FILED

07/15/2022

Bowen Greenwood CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF MONTANA

Case Number: OP 22-0349

# Exhibit 1

		1 ***
MONTANA TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT		2 STATE'S WITNESS: OFFICER MATTHEW GRIESHOP
	ON COUNTY	3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: MR. SPOJA33
	1	4 CROSS EXAMINATION BY: MR. SNIVELY
STATE OF MONTANA,	) ) TRIAL	1
Plaintiff,		
Vs.	) CAUSE NO. DC 19-17	6 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY: MR. SNIVELY35
NATHAN SAMUEL POLAKOFF,	) CAUSE NO. DC 19-17	* * *
Defendant.	)  JUDGE MATTHEW WALD	8 STATE'S WITNESS: AMY HYFIELD
		_   g DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: MR. SPOJA35
		10 CROSS EXAMINATION BY: MR. SNIVELY
APPEA	ARANCES	11
		12 * * *
FOR THE PLAINTIFF: ALE	XX NIXON bon County Attorney	13 STATE'S WITNESS: DR. BRADLEY FOUTS
ROE	BERT SPOJA Thomas Sport	14 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: MR. NIXON
P.C	Don County Deputy Actorney  1. Box 810  1 Lodge, Montana 59068	15 CROSS EXAMINATION BY: MR. SNIVELY40
Rec	nouge, noncand J7000	16 * * *
HOD MUS BREEZE	DEDM CNITHELY	17 STATE'S WITNESS: ALAN STUBER
FOR THE DEFENDANT: ROE Att	orney at Law	18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: MR. SPOJA42
	Nest Third Street din, Montana 59034	19 CROSS EXAMINATION BY: MR. SNIVELY45
		20
		21 * * *
		STATE'S WITNESS: JESSE THOMPSON
REPORTED BY: ST	PACY A. FORTUNE, CCR	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: MR. SPOJA46
OFFICIAL O	COURT REPORTER BOX 51077	CROSS EXAMINATION BY: MR. SNIVELY47
BILLINGS. MON	TANA 59105-1077	11 24
	madmt corr	
sfortu	N D E X	25  1 * * * * 2 STATE'S WITNESS: JENNIFER CORMIER
PROCEEDINGS		25
PROCEEDINGS	N D E X  PAGE	25  1
PROCEEDINGS	PAGE X  PAGE	25  2
PROCEEDINGS	PAGE X  PAGE	25  1
PROCEEDINGS  JURY SELECTION  OPENING STATEMENTS  STATE RESTS  DEFENSE RESTS  FINAL INSTRUCTIONS	PAGE X  PAGE	25  1
PROCEEDINGS  JURY SELECTION  OPENING STATEMENTS  DEFENSE RESTS  FINAL INSTRUCTIONS  CLOSING STATEMENTS	PAGE X  PAGE	25  1
PROCEEDINGS  JURY SELECTION  OPENING STATEMENTS  DEFENSE RESTS  FINAL INSTRUCTIONS  CLOSING STATEMENTS	PAGE X  PAGE	25  2
PROCEEDINGS.  JURY SELECTION.  OPENING STATEMENTS.  STATE RESTS.  DEFENSE RESTS.  FINAL INSTRUCTIONS.  CLOSING STATEMENTS.	PAGE X  PAGE	2
PROCEEDINGS	PAGE X  PAGE	25  1
PROCEEDINGS.  JURY SELECTION.  OPENING STATEMENTS.  STATE RESTS.  DEFENSE RESTS.  FINAL INSTRUCTIONS.  CLOSING STATEMENTS.  VERDICT.  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN S	PAGE X  PAGE	25  2
PROCEEDINGS.  JURY SELECTION.  OPENING STATEMENTS.  STATE RESTS.  DEFENSE RESTS.  FINAL INSTRUCTIONS.  CLOSING STATEMENTS.  VERDICT.  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN S  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: N	PAGE X  PAGE	25  2
PROCEEDINGS.  JURY SELECTION.  OPENING STATEMENTS.  STATE RESTS.  DEFENSE RESTS.  CLOSING STATEMENTS.  VERDICT.  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN STATE STA	PAGE X  PAGE	25  2
PROCEEDINGS  JURY SELECTION  OPENING STATEMENTS  DEFENSE RESTS  FINAL INSTRUCTIONS  CLOSING STATEMENTS  VERDICT  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN S  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: ME  CROSS EXAMINATION BY: ME  CROSS EXAMINATION BY: ME	PAGE  PAGE  3 3 41 41 41 621 649 669 726  * * *  SELF  4R. NIXON	25  2
PROCEEDINGS  JURY SELECTION  OPENING STATEMENTS  DEFENSE RESTS  FINAL INSTRUCTIONS  CLOSING STATEMENTS  VERDICT  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN S  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: ME  CROSS EXAMINATION BY: ME  CROSS EXAMINATION BY: ME	PAGE X  PAGE	25  2
PROCEEDINGS.  JURY SELECTION.  OPENING STATEMENTS.  STATE RESTS.  DEFENSE RESTS.  CLOSING STATEMENTS.  VERDICT.  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN S  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: ME CROSS EXAMINATION BY: ME	PAGE  PAGE  3 3 41 41 41 621 649 669 726  * * *  SELF  4R. NIXON	25  2
PROCEEDINGS.  JURY SELECTION.  OPENING STATEMENTS.  STATE RESTS.  DEFENSE RESTS.  CLOSING STATEMENTS.  VERDICT.  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN S  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: ME CROSS EXAMINATION BY: ME	PAGE X  PAGE	25  2
PROCEEDINGS.  JURY SELECTION.  OPENING STATEMENTS.  STATE RESTS.  DEFENSE RESTS.  FINAL INSTRUCTIONS.  CLOSING STATEMENTS.  VERDICT.  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN S  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: ME CROSS EXAM	PAGE X  PAGE	25  2
PROCEEDINGS.  JURY SELECTION.  OPENING STATEMENTS.  STATE RESTS.  DEFENSE RESTS.  CLOSING STATEMENTS.  VERDICT.  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN S  CROSS EXAMINATION BY: ME	PAGE  PAGE  3 3 41 131 621 649 669 726  * * *  SELF  4R. NIXON. 159 8R. SNIVELY. 236 BY: MR. SNIVELY 273 MR. NIXON. 285  * * *  STITEHEAD  4R. NIXON. 298	25  2
PROCEEDINGS  JURY SELECTION  OPENING STATEMENTS  DEFENSE RESTS  CLOSING STATEMENTS  VERDICT  STATE'S WITNESS: RYANN S  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: ME  CROSS EXAMINATION BY: ME  STATE'S WITNESS: DOUG WE  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY: ME  CROSS EXAMINATION BY: ME	PAGE  PAGE	25  2
PROCEEDINGS	PAGE  PAGE  3 3 41 131 621 649 669 726  * * *  SELF  4R. NIXON. 159 8R. SNIVELY. 236 BY: MR. SNIVELY 273 MR. NIXON. 285  * * *  STITEHEAD  4R. NIXON. 298	25  2

		5	
1			1
2	* * *		2
3	STATE'S EXHIBITS:		3
4	EXHIBITS 1-3172		4
5	EXHIBIT 4		5
6	EXHIBIT 5183		6
7	EXHIBIT 6203		7
8	EXHIBITS 7-16216		8
9	EXHIBITS 17-23232		9
10	EXHIBIT 24337		10
11	EXHIBIT 25342		11
12	EXHIBIT 26434		12
13	EXHIBIT 27442		13
14	EXHIBIT 28443		14
15	EXHIBITS 29-47446		15
16	EXHIBITS 48 and 49471		16
17	EXHIBITS 50 AND 51578		17
18	* * *		18
19	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT:		19
20	EXHIBIT B643		20
21			21
22			22
23			23
24			24

a written order and it should be filed today. I just didn't get it out. However, I think your record is well made, Mr. Snively, and your motion is on the record, so we'll go on from here. I do need to address a couple of things. One, we do have a snowstorm. A lot of the good citizens have appeared here despite that. There might be a couple of late ones. Ordinarily, I may have those parties brought forward where they're supposed to be. Given the storm, my intent is to have them come on in, but go to the end of the line so we don't disrupt voir dire. Does that make sense? MR. NIXON: Yes. MR. SNIVELY: Yes. THE COURT: It does kind of reward them for being late, but I don't think anybody wants to today. We want to make sure we've got enough so they can still come and be a part of the panel. MR. SNIVELY: Judge, if I can just clarify, if somebody does come in that was 1 through 27 late, are you going to make them number 28 or are they going to be 24 53?

25

5

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\* \* \* CARBON COUNTY COURTHOUSE RED LODGE, MONTANA MARCH 8, 2022 DAY ONE OF TRIAL + + + (Wherein, the following took place in chambers.) THE COURT: I'll call DC 19-17, State versus 10 Nathan Polakoff. We are in chambers the morning of trial. 12 Counsel, give your appearance, please. MR. NIXON: Alex Nixon for the State. 14 MR. SPOJA: Robert Spoja for the State. 15 MR. SNIVELY: Robert Snively for the defendant, who is present. 17 THE COURT: The defendant, Mr. Polakoff, is 18 present. 19 All right. This is day one of the jury trial 20 in this case. We've already had a final pretrial 21 hearing. Just a couple final matters we need to 22 address. There was a Motion to Continue the matter. I 23 did communicate to the lawyers, after consideration, 24 that I was denying the motion. I have -- there will be

25

we call roll call -- if they come in during roll call, we're okay. But once we call roll call, though, then we start calling the first 27, I'm not going to bump somebody because the right number 16 came in. MR. SNIVELY: I just -- they're going to go clear to the end? THE COURT: Yes. I think that there's no right or wrong to it, but I think that there's a good excuse to be a little bit late today probably. Anybody have a problem with that? MR. NIXON: No. THE COURT: Okay. MR. SNIVELY: No. THE COURT: I'm going to give -- once we get a jury sworn, I've looked at the proposed jury instructions proffered by the State, Instructions 1 through 5 are stock, other than, of course, the specificity in No. 4, which contains language from the charging docs. MR. SPOJA: Yes. THE COURT: I intend, once we get the jury sat, to go ahead and give State's 1 through 5. Mr. Snively, any objection? MR. SNIVELY: No. THE COURT: Okay. On -- at some point after

THE COURT: 53. So, basically, because once

```
the final pretrial, there was -- my understanding was there still might have been an offer made by the State that the defense was considering. I do understand that that was rejected, but I want to make sure you're on the record.

Is that the case, Mr. Snively, that you considered the final offer and have rejected that?
```

MR. SNIVELY: Yes, that's correct.

THE COURT: And you've passed that on to Mr. Polakoff?

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ SNIVELY:}$$  Yes. After the last court hearing, my client and I discussed that and he made that decision.

THE COURT: Mr. Polakoff, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Again, I'm not going to repeat everything that we did on Wednesday as far as the final pretrial and I'm not trying to dissuade you in any way, but I do want to make sure you're fully informed of the risks and possible benefits of proceeding to trial and

risks and possible benefits of proceeding to trial and rejecting those orders. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, You'r Honor.

THE COURT: You're well aware of the maximum

sentences that could be imposed upon conviction?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

involved in what they were charged with. But we've sort of generically referred to that as the "Missoula incident."

Also, any prior cocaine or heroine use by the defendant. There's an alleged knife incident that occurred here in Red Lodge and an incident where he was at the parking lot of the people working at the mine.

And there's also an incident where they were -- the police were trying to transport him to Billings for mental health concerns. The last three are post charging incidents and so...

THE COURT: Say that again? Knife incident in Red Lodge. What was the second one?

MR. SNIVELY: He was in the parking lot where the cars of people who work at the mine were at and there was some suspicion as to what was going on there.

And the third thing is there's a mental health -- I guess I would call it they were getting ready to transport him to Billings for mental health concerns and there was an issue between him and the Red Lodge Police.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Nixon, is that your understanding of the things to avoid?

MR. NIXON: I believe the last one also stemmed from a domestic dispute that -- I think there's

THE COURT: Okay. It appears from the State's trial brief that the parties are cognizant that there could be some  $404\,(b)$  language or issues -- well, I should say there might be some potential areas. However, it looks like the parties are on the same plane as far as avoiding  $404\,(b)$ , other acts evidence.

And as always, I do ask counsel if you're getting close to where you think the door has been open, approach the bench at first with a sidebar before you go there.

Mr. Snively, would you like to just make sure we are on the same page with regard to the 404 evidence?

MR. SNIVELY: I would, Judge.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. SNIVELY: I'll just highlight the areas that I believe there's a stipulation that are not admissible without addressing it in front of the Court. One is any reference to a lie detector test. There's what I'm going to refer to as the "Missoula incident." There's some activity that happened in Missoula, and while Mr. Polakoff was never charged or anything there, he was involved in some incidents that led to other people being charged.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SNIVELY: Although he wasn't directly

I think that's a fairly accurate statement as far as of the 404 issues. I don't anticipate that those would come in. The only thing that I believe might be an exception is that, as the State expressed in its trial brief, there may be an issue of prescription drug and marijuana use that was brought up by the defendant in his interview with Officer Stuber. When he stated that — basically presented the possibility, although unlikely, that he may have blacked out and during that he could have potentially thrown a baby and injured it.

That was put in context as being part and parcel with this use of medication and marijuana. And I think that that would be an exception as, I guess, ostensibly that could be seen as some sort of defense, because of impairment. And that is why the State has asked that if that is played to the jury that it may be wise to consider some sort of an impairment not being a defense instruction for the jurors to consider.

THE COURT: All right. I'll let you weigh in at the time of the settling of instructions, we'll talk about those again.

But it sounds like your concern specifically is harder drugs, the cocaine and the heroine use?

MR. SNIVELY: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. It doesn't sound like you're not on board with that.

MR. NIXON: No. And I can tell you that there is — the State has edited the interview with Officer Stuber. Specifically, the portion of the introduction of the video where he is read the Miranda rights and the defendant can be heard saying something to the effect that that's not the first time he's been read his rights. That has been simply taken out and it starts right afterwards.

And it stops immediately before the defendant identifies a cocaine and heroine-use problem that he had when he was in Missoula. So we have taken care to make sure that the video that we'll be introducing does excise those particular 404(b) issues.

