## FILED

#### 10/10/2023

Bowen Greenwood
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF MONTAVA

#### Case Number: DA 23-0283

# MONTANA SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT RICHLAND COUNTY

1

25

2 3 Cause No.: DR-19-14 IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF: 4 BRAXTON RYAN GREENLOW, 5 Petitioner, 6 and 7 TARA M. LOSINSKI, 8 Respondent. 9 FULL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 10 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE HEARING Tuesday, October 18, 2022 11 District Court Courtroom HELD AT: Richland County Law & Justice Center 12 300 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue NW 1.3 Sidney, MT 59270 HONORABLE KATHERINE M. BIDEGARAY 14 BEFORE: DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 16 BRAXTON RYAN GREENLOW APPEARANCES: Petitioner Pro Se 17 Sidney, MT 59270 18 KATHY G. COLEMAN Coleman Law, PLLC 19 Attorney for Respondent PO Box 1116 Miles City, MT 20 59301 (406)287 - 001521 22 23 24

| 1  | INDEX   |    |          |     |          |
|----|---|----|----------|-----|----------|
| 2  | WITNESSES   |    |          |     |          |
| 3  |   | XC | CX       | RDX | RCX      |
| 4  | For Petitioner:  BRAXTON RYAN GREENLOW  ANDREA CLARKE-Rebuttal  6   | 13 | 27       |     |          |
| 5  |   | ΟŢ | XX       | XX  | XX       |
| 6  |   |    |          |     |          |
| 7  | For Respondent: TARA M. LOSINSKI  | 42 | 50       | XX  | XX       |
| 8  | EXHIBITS  |    |          |     |          |
| 9  |   |    |          |     |          |
| 10 | For the Petitioner: Exhibit 1: Text message Exhibit 2: Text message Exhibit 3: Sacred Heart School Record Exhibit 4: Zaxton's therapist report Exhibit 22: SHC paperwork Exhibit 20: Text message |    | ID       |     | AD       |
| 11 |   |    | 14<br>14 |     | 14<br>14 |
| 12 |   |    | 6<br>7   |     | 14<br>61 |
| 13 |   |    | 58<br>60 |     | 59<br>61 |
| 14 | For the Respondent:   |    |          |     |          |
| 15 | NONE  |    |          |     |          |
| 16 |   |    |          |     |          |
| 17 |   |    |          |     |          |
| 18 |   |    |          |     |          |
| 19 |   |    |          |     |          |
| 20 |   |    |          |     |          |
| 21 |   |    |          |     |          |
| 22 |   |    |          |     |          |
| 23 |   |    |          |     |          |
| 24 |   |    |          |     |          |
| 25 |   |    |          |     |          |
|    |   |    |          |     |          |

### ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE HEARING

Tuesday, October 18, 2022

8:48 a.m.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. So, we are here on In re the Marriage of Braxton Ryan Greenlow, Petitioner, and Tara M. Losinski, Respondent; the cause number is DR-19-14. We have the Petitioner in the courtroom; we have the Respondent and her attorney, Kathy Coleman, on the video. And we're here on a petition for contempt that the Petitioner filed on October 3, 2022.

So, before we started the hearing, I guess, the Petitioner emailed some exhibits to the Court and to the Respondent's attorney. I haven't looked at them cuz I asked Ms. Coleman to look at them first.

Have you had the chance to review them, Ms. Coleman?

MS. COLEMAN: Minimally. So, Your Honor, I will respond and, just to say up front, you know, I've had the opportunity to read the transcripts from the prior hearings and so, I don't want to add any (inaudible) here today, but I am going to object to a few of these.

I'm going to object to-do you want the numbers that
I'm objecting to?

THE COURT: Yes. So, then, since I haven't looked at them, I don't know what they look like. Do they-does each of them have a number?

MS. COLEMAN: It appears so. There's one that I

Jennifer J. Blekestad, Electronic Court Reporter, Seventh Judicial District, State of Montana, (406) 433-5939

1 can't read the number very well; it's either 3 or 4 and

- 2  $\parallel$ it's in the upper lefthand corner as I look at the page.
- Your Honor, there are a few that there would be-I'm arguing there would be no foundation for.

THE COURT: Okay. So, to make that we're talking apples and apples. Which one-what does the one that you can't tell the number on, can you say something identifying about so Mr. Greenlow can tell you what

number he meant to put on it?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. COLEMAN: Sacred Heart School permanency record. I thought it looked like a 5, but it might be a 3 because it comes before 4.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Greenlow, what ...

THE PETITIONER: That would be Exhibit 3, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, Exhibit 3. Okay.

MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, so number 3-do you want me to take these-there's only a handful.

THE COURT: Yeah. Tell me the ones to which you have objections.

MS. COLEMAN: Okay. And the easier way might be to say that we're, we are comfortable with the texts coming in because Mr.-the parties are here that I can question them, but the others are without proper foundation. So, number 4 ...

THE COURT: Ms., Ms. Coleman, every time you turn down to ...

MS. COLEMAN: Oh, sorry, you bet.

THE COURT: ... (inaudible) your microphone is not picking you up.

MS. COLEMAN: Sure. So, I'm objecting to numbers 3, 4, 5. I'm saying that there is no foundation unless Mr. Greenlow can say he is going to have someone who can lay foundation for those. I'm also not able to read number 5 clearly. And I have a couple more, Your Honor. (Pause.)

MS. COLEMAN: I have an objection to number 8. It is—it isn't complete information and I would say that, therefore, it is prejudicial. I'm not exactly understanding quite what that is. And the other thing, Your Honor, um, well, I would also say, that based on timing, in order for my client to present phone records that would arguing against some of these, we would have had the time to (inaudible) that. So, again, I would argue that what Mr. Greenlow is presenting in number 8 is prejudicial.

THE COURT: How many are there?

THE PETITIONER: Your Honor, I have 28 exhibits. However, for my opening statement, I will only be using Exhibits 1 through 4. The rest of it is there for mostly

in case it becomes relevant; a counter measure, if you 1 2 will. 3 THE COURT: Okay. So, then, can somebody tell me-4 so, as to 1 through 4, Ms. Coleman has an objection to 3 5 and 4. I don't know what they are cuz I didn't look at 6 them yet. 7 What is 3? 8 THE PETITIONER: 3 is the paperwork that Ms. Losinski filled out for my daughter to start attending 9 10 school at Sacred Heart School. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And where did you get it? 12 THE PETITIONER: I was emailed that from Sacred 13 Heart School upon a 24-hour written request ... 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 THE PETITIONER: ... to them that I was required to 16 make. 17 THE COURT: So, you received it from them? 18 THE PETITIONER: Yes. 19 THE COURT: And what is-what is the point you 20 were going to make with presenting 3? 2.1 THE PETITIONER: So, in the affidavit, I did mention a part of the parenting plan in which Ms. Losinki 22 23 is required to put me on paperwork. The school is

Jennifer J. Blekestad, Electronic Court Reporter, Seventh Judicial District, State of Montana, (406)433-5939

required to be aware, aware of the split household and I

have-this is one of multiple examples that I am going to

24

25

```
present to the Court in which Ms. Losinski is failing to
   do that willfully.
 3
            MS. COLEMAN: Now that I understand, Your Honor,
   what number 3 is, we're fine. That can come in.
 4
 5
            THE COURT: No objection to 3. Okay. Then, 4,
   what is 4?
 6
 7
            THE PETITIONER: 4 is just a-it's one of many
8
   evaluations done for my son. It's another example of ...
9
            THE COURT: What's the date on it? Like how
10
   recent is the evaluation?
            THE PETITIONER: Um, there is actually not a date
11
12
   on the paperwork from this evaluation.
13
            THE COURT: Okay. Where did you get it?
            THE PETITIONER: I was emailed this by Zaxton's
14
15
   ABA professional, Kim Deaner.
16
            THE COURT: What's the name of the professional
17
   again?
18
            THE PETITIONER: Kim Deaner.
19
            THE COURT: How do you spell the last name?
20
            THE PETITIONER: I believe it is D-e-a-n-e-r.
   No, it's Beaner, I'm sorry. Hold on, I can look it up,
21
22
   one second, Your Honor.
23
            THE COURT: Well, do you know-Ms. Losinski, can
   you tell us what that person's last name is?
24
25
            THE RESPONDENT: (Inaudible.)
```

```
THE COURT: So, the microphone is not picking her
1
2
   up at all.
            MS. COLEMAN: I'm (inaudible), Your Honor. I have
 3
 4
   it turned it up 100% and I'm not sure on my ...
 5
            THE COURT: No, I think what's happening is
 6
   wherever the microphone is located, I'm not, you know,
 7
   directing your mouth toward that area, it's not picking
   up. Like when you look away, it doesn't pick it up.
 9
   That's the issue, I think. I can hear you clearly, right
10
   now, when you're looking straight this way, it picks you
11
   up pretty well.
12
            MS. COLEMAN: Let's try and you speak
    (inaudible).
13
            THE RESPONDENT: It's Kim Beaner.
14
15
            THE COURT: Kim ...
            MS. COLEMAN: Sounds like B as in boy.
16
17
            THE COURT: Yeah, but how do you spell Beaner?
18
            THE RESPONDENT: (Inaudible.)
19
            THE COURT: It's not picking her at all.
20
            THE PETITIONER: So, so, I was correct in my
21
   spelling, Your Honor. It is D-e-a-n-e-r. D as in dog.
22
            THE COURT: D as in dog?
23
            THE PETITIONER: Yes.
24
            THE COURT: Okay. D-e-a-n-e-r. Alright.
25
            MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, We're looking at the
```

paperwork. It happens to be a B, not that this is life or death, but.

