)	384:12;386:14,16;	341:14;343:16;457:21	442:15;443:7	355:3,6,12;357:13;
257:9;267:18;279:1,	387:9,11,13,14;392:5;	wrongful (1)	10 (7)	360:24;369:6;370:22;
4;296:3;343:4;347:2;	395:9;400:25;411:5;	451:13	264:5;265:3;306:8;	371:1;381:9;389:25;
368:1;388:13;405:7;	413:11;416:6,8,10;	wrongfulness (1)	307:16;426:10;443:9;	390:11;391:5;392:23;
470:17	417:7,9,10,20;468:7,12	436:9	444:3	393:2,12;397:6;
whatsoever (2)	witnesses (7)	wrote (6)	10:11 (1)	404:11;406:14;463:2,
316:11;479:20	250:15;257:12;	309:13;329:2;	299:10	13,23,24;464:4,5,9,11;
Whereabouts (1)	349:22;363:5;416:12;	477:11;478:20;479:2,	10:19 (2)	465:6,7,18,19;466:1;
388:10	420:3;460:25	16	324:20;330:13	471:8
Whereupon (3)	witness's (1)	Wylie (4)	10:30 (2)	14th (11)
260:4;414:10,19	384:17	349:14;350:11,25;	299:11,12	288:14;292:19;
White (1)	won (1)	351:8	100 (5)	343:17,19;428:23;
380:13	482:21	<b>T</b> 7	348:22;367:18;	431:16;436:12;437:4;
whole (1)	wonder (1)	Y	368:2;377:11;453:21	439:16;447:1;452:21
377:4	393:20		103 (1)	15 (3)
Wholly (3)	wonderful (1)	year (3)	479:9	298:20,23;310:5
431:21;472:11;	456:22	253:2;380:22;477:11	1030 (2)	1500 (2)
473:18	Word (7)	years (13)	449:6;460:1	254:24;257:16
whose (3)	268:12;340:11;	261:25;264:8;273:7,	1055 (1)	1530 (1)
276:25;314:16;432:8	347:12;398:9;433:12;	7,7,9;274:9;303:14;	255:21	303:8
wide (1)	444:1;477:10	362:19;367:3;388:16,	1058 (1)	15A (1)
339:2	words (10)	17;461:23	460:9	480:14
widely (1)	348:9;354:17;	Yellowstone (2)	10th (2)	15th (3)
283:18	357:13;442:10,11;	270:12,14	466:25;467:16	294:15;295:13;402:1
wife (1)	444:10,21;455:25;	yesterday (9)	11 (5)	16 (2)
277:1	474:19;477:6	250:10,20;273:20;	309:9;321:2;372:15;	431:19;453:3
willfully (1)	wordsmith (1)	315:18;349:24;426:23;	431:1;453:3	162 (1)
-				

		Г	T	
477:23	2013 (3)	310:6,14	311 (1)	258:9
168 (1)	351:12;400:17;425:8	25 (3)	425:11	471 (1)
	2017 (3)	310:22,22;311:1	3-1-702 (3)	449:8
17 (2)	303:12,13,19	254 (1)	264:20;305:25;	4th (2)
	2018 (1)	444:25	327:23	275:6;466:15
178 (4)	314:9	25A (1)	3-1-70210 (2)	273.0,100.13
	2019 (2)	476:15	263:24;313:2	5
407:23;438:18	263:23;313:2	25F (1)	31H (1)	3
	2020 (1)	477:2	476:23	5 (6)
480:14	253:21	25H (1)	321 (1)	288:22;289:2;
	2021 (62)	477:2	425:11	322:22;328:10;338:22;
314:9	263:19;264:4,25;	26 (2)	33 (4)	463:16
18 (1)	293:8;301:13;302:20,	434:5;453:4	292:1;312:11;	5,000 (3)
479:1	22;303:5;307:23;	26A (1)	408:17,17	285:16;288:24;
181 (2)	309:10;311:10;312:10;	476:15	3380 (1)	297:22
440:8;447:16	318:14;319:12;321:3,	26th (2)	338:18	5.1 (10)
18th (2)	18;323:16;324:20;	295:14;433:16	3381 (1)	424:7,19;425:2,25;
431:19;479:8	331:9;343:13,18;	27 (2)	339:14	426:2,7,14,20;427:2,20
19 (4)	345:3;352:3,9;356:4;	478:11;481:14	34 (3)	5.1c (1)
351:13;426:21;	359:5;361:20;363:22;	27A (2)	294:13;324:7,15	423:8
432:25;453:4	369:9;388:20,21;	476:16,19	34956 (1)	5:00 (2)
1991 (1)	389:2;398:1;399:12;	27F (1)	388:4	266:12;267:1
449:6	400:11;404:22;407:23;	477:2	35 (4)	5:02 (1)
19th (1)	413:22;423:6;428:23;	28 (1)	295:6,6;436:5;449:9	310:14
432:25	431:19;433:16;434:5,	273:7	357 (1)	500 (1)
1st (4)	18;437:4;439:16;	29 (2)	427:7	450:3
321:3;464:9,14;	447:1;452:21;453:5;	273:7,7	36 (3)	501 (2)
469:10	463:5,21;464:9,14,22;	29F (1)	478:7;479:6,9	449:6;460:1
	466:15,16,21,25;468:1;	480:20	37 (2)	512 (1)
2	474:15;478:10,11	29H (1)	312:11;408:18	427:8
	2022 (8)	476:23	371 (1)	516 (1)
2 (10)	291:12,15;292:4,6;	29th (2)	429:10	449:9
288:16;324:5;328:2;	294:11;344:1;345:4;	318:14;463:20	376 (1)	52 (2)