THE COURT: Well, there's also looked like what could be 404, but the State in their trial brief did mention, I guess, reserving rebuttal if the defense chooses to offer character evidence and some of those incidents referred to in the trial brief appear to me to be potentially 404(b). But that kind of depends on where you guys go, I think.

But clearly, you're not going into those incidents with the defendant's parents and stuff unless,

don't think it's at all appropriate to try to bring in their experience as nurses, because that would seem to be possibly trigger some sort of expert testimony.

And if that is the case, the State most certainly should have been provided with some sort of statement or some ability to get a statement from them, but that was refused. Initially, the State was told that there would be an opportunity to conduct interviews and then that was withdrawn yesterday afternoon late.

So I think it's very important that they not try to tell the jury that they possess some sort of expertise in assessing the baby, because we simply don't have the opportunity, one, to know what they're going to testify to or prepare for it.

There may also be an issue, there's a voicemail recording that is intended to be introduced by the defendant. My concern is that there may not be able to be an appropriate foundation laid to avoid, you know, the hearsay issues. But that one is not as concerning to the State at this point in time.

But I do think that it's important to — that all observations have to be absolutely clearly referred to as simply lay observations. And I don't think there's going to be any evidence that they, in fact, did examine the child. And if there is, I think that would

as you've reserved, unless you come to me and say, "I think they've opened the door to that," correct?

MR. NIXON: Correct. And frankly, I think if there was an issue that the door is open, I would probably request a meeting in chambers rather than simply a sidebar.

THE COURT: Well, something that important, yeah. Well, it sounds like the parties have discussed the potential 404(b) evidence and are on the same page and I do appreciate that, obviously, and get only admissible evidence before this jury so they can do their job.

Is there anything else either party needs to address before we go in?

MR. NIXON: I don't know if it necessarily needs to be addressed now, but the State does have one issue potentially with the defendant's witnesses. My concern is that the State has not been provided a statement or a transcript and was denied the opportunity to speak with both the witnesses. They're the defendant's parents, they're also nurses.

And my concern is that there's going to be an attempt to have them testify regarding their observations of the baby on the 17th and 18th, I believe. I apologize for not having the exact date. I

1 be a real problem.

THE COURT: Okay. Obviously, we don't need to take this up now, unless, Mr. Snively, would -- do you want to address that at all at this is point?

MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I --

THE COURT: Let me just ask, is it your intent to go beyond lay observations?

MR. SNIVELY: No.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SNIVELY: As far as their observations of the baby is merely lay -- I mean, we're not going into because you're a nurse this or that kind of thing.

MR. NIXON: I don't think it's appropriate to even going into the nurse profession at all, if you're asking for some sort of observations.

THE COURT: Why don't you guys discuss before those witnesses are called, see whether there is a legal dispute as to how far it can go so we don't taint the jury either way.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ SNIVELY:}\ Judge,\ if\ I\ can\ just\ --\ so\ the}$  record is clear --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SNIVELY: -- the witnesses decided not to talk with Mr. Nixon or Mr. Spoja. It's the two witnesses that made that decision. I encouraged --

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. NIXON: To make it clear, I meant in no
way to say that Mr. Snively was responsible for that.
          MR. SNIVELY: And there is, to my knowledge,
```

3

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

23

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

no prior statement, no transcript, there's nothing to provide, other than the voicemail, which we have provided. And I quess if that's an issue of foundation, I wish I would have known that so -- I mean, we can lay the foundation, if that's what the State's wanting us to

MR. NIXON: I think I may have a proposal that resolves that. Like I say, that's not what gives me heartburn, the voicemail. It's the possibility of trying to attempt to lay testimony as having some sort of professional foundation.

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't sound like that's going to happen. And honestly, it's a shame, really, that they did refuse to be interviewed because, obviously, the Court's going to have to error on the side of being very cautious to avoid any sort of hybrid-witness-expert-sort-of-testimony.

And again, as we get near there, if you're not on the same page, Counsel -- or Mr. Snively, you can bring it back before the Court and make your argument.

It looks like we're really not on a different page and so I'll leave it to you to make sure to avoid

clock and think we can do it. The last trial we had I -- unfortunately, the defendant had to kind of split the voir dire because we didn't take a break, because I didn't. So I'm going to error on the side of letting everybody stand up. And, you know, this building there's not a lot of rest rooms, I'll give them a chance to refresh. We'll still move on as efficiently as we can towards our jury.

I'm going to have one alternate. It's a fairly short trial and I believe that given the size of the jury room that ultimately they'll have to share and I think for the trial we should be okay.

Is that all right with you, Mr. Snively? 14 MR. SNIVELY: I don't have any objection,

MR. NIXON: We'll be taking an hour and a half lunch, then, is that what you anticipate? THE COURT: Yeah, I usually do an hour and

MR. NIXON: Okay. An hour and 15.

Mr. Snively, it seems like the concept of "lunch hour" is a tough one here in Red Lodge.

THE COURT: It is.

24 MR. NIXON: Especially, when it's like this.

It's kind of a sloppy mess.

18