THE COURT: Yeah.

2.1

THE PETITIONER: Sorry. My apologies.

THE COURT: B? Okay. Alright. So, when did you get that?

THE PETITIONER: I got it at the beginning of the summer when I started my summertime with the kids this year.

THE COURT: Okay. And does it include the email from-is Kim a man or a woman, I guess, cuz it can be either?

THE PETITIONER: She is a woman.

THE COURT: Whoever Kim is, it doesn't matter. Is the email that you-with which you received this evaluation part of Exhibit 4 so that, Ms. Coleman can see that that's where it came from?

THE PETITIONER: No. But for authentication purposes, Ms. Losinski's signature is on the last page of this exhibit.

MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, I would still, I would still object for laying foundation. If we were going to have therapists and counselors come in and be a part of this, we would be more than happy to do so, but we would need the opportunity to ...

THE COURT: Okay. However, it depends on the
purpose for which-all of those things depend upon the
purpose for which he's offering it.

What is the-what's your purpose in wanting to offer
Exhibit 4?

THE PETITIONER: The last page of the exhibit is

THE PETITIONER: The last page of the exhibit is only relevant part. It's basically just to show the Court, again, that my signature is not on this paper; it was never given to me. Until I requested it is kind of my point.

THE COURT: Okay. And then, on the-so, I've written down, you said that your complaints are that she's violating part 3, paragraph c-and I don't know, when you say part, what do you mean-I want to make sure.

Ms. Coleman, do you know which parts he was referring to then, now, or not?

MS. COLEMAN: I do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, maybe let's start there. Can you-let me find your parenting-the parenting plan that's in effect here. Just a second.

THE PETITIONER: I did provide a copy of the parenting plan in the scan or, at least, I believe I did.

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't matter; it's part of the court file. You're referring to the amended parenting plan that I issued the second time you filed a petition

for contempt. Is that right? 1 2 THE PETITIONER: That is correct. But in the one that I provided, and I can't be 100% I included it, but I 3 4 did highlight in there the specific places in which I'm 5 referring to in the affidavit. 6 MS. COLEMAN: Can I just make sure that I'm on 7 the right one? March ... 8 THE COURT: Okay, just a second. What did you 9 attach that too? Your highlighted version? 10 THE PETITIONER: With the exhibits I emailed; I can doublecheck. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Because I'm under-my position 13 is that the applicable parenting plan is the Amended Final Parenting Plan filed March 16, 2022. 14 15 THE PETITIONER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is that one? Okay. Is that the one 16 17 that you sent? 18 THE PETITIONER: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And what number did you give it 20 when you highlighted it so, Ms. Coleman can look at her ones there? 2.1 22 THE PETITIONER: So, on the second page, I have a 23 spot highlighted.

Jennifer J. Blekestad, Electronic Court Reporter, Seventh Judicial District, State of Montana, (406)433-5939

through what you emailed her, what document number is it?

THE COURT: No, no, no. So, when she looks

24

25

Is it one of the 28? Like does it have a number on it 1 2 when you emailed them? 3 THE PETITIONER: No, it's not an exhibit, it's 4 just ... 5 THE COURT: Okay. So, you just sent it to her in 6 the same email with which you sent the 28 exhibits? 7 THE PETITIONER: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you see it, Ms. 9 Coleman? 10 MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, I absolutely, I see it 11 and I'm aware. I'm just not clear which he's linking that 12 to. You know, the question I was thinking that the Court 13 was asking me what piece of the parenting plan that he is going to say that Tara's not following, I'm not sure 14 15 which. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So, this is what we'll do. Mr. 17 Greenlow, raise your right hand to be sworn and we'll 18 have you go ahead and tell us everything what's going on 19 here. 20 BRAXTON GREENLOW 21 Having been sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth 22 and nothing but the truth, testified upon the oath as 23 follow: 24 THE PETITIONER: Okay. So ... 25 THE COURT: Okay. Just a second though, just a

second because you speak. I'm going to look at these ex-1 2 so then, Ms. Coleman, your objections, so far, are to 4, 3 5, and 8. 4 MS. COLEMAN: Yes. 5 THE COURT: And he's not even talking about 5 or 6 8 just yet so, 4 is at issue. 7 So, when we get to the part of you talking about 4, 8 Mr. Greenlow, we need to address her objection, okay? And 9 then, I'm going to look now, I'm going to try to look at 10 what you sent, I guess, so that I can look, at least, at 11 the highlighted version of this email er this parenting 12 plan. 13 (Pause.) THE COURT: Oh, it's one big thing? It's all 14 15 lumped together? 16 THE PETITIONER: They should be in order. 17 THE COURT: No, no, but, I mean, they're not each 18 separate documents; it's one thing. 19 THE PETITIONER: Yes, Your Honor. It was an email 20 that I had sent to myself from Boss, here in Sidney, and 21 I just had them do it all at once. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So, it's with purple highlight. 23 Okay. No, yeah, go ahead. 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION

Jennifer J. Blekestad, Electronic Court Reporter, Seventh Judicial District, State of Montana, (406) 433-5939

25

BY THE COURT:

- Q. Go ahead, okay. So, what is your name?
- 2 | A. Braxton Greenlow.
  - Q. Are you the Petitioner in this case?
  - A. I am.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you filed the Petition to hold the Respondent on October 3, 2022?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And is everything that you said in your Affidavit something you would have said again today while under oath?
- A. I am going to exclude, in the Affidavit, where I had mentioned part 7, paragraph g; a is still relevant.
- 13 | Q. Okay.
  - A. Other than part 7, paragraph g, yes, everything is I would still testify under oath.
  - Q. Okay. Go ahead then and explain the ways in which you are claiming that Ms. Losinski is violating those paragraphs?
  - A. Okay. I would like to enter Exhibit 1 into the record.
    - THE COURT: So, I'm going to say that Exhibit 1, 2, and 3 are already admitted into evidence because Ms. Coleman doesn't have an objection to them.
    - A. Okay. And I would like to ...
    - Q. So, what does Exhibit 1-in what way does Exhibit 1

show that Ms. Losinski violated a paragraph or a portion of the Amended Parenting Plan the Court adopted on March 16, 2022?

A. Okay. So, if you look at Exhibit 1 and you read the first page in the first message from Ms. Losinski. Ms. Losinski expresses that she threw an ultrasound picture that I had given to my daughter upon my daughter's request. My daughter wanted to take that ultrasound picture home with her. Ms. Losinski says, in the text, that if I send another one home that she will throw it away like she did the first one.

Furthermore, she tells me that if I try and talk about the pregnancy with my daughter, on the phone, that she will hang up the phone on me because my personal life needs to stay in my house. And she concludes, at the end of her message, that she had talked to her therapist, and the child's therapist, and they thought it was very immature of me throw that in her face.

The other two pages of Exhibit 1 are simply just to show that I did respond to that message and, you know, about 48 hours later, I even doublechecked with her and asked her if she was going to respond to that message, giving her plenty of opportunity to go back on what she was trying to say.

Furthermore, when I got my daughter again, the next

weekend, my daughter is aware of the fact, Ms. Losinski told our daughter that she threw away that ultrasound picture. And when I asked Ms. Losinski, in this text message, is she considered our daughter's feelings and which she was not able to reply to me so, I asked my daughter myself how that makes you feel. And she said 'well, it's okay, it's okay because mommy ...

MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, I object. The child isn't here. He's repeating; I'm just saying that that's hearsay.

Q. What's the purpose for which you want to tell me what your child said?

A. Part 3, paragraph c, of the parenting plan, "To maintain the children's emotional stability and minimize the children's exposure to parental conflict." I am not comfortable with having my daughter here to testify, however, things that my daughter say to me in confidence, in my own home, I, I do believe I should be able to express that.

Q. Well, if the-the hearsay rules prohibit you from telling me things that people other than Ms. Losinski said. Unless the purpose for which you're having me hear what someone else said is different than having me believe that the person said that. So, I guess, what you can tell me this is that you asked your daughter and the

response she gave you reached you, led you to conclude something.

- A. Right.
- O. You can tell me that.
- | A. So ...

2.1

- Q. So, you asked your daughter and said something and whatever she said led you to conclude what?
- A. The conclusion is that my daughter was very upset it.
- Q. Okay. So, and you could observe-and in this interchange, you could observe your daughter and you're her father and your conclusion was your daughter was upset.
- 14 A. Yes, Your Honor.
  - Q. About-and she was upset about what?
  - A. She was upset that she was not able to have the ultrasound picture at her mom's house.
  - Q. Okay. And, I guess, I'm just-you didn't explain any of this, but you're in another relationship and you must be having another baby and this must be an ultrasound of this baby that's going to be born?
  - A. Right. So, Andrea, my wife, and I, married for three months, in a relationship for two and half, almost three years, we found out in July that we were pregnant.