331:10;334:14;408:11;	474:25		444:24	253:11,11
	2023 (6)	3	38 (1)	54 (2)
428:20	264:7,22;265:2;		321:19	321:19;333:22
2.0 (1)	306:3;478:20,25	3 (5)	39 (2)	549 (1)
	2024 (1)	275:13;328:6;	426:22;427:14	426:11
2.11a (1)	477:23	334:19;337:17;423:12	720.22,727.17	55 (1)
	21 (1)	3.0 (1)	4	479:25
2.3 (1)	351:14	333:3	<b>T</b>	552 (1)
	21-094 (1)	3.1 (2)	4/7 (1)	426:9
	421:16		327:10	556 (1)
2:00 (2)	217 (1)	313:12,21		440:17
	, ,	3.4 (3)	4:43 (1)	
20 (3)	479:25	423:2;427:21;438:12	307:23	584 (1)
	22 (3)	3.4c (4)	4:47 (1)	427:6
20/20 (1)	292:4,5,7	429:12;439:17;	309:10	592 (1)
	22nd (3)	471:16;473:23	4:56 (1)	425:8
2002 (1)	292:12;293:25;294:2	3:00 (1)	326:12	
	23 (4)	281:16	40 (4)	6
2003 (1)	333:21;334:9;	3:38 (1)	257:18;423:5;	
254:23	407:23;408:8	320:2	428:19;430:13	6 (12)
	234 (1)	3:50 (1)	401 (2)	267:3;274:18;289:2,
254:23;479:9	478:11	487:12	317:8;409:24	2;298:2,3;319:21;
2007 (1)	24 (6)	30 (2)	402 (1)	337:8,9;383:5,6;443:9
478:23	201 4 211 10 422 7	258:9;453:4	317:8	6:00 (1)
	291:4;311:10;423:7;			
2009 (2)	291:4;311:10;423:7; 428:24;436:12;447:1	30C (1)	404 (1)	281:8
, ,			<b>404</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 459:7	281:8 <b>612 (2)</b>
	428:24;436:12;447:1	30C (1)	, ,	
479:2,6 <b>2011 (2</b> )	428:24;436:12;447:1 <b>2400 (1)</b>	<b>30</b> C (1) 476:23	459:7	<b>612 (2)</b> 384:16;386:9
479:2,6 <b>2011 (2)</b> 479:15,25	428:24;436:12;447:1 <b>2400 (1)</b> 347:20	30C (1) 476:23 30th (1) 431:23	459:7 <b>405</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 459:7	<b>612 (2)</b> 384:16;386:9 <b>615 (1)</b>
479:2,6 <b>2011 (2)</b> 479:15,25 <b>2012 (4)</b>	428:24;436:12;447:1 <b>2400 (1)</b> 347:20 <b>244 (1)</b> 426:10	30C (1) 476:23 30th (1) 431:23 31 (5)	459:7 <b>405</b> (1) 459:7 <b>41</b> (3)	612 (2) 384:16;386:9 615 (1) 429:10
479:2,6 <b>2011 (2)</b> 479:15,25 <b>2012 (4)</b>	428:24;436:12;447:1 <b>2400 (1)</b> 347:20 <b>244 (1)</b>	30C (1) 476:23 30th (1) 431:23	459:7 <b>405</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 459:7	<b>612 (2)</b> 384:16;386:9 <b>615 (1)</b>

in the Mutter of Musem 1	kiidageii		0 000001 10,2021
668 (1)	407:9;411:19		
357:13	851 (1)		
67th (1)	255:20		
406:2	860 (1)		
685 (17)	449:9		
308:3,22;309:5,13;	861 (1)		
353:14;355:14;365:21,	449:8		
24;367:14;368:6;	871 (1)		
369:6;392:24;393:3,5,	440:18		
6,15;406:14	872 (1)		
688 (1)	449:16		
357:13	8th (22)		
6th (3)	266:11;274:22;		
253:21;303:11;	275:6;298:2,6;325:12;		
434:18	327:17;328:18,22,23;		
	330:7,8;337:14;		
7	361:20;382:13;383:3,		
	11;398:1;455:6;		
7 (5)	465:13;466:15;469:4		
282:2,5;289:3,19;			
467:25	9		
<b>7:00</b> (1)			
281:8	9 (6)		
702 (2)	296:5;319:22;		
410:3,24	419:16;443:9,14,19		
71 (1)	9:16 (1)		
351:8	384:23		
714 (1)	903 (1)		
427:8	450:22		
754 (1)	912 (1)		
450:3	440:18		
797 (1)	916 (1)		
440:17	450:23		
7th (10)	925 (1)		
324:20;325:2,6,7,11;	446:9		
326:12;330:11,12;	929 (2)		
381:22;464:22	440:8;447:16 <b>93</b> (1)		
8	449:8		
	9th (14)		
8 (9)	266:11,19;273:21;		
252:11,17,19,21;	274:25;276:14;280:22,		
253:9;254:17;255:16;	23;281:10;288:24;		
289:23;292:25	337:15;381:25;383:6;		
8.2 (2)	466:21;470:20		
423:12;440:3			
8.2a (9)			
369:21;439:18;			
441:11,19;445:8;			
446:14;447:14;471:16,			
18			
8.4 (1)			
450:24			
8.4a (2)			
423:18,20			
8.4d (9)			
423:16;441:20;			
446:18;447:14,21;			
449:22;450:6;471:17;			
475:21			
8:03 (1)			
311:1			
8038 (2)			
-			