```
any areas that are improper.
```

MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We have a little bit of time before the defense.

Anything else?

MR. NIXON: I don't think the State has any more issues. So far I think that Mr. Snively and I have worked effectively at addressing the problems and I don't think either of us wants to create any issues.

THE COURT: Very good. Just so you guys know, I'll give you each an hour for voir dire. And then, you know, I'm not going to pull you off stage if it gets to be an hour and a minute. Clearly, we want to get these -- the whole out of here as soon as possible. We also want to give you every opportunity to explore what you need to in voir dire.

I have a tendency to really want to push so that the jurors -- so we can get our final jurors and get rolling. I've kind of learned my lesson and I'm likely to take a break between the State's voir dire and defense's voir dire. It's just too long for a lot of people to sit. I don't like doing it, because it makes kind of a mess and there's a lot of jurors milling around.

Unless things go real quick and I look at the

THE COURT: You never know, at least on the cusp of 11:30 or either 12:30 sometimes that helps, but I know to give that extra 15 minutes.

MR. SNIVELY: Judge, is it your intent to break at a reasonable witness break to 5:00, 5:30?

THE COURT: Yes. I do not -- the clock is a -- what do they say? A quideline and not rules. I believe the presentation of the case takes precedence.

And so, yeah, if you've got a witness on and you're finishing and it's 5 o'clock we're going to finish that witness absent some extraordinary thing.

Same with lunch. We'll try to work with the case presentation for the sake of the jury. So you can plan those witnesses around that. And you can always approach me, have some idea of your time frames and witness length, probably. You never know how long cross will take.

Does that answer your questions?

MR. SNIVELY: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

Probably start at 8:30 tomorrow, so we can

keep rolling.

(Off the record.) (Wherein, the following took place in open court.)

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.

For the record this is State of Montana

versus Nathan Polakoff, DC 19-17.

The prosecutors are present.

The defense counsel is present. The

defendant is present.

The panel of potential jurors are also present.

Folks, I'm speaking for the record, this is a court of record and every word we say is taken, so sometimes it sounds like I'm talking to myself and that's why.

Good morning. My name is Matt Wald. I'm the district court judge for this district, the 22nd District Court for the state of Montana, that includes Carbon County, Stillwater and Big Horn Counties.

I recognize some of you have been here quite awhile already and it's one of those Montana days outside and I truly appreciate you getting here.

As Americans our fundamental rights include the right to serve on a jury and the right to a trial before a jury of our peers. So the jury system really is a foundation of our legal system. It was put in our constitutions, both the U.S. Constitution and the

you are chosen today, you'll be off the panel for the rest of the year. But I and all the parties to this case do thank you.

I'm going to introduce a few other folks real quick.

The court reporter is Stacy Fortune. She'll be taking a word-for-word transcript of all things that are said in this proceeding.

Later this morning, pretty quickly, there will be questions asked of some of you and so it's very important that you speak clearly. And even though it's kind of scary to speak in public for many of us, I'll have to ask you to speak up. And I might even have to ask you to speak up when you're talking and it's not trying to be rude, but we do need to make sure she gets every word down, because that's the way she works.

Rochelle Loyning is the clerk of court for Carbon County. She's assisting here today.

The bailiff is Ralph Dawson, who is serving in that capacity today, as well as helping him is Jeremiah Lotz in the back.

The matter to be tried here today is a charged criminal offense. Nathan Polakoff is the defendant. Mr. Polakoff is represented by Robert Snively.

Montana Constitution, as one of the foremost protections of our liberties and our rights as citizens of Montana and of America.

So the right and duty to serve on a jury is one of the most important of our fundamental rights and duties of citizenship. I realize it's a hardship, as well, but I ask all of you, please, remember one never knows when you or someone you love may need to appeal to the wisdom of a jury and the system that we put in place over hundreds of years. By appearing today, you are taking part in a unique role in the American justice system.

I do welcome you, the members of the potential juror panel. I do thank you for putting aside your regular responsibilities. And believe me, I do know how hard it is. I do know how hectic life is now, but it is so essential to our freedoms and rights as citizens that we're able to on occasion when you're called to serve that we're able to do this so that we can have that jury -- that right to a jury trial as it's enshrined. No citizen is too important to do the job of a juror because it's as important a job that you will ever do.

You might be only called to do it a handful of times, once your whole life. And the good news is if

1 MR. SNIVELY: Good morning.

THE COURT: The State is represented by county attorney, Alex Nixon, and deputy county attorney, Robert Spoja.

Is the State ready for trial?

MR. NIXON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The defense?

MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So as you've probably gathered by now, you the jury panel have been called here for possible selection as a juror to hear the trial in this case. Your name was randomly drawn from the list of citizens who reside in Carbon County.

From this group, the attorneys will end up selecting a panel of 12 of you to hear the case as the jury. In addition, you will have one alternate juror that will sit through the case and hear evidence, as well

 $\,$  All right. The clerk will now call the roll of prospective jurors.

THE CLERK: Jo Anne Herem.

MS. HEREM: Here.

THE CLERK: Sabina Giovetti.

MS. GIOVETTI: Here.

THE CLERK: Elizabeth Sommerdorf.

		25		27
1	MS. SOMMERDORF: Here.		MS. NICHOLSON: Here.	
2	THE CLERK: Brittney Dimich.		THE CLERK: Diane Giesick.	
3	MS. DIMICH: Here.	3	MS. GIESICK: Here.	
4	THE CLERK: Richard Myers.	4	THE CLERK: Richard Lee.	
5	MR. MYERS: Present.	5	MR. LEE: Here.	
6	THE CLERK: Nancy Monforton.	6	THE CLERK: Jennifer Brown.	
7	(No response.)	7	MS. BROWN: Here.	
8	THE CLERK: Samantha Anttila.	8	THE CLERK: Christopher Ewing.	
9	MS. ANTTILA: Here.		MR. EWING: Here.	
10	THE CLERK: Bradley Freeman.	10	THE CLERK: Christine Faulkner.	
11	MR. FREEMAN: Here.	11	MS. FAULKNER: Here.	
12	THE CLERK: Elizabeth Korth.	12	THE CLERK: Erica Hash.	
13	MS. KORTH: Here.	13	MS. HASH: Here.	
14	THE CLERK: Amanda Powell.	14	THE CLERK: Debran Anderson.	
15	MS. POWELL: Here.	15	MS. ANDERSON: Here.	
16	THE CLERK: Debra Hull.	16	THE CLERK: Candace Dworshak.	
17	MS. HULL: Here.	17	MS. DWORSHAK: Here.	
18	THE CLERK: Earl Zumbrun.	18	THE CLERK: Nancy Malnaa.	
19	MR. ZUMBRUN: Here.	19	MS. MALNAA: Here.	
20	THE CLERK: Jody Klessens.	20	MS. MALNAA: Here. THE CLERK: John Bennett.	
21	MR. KLESSENS: Here.	20 21	MR. BENNETT: Here.	
22	THE CLERK: Janice Ward.	21   22	THE CLERK: Trevor Culp.	
23		22	MR. CULP: Here.	
24	MS. WARD: Here.	II '		
25	THE CLERK: Lonnie Taylor.	24	THE CLERK: Burgess Bond.	
23	MS. TAYLOR: Here.	23	(No response.)	
		26		28
1	THE CLERK: Denaye Kern.		THE CLERK: David Zeiler.	
2	MS. KERN: Here.		MR. ZEILER: Here.	
3	THE CLERK: Megan Bauwens.	3	THE CLERK: Timothy Blair.	
4	MS. BAUWENS: Here.	4	MR. BLAIR: Here.	
5	THE CLERK: Ryan Sweeney.	5	THE CLERK: Andrea Kreft.	
6	MR. SWEENEY: Here.	6	MS. KREFT: Here.	
7	THE CLERK: Kerrilee Boggio.	7	THE CLERK: Ivy Kriner.	
8	MS. BOGGIO: Here.	8	MS. KRINER: Present.	
9	THE CLERK: Cheryl McCarten.	0	THE CLERK: Jane Engebretson.	
10	(No response.)	10	MS. ENGEBRETSON: Here.	
11	THE CLERK: Jamie Krug.	11	THE CLERK: Joshua Bekel.	
12	MS. KRUG: Here.	12	MR. BEKEL: Here.	
13	MS. ARUG: HETE.  THE CLERK: Cyndra Nelson.	13	THE CLERK: Katherynn Adams.	
14	-	14	MS. ADAMS: Here.	
	MS. NELSON: Here. THE CLERK: Robert Mensik.	15	MS. ADAMS: Here. THE CLERK: Robert Berens.	
15		16		
16	MR. MENSIK: Here. THE CLERK: Michael Bohleen.	10 17	(No response.)	
17 18		ll.	THE CLERK: Joe Enterline.	
	MR. BOHLEEN: Here.	18	THE COURT: Joy, right?	
19	THE CLERK: James Maize.	19	THE CLERK: Oh, I'm sorry. Joy.	
20	MR. MAIZE: Here.	20	MS. ENTERLINE: Here.	
21	THE CLERK: Stephanie Fletcher.	21	THE CLERK: Marilyn Vukonich.	
22	MS. FLETCHER: Here.	22	MS. VUKONICH: Here.	
23	THE CLERK: Beverly Haggerty.	23	THE CLERK: Faye Lauren Fox.	
24	(No response.)	24	(No response.)	
1.7.5	THE CLERK: Baylee Nicholson.	25	THE CLERK: Nanette Blair.	
25				

1 MS. BLAIR: Here.
2 THE CLERK: Justin Moore.
3 (No response.)
4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Madam
5 Clerk.
6 I will ask the clerk to prepare show cause
7 orders for the jurors that did not appear. They will
8 have to be brought before the Court to explain if they

summons.

All right. To serve as a juror each of you must affirm that you will provide truthful answers during the process of juror selection, so we do have to administer an oath. So I'll ask that you all please

have good cause as to why they failed to honor the

2.2

 $\label{eq:continuous} I'll \ \mbox{ask the clerk of court to administer the oath to the jury panel.}$ 

(Wherein, the prospective jury panel was sworn.)

THE COURT: You can be seated. Thank you.

So the process of picking a jury we call in the law "voir dire". Different people say it differently, because none of us speak Latin very good anymore, but it means "to speak the truth."

What it really is is a process of questioning

I will say, though, it doesn't happen often, but if there's a question asked and you really feel like this is just too personal to answer here in open court, you just need to let me know. And what we can do is at an appropriate time is we can go back in my chambers and have that conversation in private.

Again, the goal is to make a true determination of each individual's qualifications to serve as a juror, not to embarrass anyone or put you on the spot in that manner.

So the way it works is because the State bears the burden of proof, which we'll talk about a little later, but the State bears the burden of proof in a prosecution in a criminal case. So counsel from the State will question you first, then defense counsel may question you.

If counsel believes one of you should be excused based on some specific issue and the nature of this case, you may be what we called challenged for cause. Then I, as the judge, must decide whether you will be excused for that reason or not.

If a juror is excused in that manner they will be replaced by another and the process will continue until both parties have done what we call "passed for cause" all the remaining jurors.

prospective jurors under oath to determine whether they're qualified to serve. And that's not as specific as you may think. It doesn't mean that some are qualified and some aren't as individuals, but it does mean, essentially, whether you can decide this case fairly and whether you are an appropriate juror to sit in judgment of this case.

There's no intention to embarrass anyone or make anyone uncomfortable. I do recognize being asked to answer questions in a room full of others can be intimidating and scary. I was a trial lawyer for over 25 years and it always made me nervous. And a lot of you just avoid like the plague anything like this. I do understand that.

Some of the questions may seem personal.

It's not intended to be that way. But the questions are to assist the lawyers to determine your qualifications to sit on this case and no other reasons.

There was a short questionnaire that was sent out with regards to some questions about different people's — whether they've been impacted by different potential offenses or things of that nature or with their family, things like that. That helps a lot so that the lawyers have already kind of gathered that and they might ask you a few more things about that.

And then what we do is do what we call
peremptory challenges, and that's a challenge to which
no cause needs to be given. That will be done outside
of your presence with just the lawyers and myself and
that will be done until only 12 is left and an
alternate. Then we'll come back in here and the
remainder will be excused.

Please don't take either kind of challenge personally. A juror that ends up serving today might be excused in a different case and one excused today might well be able to serve in a different case.

Is there anyone having trouble hearing me? Okay. Good.

So as a trial juror -- and most of you have already signed this, but just in case somebody wasn't able to do it on your questionnaire, but I do have to ask three questions. Is everybody here at least 18 years of age, a citizen of the United States, and a resident of Carbon County for at least the proceeding 30 days?

(Wherein, prospective jurors answer in the affirmative.)

THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone who has been convicted of malfeasance in office or other high crime or felony the sentence of which has not been

expired and your rights restored?

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I'm seeing nobody. All right. You are all competent to sit as jurors in this case.

What we're going to do now is have the clerk call the first 27 potential jurors. When they call your name, you'll come forward and be seated in the jury box and then the chairs that are labeled, beginning -- well, just like they're numbered. One is closest to me, and down the line to 6, 7 and 14, here and there.

But I will tell the rest of you if your name isn't called you're not off the hook, because it's very important that you listen to the questions that are asked because if you end up called to fill a spot if someone is excused, generally the first question is, "Were you listening to all those other questions and do you have any comments or anything to add?"

Which -- well, it's just much more efficient for all of you. The goal is to get a jury seated and to get the rest of you on with your lives as soon as possible. But it is very important that the group in the back, even though you're not having questions addressed specifically to you, that you listen very carefully to the whole process.

24 Let's see. Let's go ahead and call the panel.

certain legal concepts, as well. I'll tell you in this trial you will be instructed on what law to apply. It's Montana law that's passed by our legislature. I will read that to you and you will take it to the jury room in written form so you can refer to it so you know what law to apply.

So any legal concepts discussed during voir dire are just to aid you and the parties to discuss it, but the law will come from me.

Just a couple of more questions of the 27 here. Has anyone heard or read anything about Mr. Polakoff, or this matter before us, this case, that leads you to have formed an opinion already in your mind that would not allow you to give Mr. Polakoff a fair trial?

MS. GIOVETTI: I have. THE COURT: Okay. You are?

MS. GIOVETTI: Sabina Giovetti.

THE COURT: Okay. Without talking much about

that, did you see -- what did you see or hear? MS. GIOVETTI: I know Nathan Polakoff from --

I baby-sat him when he was young. He attended daycare at my parents' home and just have had conversations because of that, I quess.

THE COURT: Okay. Very close knowledge of

34

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the defendant?

MS. GIOVETTI: Yes.

THE COURT: Does that knowledge at this time make you believe you would be unable to serve as a neutral juror in this case?

MS. GIOVETTI: It does.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. NIXON: No objection to releasing Ms.

Giovetti.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: No objection.

THE COURT: And that's the kind of relationship we do need to know about, a perfect example. Thank you for coming here today, but you're released from this trial.

MS. GIOVETTI: Am I allowed to leave?

THE COURT: Yes, you are.

THE COURT: We'll catch you next time.

Will the clerk please call the next juror.

THE CLERK: Jennifer Brown.

THE COURT: All right. If you can just come to Juror Number 2, please.

Yes?

MS. BROWN: Can I have a little bit more information about what the case would be about so I know

THE CLERK: Jo Anne Herem.

THE COURT: Just come up in the box, the chair closest to me.

THE CLERK: Sabina Giovetti, Elizabeth Sommerdorf, Brittney Dimich, Richard Myers, Samantha Anttila, Bradley Freeman, Elizabeth Korth, Amanda Powell, Debra Hull, Earl Zumbrun, Jody Klessens, Janice Ward, Lonnie Taylor, Denaye Kern, Megan Bauwens, Ryan Sweeney, Kerrilee Boggio, Jamie Krug, Cyndra Nelson, Robert Mensik, Michael Bohleen, James Maize, Stephanie Fletcher, Baylee Nicholson, Diane Giesick, Richard Lee.

THE COURT: Okay. So the prosecution and defense will each ask you questions related to service as a juror in this case. And again, to emphasize to those of you left, please listen carefully so you can respond to any questions if you do get called up.

As part of the jury-questioning the attorneys might discuss hypothetical facts, but you as a juror will be the finders of fact based on the testimony and evidence presented in this trial.

None Of the hypotheticals, if there are any, are meant to be applied or speculated on as facts during the deliberations. You'll deliberate solely on evidence that is provided to you in this case.

Also, during voir dire, counsel may discuss

if I know about something?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: You will get it.

MS. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: But as you sit right here now, it's not ringing any bells, you're going to get past me and the lawyers will be able to ask.

Anyone else? Okay.

Very good. One more thing and then I'm going to let the lawyers start. It's helpful in a criminal case, that a lot of concepts, in this room they're somewhat formalistic, they're different, a lot of times you'll have heard them in movies or read books or things like that, but there are certain rules that must be applied.

So I remind you of those right now before voir dire so you can think about them as the lawyers can

An Information, that's a formal charging document, has been filed charging the defendant here with a couple of criminal offenses. The defendant has entered pleas of not quilty. So the jurors' tasks in this case will be to decide whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty based on the evidence and the law as stated in my instructions.

But these are some of the rules you must

present any evidence.

1

2

3

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So are those the concepts and rules in a criminal prosecution.

The prosecution may proceed with questioning the panel.

MR. NIXON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, everyone. Thank you for coming. I appreciate you making the effort to get here this morning with the weather.

My name is Alex Nixon. I have the pleasure of serving as your elected Carbon County attorney. I'm joined by Mr. Spoja, he is the deputy county attorney.

And I guess I'm a creature of habit. Every time I come into a trial, can't sleep, wake up very early, drink an unGodly amount of coffee, put my lucky tie on, have my little dogs on it, and I'm going to ask the same question every time.

Would you please show me by raising your hand who's happy to be here this morning?

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

MR. NIXON: Well, I'm glad to see a few hands. There's a pretty good crowd so far. I don't always get that good of a response.

I'm glad to hear that. And I can tell you this is really probably one of the more enjoyable parts

follow to do that: The filing of the Information, that formal charge, is just part of the legal process to bring this case into court for trial and to notify the

Neither the Information or the charges contained in that Information is to be taken by you as any indication of evidence or proof that the defendant is guilty of any offense.

defendant of the charges against him.

By a plea of not guilty the defendant denies every allegation of each charge. The State of Montana has the burden of proofing the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person would reply and act upon it in the most important of his or her own affairs. Beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean beyond any doubt or beyond a shadow of a doubt.

The defendant is presumed to be innocent of the charges against him. This presumption remains with him throughout every stage of the trial and during your deliberations on the verdict.

It is not overcome unless from all the evidence in the case you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is quilty. The defendant is not required to prove his innocence or of the trial, even though it may seem like I'm nervous, because I am, because this is really my chance to talk to you. And if you happen to see me walking by this morning and maybe somebody nodded at me and I'm looking at my shoes, it's because I'm not allowed to talk to

As soon as we pick this jury, if it looks like I'm pretending that you don't even exist, it is because I have an ethical obligation not to look at anybody and not to interact. So if you see Mr. Snively or Mr. Spoja or I seemingly ignore people, it's just because we have to.

Now, when the trial is over, and this goes for anybody in the room, if somebody would like to come in and talk, I'm happy to make time for you.

But during this -- this is my only time during this trial to talk with you and so it's really important to have a conversation and go back and forth.

I can tell you sometimes these are great discussions. Sometimes they're not. And if you want to see me kind of sweat and stammer, all you need to do is just be quiet and sit on your hands and not participate, because it's really hard.

Both Mr. Snively and I have really kind a duty on behalf our clients, me, the State of Montana,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

13

Mr. Snively, Mr. Polakoff, to really try to get down to who can be a fair and impartial juror.

# VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

### BY MR. NIXON:

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Now, Mr. Myers, do you think everybody is a good fit for every particular trial?
  - A. No.
  - Q. People do have different biases, right?
- A. Uh-huh
  - Q. I'll use kind of a hokey example that a lot of lawyers use. What's your favorite kind of pie?
    - A. Chocolate.
- Q. Okay. So if you're the judge of a pie contest and it's chocolate versus cherry, do you suppose you're always going have a little bit of a bias towards that chocolate pie?
  - A. Yeah, I hate cherry.
- Q. Yeah. I know you seemed like a good guy. I'm not a cherry fan myself.
- And that's not saying anything bad about anybody, but everybody has different prejudices, different likes. Everybody has got different work experiences.
- Just like maybe not every actor is right for every movie, not every person is going to be a perfect

Now, neither one of those answers is really fair to the rest of the pool, is it?

We want somebody that's going to be able to be impartial and make up their own mind and fairly evaluate the facts. So please keep that in mind if you do have an answer for me that you do be careful about the other jurors.

Now, this is not going to be a pleasant sort of a charge we're dealing with today. Mr. Polakoff is charged with two counts of assaulting a minor.

Now, Mr. Sweeney, what do you think of when you hear about the offense assault on a minor?

- A. It's not good.
- Q. Okay. Now, I think there's -- I'm going to make an important distinction. Who here likes crimes? Nobody likes them, right?

But it's -- I'm not asking you to make your decision on what the charges are. What I'm really going to focus on is whether you can be fair and impartial. Because if we had to find somebody that was opened minded toward something like child abuse, that would be a pretty tough task, right?

So, Ms. Boggio, what do you think of when you hear about the offense of child abuse? I should say, assault on a minor?

42

fit for every jury because we do bring things to the table with us.

Would you agree with that, Ms. Kern?

- A. Yes
- Q. I'm going to ask you some questions about different people, we're going to talk about the different jurors and we're going to talk about some different concepts. And I think that Ms. Giovetti did a good job about not really telling anybody else too much, because it's important that we let everybody else, you know, kind of make up their own mind. If somebody has a prejudice or knows something, we don't want to hear too much.

So please keep in mind when you're answering that you don't want to potentially bias anybody else on the jury pool.

For instance, if it was Stacy standing trial here today, and I'm asking and I say, "Ms. Anttila, what do you think of Stacy Fortune?" And you tell me, "She is the lyingest, crookedest person I have ever met."

 $\ensuremath{\mbox{THE}}$  COURT: She should take that as a compliment.

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) But then I ask Ms. Bauwens and she says, "Stacy is an angel. If she says anything, I'm going to one hundred percent believe it."

A. I guess it depends on what type of assault.
Like I don't -- I would have to know like the age
difference and the circumstances. And I mean, I don't
think any kind of assault is good, but...

- Q. And can you agree with me that right now there's not a reason in the world for you to think that Nathan Polakoff is guilty?
  - A. Yeah. I don't know him.
- Q. Can everybody by a show of hands show me that they agree right now at this point in time that Nathan Polakoff is absolutely considered an innocent man?

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Okay. Let's see. Mr. Maize, why is that?
  - A. I'm sorry?
    - Q. Why is that?
- A. Why is that?
  - Q. Yeah