    We waited until the second trimester of that pregnancy to

1 | tell our daughter and that just happened to be the

- 2 weekend before this text message was sent in which, you
- $3 \parallel \text{know}$ , she was very excited. She held onto that picture;
- 4 | she kind of took it with her everywhere the whole
- 5 | weekend.
- 6 Q. Okay. Continue.
- 7  $\parallel$  A. So-I'm sorry.
- 8 Q. Go ahead.
- 9 A. So, in Exhibit 1, alone ...
- 10 Q. So, wait a second so, just a second. I forget
- 11 | which child is which because they got just a different
- 12 | middle name. One is six and one is five, right?
- 13 A. Yes, Your Honor.
- 14 Q. Okay. Tell me, the one that's born October of
- 15 | 2016, Z.D.G, what's that child's name? First name?
- 16 A. That is Zara Greenlow.
- 17 | Q. That's Z-a-r-a?
- 18 | A. Yep.
- 19 Q. And that's your daughter? And then, your five-
- 20 | year-old?
- 21 A. That is Zaxton Lawrence Greenlow.
- 22 Q. So, Zaxton. Got it, Okay, go on.
- 23 | A. Okay. So, I've already mentioned ...
- 24 Q. So, this violates para-so, let's see, this
- 25 | violates paragraph which?

- A. 3, paragraph c.
- Q. Okay. And when you say that you're talking about the numbers I gave and then, you highlighted it. So, paragraph 3c. Okay, go ahead.
  - A. Also in the parenting plan, if you go to page 4.
  - Q. Yep.

- A. Part 6, paragraph b talks primarily that neither parent shall prohibit telephone contact between the children. Ms. Losinski outright says in here that if I talk about this specific subject that she will hang up on me. And I never tested that out of the fear that it might confuse my daughter even more as to-it just wouldn't make any sense to my daughter and so, I did, I did quit talking about the pregnancy on the phone. Whether or not Ms. Losinski was bluffing or not, I'm not really, I can't really be sure, but she was threatening to hang up the phone on me if I talked about that specific subject.
  - Q. Okay. Continue.
- A. Part 5, paragraph d, "The parents have an unrestricted right to send cards, letters, and packages to the children. Nether parent may interfere with that right." That ultrasound picture is not an exclusion to those things. It's a small sentimental item that my daughter wanted and should not have been thrown away.
  - Q. Go ahead. Keep going because we really have to be

done before 11:00.

A. Okay, I'm sorry. Part 7, paragraph a(i), "Each parent shall promote a healthy beneficial relationship between the children and the other parent shall not demean or speak negatively in manner that damage the relationship between the parent and the children." This is damaging to my relationship with my daughter. Since Ms. Losinski has stopped allowing me to express excitement over the phone, I thought it imperative that I also not speak about it at home until after we were able to address this in court. It's ridiculous that it has to come to this over, over the pregnancy of a newborn, of a new child.

I'd to direct the Court's attention to Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 3. Starting with Exhibit 2, this is a text message from me to Ms. Losinski; this was when I was getting things in order for the summer regarding my son's special education for his autism. I asked Ms. Losinski what is the name of Zara's school and I expressed to her that I had called Zaxton's school and that she had not put me on the paperwork, period, no name, no nothing.

She did not respond to that; she did not dispute it.

But if you look at the paperwork for my daughter's school, you can see Ms. Losinski put my name on there in the father's place.

Q. Whoa, stop. Okay, Exhibit 2 is that she didn't put your name on Zaxton's school?

- A. Yes, Your Honor.
- Q. And Exhibit 3 is the one that she did put it on?

  Refer back to the exhibits for me so, I can see what

  you're talking about when you say it. You said did not

  put dad's name on the paperwork for Zaxton; that's

  Exhibit 2. Right?
- A. Yes, Your Honor.
- Q. What is the one that she did put your name on Zara's school?
- 12 A. That is Exhibit 3.
- 13 | Q. Okay.

- A. However, what I'd like to point out that Ms.

  Losinski only put my name on that paperwork. She did not put my phone number; she did not provide the school with information on the split custody agreement that we had.

  When I obtained this information, I had to send a copy of the parenting plan to the school to even prove that I had a right to obtain it and I had to send in a photocopy of my ID in order to obtain this information.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. If you look at the parenting plan, part 9, paragraph b, Emergency Decisions, "Regardless of the allocation of decision making in this parenting plan,

each parent shall be authorized to make emergency
decisions affecting the health and safety of their
children." Ms. Losinski can put her own phone-on Exhibit
3, Ms. Losinski put her own number and, in the emergency
contacts, she puts her mother's number and her mom's
name. The school has no way of getting ahold of me; they
call Ms. Losinski, they call her mother and then, it's up
to their discretion as to whether or not I ever find out

Furthermore, in the parenting plan, part b er I'm sorry, part 11, paragraph c, "Each parent shall provide the other parent with ...

- Q. Wait a second, which paragraph are you one right now because I'm having to scroll here from your exhibits to your parenting plan. Which one are you on now?
  - A. So, this is page 8, Your Honor.
- Q. Okay. And you're talking about paragraph 11c er 11c.
- 19 A. Right. The second part ...

about these things.

- Q. Okay, go ahead, continue.
- A. ... of this is "the children's school shall be notified of the split households and advised to send copies of the children's school documents to each parent." Ms. Losinski should have given that school, at least, my phone number, but she definitely should have

also provided my mailing address or advised the school to contact me for that information.

Q. Okay.

1.3

A. I am going to refer to Exhibit 4 now, which there was an objection to.

THE COURT: One second, please. I have to deal with something here, just one second.

(Pause.)

- Q. Okay. Let's hear about Exhibit 4.
- A. Okay. So, in Exhibit 4, I would ask that we just look at the last page of the exhibit. These evaluations for my son are done every six months. Until I have-other than asking or requesting to receive these things from Kim, the ABA specialist, myself, I have never received one of these evaluations from Ms. Losinski. I've never been given the opportunity, other than this summer when I was actually doing ABA with Zaxton, the opportunity to be a part of these things.

Information-Ms. Losinski has sat in here quite a few times addressing my son's autism and the importance, and it is important, however, it's imperative that I get this information and that I be given the opportunity to be part of it. That concludes the exhibits that I want to go through.

And the last thing I would like to touch base on is

if you refer to Ms. Losinski's previous contempt citation, in Bidegaray, in your own handwriting, the reason for contempt was unreasonable restriction of the parent, petitioner's contact with his children. Dictating what I am and am not allowed to talk to my kids about on the phone and telling me that she's going to hang up on me is that that. And if you go back to the first time that Ms. Losinski received a contempt citation from this court, it was because she was just outright refusing to give me my kids for my summertime. If you look at, step by step, and you look at it as a whole, this is all, it's all not giving contact to the kids, not giving me the kids, dictating what I'm allowed to talk to them about. It's parental alienation; it's back dooring parental alienation. It's dangerous. And it's not creating a healthy environment for our children.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

With that being said, Ms. Losinski is, for one, unable to purge herself from her previous contempt charge. And I recommend that the Court fully enforce that and I would also recommend to the Court, out of concern for Ms. Losinski's continued actions, that we amend the parenting plan allowing myself primary custody of the children where they will stay with me primarily and allow Ms. Losinski every other weekend during the school years, during school months, and during the summertime, six

weeks, where she would do one week on and one week off in order to minimize Ms. Losinski's power to keep doing these things.

With that, Your Honor, I am concluded.

Q. Before I have Ms. Coleman cross examine you, if the Court were to hold Ms. Losinski in contempt and determine that she failed to purge herself of her previous contempt, and that included jail time, I think it was 30 days, 30 days in jail, what would you do with the kids for those 30 days? If the Court didn't amend the parenting plan to say you have primary er basically, the children primarily reside with you as a parenting plan, if it was short of changing it, what would you do? And then, if the Court did, say, as a punishment for the contempt that the parenting plan is changed, tell, say what you plan to do in either scenario. It's either 30 days or it's from now on.

A. Okay. So, if the parenting plan was changed, if I had the kids for 30 days, I don't think I could realistically enroll them in school here. I wouldn't be able to take them to school in Miles City either. The kids are in preschool and kindergarten. It is important that Zara attend kindergarten; that is way I am not actually getting the kids Thursday through Sunday right now, as the parenting plan dictates. If I were to get my

kids every Thursday, like I'm supposed, my daughter would miss so much school that she would be unable to advance to the next grade.

However, if you were to amend the parenting plan, I do have things in place. Our kids could be in school as soon as, what is today, Wednesday, as soon as probably Monday or Tuesday of next week. And considering that the kids don't have school this month on the 20<sup>th</sup> and the 21<sup>st</sup>, I don't really see that that would be a problem.

As far as Zaxton, getting into school, he would attend a special education school-preschool in which he would receive all of the same things that he does in Miles City. He would receive occupational therapy in that school and he would receive speech therapy in that school. The only thing I would need is a current IEP for my son and I do believe that wouldn't be very hard to get and I have him in school, as well, next week of Monday or Tuesday.

- Q. And what would the special education preschool that he would be attending if the parenting plan were changed to have them live primarily with you?
  - A. One second, Your Honor.
- Q. And is it described in one of the exhibits that you've sent to Ms. Coleman?
  - A. No, it is not. I have it written down here

- somewhere; it's kind of a mess here.
- Q. We'll take ...

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

25

- A. It's going to be Westside School for both Zara and Zaxton.
- Q. Okay. And within Westside School, they have special education?
- A. Yes, Your Honor. Zaxton would also receive additional occupational therapy and speech therapy at the hospital. As far as his ABA, I would have to talk to his ABA specialist in Miles City; I do believe she is still working with kids in the area in Sidney, but I would have to double-check with her on that.
  - THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Coleman, I'll give you-do you need a couple of minutes to organize your cross or are you ready to go?
  - MS. COLEMAN: I'm ready to go. I'll have to ask for some clarification.
    - THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
      - CROSS EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MS. COLEMAN:
  - Q. Okay. So, Mr. Greenlow, and if you can't hear me, please tell me and I'll move my computer.
- THE COURT: The way that you have it, right now, is very clear.
  - MS. COLEMAN: Okay.
    - Jennifer J. Blekestad, Electronic Court Reporter, Seventh Judicial District, State of Montana, (406) 433-5939

Q. So, Mr. Greenlow, did I understand you to say that 1 2 the thing that Tara's in contempt of parenting plan under 3 5d, which is on page 4, of the last parenting plan. To 4 start with, are you saying that under "the parents have 5 unrestricted right to send cards, letters, and packages 6 to the children", that something happened-or are you 7 saying something happened with a ultrasound, thank you, such that you're saying that Tara is in violation. Did I 9 understand that correctly?

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. So, do your children reside at your home part of the time?
  - A. Yes, Your Honor, or yes. Sorry.
- Q. That's fine. Would you have had-would your daughter have had the opportunity to have a picture of the ultrasound at your home?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you think that it is somewhat heady or vexatious, on your part, to come into court on the topic of an ultrasound for your child, that you are expecting, to be kept in your ex-wife's home?
  - A. No, I do not.
- Q. I'm asking, wasn't there another solution to this?

  And I'm not conceding yet that Tara threw it away, but ...
  - A. The solution would have ...

- Q. ... I'm just asking, if you have an ultrasound of your new coming baby, couldn't you just have kept a copy at your home?
- A. My daughter specifically asked me if she could take one home with her. I was not going to deny her that opportunity. And if you want to talk about pettiness, throwing it away ...
- Q. No, Mr. Greenlow, Mr. Greenlow, we haven't gotten to that yet. But, Mr. Greenlow, so you're the adult. Why did the child make the decision? Wouldn't it have been better for you to make the decision that it just stays at your home?
- A. The kids are only at my home six days a month, give or take a couple days depending on which month it is.
- Q. And what you're-are you saying to me that your daughter continual access to an ultrasound picture was imperative for her?
- A. It was not imperative, but it definitely wasn't-it was definitely acceptable.
- Q. Mr. Greenlow, do you think that you are being controlling about what happens in Tara's home?
- A. No, not at all.

Q. By demanding that the picture of your future child be kept in her home?

A. No, I do not.

2.1

- Q. Mr. Greenlow ...
- A. The parenting plan specifically states that I'm allowed to send letters, items of sentimental value. Am I being controlling if I sent an ultrasound in the mail as opposed to letting my daughter take it with her with a letter attached possibly?
- Q. Mr. Greenlow, Mr. Greenlow, I'm asking you questions, not vice versa.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Greenlow, you can just say your answer in an answer form instead of questioning the attorney. Okay?

- A. Okay. My apologies.
- Q. Mr. Greenlow, do you think that you impacted your child's emotional stability by making this an issue?
- A. No, I do not. I went through great efforts and lengths to make sure that Zara was not impacted. For instance, not talking about the pregnancy on the phone so, Ms. Losinski would not hang up on me. Even going as far as not talking about as much as possible in my own home so that Zara didn't take that excitement back to her mother's with her out of fear that Ms. Losinski might show some contemptment towards that.
- Q. Mr. Greenlow, do you think that these are issues that come up all the time between parents who are

divorced and then, move onto another partner and have children? Do you think this happens frequently?

- A. I certainly hope not.
- Q. You don't think, you don't think the topic of additional children being born in a new family comes up frequently with divorced parties?
- A. I'm sure it does, but when the person is divorcing-when two people divorce and they do it mutually, the other parent, they are allowed to move on. They are allowed to have their separate lives and do the things that they want to do and they are allowed to express and have their children, that they have together, a part of those things.
- Q. Absolutely. So, Mr. Greenlow, last question on this particular piece of this. Are you saying that you believe that you have acted in the best interest of your child by what you have done with the ultrasound of your soon to be child?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And then, are you also linking, under-on page 4, 6b, I wasn't' clear, you mentioned 6b, "neither parent may deny the other parent telephone or video contact". Did you somehow link that to the ultrasound as well?
- 25 A. No.

Q. Okay. So, can you tell me then, I'm moving onto the 6B, you are saying on page 4, 6b, that Tara has violated something, correct, related to that?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. So, can you tell me that again. In light of the fact that these exhibits just came in moments before we started, I need you to reiterate ...

THE COURT: So, just a second. I'm going tobecause this is what I understood it to say about 6b. I think what he said is that he is saying that Tara said if he were to bring up the impending birth of his child, during a phone or video call, she was going to hang up.

MS. COLEMAN: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: That's how he said it.

MS. COLEMAN: That's what I thought perhaps he said.

- Q. So, Mr. Greenlow, are you, again, you brought contempt, a contempt charge against Tara based on what you anticipate her future action to be?
- A. I could have very well tried to talk about the pregnancy and tested Ms. Losinski to see if she would hang up, but that would not have been in the best interest of our kids. It legitimately would have been bad for my daughter to experience that. So, yes, Ms. Losinski, I am saying that she is in contempt by

dictating what I am and am not allowed to talk to my kids
about and telling me that if I do talk about those
things, she will hand up the phone on me. And I'm sorry
that I'm not willing to go as far as to, to push that
issue with her and test it, test those limits. But, yes,

- 6 I do believe Ms. Losinski is very much in contempt for doing so.
  - Q. Mr. Greenlow, do you think that you and Tara need to figure out how to communicate more effectively?
  - A. I have expressed to Ms. Losinski several times that we need to communicate more efficiently. During the summer, I expressed to her often that we need to for the sake of our children, come to better terms and be able to work with each other more efficiently as co-parents.
    - Q. Have you suggested counseling for the two of you?
    - A. No, I have not.
      - Q. Or even the three of you?
- 18 A. No, I have not.

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. Meaning your wife?
  - A. No, I have not. No, I'm sorry. Actually, I take that back. The counselor here in Sidney, Montana, that my daughter was going to, she was going reach out to Ms.

    Losinski and she had suggested reaching out to her about some sort of group counseling sessions, but I'm not sure if she got out to her. I couldn't say whether or not she

1 | did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So, I want to track that. So, when was your daughter seeing a counselor in Sidney?

A. During the summer months between June, the beginning of June to the end of July.

THE COURT: And who was the counselor?
UNKNOWN VOICE: Kysa.

A. Kysa. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Kysa Rasmussen?

A. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Continue, Ms. Coleman.

- Q. So, Mr. Greenlow, I'm going to more onto the discussion about the form for Sacred Heart School. At the last hearing, were you given the names of the schools were your children attend?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And, pardon me, and have your children been in the same school for a period of time? Each of them?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So, have you reached out to each of those schools and make sure that your contact information is registered with the school?
- A. Yes, I have. I expressed it in Exhibit 3, you can see er in Exhibit 2.
  - Q. So, the schools have your contact information. Is

that what you are saying here today?

- A. I have given the schools my contact information; they do have it now.
- Q. So then, and again, I'm going to ask you, do you feel that this is worth the Court's time if the schools have your contact information?
- A. Absolutely. I have expressed to Ms. Losinski several times I have been left off of paperwork, left off of medical documents. Over and over and over, it's a repeating cycle, it's not a ...
- Q. Do you have those exhibits? Have you brought those here today, Mr. Greenlow?
  - A. Just the two exhibits that I brought today.
- Q. So, and one of those is for Sacred Heart, correct?

  Is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Exhibit number 3. And the other you are referring to, the other exhibit?
- THE COURT: I think they were Exhibit 2 and 3.

  Exhibit 2, the point of Exhibit 2 is to show that she,

  that your client is being accused of not even putting his

  name on the paperwork for Zaxton. And the point of

  Exhibit 3 is that she put his name on the paperwork for

  Zara, but did not include his phone number, address, or a

  copy of the Amended Parenting Plan. And the paragraph

that he is saying that violates is paragraph, I gotta find it here, the one that says they're supposed to let the school know about the split household.

Which paragraph is that?

A. 11...

THE COURT: I'm having to toggle between things.

A. Page 8, I believe.

THE COURT: Page 8, paragraph 11c, "Each parent shall provide the other parent with grade reports and notices from school as they are received, and the children's school shall be notified of the split households and advised to send copies of the children's school documents to each parent" is one, yeah.