  - A. Well, we don't know any of the details yet -- I mean, he's obviously innocent right now.
    - Q. You haven't heard any evidence at all?
- A. We haven't heard anything yet.
  - Q. Ms. Giesick, do you agree with that?
- 24 A. Yes. Innocent until proven quilty.
  - Q. That's a very important concept, isn't it?

Mr. Lee, do you have anything to offer in 2 that regard?

- Α. I believe the same way.
- Ms. Nicholson?

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

20

21

25

- I guess I would be a little bit biased. I would want to know what type of assault we're talking about, physical assault, sexual assault. I'm a certified SANE nurse and so I've been on the other side examining assaulted victims. So I would say that I have a bias against that.
- Sure. But -- and I very much appreciate the kind of work that you do. As a SANE nurse, don't you go into things and collect evidence and try to figure out what happened by examining the physical showing?
- Q. So really, on a day-to-day basis don't you try to evaluate things in an evidence-based manner?
  - A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And so you don't go in biased when you meet a 20 victim, do you?
- 21 Α. Correct.
  - Q. Do you think that even though you get to see some truly terrible things as a SANE nurse, that you can be fair here today?
    - A. I'm not sure I can answer that.

How about you, Ms. Nelson? Q.

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

0.

- I agree. Innocent until proven quilty. Α.
- I'm also going to talk about briefly here today the different witnesses that I'm going to call today and tomorrow in the course of the trial. And as I mention a witness's name, if you do know that person, would you please raise your hand and we'll have a brief discussion. You know, try to keep in mind that we want to make sure that we don't taint the rest of the jury. Okay?

I anticipate that we're going to call today Ryann Self, formally Ryann Wells. Does anybody know Ryann?

Okay. We'll also call former Red Lodge police detective and officer, Al Stuber. Does anybody know Al Stuber?

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

- (BY MR. NIXON) Let's start with you, Ms. Kern. How do you know Al Stuber?
- 20 A. I worked with Al Stuber. I work for the City 21 of Red Lodge, so I've worked with all of our police 22 officers.
- 23 Q. And do you think that in any way effects your 24 ability to fairly analyze testimony and hear evidence?
  - I don't believe so.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'm going to interject, Mr. Nixon. I think it's fair for the jury pool just to understand, there is no allegation of any sexual assault of the youth. This is not that kind of charge. I don't want there to be a misunderstanding.

Go ahead, Mr. Nixon.

MR. NIXON: Absolutely not. And if any of you thought that, I apologize. That was not the case.

THE COURT: Sorry to interrupt. I just wanted to clear that up.

Go ahead, Mr. Nixon.

- (BY MR. NIXON) Ms. Nicholson, do you want a moment to think about that and I'll get back to you?
- Well, Ms. Fletcher, you're next in line. Any thoughts on how things are going so far?
- 17 A. Nope. I mean, I agree with he's innocent until proven guilty. So, yeah, I don't have anything to 18 19 add
  - Well, I've picked on Mr. Maize before. How about you, Mr. Bohleen?
- 22 A. He's innocent until proven guilty. We 23 haven't heard nothing yet.
- 24 Q. Mr. Mensik, do you have anything to add?
  - Α. No.

0. Okay. Let's see. Mr. Klessens, you also know Al Stuber?

- A. Yeah. When I run the rodeo we had dealings with Al Stuber, you know, City stuff.
- Is there anything that might effect your Q. ability to be fair?
  - I don't think so. I don't think so.
- Okay. Let's see. Ms. Taylor I believe you Q. also raised your hand?
- A. I just recall him from when I was working with DSVS, but nothing that stands out.
- Q. Nothing that would impact your ability to fairly serve here today?
  - A.
- Okay. Did I miss anybody that knew Mr. 0. Stuber?

I'm sorry. I missed you in the corner, Ms. Herem. How do you know Al Stuber?

- Α. He and his wife are friends of ours.
- Okay. Well, is it a close friendship?
- Α.
- Q. Okay. Do you think that would keep you from being fair or listening impartially to what he has to say on the stand?
  - Α. No. I'm willing to listen to what happened.

1 Q. He doesn't hold such sway over you that you 2 couldn't possibly --

A. No. No.

3

5

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

22

23

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. I think that's everybody that knew Al.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: There's one more.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Oh, I'm sorry.
- A. He gave me a ticket one time. I ran a
- four-way stop accidentally and he nailed me. I have no problem with him.
- Q. So you can acknowledge, Mr. Zumbrun, he was just doing his job?
  - A. Doing his job.
  - Q. No hard feelings?
- A No
- Q. I'm going to go right into another former Red
   Lodge police sergeant and that's Matt Grieshop. Does
   anybody know Officer Grieshop.

19 I'm going to ask you the same questions, Ms.
20 Kern. Any problems knowing that Matt Grieshop might
21 testify?

- A. No
- Q. Okay. Does anybody know MATIC agent Jesse
- 24 Thompson? He's out of Helena. It doesn't look like I
- see anybody that knows him.

and determine the facts as you hear them and also follow the law as instructed by Judge Wald. Do you think that you could take that oath and follow it?

A. Yes.

8

10

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. Let's see. I know a lot of folks that knew Dr. Fouts. Who is next here?

Okay. Mr. Mensik.

- A. He's our family doctor. And I consider Dr. Fouts a friend, somebody that I would confide in.
- Q. And do you think you could be fair if you're listening to him testimony?
  - A. I think I could.
- 13 Q. There's nothing that would unduly influence 14 you?
  - A. No
    - Q. All right. Let's see. Ms. Taylor.
  - A. Primary care physician.
    - O. And you've heard the other questions I've
  - asked. Do you have any concerns?
    - A. No.
  - O. Ms. Anttila?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. How do you know Brad?
  - A. Just recently he became a family doctor.
    - Q. And do you think that would impact your

50

How about Dr. Brad Fouts?

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Okay. It looks like a lot of you may know Dr. Fouts.

Ms. Giesick, do you know Dr. Fouts?

- A. Just because I work for the school system and I interact with his children and he comes to events and I just know him -- I just know him, because I talk to him at every game he takes his kids to.
  - Q. Is having him testify going to effect you?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Great. Ms. Nicholson?
- A. I know Dr. Fouts because I'm a registered nurse, I work at Billings Beartooth Clinic and I've worked with him taking care of his patients.
- 16 Q. And would that effect your ability to be 17 impartial and listen to him testify?
  - A. No
  - Q. Since I'm talking to you now, let's go back to our last subject. How are you feeling so far? Do you think you can put things aside?
    - A. Probably.
- 23 Q. Okay. And I anticipate that if you're 24 selected for this jury that you would take an oath that
  - would -- that you would agree to be fair and impartial

decisions here today if you are selected for the jury?

- A. No
  - Q. All right. Mr. Klessens?
- A. I've taken my parents in to see him. That's the only interaction that I've had with him.
- Q. No problems having him as a juror?
  - A. No
  - Q. Let's see. Who is next?

How do you know Dr. Fouts, Ms. Dimich?

- 10 A. I've been to his workplace, he's been to mine 11 and I used to work at the pharmacy, so I talked with him 12 from time to time, work related.
  - Q. Do you have any problems trying to impartially analyze what he might have to say?
    - A. No.
    - Q. Okay. Up in the corner. Mr. Freeman?
- 17 A. I work at Beartooth Billings Clinic and he
  - has ER privileges and I've just had minimal interaction with him there, actually.
    - Q. Nothing that would cause you any concern?
    - A. No
    - Q. Okay. Ms. Herem.
      - A. He's just my husband's primary care doctor.
- Q. And does that cause you any grief?
  - A. I'm not sure I've met him in person,

actually.

8

9

10

11

15

16

19

22

23

3

4

8

9

10

15

19

20

21

Q. Oh, okay. The next person that the State is going to call is Professor Antoinette Laskey, M.D., from the University of Utah. Does anybody know Dr. Laskey?

Okay. Does anybody know Physician Assistant Doug Whitehead?

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Okay. Another popular guy here.

Well, I'll swing over to this side again. Ms. Giesick, I'll put you in the hot seat.

12 A. Yeah, he used to be my -- I would go see him, 13 he used to be my doctor, to do whatever. I have no 14 problem.

Q. Okay. Ms. Nicholson?

A. I've worked with him before.

17 Q. Okay. Would that cause you any concern if he 18 were to testify?

A. No.

20 Q. Okay. And who is next here for who knows Mr. 21 Whitehead? Okay.

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) I'll get back to you, Ms.

Boggio. How do you know him?

A. He was my primary care doctor until he moved.

A. He stitched my finger back on.

Q. How did he do?

A. Good. It's still there.

Q. Do you think you could be fair considering

that?

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. I can be fair.

Q. Ms. Ward, I'm not sure I asked you. Is that going to bother you at all if you're selected to be a jury?

A. No.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Q. & Right. & I know I'm still missing quite a few \\ of you. & Okay. & Mr. Zumbrun. \end{tabular}$ 

A. No. Because he was medical doctor, too.

Q. Any problems with that?

A. No

Q. All right. Ms. Dimich?

A. Same phone conversations, when I worked at this pharmacv.

Q. Any problems still?

A. No

Q. I'll start with Mr. Freeman.

22 A. Yep, I worked for with him for a number of

23 years, but no problems.

24 Q. Okay. And back to you, Ms. Kern?

25 A. He saw my son medically. No issues.

.

54

2

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q. And does that cause you any concern?

2 A. No.

Q. How about you, Mr. Sweeney?

A. I went to high school with his son, Josh

5 Whitehead. And I'm on the fire department and he comes 6 to the fire department to train us on new techniques and 7 stuff.

Q. Does that cause you any concern?

A. No.

Q. And let's see. Ms. Anttila, do you know him,

11 as well?

12 A. I do. I coached his daughter and taught at 13 the school that the daughter and son attended.

Q. Does that create any problems for you?

A. No.

16 Q. Okay. I know there's a bunch of you here.

17 Let's see. I haven't talked to you, Ms. Ward. How do you know Doug Whitehead?

A. The same deal. He's -- his daughter goes to the school that my kids do and she's actually in the same class as my senior this year. And just knowing

that he is a doctor and he comes to most of the emergencies when athletes are hurt, he usually helps

24 with them.

Q. And Mr. Klessens?

1 Q. No issues. Okay. Great.

I think I got everybody. Am I missing

anybody yet?

Okay. How about EMT Amy Hyfield? Does anybody know Amy?

How about paramedic Joel Anthes? Does anybody know him?

How about Bonnie Rothe, who is a supervisor at the Department of Public Health and Human Services Child Protection Service? Does anybody know Bonnie?

Does anyone know Jennifer Cormier? She lives in Billings.

How about Katherine Kolpin, also from

Billings?

How about Diane Goedde? Does anybody know her?

It looks like you might be one of the popularity awards so far, Ms. Herem. How do you know

Diane?

A. I sort of used to work with her in my job.

Q. What job was that?

A. I worked at the Yellowstone County attorneys

23 office.

Q. And do you have any concern about her?

A. No

If you were to hear her testify, you could still be fair and impartial?

> Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

1

4

5

6

8

11

12

16

Q. And how about Mark Polakoff? Does anybody know him?

Okay. How about Nathan Polakoff? Does anybody know the defendant, Nathan Polakoff?

MR. NIXON: And I did see a hand back there. I appreciate everybody listening, if you get called up I'll ask you to kind of recap all the questions to see if you have any concerns. So thank you very much. I will talk with you later if you're called up.

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) And does anybody know Robert Snively? He practices law in Hardin and around this

How about Robert Spoja? Okay. You again. How do you know Bob?

- A. He was my co-worker at the office, the Yellowstone County attorneys.
- Q. Is there going to be any problem listening to 20 21 Bob and giving him too much import?
  - Α. No.
    - 0. Okay. So you can still be fair?
- 24 I believe I can.
  - And how about myself? Does anybody here know

- Is there any reason that would cause you any difficulty serving as a juror?
  - Α. No.
  - And who is next? Mr. Klessens. 0.
- We dealt with a neighboring litigation case Α. five to seven years ago. I don't have a problem with
  - 0. Ms. Anttila.
  - We just, like, conversed about a student that I had, but nothing that would effect that.
  - Anything about that that would cause you concern listening to me yammer on here today?
    - Α.

9

10

12

13

14

19

22

23

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

25

- Anybody else? Ms. Bauwens? Q.
- 15 I testified in a case a few years back for Α. 16 you.
- 17 Q. Okay. And hopefully, it wasn't a terrible 18 experience?
  - Α. Well, it wasn't great. Nerve racking.
- 20 And anything about that that would keep you 21 from being fair here today?
  - Α.
    - 0. And that was a bad case. What type of case
- 24 was it?
  - Α. Murder.

58

Well, I see Mr. Mensik raised his hand. How 2 do you know me?

- Α. You're my neighbor.
- 0. Okay.
- And I can see you out my bedroom window. And I talked to you once before about a piece of property.
- And it's -- you know, unfortunately, I guess we don't know each other very well, do we?
- 10 A. No.
  - Our houses aren't --0.
  - Your dogs bark and we blame it on the Α.
- 13 coyotes. That's it.
- Q. All right. Is there anything about being my neighbor that would keep you from being fair here today? 15
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Okay. And who's next? Lonnie. How do we 17 know each other? 18
- 19 Not work directly, but when I worked at DSVS 20 and also when I worked as a contract paralegal, that we
- 22 O. You worked for Steve Thuesen here in town, 23 right?
- And Ray Kuntz. And I had contract work with him, yes.

And is there anybody else? I'm going to go ahead and follow up with you right away, Ms. Bauwens,

- since you did have a chance to testify. What was that experience like?
- Α.
- It was very nerve racking. It really was very nerve racking, because I was sitting right there and he was sitting right there. It was kind of freaky.
- Q. So based on that experience, do you think you'd have a pretty good idea what somebody that has to sit in that seat goes through?
  - Α.
  - And what would you expect them to be like? 0.
- Nervous. Very, very nervous.
  - Does that seem understandable?
- Α.
- You may even be nervous now talking in front
- of all of these people.
- Α. For sure. For sure.
- Q. Okay. Well, can everybody agree that it would be a tough situation to go ahead and sit in that witness stand?
- 22 Ms. Korth, I haven't picked on you yet.
- 24 Q. Am I saying your name correctly?
  - You are.

- Yes. Very hard.
- Okay. How would you expect somebody that had 0. to relive something like that to act on the stand?
  - Probably very nervous, might be emotional.
- 0. Do you think that would be understandable?
- Α Yes

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

- Would you, in fact, kind of expect it?
- - Q. Okay. Ms. Powell, would you agree with that?
- Α. Yeah, absolutely.
- Let's see. I don't think I have picked on you much, Ms. Sommerdorf. What are you thinking so far?
- A. I don't know. I agree with everything that's been said. I definitely think somebody up there would be nervous. I don't know any of the people.
- Q. Okay. And do you think you could be a fair juror here today?
- 21 A. I think so.
- 22 Q. Okay. You probably know what's coming, Ms.
- 23 Brown. I haven't picked on you yet, either.
- What do you think about what we've talked about so far?

- So you can stay open minded? Q.
- A. Yes.

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

0. Now, sometimes when there's cases that are tough to deal with emotionally, like for instance, you know somebody that had a loved one murdered or suffered a terrible crime or somebody had been the victim of a crime, like, say maybe in a DUI trial there is a juror that a family member was killed by a DUI driver. It's

hard to be opened minded.

I don't want to embarrass anybody, but because this is a child abuse issue, if any of you think that you need to address Mr. Snively and I and the judge separately, please get our attention. I don't want to embarrass anybody if there's something that is very emotional, maybe hard to share with the group, but I do want you to know that is an option.

We're going to go ahead and add a little bit more detail now.

Mr. Polakoff is charged with assaulting a nine-month-old boy.

Does that change anybody's perspective? Can you still remain open minded? Mr. Krug?

- Α. Yes.
- I haven't talked to you much anyway. You've got some concerns?

- Oh, so far all good. You know, I do believe in innocence until proven guilty. And I guarantee it would be hard for people to sit up here and relive things that were not pleasant.
- Q. And I'll just open it up. From what you've listened to so far, does that beg any questions from anybody here in the jury panel so far?

You're always welcome, if something pops up, if you have a question for me, get my attention.

Now this is, as I said, going to be kind of a difficult subject matter. I've asked you a little bit about what people think about assault with a minor -assault on a minor.

How many of you have children?

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

(BY MR. NIXON) Okay. It looks like most of you. Now, does having a child in any way prejudice any of you hearing about a child being abused?

I see you agreeing, Ms. Korth. I'll get right back to you here again. Why is that?

- A. I think I can still remain impartial even though I do have my own child.
- 23 Q. It's a very different thing. It doesn't 24 involve your child, does it?
  - A. Right.

- I do. Α.
- Okay. Without saying too much, do you think you can be fair and impartial here today?
- Α. You know, I probably can't. I may not have kids, but I have taken care of a lot of kids and at that age they don't have the ability to defend themselves and take care of themselves and there's really no right for that.
  - Okay. Now, you certainly would think that if Q. somebody was guilty, right?
  - Α. Right.
- And I believe you told me earlier that you 0. agreed that Mr. Polakoff isn't quilty right now?
  - Α.
- We talked a little bit earlier about how it is important to separate the concept versus reality. Like I said --
  - Α.
- -- I sure hope if I ask a show of hands who is in favor of assaulting a nine year old [sic], I'm not going to see any. Does that seem fair?
  - A. Yes.
- 23 But when you think of it that way, do you 24 think you can still remain fair here today? 25
  - Α. The best that I can do is try.

Q. Well, that's all I can ask now. I gave Ms. Nicholson a chance to think about her answer for awhile do you want to stew on that for a little bit?

A. Yes.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $\ensuremath{\text{Q.}}$  Were there any other show of hands besides Ms. Kruq?

I guess one thing I'd forgot to talk to you about earlier as you look around the jury panel, do you know -- do any of you know each other?

Okay. Are there any situations where you have a close friendship or an intense hatred for each other?

Or can you -- as everybody looks around, do you see a group of people that you think you can work with?

Okay. Nobody has any concerns looking around thinking I might have to spend the next few days with this person? Okay.

Well, if that changes, please let me know.

Now, we started talking a little bit about
the charged offenses. And Judge Wald has talked about
it earlier, that he'll be in charge of instructing you
on the law.

Does anybody have any problem with relying on Judge Wald to instruct you on the law and following it?

1 have any problems here today?

A. No

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

Q. Okay. Anybody else that served before and think they would have any issues? Okay.

 $\label{eq:howmany} \mbox{How many of you here today watch crime-type shows?}$ 

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) A lot of you. Now, in these shows there's a lot of times there's somebody right on the spot and they've got DNA evidence and they have fingerprints and they have video and everything. Knowing that you might enjoy shows like that, does anybody expect all of those things here today?

Can we agree television may take some liberties?

Mr. Myers, would you have any concern if I was to tell you that the State doesn't know exactly how the nine-month-old boy's injuries occurred? Does that cause you pause?

A. No. I'm assuming this is why we're here to find out and find out who is responsible.

Q. Okay. Ms. Powell, what is it a nine-month-old child can't do?

A. A lot of things.

Q. That's right. Can he take the witness stand

66

No concerns?

And conceivably, somebody could have a problem with how the law is written. If you didn't agree with exactly what the law said, could you still rely on Judge Wald to tell you what needs to be done legally?

No concerns there?

Let's give by a show of hands here --Well, I think for the first thing I'm going to pick on Ms. Hull. I don't think I've picked on you. You've kind of been hiding back there.

A. Yes.

Q. What do you think so far?

A. Just being interested so far. And hopefully I can be fair and listen to everything.

Q. No concerns so far?

A. Well, a lot of concerns, but hopefully I can be fair.

Q. Okay. So far you think you can be a fair and impartial juror?

A. I think so.

Q. Now, has anybody served on a jury before?
(Wherein, a show of hands.)

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Quite a few, again. Mr. Lee, based on your prior experience, do you think you would

and tell the jury what happened?

A. Absolutely not.

Q. And, Ms. Nelson -- I kind of lost my place here a second -- do you have any concerns with the State not being able to say exactly how the injuries were inflicted?

A. No, I don't. I think that a lot of cases are probably like that because you're not in the room when it happened.

Q. Mr. Maize, do you think that most serious crimes take place with lots of witnesses?

A. Probably not.

Q. It would make law enforcement jobs a lot easier, wouldn't it?

A. Boy, I'll say.

Q. If you have a serious crime -- we'll go back to Ms. Bauwens' case -- he didn't do it -- there wasn't a murder in front of a lot of people, was there?

A. No.

Q. And had to draw evidence from a lot of different people and a lot of different ways in that trial, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And so kind of to reiterate that question, does anybody have any concerns that there may be some

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

23

25

5

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mysteries because it does involve a very young child?

Anybody going to have a problem, assuming the State's going to be able to prove its case, deciding that the defendant's guilty just because we don't have DNA? Just because there's no eye witnesses? No videotape?

That does bring us to a little bit different concept. Do any of you have any problem with the notion that you're, to a degree, standing in judgment of somebody else?

 $\label{eq:who here has heard, "Judge not lest you be judged"?} % The proof of the$ 

No problems for anybody?

We've talked a little bit about how it might be very stressful to take the stand. Do you think sometimes that just like the jurors we talked about, some witnesses may have a bit of a bias?

And maybe I'll ask another question here. How many of you have teen-age children?

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Ms. Ward, have you ever been lied to?
- A. Yes.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

Q. Not by your children, of course?

time you talk to your child, don't you?

A. Of course.

people?

- A. Boy, nowadays you probably can't do that too much anymore. Accuse someone of anything.
- Q. Ms. Korth, do you have anything to add about that?
- A. Yeah, I think it's definitely easy for someone to tell when their child is lying to them because they know them so well. I think when it comes to a stranger that could be a little bit more difficult. I certainly think we see similar mannerisms in people when they're untruthful.
- Q. True. And we're talking about a case that happened almost three years ago. How do you think that passage of time effects people's memories?

Ms. Kern, do you think it's normal to expect little inconsistencies?

- A. I guess it depends on who it would relate to.

  If somebody was directly affected they might remember
  things more clearly than just somebody from the public
  who might have read or come across something.
- 21 Q. I'll ask you a personal question. Are you 22 married, Ms. Kern?
  - A. I am.
- 24 Q. Do you remember getting married fairly well?
  - A. Yes.

70

- Q. To a degree, when your child -- assuming they ever got in trouble -- you evaluate that story every
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What do you look for if you think your child is not being truthful with you?
- A. Just kind of how he's talking, how he's trying to prove his case so hard that he doesn't want me to investigate it anymore, kind of prove that he's being truthful even though I have that mom feeling that I know there's something missing.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. And that's that mom intuition, I quess.
- Q. Has anybody else here had similar experiences?

I see Ms. Korth and Mr. Brown shaking their heads.

Ms. Brown, why don't I start with you?

- A. Oh, I think most parents can tell if their child is not honest with them. They're mannerisms, you know, you get to know them and you can tell when they're stretching the truth. And eventually, you can get them to face it and fess up.
- Q. It's not just children, is it? To a degree, doesn't everybody do that when they interact with

1 Q. What did you have breakfast that morning?

A. I have no idea.

Q. That is kind of the way people's memories work, right? Everybody agree with that?

You remember the important things, don't you?

I'm pretty sure I got married, I couldn't tell you much else about it. I do remember the date.

Mr. Myers, what do you think?

- A. Well, sure, people, you know, depending on how important it is, it sticks with them, you know, longer. So if it effects them personally, they're going to remember facts a little better than somebody that was just standing there watching, I guess.
- Q. Can we agree just because Ms. Kern doesn't remember what she had for breakfast doesn't mean she didn't get married?

You remember the big things, right? And little details, if you forget those, it doesn't necessarily affected somebody's truthfulness or veracity, right?

And that's what you're going to be asked here to do as a juror, is you're going to be asked to assess people's testimony and decide who you find credible, decide who you find unbelievable.

Does that cause anybody pause? Any concerns

there?

2

3

8

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

2 3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

We'll talk a little bit now about -- and I'm almost done, I promise. I will promise I'll try to move things along as quickly as I can during this trial. But I do appreciate your time, and you do have a promise from Mr. Spoja and I that we'll do everything that we can to keep this moving along, even though it may not seem like it.

9 Who here has heard of the term "reasonable 10 doubt"?

(Wherein, a show of hands.)

- (BY MR. NIXON) Everybody, right? What does that concept mean to you, Mr. Krug?
  - A. Reasonable doubt?
  - Beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 16 A. To have to be proven that it's not true. I don't know. 17
  - O. Ms. Dimich, what do you think when you hear proof beyond a reasonable doubt?
  - A. I would say based on, like, the evidence shown -- I don't know how to explain it, I quess.
- 22 Q. And does everybody understand that it's Bob's 23 job and my job during this trial to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Polakoff committed these crimes or you have to decide that he's not quilty?

- close look at it?
  - Α. I've done it.
  - 0. Good for you.
  - Just close your eyes and go. I mean, yeah, your fight and flight kicks in.
  - When you look at that parachute you're checking everything twice and you're making sure everything is in order, right?
  - Α. I didn't check it. I was young.
    - 0. Somebody was?

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 12 What's your expectation when you pull that 13 ripcord?
  - I didn't have to pull it, either. It just Α. pulled when I went out.
  - Let's talk about the next time you jump out Q. of a plane.
    - Α. If I did it now?
  - 0. Let's say you're --
    - A. That was like when I was 10, 12.
- 21 You're looking at that parachute and you're 22
  - going to check it pretty carefully, right?
- 23 A. I would have some reasonable doubt. Yeah, I 24 would be checking it.
  - Q. But when you make the decision to jump out

9

10

11

12 13

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

Does everybody agree with that? Okay. And if you at the end of the trial decide that we have proved both of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt you have to find Mr. Polakoff quilty. Does that seem fair?

Okay. Let's talk about that concept a little bit now. It says beyond reasonable doubt. What does reasonable mean to you, Mr. Bohleen?

- A. Reasonable. Wow. We have to have all the facts, in this situation.
- Q. And it also means your doubt can't be unreasonable?
- A. You have to know for positive that what we heard today was real and not real.
- Q. Ms. Fletcher, is anything ever a hundred percent?
  - A. Nope. Never a hundred percent.
  - Q. And my guess is you'll hear something to the effect that beyond a reasonable doubt is the type of information that you would trust to make your most important life decisions. Does that clear anything up
- 22 at all, Mr. Krug? 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Ms. Boggio, if you were about to jump out of an airplane with a parachute would you take a pretty

it's because you fully believe it's going to open when you pull that rip cord, right?

- A. Yes.
- 0. It doesn't mean it always will, there's also that odd chance, right?

Do you think it's still reasonable to trust your careful inquiry, your diligence when you do make that jump?

- A. Can you repeat that?
  - Do you think it's --
- Α. I'm going through my head going, "Would I do that now?"
- Q. Do you think it's reasonable if you are diligent and you make careful inquiry and you know what you're doing, that it is reasonable to rely on that?
  - Uh-huh. Α.
  - That's really the kind of the same thing. Mr. Mensik, we had a brief discussion about
- dogs here earlier.
  - Α.
- 21 Still not sure it's my dogs. Do you have a 22 pet or have you had a pet ever?
  - A. Yes.
  - And have you had to make the tough decision to put your pet down?

A. Yes.

O. And it's not a decision vo

Q. And it's not a decision you want to make willy nilly is it?

A. No, it isn't.

2.2

- Q. So how do you approach that problem?
- A. Get all the facts, listened to the vet's advise, what the chances were, and then made my decision.
- Q. That was a decision based on the determination that it was beyond a reasonable doubt that your dog was not going to improve, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Sorry to bring that up. I've had to go through that more than a few times. It's not very pleasant.

Ms. Nelson, I haven't picked on you for in awhile. Any thoughts or concerns so far?

- A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. Please keep in mind that Stacy has to 20 record every word. Can I get you to talk a little bit 21 louder?
  - A. There's no problem. You guys defined everything that we needed to do and I'm fine with that.
    - Q. Well, I appreciate your time. I'm wrapping it up here. I just want to ask one final question.

important and has to be done properly or we risk, essentially, a mistake that makes all the hard work of everybody go down the drain and we have to do everything again. So that's a little formalistic and a little irritating at times, but that's why.

So I need to tell you that a jury has not been selected. Any member of the panel can still be selected at this point as a juror in this matter.

So during this break, I just need you to consider that it will be your duty if you're chosen to base your decision only on the evidence presented to you in this courtroom. So during the trial you'll be repeatedly advised to keep yourself separate from any other source of information that's possibly could be --could be made.

So it is your duty not to talk about the substance of the case in any way, among yourselves or with anyone else, during this break. Don't look at or access any information. It's so easy now to Google something, you can't do it, don't do it. It will jeopardize this entire process.

Your decision if you're a juror has to be based on evidence that is brought in this room according to the Rules of Evidence and the law.

So even during this break, please -- you can

If the State succeeds in proving that Nathan Polakoff did in fact assault a nine-year-old [sic] boy on two different occasions, can you --

- A. Nine years or nine months?
- Q. Nine months old. -- can you come back with a quilty verdict? Can I see a show of hands?

(Wherein, a show of hands.)
Thank you Judge I'm going to

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NIXON: Thank you, Judge. I'm going to pass the jury.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Nixon.

So my job is to try to balance your comforts with efficiency, because a lot of you are going to get to go home, but it's been a long morning, so I'm going to take a break. I would kind of like to keep going, but I really think a lot of us have been here at least two hours. This building doesn't have a lot of bathrooms, but you can't leave the building property.

So before the defense questions you, we're going to take a break. And it's very important right now, I'm going to read you an advisement, but when the break is over, you'll come right back here. The 27 will be right where they are and the rest of the gallery will be there.

So I've got to make sure that you do understand certain conditions. Everything we do is very

talk about anything you want except the substance at issue. You can talk about the process, of being here, but just don't talk about speculation about the case itself, not with each other. And clearly, as I should have said, and I usually do, the lawyers can't even look at you.

I've told them they can't and it's the only way that we know that we can be fair.

So during this break, again, don't Google, don't look at any sort of newspaper, Facebook, anything. Don't talk about that. If you need to call a loved one and say, "I'm still here," that's fine, but don't talk about what we talked about in this process.

All right? We don't have -- don't have anything influenced by anything else. I'm going to shoot for 25 till, because like I said, there's not very many restrooms. If it takes a little longer, I'll work with you and we'll start right with the defense voir dire.

We'll be in recess until 25 till.

(Wherein, a recess was taken.)

(Wherein, the following took

place in chambers.)

THE COURT: We're on the record in chambers.

THE COURT: We're on the record in chambers.
We're in the middle of voir dire and we have taken a

break after the State passed the panel for cause, before the defense voir dire.

2

3

5

6

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

During the voir dire -- or I mean, during the break a juror approached the bailiff with an issue. Appropriately, the bailiff brought that to my attention. Since the jury's on already break, we felt like the best way to deal with it is to have her come in here and express to all of you the issue and we see where we go from here

(Pause.)

11 THE COURT: Hi. There's a chair. Are you 12 Ms. Ward?

MS. WARD: I am.

THE COURT: Here I go again, let the record reflect that Juror No. 13, Janice Ward is in chambers with the lawyers and the defendant is present, as well.

Ms. Ward, again, a lot of formality, very cautious, and since we were on a break, rather than -- I was informed that you may have a question or something. Rather than going in there and if it raises an issue that we have to come in here anyway, I just thought, heck, we'll just bring you in right now and ask you.

MS. WARD: That's kind of why I waited.

THE COURT: If you could, could you please let me know your concern that you wish to express about

of the child?

2

3

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. WARD: I have no idea.

THE COURT: Oh, you just remembered it.

MR. NIXON: I know I passed the jury, but

would it be okay if I inquired, Mr. Snively?

THE COURT: I believe, as far as I'm concerned, we need to make this inquiry and you certainly can, Mr. Nixon.

MR. NIXON: Okay.

THE COURT: Do you have a problem, Mr.

Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: No.

MR. NIXON: So I believe your Howard's wife,

is that correct?

MS. WARD: I am.

MR. NIXON: Okay. And I have dealt with Mr.

Ward before.

MS. WARD: Oh.

MR. NIXON: In a good way.

MS. WARD: Okay. Okay.

MR. NIXON: And you mentioned this has

something to do with the Squire Lounge; is that right?

MS. WARD: Yes. My kiddos did wrestling with

Central High and so I would drive the wrestlers from

Joliet to the co-op with Central High. And I made it my

82

serving as a juror in this case.

MS. WARD: As you were speaking I was kind of putting things together. When you said nine-month-old, and I'm an establishment owner in the Carbon County area, I own three establishments, and two of them are bar and casinos and one of them is an ice cream place.

And when this happened they had started a fundraiser from the Squire Lounge and we had pots distributed to our businesses. And I, in the last year, have made it my goal to get this money to the right person, because it's been sitting in our safe since it has been at our establishment, which was there prior to our ownership of this establishment.

THE COURT: Can I stop you? It was kind of a donation fund?

MS. WARD: Yes.

THE COURT: It was specific to the child that is involved in this trial?

MS. WARD: It had the picture of the child on the front of it.

THE COURT: And you know that's the child that is the alleged victim in this case?

MS. WARD: I do not know that but I'm putting things together and wondering if --

THE COURT: What's the name? What's the name

mission to finally figure out who the rightful hands is for this jar of money to go to. And it had said on the front of it "Squire Lounge."

And so in February, I went there and asked the bartender about this situation, and that I had this thing of money and would it be the right spot to drop it with the right -- would it get in the rightful hands if I brought it here, because it had been sitting in my safe at my bar.

And they said yes. The new owner said yes and gave me her phone number and we talked back and forth. And I finally dropped it off to the gentleman that was behind the bar, and I think he was very close within the whole fundraising thing, because he was very appreciative and very nice and heart-warming.

MR. NIXON: I can tell the Court that the mother of the victim and the grandmother of the victim did, in fact, work at Squire Lounge.

THE COURT: Oh.

MR. NIXON: So I guess the next question is you didn't know the child or the family at all?

MS. WARD: No. My other concern is that I
have five boys and I've lost my 17-year-old son and I
don't know if I'm fully, fully grief past that, where I
think I can be impartial, but I don't know one hundred

percent after losing my child three years ago if I can 1 she heard that automatically disqualifies her and then hear and see images that would not make that one hundred 2 we'll go automatically to you. 3 percent honest. 3 MR. NIXON: 15, 20 minutes. MR. NIXON: Okay. Do you believe because of THE COURT: Are you guys ready to go? your situation you did very much sympathize with the MR. NIXON: I am, Judge. And I can't imagine 5 plight of this young boy that was injured, the that I would have more than a few questions. 6 nine-month-old? (Off the record.) 8 MS. WARD: I -- I don't know. As a mom -- as 8 (Wherein, the following took a grieving mom still I don't know if I could. 9 place in open court.) 10 THE BAILIFF: All rise. 10 MR. NIXON: So you don't think emotionally that you could withstand this process? 11 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you. 12 MS. WARD: I don't think so. 12 We're back on the record in DC 19-17, State very Nathan Polakoff. 13 MR. NIXON: I have no objection to releasing 13 Counsel is present. The defendant is 14 14 Ms. Ward. 15 THE COURT: Do you have any questions or 15 present. 16 16 what's your position on her release? Do you stipulate that the panel is present? 17 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I agree. I think she 17 MR. NIXON: I do, Your Honor. should be excused. 18 18 THE COURT: Mr. Snively? 19 THE COURT: Yes. Ms. Ward, I appreciate --19 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor. 20 and I know how sometimes as you go and you start 20 THE COURT: We'll start right up again. Just 21 thinking issues arose that didn't come up during voir 21 for the record, an issue arose with regards to Ms. Ward, dire originally and I appreciate you bringing this to 22 who is in seat number 13, and I did release her, so I'll 23 our attention. 23 ask the clerk to call the next juror. I don't think this is the right case for you 24 THE CLERK: Christopher Ewing. to sit on at this time and I think Mr. Polakoff deserves THE COURT: Mr. Ewing, if you wouldn't mind

to have a juror that isn't -- is able to just focus on the intellectual challenge of the case. And you just are not in that position right now and you did exactly the right thing. So I am going to release you. I don't know if you -- do you have your stuff by your chair or back MS. WARD: I was in the second chair with my coat and my purse and my bottled water is under my chair. THE COURT: Do you want to go in there or I can get the bailiff? It doesn't matter. Why don't you just go get it and you can be free to leave. MS. WARD: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: Thanks again for expressing this. My condolences for you. MS. WARD: Thank you. THE COURT: Counsel, obviously, we'll call the next juror. What I'm going to do, Mr. Nixon, is I'm going to open your voir dire again just very briefly. MR. NIXON: Oh, okay. THE COURT: Because of the new -- I mean, the

new person, just to make sure there's not anything that

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

taking seat number 13. Thank you. Now, because of that, even though the State 2 3 has passed the panel for pause, because Mr. Ewing was back there listening carefully to everything, I'm going 5 to let Mr. Nixon step back up, ask a couple of questions and then we'll turn it over to the defense. Mr. Nixon. MR. NIXON: Thank you, Judge. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION (CONT.) 9 10 BY MR. NIXON: 11 0. Good morning, Mr. Ewing. 12 A. Good morning. 13 Well, hopefully I didn't put you to sleep Q. 14 back there. 15 A. No. 16 Were you able to hear all the questions and 17 kind of follow our conversations so far? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Do you have any sort of concerns at this 20 point in time? 21 A. No, sir. 22 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of THE 23 witnesses named off by the State? 24 A. Just Dr. Fouts. He's my personal doctor. 25

Other than that, no, sir.

Q. And that doesn't cause you any concern about being a juror here today?

A. No, sir.

Q. And do you have any issues with sitting and impartially taking in evidence and potentially rendering a verdict if asked?

A. No, sir.

Q. Is there anything else that you think we need to know based on my previous conversation with the jury panel?

A. No, I just agreed with almost everybody else, innocent until proven guilty. That's why we're here.

Q. You don't have any problems with the notion of proof beyond a reasonable doubt?

A. No, sir.

Q. If the State proves its case, you believe that you could find the defendant, Nathan Polakoff, quilty?

A. Yes, sir.

MR. NIXON: No further questions. The State passes the jury for cause.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Snively, do you wish the question the

24 panel?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SNIVELY: I do, Judge. Thank you.

capacity as needed?

1

2

3

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And based on that interaction with them in that capacity, does that cause you to be more believable of a police officer than not?

A. I believe so.

Q. All right. And in that capacity, I'm assuming that both Al Stuber and Matt Grieshop you had personally interacted with them as an HR person?

A. Very little. They are night officers, so I don't deal with them very often. So my interactions with them are more limited than daytime officers.

Q. Okay. But in your capacity, you're not involved in any of their reports or anything like that, it's the traditional HR of benefits and dealing with those kind of issues mostly, right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. All right. Thank you. Now, I expect some of the evidence that you're going to see and hear is going to be emotional. Do you as a potential juror agree that you can set the emotion aside and decide this case on the facts and not the emotion of a witness or the reaction — the emotional reaction that you may have to evidence?

Every juror is comfortable with that?

90

## BY MR. SNIVELY:

Jurors, as the judge has already told you, I'm Robert Snively and I have a practice here in Hardin, Montana. And I'm here today representing Nathan Polakoff during this trial.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

And I appreciate, as does Mr. Polakoff, your attention in answering the questions that are presented to you here today so that we can select a fair and impartial jury to hear the evidence.

And I'd like to just follow up with -- start out with the point that was made earlier. Ms. Kern, you had initially said you wanted to know some more information that you thought maybe there was something.

Based on what you've heard since then, is that still a concern for you?

A. No, I don't think so.

Q. All right. And you work for the City of Red Lodge in human resources; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you in that position interact -- well, let me rephrase. Are you human resources for the police department, as well?

A. Yes.

 ${\tt Q.}$  And do you interact with them in that

1 Ms. Krug, you seem hesitant to agree with 2 that statement. Is it from your earlier statement that 3 you made about being a nine-month-old, you have 4 difficulty with?

A. No. I think I can take the emotion out of it. I think I'll be okay.

Q. And I'm not trying to put you on the spot.

A. I know.

Q. I'm trying to make sure -- and let me put the question to you a different way. If you were sitting accused of a crime, would you want a juror with your mindset -- and I'm not saying right or wrong -- but with your mindset being on your jury?

A. Probably not.

Q. And is it because you have some beliefs that are pretty hard and fast that are going to be difficult for you to overcome?

A. Yeah, I do. But I also have some -- I thought about it and I think I can be biased and listen to the evidence, because as it has happened in the past to people that I know that were misaccused of the same thing and so, hopefully, I can get by that.

Q. When you say you've known people who have been misaccused, is that someone close to you?

A. An ex-co-worker that we do hang out a lot

92

with and talk with and stuff, yes.

- O. So in the capacity of a co-worker?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You were fairly close to that person?
- A. Yes

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

- 6 O. And was that trial here?
- A. I don't -- no. And I don't think it ever
- 8 went to trial. It was she was questioned and...
  - Q. Was the incident in Carbon County?
  - A. No.
    - O. Was it in Montana?
    - A. I do not believe so.
  - Q. Okay. I want to make sure I understood your comment earlier is do you think you would be biased or that you would not be biased?
    - A. Would not.
    - Q. Would not. Okay. Thank you.

Do you all agree that part of a job as a juror is you're going to have to weigh and decide the credibility of various witnesses and in what they say and how they interact?

Do you all agree that part of that evaluation is your experiences and your common sense in evaluating somebody?

Is everyone comfortable doing that?

Q. And do all of you agree that he and myself, as his attorney, we never have a burden to prove anything to the jury? That the burden of proof never shifts to us.

And, Mr. Myers, are you comfortable with that concept?

A. Sure.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And that if the State fails to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt, Mr. Myers, do you agree that the verdict would have to be not quilty?
  - A. Yes
- ${\tt Q.} \qquad \hbox{And do you agree that also would go as to} \\ {\tt each charge or count that the judge instructs you on?}$ 
  - A. Yes
- Q. If you were to believe one count to be true but not another or hadn't been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, as to the one that you didn't believe was proven beyond a reasonable doubt you'd have to find not guilty?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Does everyone else understand that concept and are comfortable with that concept?

That proof beyond a reasonable doubt is for the entire decision that you have to make. It's not certain parts that have to be proof beyond a reasonable

94

And do you also agree that one of the factors that goes into that is whether the statements by that person have been consistent or not at different times, if they've given statements at various times is what that consistency is or isn't, would be part of the equation that you would take into account?

Everyone's agreeable with that. Nobody has any issue with that being one of the factors that you would take in?

Because the State had asked you -- I mean, Mr. Polakoff sits here with the presumption of innocence. So Mr. Sweeney, if you were asked to vote right now as to whether Mr. Polakoff was innocent or quilty, what would you vote?

- A. I'd have to see more.
- O. I'm sorry?
- A. I'd have to see more evidence.
- 18 Q. All right. But as he sits here right now?
  - A. As of now, he is innocent.
    - Q. And does everyone else agree with that, at this point he is innocent because there is no evidence to show anything else at this point?

And do you agree that stays with him throughout this trial?

A. Yes.

1 doubt.

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

And the judge will instruct you as to what it is for each count that has to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Does anyone have an issue with the idea -- I expect he's going to tell you there are four different parts that have to be proven for one count. That if one of those four is not proven beyond a reasonable doubt you have to return a not guilty verdict. Even if you believe the other three parts have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

 $\hbox{ Is everyone comfortable with that concept, as } \\ \text{well?}$ 

I'm asking you to assume. It's Bohleen,

right?

- A. Bohleen, yes.
- Q. Bohleen, I'm sorry. I'm asking you to assume that the judge is going to give you an instruction with four elements.
  - A. Yes
- Q. I understand that comes later and it's how the judge tells you, but if there are multiple parts to it, do you have a problem with the concept of that?
- A. I have no problem if that's the law, that's the law. So, yeah, I have no problem with doing what's

96