- Q. So, can I just confirm. Is the date on the Sacred Heart, Exhibit 3, I believe, 5-10-22? My question is going to be is this from last spring and do you have any information for this fall?
- A. This is from this year when Ms. Losinski enrolled Zara; this is their current, this was their most current up-to-date form. When I called ...
- Q. How do you, how do you know that? This is dated 5-10-22.
- A. Because I was sent it two weeks ago maybe; I could look and check the date in which it was sent to me, but.
  - Q. So, are you saying, Mr. Greenlow, that you haven't

communicated with the school and added your information after the school year started?

A. My daughter just enrolled in kindergarten this year. As far as preschool goes, there are no, you know, sports or musical programs or things like that that I'm going to have to concern myself with being able to attend.

Q. I'm sorry and I'm not following you. The record, and I also apologize for referring to the wrong grade level, but this is dated 5-10-22 for Zara.

THE COURT: So, I'm going to take judicial notice that enrollment for the fall of the 2022-2023 school year would probably not happen any earlier than 5-10 and probably would happen as early as 5-10 because that the end of the prior school year and that would be when you would enroll or provide information to get on a waiting list or whatever.

Sacred Heart is a private school, right? It's a catholic private school in Miles City, right?

A. Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So ...

MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, but, Your Honor, we don't and I'm not ...

THE COURT: Does your client have-I guess, this is the thing. He's saying that the school sent this as

the last application for school that they had. If your client has a later one, I will look at it.

2.1

MS. COLEMAN: So, Your Honor, but we could, we could certainly file one later today. I'm assuming that he's added the information. We also have ...

THE COURT: I know. But I think his point is your client is the one who signed their child, that they together have, for this private school and that she did it in May and when she did it, she just mentioned-let's see. For her, she gave his name, but didn't give his phone number, she didn't give his address, and she didn't provide a copy of the parenting plan that showed that they share parenting of this child. That's what I think he's saying.

MS. COLEMAN: Sure, Your Honor. And I'll take testimony from Tara. She's expecting that there should be another one.

Again, the Court asked us a question of do we have something to answer this. Well, no, we didn't know that this was going to be presented until, you know, a few minutes before this started.

THE COURT: Well, that's the nature of a contempt proceeding. I mean, it's not-you get there and you find out what the people are going to present and the supporting documentation.

But so, I think that's his allegation. His allegation
is she is the one that signed up their child and when she
signed up their child, she mentioned his name, but
nothing else about him, and didn't mention the split
parenting arrangement. And that's what he's complaining
about.

MS. COLEMAN: And I would-and I would ask again.

THE COURT: I mean, I'm just saying that's what his-that's what he's saying.

MS. COLEMAN: So, Your Honor, I don't have anything further to ask Mr. Greenlow. I would call Tara when the Court allows.

THE COURT: Got it.

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

# BY THE COURT:

Q. Mr. Greenlow, do you have anything by nature of direct examination of yourself to add to the answers you gave to those questions that Ms. Coleman asked in her cross examination.

A. Possibly. Your Honor, the Tara not putting me on documents and things like that stems all the way back to the very beginning of the divorce. When Zaxton received his first autistic diagnosis in Billing, Montana, I was requesting those documents from that hospital; they didn't have my name on their paperwork. I had to send in

a photocopy of my I.D. and a birth certificate of my son 1 2 with my name on there as the father. When I went to take my son to speech and occupational therapy practice in Miles City, they didn't have my name anywhere on their documentation. I had to enter myself into their system as 6 the father and my number as the father.

If the defense is that I'm not making the phone calls and doing it myself then, that's ridiculous because it's just time and time again. I don't know, I not ever going to know every single person, every single institute that I need to call to get my name on these, on these documents.

- Q. Okay. Anything more?
- A. And that's all I have.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Anything-recross on what Mr. 16

Greenlow just said, Ms. Coleman?

MS. COLEMAN: Yes, just one.

# RECROSS EXAMINATION

### BY MS. COLEMAN:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. How could it be possible that you wouldn't know the places that your children attend or seek help from, seek care from in such small communities? Like do you exchange text messages with Tara?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Have there been discusses about the schools where

| 1  | the children attend?                                      |
|----|---|
| 2  | A. Upon request usually, but yes.                         |
| 3  | Q. Have there been discusses, via text, about             |
| 4  | counseling or any medical issues about the children?      |
| 5  | A. Yes.   |
| 6  | MS. COLEMAN: Okay. I don't have anything                  |
| 7  | further, Your Honor.                                      |
| 8  | THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any other                    |
| 9  | witnesses, Mr. Greenlow?                                  |
| 10 | THE PETITIONER: I do not, Your Honor.                     |
| 11 | THE COURT: First witness, Ms. Coleman?                    |
| 12 | MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, I'm going to call Tara           |
| 13 | Losinski.   |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. Could you raise your right hand          |
| 15 | to be sworn and, once you're sworn, Ms. Coleman will      |
| 16 | begin taking your testimony. You're going to both have to |
| 17 | be kind of careful about directing your voice to wherever |
| 18 | that microphone is located on your device.                |
| 19 | THE CLERK: Can you raise your right hand?                 |
| 20 | TARA LOSINSKI   |
| 21 | Having been sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth      |
| 22 | and nothing but the truth, testified upon the oath as     |
| 23 | follow:   |
| 24 | THE COURT: Go ahead.                                      |
| 25 | MS. COLEMAN: Was the Court able to hear Tara?             |

THE COURT: Yes. I thought I heard her. 1 2 MS. COLEMAN: Okay, thank you. 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. COLEMAN: 5 Q. So, Tara 6 THE COURT: So, your name is Tara Losinski, 7 right? 8 A. Right. 9 THE COURT: And you're the Respondent? 10 A. Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 11 12 Q. Okay. Tara, are you-thank you. Are you aware-has 1.3 there been somewhat of discussion, ongoing discussion about a ultrasound picture? 14 15 (Pause.) 16 THE COURT: I can't hear her, I guess, if she's 17 talking, but it doesn't look like she's talking. 18 Q. So, can you tell the Court what transpired with the ultrasound picture? Did your daughter have an 19 20 ultrasound picture? A. (Inaudible) out of the vehicle. Andrea walked from 2.1 the other side of the vehicle and handed Zara an 22 23 ultrasound picture and I took the kids in to use the 24 bathroom and Zara obviously dropped it on the ground and 25 Andrea actually came and followed us through the entire

- gas station up to counter and told Zara, she's like you
  must have dropped this and Zara is like oh, yes, I
  dropped it.
  - Q. Alright. When you say got out of the car, are you talking about an exchange of (inaudible)?
  - A. Braxton was sitting outside of the vehicle with Zara, giving her a hug, and Zaxton was still on the other side, but Andrea walked all the way around the vehicle just hand Zara the ultrasound.
  - Q. Are you telling-did this take place at a handoff of the children? Did it take place in Glendive?
- 12 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

1.3

18

19

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. And were you at a gas station?
- 14 A. Yeah, we were at Town Pump.
- Q. Okay. And Andrea is Braxton's wife, correct?
  Right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And did you see this interaction between Andrea and your daughter?
- 20 | A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. So, you watched Andrea hand the ultrasound picture to Zara?
    - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. And how old is Zara?
- A. She was five at the time.

1 | Q. So, she ...

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

THE COURT: So, Ms. Coleman, somehow, now, it's not picking up your voice all the time.

MS. COLEMAN: Yeah, Your Honor, I apologize. I'm on my desktop, and I swear to you I'm looking for the speaker. I apologize.

THE COURT: That's okay. We can hear you now.

Q. Okay. So, did you just say ...

THE PETITIONER: I can't hear them. I don't know if you can hear them, Bidegaray, but I'm unable to hear anything on my side.

THE COURT: Okay, okay. I don't think she's saying thing right now.

- Q. Sorry, sorry. I'm just saying, I'm just saying.

  So, Tara, did you say that your daughter dropped the ultrasound picture that had been handed to her by Andrea?
- A. Yes because she didn't have it when we up at er at the counter because Andrea followed us and had to bring it back to her telling her that she dropped it.
- Q. Okay. So, what then happened to the ultrasound picture from there?
  - A. Zara didn't it anymore.
  - Q. But why would you think that?
- 24 | A. I have no idea.
  - Q. Did Zara say anything to you?