```
right.
1
               Okay. And you agree that you can follow
3
     whatever the judge instructs you as to the law, even if
     you have an idea that, well, I thought it should have
     been this way, it may be different than what the judge
5
     says, you're able to follow the judge's instruction?
         Α.
                Yes.
8
                Does everyone else agree with that? Even if
9
```

you have a perception, if you will, that the law was a different way than what the judge instructs you, that you can follow the instruction you're given for this case? And everyone agrees with that?

```
A. I have a --
```

Hold on a second. You're?

Maize.

10

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

O. Maize.

17 A. I have a little trouble hearing you. I mean, I can -- if I one hundred percent concentrate, I can 19 hear you but it's so marginal that -- I know it's hard 20 to tell somebody to --

Q. No.

-- change their normal speaking voice. THE COURT: I will. Speak up, Mr. Snively. MR. SNIVELY: Yes.

A. I would be concerned during the trial that I

```
he's speaking up. He trails off at the end of the
2
     sentence sometimes.
```

THE COURT: I just want you to be able to serve if necessary. Let me know on that. We'll make Mr. Snively speak up.

Go ahead.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, Judge. If I trail off again, stop me, please.

MR. MAIZE: I will. I'll tell him. He's really good at it. Speak up. Thanks a lot.

MR. SNIVELY: Thank you. Does anyone else having trouble hearing me? The same thing would apply to anyone, because if you are...

THE COURT: The people in the gallery are. MR. SNIVELY: I'll try to speak louder so everyone can hear better.

THE COURT: There's folks in the gallery that aren't hearing very well. It's hard when you're speaking when your voice doesn't carry that well.

It is important that you're able to hear.

And not -- you know everyone, so there's no misunderstandings.

> MR. SNIVELY: Does that pick me up at all? THE COURT: You might just hold it.

MR. SNIVELY: Do you want me to hold it?

```
missed something that is important. Do you know?
          Q. And I appreciate you telling me that. Is
 3
      this better that I talk a little louder? Is this a
 4
      better --
 5
                THE COURT: Let me address that, Mr. Snively.
 6
                Mr. Maize, do you have a little bit of
      trouble with your hearing itself?
 8
                MR. MAIZE: A little bit, yes.
9
                THE COURT: Well, are they working?
10
                MR. MAIZE: If it's only me, don't...
11
                THE COURT: No, no. We have two different
12
      things. Once we get to putting in evidence, every
13
      witness will have one of these and they will have a
```

into that. MR. MAIZE: That's okay. I can deal with it. I was just concerned.

mike. And actually, we could have Mr. Snively speak

THE COURT: The other thing is we do have -they're kind of a hearing aid that is actually hooked to the mikes and they've been very helpful for people that had hearing issues. I've had jurors -- two in one jury once that couldn't hear very well at all, but with these -- they're kind of a little bit bulky, but they are tied right to the system and they were able to deliberate.

MR. MAIZE: I think I'll be okay now that

THE COURT: However.

MR. SNIVELY: We'll try it with the mike.

MR. MAIZE: That is awesome.

MR. SNIVELY: If I get too loud, somebody give me a signal.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, Judge.

MS. BOGGIO: Maybe you can do a little karaoke first and we can make sure we can hear you really well.

MR. SNIVELY: I don't think the judge would tolerate that because I would be so far off key nobody would want to hear that. I can assure you of that.

(BY MR. SNIVELY) Do you all agree that if I ask a question that you find offensive during this trial or to a witness that you will not hold that against Mr. Polakoff, and that you would hold with me?

If I ask something that you thought was inappropriate, you know, and I understand the judge would stop me as well, but if you believed it was and the judge didn't stop it, do you all agree that you would not hold that against Mr. Polakoff?

> Affirmative response from all members. Do you also understand that I may ask

follow-up questions and not take the first answer that a witness gives, to try to point out an inconsistency or something that they have said in this trial, and that do you all of you agree, I mean, that's part of my job is to seek the truth and get the statement from the witness not just their first response. Is everyone comfortable with that concept, as well?

Is there anything -- well, several of you have indicated that you know various witnesses and I think the conclusion by everyone was nobody was that close to any witness that they couldn't fairly and impartially evaluate that witness's testimony, even if there was, for example, Dr. Fouts had been your doctor or your family doctor. Is that true from everyone?

And, Ms. Herem, you worked at the county attorney's office in Yellowstone County, correct?

Yes.

3

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Were you a paralegal for them?
- 19 Well, legal assistant.
- 20 Legal assistant. Working in the criminal 21 area?
  - For most of the time.
  - Okay. And when you say "most of the time," I
- mean, my understanding is you retired from there?
  - Α.

experience having worked in a prosecution office that 2 would cause you to lean towards the State because of that background?

- I would hope not. I don't think so.
- Do you think you can set that aside and be 0. objective with what you hear today?
  - I do. Α.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 0. All right. When did you retire from that office?
- A. 2016.
- Okay. Is there any juror who has something in their background that would cause you to say you're not comfortable sitting here as a juror with what you know about this case at this point?

A negative answer from all the jurors.

Ms. Michaelson -- or Nicholson, I'm sorry. You look nonresponsive to that. Would you agree with that or...

- A. I shook my head. I went like that.
- Okay. I'm just checking to make sure. Is there anything in your personal life that is going on that would draw your attention away from being able to devote it here at this case while you're at the courtroom?
  - Do you all agree -- and I think you've

- So 20-plus years? 0.
- Actually, I could retire after 17.
- 3 Oh, okay. So 17 years you worked mostly in 4 criminal matters?
  - Α. Mostly, yes. And then in another department.
  - Were some of those responsibilities helping attorneys prepare for trial?
    - Α. No.
  - Did you draft pleadings or help draft Q. pleadings?
  - A. At the very beginning, I used to type up Informations, but that was at the very beginning. I was --

  - Q. I'm sorry to cut you off. But maybe you could tell me in the last, say, four years that you worked there, kind of what your area of work entailed, just in a general sense.
  - Well, the last two, three years, I was Α. helping with sanities. And before that, property returns.
  - 0. Okay. And my understanding is the way the office is structured is you work for a number of attorneys?
  - A. Yeah, all of them.
    - All right. And is there anything about that

already answered this, but I'm asking again -- that you can be objective and evaluate the testimony that you hear in the courtroom in reaching a decision following the law the judge gives you and holding the State to their proof beyond a reasonable doubt?

And everyone is comfortable with that

Thank you. I don't have any other questions. THE COURT: Do you pass the panel for cause? MR. SNIVELY: I do, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. So now the parties are going to complete the process of selecting a jury. By necessity, as I alluded to before, that process is completed outside of your presence. So in a moment the parties and I will go back into chambers and complete

You don't have to sit here, kind of like during the break, but any one of you could still remain and potentially be a juror, so don't leave the courthouse premises.

Those of you who are going to be picked are going to get to know that -- my wife always says my estimates of time are never right. Thirty minutes for everything always takes two hours. This should take no more than 30 minutes. I know that is a long time and it

may be shorter. That's the only way we can do it. Once we do that, we'll come back, we'll have you not come back to these seats, we'll have you all back where you were at the beginning or somewhere in the gallery and at that time then the juror members will be called forward.

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

4

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

So I know we're -- well, it's actually okay, so timewise. I've got to -- again, I'm not insulting your intelligence, it is very important, though, that I make sure that you know how important it is not to discuss the case or do any sort of independent investigation or anything like that at this time as again a jury has not been selected.

During this break, it is your duty not to talk about the substance of this case in any way, among yourselves or with anyone else, or look at or access any information about the case in any way, including electronic devices or phones or form or express any opinion on the case until the case is submitted to you.

And again, don't call your loved ones and visit with them about the substance of this case. You can tell them where we are in the process and that is just fine.

24 We'll be in recess. Again, I'm going to say until a quarter till, so you have a realistic view. If

Boggio; 19, Jamie Krug; 20, Cyndra Nelson; 21, Robert Mensik; 22, Michael Bohleen; 23, James Maize; 24, 3 Stephanie Fletcher; 25, Baylee Nicholson; 26, Diane Giesick; 27, Richard Lee. Is that right?

MR. NIXON: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: How about you, Mr. Snively? MR. SNIVELY: Mine matches that, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll do the peremptory challenges of the first 24 and get a jury and then allow each of you -- of the 25 through 27, you can each have one, leaving our alternate. Okay?

MR. SNIVELY: Right. So 25, 26, 27, whoever isn't struck of those three becomes the alternate? THE COURT: Yes. So we'll do our first six

of the first 24. Go ahead, Mr. Nixon. What's the State's first?

MR. NIXON: Rvan Michael Sweenev.

THE COURT: What number?

MR. NIXON: 17. Do you want seat number or

juror number?

THE COURT: Juror number.

MR. NIXON: His juror number is 18 sitting in

24 seat 17.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

THE COURT: That helps. Okay.

it gets done guicker, it's not a very big building and we'll be able to round you up and at that time the jury will be picked and the remainder of the panel will be released.

5 We'll be in recess.

6 (Wherein, a recess was taken.) 7 (Wherein, the following took 8 place in chambers.)

THE COURT: We're on the record, we're in 10 chambers.

11 Counsel for the State is present.

> Counsel for the defendant is present. The defendant is present.

The parties have both passed the jurors for cause. We're about to do peremptory challenges.

Before we do, I'm just going to -- please listen carefully, because I'm going to run through who I have for the first 27. All right?

Number 1, Jo Anne Herem; number 2, Jennifer Brown; number 3, Elizabeth Sommerdorf; number 4, Brittney Dimich; 5, Richard Myers; 6, Samantha Anttila;

7, Brad Freeman; 8, Elizabeth Korth; 9, Amanda Powell; 10, Debra Hull; 11, Earl Zumbrun; 12, Jody Klessens; 13,

Christopher Ewing; 14, Lonnie Taylor; 15, Denaye Kern;

16, Megan Bauwens; 17, Ryan Sweeney; 18, Kerrilee

Mr. Snively, defendant's first?

MR. SNIVELY: We would strike Mr. Freeman,

who is juror number 8 sitting in seat number 7.

THE COURT: Freeman?

MR. SNIVELY: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. What's the State's second?

MR. NIXON: Juror number 31 seated in seat 2,

Jennifer Brown.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: Strike juror number 23 sitting in seat 21, Robert Mensik.

THE COURT: Robert Mensik?

MR. SNIVELY: Yes.

THE COURT: State's third?

MR. NIXON: Strike juror number 10 sitting in

16 seat 9, Amanda Powell.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively, defense's third.

MR. SNIVELY: We'd strike Ms. Kern, who is

juror number 6 sitting in -- no, I'm sorry -- juror number 16 sitting in seat 15.

THE COURT: Denaye Kern.

Okay. And State's 4th?

23 MR. NIXON: Juror number 5 seated in seat 24

five, Richard Myers.

THE COURT: Defendant's 4th.