1 | A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Do you think this is an unfortunate interaction between two homes?
  - A. (Inaudible.)
  - Q. Was this malicious on your part?
- A. No.
  - Q. Do you think your daughter should be brought into a drama about an ultrasound picture?
- A. No, she shouldn't.
- Q. So, how did this happen that Braxton's complete information isn't on Zara's permanency record at Sacred Heart?
  - A. Because I forgot to put his cell phone number, but they've been well aware, for over two years, that there's a split household.
- Q. So, you're saying that (inaudible).
- 17 | THE COURT: We can't hear you, Ms. Coleman.
- 18 Q. So, do you think ...
  - THE COURT: It's not picking you up again. It's still not-I know you're looking right at it, it's just not.
    - MS. COLEMAN: Yeah, sorry.
- THE COURT: Now you are.
- Q. Okay. So, are you that the schools, there are two schools involved, correct, for your children?
  - Jennifer J. Blekestad, Electronic Court Reporter, Seventh Judicial District, State of Montana, (406) 433-5939

 $1 \parallel A. Yes.$ 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

- Q. And are you saying that both of them know that there is-there are split households here?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So, was an error that you didn't put Braxton's information on there?
  - A. (Inaudible.)
- Q. Okay. Did you ever try to remedy or did you know it wasn't on there?
- 10 | A. (Inaudible.)
  - Q. But what do you mean?
  - THE CLERK: We're not getting-Ms. Coleman? We can't hear her, at all, in the courtroom; we're really struggling here.
  - MS. COLEMAN: Okay. Your Honor, the only thing I could do, and it would only take two seconds, I have my other computer here. I could dial in really fast.
    - THE COURT: Yeah, do that.
- 19 MS. COLEMAN: Okay.
  - THE COURT: Let's take a little break. If anybody needs to use the restroom, do that, and then, we'll get back.
- 23 | THE CLERK: Thank you.
- 24 | BREAK 9:53 a.m. RESUME: 10:00 a.m.
- 25 | THE COURT: Okay. Are we all set up again?
  - Jennifer J. Blekestad, Electronic Court Reporter, Seventh Judicial District, State of Montana, (406) 433-5939

MS. COLEMAN: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. 1 2 THE COURT: Can you say something, Ms. Losinski? 3 THE RESPONDENT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. That's so much better. Okay, go 5 ahead. 6 MS. COLEMAN: Okay. Bear with me, Your Honor. 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUES 8 BY MS. COLEMAN: 9 Q. So, Tara, the discussion has been about, with 10 Exhibit number 3, about whether you had added full information for Braxton onto the Sacred Heart school 11 12 record. So, Zaxton attend school? 13 A. Yes (inaudible). Q. And do you know if you suppled complete 14 15 information to the school about Braxton for Zaxton's 16 school? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. If you needed to, could you produce that later 19 today and we could file it with the Court? 20 A. Yes. Q. So, do you know if Braxton has communicated with 21 the schools about his children? 22 23 A. (Inaudible.) 24 Q. But how do you know that? 25 THE COURT: I didn't make out what she-it's

- picking Ms. Losinski, but it's a little bit muffled. I quess, I can't-what did you just say, Ms. Losinski?
  - A. That he hasn't contacted Zaxton's school.
  - Q. But I want to ask how you know that.
  - A. Because I speak with Joann Gresen almost every day.
    - Q. Okay. Why do you speak with her every day?
  - A. Because he goes to special service school and there's only a couple kids in each one of her class and she meets me at the door to let Zaxton in because the school's doors are locked.
  - Q. So, does that bring up any concerns you have (inaudible).
  - THE COURT: Okay. Now, it's not picking up again.

    I don't know what's going on.
    - Q. Okay. So, so, alright, last question on this. Do you-does it bring up for you any concern about Braxton's interaction with the school?
    - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- Q. I'll wait until the (inaudible).
- MS. COLEMAN: Oh, thank you, Your Honor, it went blank for a second.
- Q. So, regarding the other exhibit, which would be Exhibit number 4, which is regarding Zaxton, correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And Braxton, Mr. Greenlow, brought up that his name is not at the bottom of this form. And this form that I'm referring, is it signed by Kim Beaner?
  - A. (Inaudible.)
  - Q. And again, who is Kim Beaner related to your son?
  - A. (Inaudible).
  - Q. Okay. And ABA stands for?
  - A. (Inaudible.)
- Q. Alright.

- THE COURT: Can you say it again? It's really picking you up fine, Ms. Coleman, but not Ms. Losinski.
- A. Kim Beaner works at ABA; it's applied behavior analysis and Zaxton goes five days a week.
- Q. Do you-is it your understanding that Ms. Beaner was expecting to meet with Braxton about Zaxton?
- A. Yes, she's expressed numerous times that she's contacted him to meet and he keeps blowing her off is what she said. He was supposed to meet with her all summer and he's actually supposed to be meeting with her today at 1:00 was the last I heard yesterday.
- Q. (Inaudible), do you know if Braxton has ever met Zaxton's counselor, Kim Beaner?
- A. They met a couple times and he's actually signed of these forms, which I could get from Kim later today.
  - Q. So, (inaudible) would that not be between Ms.

| 1  | Beaner and Braxton?                                       |
|----|---|
| 2  | A. Yes.   |
| 3  | Q. Would that have anything to do with you?               |
| 4  | A. No.  |
| 5  | THE CLERK: We're not hearing anything in the              |
| 6  | courtroom.  |
| 7  | MS. COLEMAN: We weren't-we weren't speaking.              |
| 8  | Sorry, I had us muted for a moment.                       |
| 9  | THE CLERK: Oh, sorry, I just saw you moving.              |
| 10 | Thank you.  |
| 11 | MS. COLEMAN: I know, I know. Your Honor, I don't          |
| 12 | have any further questions for Tara. I certainly have a   |
| 13 | statement to make the Court, but I don't have any further |
| 14 | questions.  |
| 15 | THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. Greenlow?               |
| 16 | THE PETITIONER: Yes, Your Honor.                          |
| 17 | CROSS EXAMINATION   |
| 18 | BY PETITIONER:  |
| 19 | Q. So, the statement that my daughter dropped the         |
| 20 | picture or that the picture was handed to her outside the |
| 21 | car, when I woke up my daughter from the trip to Glendive |
| 22 | to meet Tara, my daughter had that picture                |
| 23 | THE COURT: Wait a second.                                 |
| 24 | Q in her hand.  |
| 25 | MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor.                                  |

THE COURT: Okay. Here's the thing. I will allowI guess, I'll allow it to be kind of like rebuttal and
then, I will let your client do surrebuttal.

Okay. Go on.

Q. Okay. In any case, she was holding the picture
when I woke her; she had it dead-gripped in her hand and
she dropped it outside of the car and Andrea handed it

It's not like we gave it to her the second we got there as they're trying to make it sound.

back to her while we were hugging and saying goodbye.

And furthermore, if the defense is that like I'm making this big deal out of this ultrasound picture but, the truth is, is it's not just about the picture, it's continued behavior like this. The persistent behavior, the not allowing me to ...

MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, Your Honor. I going to object. And again, I don't want to add to the contentiousness here, but I was thinking this was Mr. Greenlow's opportunity to cross examine.

THE COURT: So, you'll be able to do a closing statement. Do you have questions? So, let's do it like this. Do you have questions you're going to her?

THE PETITIONER: Okay, yes.

THE COURT: I will give you the opportunity-okay, do that.

Q. So, I'm curious. The evaluation that you're saying that I have signed, is that the evaluation done for Zaxton over the summer while he was attending ABA at my house?

(Pause.)

1.3

THE COURT: Did you hear the question, Ms.

Losinski? Because if you answered, we didn't hear your answer.

- A. That would be between you and Kim, but she said that you signed it and you informed her that you would be signing everything from now on.
- Q. Okay. I'm not fully remembering that conversation, however, I do remember signing an evaluation. It's the only evaluation I was ever a part of.
- So, Ms. Losinski, you say this evaluation is between you and Kim and that the evaluations that happen at your house are between you and Kim. Do you not think that it's important that both parents be aware of the results of these evaluations?
- A. Yes and that is why you were supposed to be meeting with Kim weekly and she stated that to you numerous times.
- Q. That's hearsay, okay. Anything that you are saying that you talked to Kim about ...

THE COURT: Sustained, sustained. Go on.

| 1 | Q. And then-so, are you, when you say that I have not    |
|---|--|
| 2 | contacted Zaxton's school, you're saying that that is    |
| 3 | because you spoke to his teacher? But you have seen      |
| 4 | Exhibit 2 that I presented earlier, correct?             |
| 5 | MS. COLEMAN: We're just viewing what Exhibit 2           |
| 6 | is.  |
| 7 | Q. In a message, in Exhibit 2 that I presented, it       |
| 8 | says, "What is the name of Zara's school? Also, I called |

Q. In a message, in Exhibit 2 that I presented, it says, "What is the name of Zara's school? Also, I called Zaxton's school today and you didn't put me on the paperwork as the father. Is it also like that at Zara's school?"

1.3

It was a question that I asked Ms. Losinski and she was unable to answer me, at all. She can look it up in the phone, her own phone records and see that there was no response. It was a simple question; I wanted the name of my daughter's school and I wanted to know why I was not on the paperwork at Zaxton's school. If you would have responded to that message then, there would have been a discussion had and you'd know more about that conversation between me and Zaxton's teacher.

A. Is this a message referring to last month?

(Ms. Coleman and Respondent talking.)

THE COURT: What day was it?

THE PETITIONER: March  $31^{\rm st}$ , Your Honor. Is was while I was preparing Zaxton to get set up for special

services in Sidney, Montana for the summer.

1.3

A. Haven't you had all of his information since last March?

THE COURT: Wait a second. Just like he can't ask-he wasn't able to ask your attorney questions when she was cross examining him, you can't ask him questions. So, I think he just asked you a yes or no question. Do you remember it?

MS. COLEMAN: Can he repeat it, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Do you remember what you asked her?

Q. Yes. In the message it says-sorry.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

- Q. In the message it says, "What is the name of Zara's school?" Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, you said that I have not contacted Zaxton's school. Right here in the message, in Exhibit 2, I tell you that I have, you did not respond. If you were-if you had responded, there would be a conversation between you and I where you would have more details about that conversation between mine and Zaxton's teacher.
  - A. You've only provided part of the conversation.
- Q. Right because I'm not going to print off the entire textbook of our conversations between now and God knows when. However, you can clearly see ...

THE COURT: Well, this is actually Exhibit 1,

this part of Exhibit 1.

THE PETITIONER: Exhibit 2, Your Honor, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: No, Exhibit 2 is what is the name of the school. Exhibit 1 is oh, what's the name of the school.

I don't see where it says you contacted them. You're asking where is the school.

THE PETITIONER: In Exhibit 2, "also, I called Zaxton's school today and you didn't put me on the paperwork as the father."

THE COURT: Okay. I guess, I'm not looking-I see something that says Exhibit number and it just looks like a fish so, I'm assuming it's 2.

THE PETITIONER: Yes, that's 2.

THE COURT: The one before is scribbled out at the time; the one before that is nothing, nothing, and it says Exhibit 1. On the ones I've got, it says Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 1 has one, two, three pages and then, Exhibit 2 is one page and it says 12:01 at the top and it's just one page of ...

THE PETITIONER: Yes, yes. That's Exhibit 2, Your Honor. The first message in Exhibit 2 is the message I am referring to.

THE COURT: Oh, I called Zaxton's school today.

```
Okay, got it.
1
 2
       Q. Okay, so, I quess, my question is ...
 3
            THE COURT: So, basically, did you answer that
 4
   email-did you answer the text message, Ms. Losinski? He's
 5
   saying you didn't.
 6
            THE PETITIONER: Right. Yeah, I'm saying she has
 7
   not.
8
       A. (Inaudible) and he knows. I even gave him all the
 9
   information.
10
            THE COURT: Okay. So, here's the thing. She
11
   didn't present an answer so, go onto something else. You
12
   presented the question; she didn't present an answer. I
   take it as she didn't answer it.
13
14
            THE PETITIONER: Okay. That is, that's all I have
15
   for my cross examination, Your Honor.
16
            THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any redirect, Ms.
17
   Coleman?
18
            MS. COLEMAN: I don't, Your Honor.
19
            THE COURT: I'm sorry, it came out cross-muffled.
20
   What?
21
            MS. COLEMAN: I (inaudible.)
22
            THE COURT And now it cut out. I don't know why
23
   it's going that. Go ahead.
24
            MS. COLEMAN: I don't, Your Honor.
```

Jennifer J. Blekestad, Electronic Court Reporter, Seventh Judicial District, State of Montana, (406)433-5939

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any other

25

1 witnesses? 2 MS. COLEMAN: I do not. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Greenlow, do you have rebuttal? 4 5 THE PETITIONER: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Well, who is your-what, 7 yourself? 8 THE PETITIONER: Myself and I would also like to call one witness. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. So, rebut-do what you're going 11 to say right now for rebuttal. 12 THE PETITIONER: Okay. 1.3 THE COURT: Cuz you're still under oath. THE PETITIONER: So, as I stated before when I-14 15 so, when the ultrasound picture was given to Zara, it was 16 given to her at our house; she was extremely excited about it. And I will note how-when Zara's ultrasound 17 18 picture was thrown away, her excitement really was nonexistent after that. 19 20 When I say that I tried very hard not to speak about 21 the pregnancy at my house. The only time I ever did speak 22 about is when Zara brought it, which was not very often, 23 and she herself did not bring it up on the phone after 24 the matter. 25 This isn't just about the ultrasound picture; it is

about Tara's control over the matter and the impact that
it has on Zara is more than just the picture itself. It
is a statement made by Tara that she is not happen about
this and our daughter sees that. In the conversation I
had with my daughter and what she expressed to me, she
knew and I knew that Zara's interpretation of this was
that her mother did not like this pregnancy, this
situation.

1.3

And for as both schools not knowing the split households, Ms. Losinski, her defense is that this was unintentional. You can only do this so many times before you really have to wonder whether or not it is intentional. However, when you look at Exhibit 2 and I expressed to Ms. Losinski-and Exhibit 2 is March 31<sup>st</sup> of this year. This is before the kids even started going to school; it was the beginning of the summer. And Ms. Losinski did this paperwork at Zara's school in 5-10; that is May, May 10<sup>th</sup>, after I had told her my concerns about being put on paperwork. So, to say that it was accidental or intentional, I don't buy that, it's not.

I would like direct to the Court's attention to Exhibit 22.

MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, I object. Mr. Greenlow said, in the beginning of this, that he wasn't going tohe was only going to deal with Exhibits 1 through 4.

```
THE COURT: Well, but this is rebuttal. I think
1
 2
   he said they were in case he needed them for rebuttal.
       What is 22?
 3
            THE PETITIONER: This is ...
 4
 5
            THE COURT: Without saying the guts of it, like
 6
   what is it?
 7
            THE PETITIONER: It's from the Sidney Health
8
   Center. When I enrolled Tara and I's son up for
 9
   occupational speech or occupational therapy and speech.
10
            THE COURT: What's the point of it? What's the
11
   point of it?
12
            THE PETITIONER: It is just an example of what
13
   paperwork should look like between parents.
14
            THE COURT: Okay.
15
            THE PETITIONER: And I have another exhibit.
16
            THE COURT: And is it something that you filled
17
   out? Is it something you filled?
18
            THE PETITIONER: Yes, this is something I filled
19
   out.
20
            THE COURT: Okay. The objection is overruled.
21
   Objection overruled.
22
       Exhibit 22 you said?
23
            THE PETITIONER: Yes.
24
            THE COURT: And so, basically, this is something
25
   you filled out and I take it that you must have put her
```

name on it and her contact information on it when you 1 2 enrolled your son, is that right? 3 THE PETITIONER: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: I understand. Go onto something else. 5 THE PETITIONER: Okay. If the Court would give me one second to find this one. 6 7 (Pause.) 8 THE PETITIONER: Okay, right here. Exhibit 20, 9 Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: What is that? 11 THE PETITIONER: Exhibit 20 is a message-Exhibit 12 20 is a message from myself to Tara where I inform Tara 1.3 that Zara went to her first therapist appointment. I sent her a picture of the therapist's card, but I also tell 14 15 Ms. Losinski that her name is on the paperwork, she's 16 listed as one of the emergency contacts, and that the 17 therapist should actually be getting a hold of her 18 because I advised the therapist that Ms. Losinski might 19 like the opportunity to fill out the same paperwork for 20 Zara to be enrolled into this therapy. Just another example of how things should work versus how things are 21 22 clearly working. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Coleman, any objection to 20? 24 25 MS. COLEMAN: No, but we have a response to 22

about who actually handed that in, but that's fine. 1 2 THE COURT: You'll get the chance. 20 is also admitted and 4 is admitted too from before 3 4 if I hadn't said it because I think I said 1, 2, and 3, 5 and I hadn't addressed 4. 6 THE COURT: Okay, go on. Anything else? 7 THE PETITIONER: I would like to call on my wife, 8 Andrea Clarke. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Come forward and be sworn. 10 ANDREA CLARKE 11 Having been sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth 12 and nothing but the truth, testified upon the oath as 1.3 follow: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY THE PETITIONER: 16 Q. In Ms. Losinski's defense, she says that Zara 17 dropped the picture in the bathroom and that ... 18 THE COURT: Well, first of all, what is your 19 name? 20 A. My name? 21 THE COURT: What is your name? Yes. 22 A. Andrea Clarke. 23 THE COURT: And are you the wife of Braxton 24 Greenlow. 25 A. Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, go on, Mr. Greenlow.

- Q. Alright. So, Andrea, can you please explain your accounts of that day that Ms. Losinski is talking about where we dropped Zara off and you had returned the ultrasound picture to her from the moment that the kids were in Ms. Losinski's care and then, I'll just kind of tell you when to stop.
- A. Okay. So, when we drop off, I make sure that I get to say goodbye to both children; it's important to me. So, with Zara dropping the ultrasound, it was basically because she was just waking up. After that, Tara did have the children in her care; they were brought to the bathroom. And after I purchased some things from the store, I went to the restroom; and they were in the big stall, and I was in another one. From my, you know, knowledge, Tara had no idea that I was in the restroom. Tara, you know, in the shuffle of kids going to the bathroom, obviously, a parent needs to wash hands, and from my understanding, she did have it in her hand. Now, when I left the bathroom ...
- Q. Just to clarify, just to clarify, when you say she had it in her hand, are you talking about Zara?
  - A. No, I am talking about Tara.
  - Q. Okay. And why, why ...

THE COURT: So, what did Tara have in her hand?

- What did Tara have in her hand?
- A. From my understanding that Tara did have the ultrasound in her hand while she was helping Zara wash her hands?
  - Q. And why would you think that?
  - A. Because she said, 'well let me take-let me grab this'.
- Q. Right. So, you heard, from the bathroom stall, you heard Ms. Losinski ask Zara to hold the ultrasound picture. You heard that verbally, is that what you're saying?
- 12 | A. Yes.

1

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. Please continue.
  - A. Then I left the restroom and, on my way, out, in the long hallway of Town Pump, I found the ultrasound crumpled up on the ground.
  - Q. Okay, that's it. That's all I have for you. Thank you.
    - THE COURT: Cross examination, Ms. Coleman?
- 20 MS. COLEMAN: I don't have any, Your Honor.
- 21 | THE COURT: Any other witnesses, Mr. Greenlow?
- 22 THE PETITIONER: No, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. You may step down.
- 24 Any surrebuttal, Ms. Coleman?
- MS. COLEMAN: No questions, Your Honor.
  - Jennifer J. Blekestad, Electronic Court Reporter, Seventh Judicial District, State of Montana, (406) 433-5939

Certainly, again, a statement, a closing statement, but no questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Alright, go ahead. Mr.

Greenlow, it's your Petition for Contempt so, you get to make a statement first if you wish, but you've already kind of done that. do you have anything more to say by way of statement?

THE PETITIONER: Yes.

THE COURT: And can somebody move the camera back so, I can see him.

THE PETITIONER: Yes. So, one last thing. The ultrasound picture; the dictating what I can and cannot talk to the kids on the phone about; going all the way back to the first and second contempt charges, not giving me the kids for my time, not giving me video contact with my son, who is nonverbal, every single one of these things; it all paints a really ugly picture. And you cannot deny that the defense wants to sit and say that I'm being petty by bring them for something as simple as an ultrasound picture, but it's not just an ultrasound picture. The whole situation, the entirety of it is bad and it's just continuing and continuing.

Ms. Losinski has been warned what would happen if she did continue to be contemptuous and she just does not care. She's going to keep abusing her power as the

primary custodial parent of our children. And that is, you know, come to point where we really need to be concerned about that.

That's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Coleman?

MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, regarding, first of all, the ultrasound. I certainly understand why Mr. Greenlow and his wife are excited about that picture. I think it's absolutely inappropriate that they brought that topic before the Court. I think that is not a good use of the Court's time. I think that they, being the grownups, could have handled that situation much better and it would have been in the better interest of their children.

I-in response to Mr. Greenlow's discussions about whether he has been listed on the school information, again, what he has presented today are from older dates. We're into a new school year; if the Court wants us to gather that information from the school and file it as exhibits, we're more than happy to do so.

I think the more important thing would be not just mainly a discussion about who's name is on a form, but the actual interaction by a parent with counselors, teachers, therapists. And, Your Honor, had we had the time, we would have subpoenaed those individuals. They

expressed to Tara that they would be pleased to testify about Mr. Greenlow's communications with them, any interactions he's had with them, or any lack thereof.

2.1

Regarding, Your Honor, Mr. Greenlow just instructing the Court about what was best for the Court to do about Tara, keeping the contact between the children, Mr. Greenlow has continual and consistent, steady contact with his children. There has been no evidence, presented today, that he has missed out on continual visits throughout the months, especially since the last order that was put in place that put the emphasis on video visits.

So, Your Honor, Your Honor, I don't think that Mr. Greenlow has carried the burden, at all, that Tara is contempt. I would, though, say in reading the transcripts from the prior hearings, it does seem to be one of these unfortunate situations where there are some challenges in communication between these parents. And, Your Honor, if the Court is able to do so, I would ask that the Court would order them to mediation through 44-301, if the Court believes that they have the power to do so. And the point to the mediation would be to see if these people can learn-and I would ask-I would ask-I would suggest that it incorporate Andrea for some of it, but with the goal to help these individuals learn how to communicate

more effective.

Again, just to close, if the Court isn't willing to that suggestion then, I would absolutely ask that the Court would allow an additional hearing where we could subpoena, again, counselors, therapists, teachers to testify about what is in the best interest, making their presentation about what is the best interest of these children as to where they reside and how much interaction there has been by Mr. Greenlow. Your Honor, that is my final statement to the Court on the topic.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Any reply, Mr. Greenlow?

THE PETITIONER: Yes. As far as mediation goes, I would not argue against that. However, I would ask that parenting plan still be amended and if things can get better then maybe we can come back, revisit this.

However, the continued abuse of power, on Ms.

Losinski's part, it's apparent, it's absolutely apparent in what I've present just today alone. Telling me that I can't talk to my kids about my personal life or about this pregnancy, it's just another, just another thing that she's done. But that's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. I believe that Ms.

Losinski is in contempt. That text message in which she says yeah, I threw it away and don't bring it up and

whatever; I think Mr. Greenlow has met his burden of showing that she's in contempt.

We don't live in la-la land. We're going to sit there and pretend that there's not going to be a new sibling that going to be coming into this world. I think that it's unhealthy.

And I don't know who these counselors are, but probably be ready to have them testify at some hearing that will be scheduled after Mr. Greenlow files a petition to amend the parenting plan. The petition that he filed for contempt didn't say in it that one of the things would be amendment of the parenting plan and I don't want to make a decision about changing the parenting plan so that he is the primary custodian without having the full benefit of input from people that would have a say as to what's in the children's best interests.

So, you're going to have to file a separate petition if you want the parenting plan changed. We'll set a hearing. Ms. Losinski will have notice; she'll be able to get the people lined up to do that.

But it's contemptuous, for you, to throw away that picture or to make, in anyway, make your child feel like it's bad that she's going to have another sibling. And I just don't think that-I don't know what these therapists

are saying. If they say that it was immature for her dad to bring that up to you, I completely disagree. It's not immature; it's-you can't sit there and pretend that this child isn't coming into this world.

Then, the other thing is I cannot believe that you don't put his name on all the paperwork. Why should he be doing the hunt and search as to every place where your children are being taken by you. And why would you be putting your mother as their emergency contact. You could include her, because she probably lives in Miles City, but you certainly should include, as an emergency contact, the father. If something horrible happens in school-if I change this so that he's got custody, you wouldn't like it if the schools in Sidney didn't know that you exist. And so, I think that that's unbelievable especially when the parenting plan says that you make them informed.

Mr. Greenlow did a good point of showing that the exhibits here, and I'm going to say the wrong ones, but one of the exhibits was on March 31 when he asked you what are the names of the school and those sorts of things. You never even replied to that and you've got them in a private schools so, it's a legitimate question for them for him to ask because you could be putting them in public school, you would be putting them in the

private school, you would be putting them wherever. But he shouldn't be having to contact every school in Custer County to find out where his kids are enrolled. You should be able to answer that question.

2.1

As far as takin your kids to different appointments in different places, you should be telling them that they have a dad and this is what his name is and this is what his contact information is, this is everything. He shouldn't be having to go after the fact to medical places, to evaluation places, to any place like that, places that provide counseling, and have to prove to them that he has—that he is the father of the child that you have enrolled in that service.

As far as his contact with these counselors and medical providers, if you don't even tell him or you don't them about him, how do you expect there to be good and free communication between these providers for your children, whether they be medical, education, whatever, and their father when already in the past, he's had to go through hoops to prove that he's even entitled to get information about his own kids by presenting IDs, presenting birth certificates, presenting whatever else is ridiculous.

So, his Exhibits 22 and 20 are good examples of the manner in which you should be providing information to

any place where you take the children that the two of you popped out into this world together.

And so, you are in contempt. I need to think about—I need to think before I say what the punishment for the contempt is. I'm not going to be in a position to issue the contempt citation until tomorrow and it will spell out—I'm going to think whether—what I do about the fact that I already ordered you to spend 30 days in jail in March, on March 16 and I ordered you to, I don't remember, I've got to look back at the citation of August of 2020 when I had you spend—say that you were going to spend 15 days in jail. That at that time, you had deprived the dad of contact with his kids and so, you had to let him have four weeks of parenting time and I don't remember if I also said plus follow the parenting plan. So, you could potentially be looking at 45 days in jail already just based on past behavior on your part.

I don't know-I did agree that you need to go to some sort of therapy together or whatever to communicate, but I don't know what kind of a therapist it takes to teach somebody when the dad says what schools are you putting our kids and you don't even reply. I mean, I don't know. The communication, that's a basic communication skill; you would just reply to that and say I'm putting Zara here and I'm putting Zaxton here. I don't know why you

need counseling to know how to answer that question or 1 2 why you would think it's okay not to answer that 3 question. 4 So, I'm going to think about what the punishment is; 5 I'm going to think about what effect this latest contempt 6 has on your prior two contempts; and I'll be issuing 7 orders tomorrow so that you know exactly what's happening. 9 I am not going to modify the parenting plan to do 10 such a radical change as to say that the punishment means 11 that children now live with their father. I will address 12 that in a separate petition where you had, you know, due 1.3 process, notice that that's what's being requested, and you have opportunity to present your evidence as to why I 14 15 should change that. So, that'll be addressed separately. 16 Okay. That'll conclude this matter for today. 17 THE PETITIONER: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 (Proceedings concluded at 10:38 a.m.) 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

# 

-

# CERTIFICATE

I, Jennifer J. Blekestad, Official Court Reporter for the State of Montana, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were electronically recorded using an FTR Reporter 2.1 Digital Recording System; that the electronic recording has been in the custody of the Court; that the recording has not been changed or altered in any way; that the recording is a full, true and accurate record of these proceedings; that the undersigned arranged to have the recording transcribed to writing; that the undersigned has compared the electronic recording with the written transcription and the foregoing 3 through 72 pages constitutes a full, true and accurate transcription of the above-entitled proceedings had and taken in the above-entitled matter at the time and place hereinbefore mentioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this  $22^{nd}$  day of November, 2022.

Jennifer J. Blekestad Jennifer J. Blekestad Electronic Court Reporter