```
109
                                                                                                                                 111
                                                                                     THE CLERK: He'll be in 7.
                 MR. SNIVELY: Jody Klessens, who is juror 13,
 2
      sitting in seat 12.
                                                                    2
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: 32 goes to 7.
                                                                    3
 3
                 THE COURT: State's 5th.
                                                                                    THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, before we go in
                 MR. NIXON: Juror number 11 seated in seat
 4
                                                                          there, looking at our clock, so my thought -- my thought
                                                                          is we'll call the jurors, swear them, release the rest
 5
      10, Debra Hull.
                 THE COURT: Mr. Snively, defense's fifth?
                                                                          of the panel, and I'll go ahead and provide an overview
 6
                                                                         of the trial and read State's 1 through 5 and then
                 MR. SNIVELY: We would strike Megan Bauwens,
 8
      who is juror number 17 seated in seat 16.
                                                                          release for lunch.
 9
                 THE COURT: Okay. And final for the State.
                                                                   9
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: Please, Your Honor.
                 MR. NIXON: Juror number 22 seated in seat
10
                                                                   10
                                                                                    THE COURT: Sounds good?
11
      20, Cyndra Nelson.
                                                                  11
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: Yes.
12
                 THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Snively, defense?
                                                                  12
                                                                                    THE COURT: Otherwise, we've been here too
13
                 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, if I could have just a
                                                                   13
                                                                          long. We'll start right with openings. Please help me
14
                                                                   14
                                                                          with my math when I try to figure an hour and a 15. The
      moment?
15
                 THE COURT: Sure.
                                                                   15
                                                                          last time I gave them like ten minutes.
                                                                   16
16
                 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, we would strike juror
                                                                                    Anything else anyone wants to place on the
                                                                  17
17
       number 15 Lonnie Taylor sitting in seat 14.
                                                                          record before we go back and call in a jury?
18
                 THE COURT: And I believe that leaves us our
                                                                  18
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: No, thank you, Your Honor.
19
      12. Now, for our alternate, we have Baylee Nicholson,
                                                                  19
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: No, sir.
20
      25; Diane Giesick, 26; Richard Lee, 27.
                                                                   20
                                                                                    THE COURT: Okay. You guys have a chance use
21
                 Mr. Nixon.
                                                                   21
                                                                          restroom or whatever we need so we're ready to go?
22
                 MR. NIXON: Juror number 30 sitting in seat
                                                                   22
                                                                                     Let's go on in there and call and swear in
23
      27, Richard Lee.
                                                                   23
                                                                         the jury.
24
                 THE COURT: Mr. Snively.
                                                                  24
                                                                                                  (Wherein, off the record.)
                 MR. SNIVELY: I would strike juror number 27,
                                                                  25
                                                                                                  (Wherein, the following took
      Baylee Nicholson sitting in seat 25.
                                                                                                   place in open court.)
                 THE COURT: Which leaves Diane Giesick as our
                                                                                    THE BAILIFF: All rise.
 2
                                                                                     THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you.
 3
       alternate, correct?
 4
                 MR. NIXON: Correct.
                                                                                     We're back on the record in DC 19-17, State
 5
                 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, sir.
                                                                         v. Polakoff.
 6
                 THE COURT: Let's make sure we're on the same
                                                                                    The attorneys are -- I'll make sure everybody
 7
                                                                          is here. Very good.
 8
                 It would appear that we have Jo Anne Herem,
                                                                    8
                                                                                    The attorneys are present for the State. The
 9
      Elizabeth Sommerdorf, Brittney Dimich, Samantha Anttila,
                                                                    9
                                                                          attorney for the defendant is present. The defendant is
      Elizabeth Korth, Earl Zumbrun, Christopher Ewing,
                                                                   10
10
                                                                          present.
                                                                   11
11
      Kerrilee Boggio, Jamie Krug, Michael Bohleen, James
                                                                                    The members of the jury panel are present and
      Maize, and Stephanie Fletcher.
12
                                                                   12
                                                                          now seated in the gallery.
                 MR. NIXON: That's what I have, Your Honor.
13
                                                                  13
                                                                                    At this time I'll ask for the clerk of court
14
                                                                  14
                                                                          to please call the list of the jury panel of 12 and the
                 MR. SNIVELY: I agree.
15
                 THE COURT: And our alternate is Diane
                                                                   15
                                                                         one alternate. And so if your name is called, then just
      Giesick, correct?
                                                                   16
16
                                                                          forget about where you were sitting before, we'll start
                                                                   17
17
                 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, sir.
                                                                          just like we did before, it's self-explanatory maybe,
                 MR. NIXON: Yes.
                                                                   18
                                                                         number 1 will come and sit at number 1, on down the line
18
                                                                   19
19
                 THE CLERK: Which number was Christopher
                                                                          to 13.
20
                                                                   20
                                                                                    THE CLERK: Jo Anne Herem, Elizabeth
```

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

he came in.

where he was after.

THE COURT: Well, he was way back there and

MR. NIXON: 32. He was in seat 13 and --

THE COURT: I apologize. I was talking about

Sommerdorf, Brittney Dimich, Samantha Anttila, Elizabeth

Korth, Earl Zumbrun, Christopher Ewing, Kerrilee Boggio,

THE COURT: All right. Do the parties

Jamie Krug, Michael Bohleen, James Maize, Stephanie

Fletcher, and Diane Giesick.

stipulate that these individuals are the chosen jury panel and the alternate?

MR. NIXON: The State so stipulates.

MR. SNIVELY: The defense stipulates.

THE COURT: All right. I'll ask then, Ms.

Loyning, will you please provide the oath to the jurors and alternate.

Please raise your right hand.

(Wherein, the jury panel was sworn.)

THE COURT: All right. Be seated. Thanks. I'm going to let you sit for a minute and I'm going to address these individuals who are still here.

I just want to thank you. You've been here a long time this morning, I'm not going to go on and on. I do appreciate the fact that you answered the call for jury service.

Obviously, you've not been selected on this jury, but it's so valuable and necessary to the system to have you all here for this process so that the pool reflects a true cross-section of the community. That is what everybody deserves and is entitled to when it comes to a request for a jury trial.

Again, the importance of this process, I can't overstate it. Simply, we can't have the rule of

it's a witness that might be a 30-minute witness just so you can get a better feel for the testimony. I'll be weighing that.

For that reason, I do run by the clock as a guideline, but try to make the presentation of evidence paramount. But again, it won't go long -- if we were to get the case to you guys in a late afternoon or something, at that time, it could be that you would be here later deliberating. We'll talk about that later.

As you've already kind of figured out there are times when I meet with the parties not in your presence, that can get a little frustrating because you're wondering what is taking place and you have, obviously, lives, as well.

But I can just tell you it's part of the process. I assure you if there's something that happens and I've got to send you to the jury room to take a break or something or a long lunch or something like that, it's because we're working on things. We're trying to get this thing done as most efficiently as we can and try to get that information to make your decision.

I know you've already seen the process in this room. And especially during a jury trial, it may seem overly formal and kind of ritualistic at times.

law and appropriate due process without the citizens able to do this when it is necessary, as hard as it is.

So, again, I thank you all for your attention for the seriousness that you took this matter. You are now released from your summons. You can come -- well, we're going to do some things, have lunch. You're free to go home, go do your things, but if you want to watch this trial it's a public matter, as well.

 $\label{thm:continuous} That's \ \mbox{up to you, but you are released to} \\ \mbox{leave. So thank you.}$ 

(Pause.)

THE COURT: Let me talk to you about what we expect from this process. We do anticipate this case to be easily done this week. We'll start tomorrow at 8:30 so that we can get plenty of work done.

We'll have a morning break, we'll try to time it with an appropriate witness, so we're not splitting things up and the trial presentation is smooth.

But we'll have a break at least for 15 minutes in the morning and then we'll go until lunch. I'll give you an hour and 15 for lunch, because I know in Red Lodge it's hard to get lunch in an hour. We'll try to go to 5:00 just like a workday.

 $\label{eq:Sometimes} Sometimes, \ depending \ on \ presentation, \ I \ might \\ choose \ to \ not \ put \ a \ witness \ on \ for \ five \ minutes \ when \\$ 

And again, it can seem not very efficient, but there's no other way. It's been developed over such a long time that we can assure that the State and the defendant gets a fair trial.

So that's that my task, but I hope you understand that. That's the only way we can assure that.

We are going to give you each a notebook. You don't have to take notes, but each of you will have one and if you want to you can. What we'll do is write your juror number on it, so your name won't be on it or anything. That's your property.

Again, you can take them if you want. If you feel like you're sitting there just listening is a better way for you that's just fine. Your notes can be helpful to refresh your own memory of testimony.

But I'm telling you right now, even though we have a court reporter you will not receive a transcript, I will not give you one of the process. You will have to deliberate based on what you hear in the trial.

So you'll only have your notes and your memory or either as evidence. But I also tell you don't rely on someone else's notes if you have a clear memory.

Basically, what a jury is is 12 individuals doing a job together and that's the power of it, really.

So you're an independent and make sure that you keep

that in mind.

When you leave during the night, we'll have you leave your notebooks on your chair. I'll have the bailiff take control of them. Nobody is going to look at them and you'll get them back the next day. So we can do that. They will be locked in the fault. That's the way we'll do it.

Lunch and meals will be on your own until you're deliberating.

You won't come into the courtroom anymore when we start in the morning. I'll have you go to the jury room. The bailiff will take control, and every now and again there might be an issue we've got to deal with before we bring you in. That way, you're down there and hopefully can have some coffee and doughnuts or some good stuff in there while you're waiting. And then we'll bring you in. We'll have the bailiff just bring you in each time.

So that will be where you go after lunch, come back to the jury room downstairs, everything, and then we'll have you brought back in.

But again, even though you're together now as a group and I kind gave you that jury room to go to, you're still not supposed to deliberate, you're not

will testify and exhibits may be produced. When all the evidence and testimony have been given, the parties will argue the merits of the case. The opening statements and the closing arguments are not evidence. The case will be submitted to you then for your deliberations.

So now is the time to read the first written jury instructions. And, again, this is one thing you don't have to count on your memory, because you will get a copy of every instruction that I read just like this so you can review those as deliberate.

"It is important that as officers and jurors of this court that you obey the following instructions at any time you leave the jury box, whether it be for recesses of the court during the day or when you leave the courtroom to go home at night.

"First, do not talk about the case either among yourselves or with anyone else during the course of this trial. In fairness to the defendant and to the State of Montana, you should keep an open mind throughout the trial and not form or express an opinion about the case.

"You should only reach your decision after you've heard all the evidence, after you've heard my final instruction and after the attorney's final arguments. You may only enter into discussion about

q

supposed to talk about the case. You're just kind of individually listening and weighing the evidence until I finally submit the case to you for deliberation. It's really important.

What I'm going to do now is some of that law we talked about that I'm providing you, very briefly, there's a few that you need to -- some law that need to know now before the evidence is taken. And I'm going to read these preliminary instructions. That will give you an idea of where we are.

And then we'll break for lunch, we'll come back and have opening statements from the State. And then the defense whether he wishes to or he can reserve, that's up to them. I don't know what they're going to do. But get right into the case.

So I will now be reading some preliminary instructions. These instructions will be sent into the deliberation room for you to review. They're given now to assist you in how to listen to evidence and provide an overview of the trial procedure.

The trial today will be conducted as follows: First, the State is allowed to give a brief opening statement. The defendant may also give an opening statement or reserve the statement for a later time.

Following the opening statement, witnesses

this case with the other members of the jury after it's submitted to you for your decision. All such discussion should take place in the jury room.

"Second, do not let any person talk about this cause in your presence. If anyone does talk about it, tell them you're are a juror on the case. If they won't stop talking, leave and report the incident to me as soon as you're able to do so."

Probably the best way to do that is to tell the bailiff.

"You should not tell any of your fellow jurors about what's happened and don't talk to your fellow jurors about anything you feel necessary to bring attention to me.

"Third, although it is a normal human tendency to talk with people, both at home and in public, you may not during the time you serve on this jury talk with any of the parties or the attorneys or any witnesses.

"By this, I mean, not only do not talk about the case, but do not talk at all, even to pass the time of day. In no other way can all parties be assured of the fairness they're entitled to expect from you as jurges.

"Fourth, during the trial you may not make

any investigation of this case or inquiry outside of the courtroom on your own. You may not go to any place mentioned in the testimony without explicit order from me to do so.

"You must not consult any books, dictionaries, encyclopedias, research online using Google, Yahoo, Bing or any other internet search engines, or any other reference materials or source of information unless I specifically authorize you to do

"Fifth, do not read about the case in the newspapers, don't listen to radio or television broadcasts about the trial, if there are any. News accounts may be incomplete or may contain matters that are not proper evidence for your consideration.

"This prohibition extends to all forms of communication, whether in-person, written, or through any electronic device or media such as the telephone, a cell or SmartPhone, Blackberry, PDA, computer, the internet, any internet service, any text or instant messaging service or any other internet chatroom, blog, Facebook, MySpace, YouTube and Twitter.

"You must base your verdict solely on what is presented in court. You are now sworn jurors in this case and you'll hear the evidence and thus be in a any individual point or instruction and ignore the others. You are to consider all the instructions as a whole and are to regard each in the light of all the others.

"The order in which the instructions are given has no significance as to their relative importance.  $% \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x$ 

"The function of the jury is to decide the issues of fact resulting from the charge filed in this court by the State, and the defendant's plea of not quilty to the charge.

"You must perform this duty uninfluenced by passion or prejudice. You must not be biased against the defendant because he's been charged with this offense or because charges have been filed or because he's been brought before the Court to stand trial.

"None of these facts is evidence of guilt and you are not permitted to infer or speculate from any or all of them that the defendant is more likely to be quilty than innocent.

"You are to be governed solely by the evidence introduced in this trial and the law has stated to you by me. The law forbids you to be governed by mere sentiment, conjecture, sympathy, passion, prejudice, public opinion or public feeling.

better position than anyone else to know the true facts.

"Sixth, if during the course of this trial there's reason to believe any of these rules have been violated, I will make inquiry of individual jurors and take appropriate action.

"Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it is my duty to instruct the jury on the law that applies to this case and it is your duty as jurors to follow the law as I shall state it to you.

"No remarks I make or instructions that I give are intended to express my opinion as to the facts in this case or what verdict you should return.

"You should take the law in this case from my instructions alone. You should not accept anyone's version as to what the law is in this case. You should not decide this case contrary to these instructions, even though you might believe the lawsuit ought to be otherwise.

"Counsel, however, may comment and argue to the jury upon the law as it is given in these instructions. If in these instructions any rule, direction or idea is stated in varying ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and none must be inferred by you.

"You are not to single out any sentence or  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ 

"Both the State and the defendant have the right to demand, and they do demand, and expect that you will act conscientiously and dispassionately in considering and weighing the evidence and applying the law of the case.

"You are the sole judges of the credibility, which is the believability of all the witnesses testifying in this case and of the weight, that is the importance to be given to their testimony.

"In judging the effect of evidence, you must be fair and impartial and unarbitrary. While you have discretion in judging the effect of evidence, you must exercise that discretion in accordance with these instructions.

"The evidence presented by one witness whom you believe is sufficient for the proof of any fact in this case. You are not bound to decide any fact based upon the testimony of a larger number of witnesses whose testimony does not convince you against the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses or against a presumption or other evidence which does convince you.

"In determining what the facts are in this case it may be necessary for you to determine what weight should be given to the testimony of each witness. To do this, you should carefully consider all the

2.5

testimony given, the circumstances under which each witness has testified, and every matter in evidence that tends to indicate whether a witness was worthy of belief.

"You may consider, one, the appearance of each witness on the stand, their method of testifying, their apparent candor, their apparent fairness or lack of fairness, their apparent intelligence, their knowledge and means of knowledge on the subject upon which they have testified.

"Two, whether the witnesses have an interest in the outcome of the case or any motive, bias or prejudice.

"Three, the extent to which the witnesses are either supported or contradicted by other evidence in the case

"Four, the capacity of the witness to perceive and communicate information.

 $\mbox{\sc "Five, proof that the witness has a bad character for truthfulness.}$ 

"If you believe that any witnesses has willfully testified falsely as to any material matter in the case, you must reject such of his testimony as you believe to be false and you have the right to view the rest of his testimony with distrust and in your

the case into court for trial and to notify the defendant of the charge and the charges against him.

Neither the Information nor the charge contained therein is to be taken by you as any indication, evidence or proof that the defendant is quilty of any offense.

"Two, by a plea of not guilty, the defendant denies every allegation of the charges.

"Three, the State of Montana has the burden of proofing the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person would rely and act upon it in the most important of his or her own affairs.

"Beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean any doubt or beyond a shadow of a doubt.

"The defendant is presumed to be innocent of the charge against him. This presumption remains with him through each stage of the trial and during your deliberations on a verdict.

"It is not overcome unless from all of the evidence in the case you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty.

"The defendant is not required to prove his innocence or present any evidence.

"During the trial, a bailiff will keep you

discretion disregard it, unless after examination of all the evidence you find such testimony worthy of belief.

"This rule does not apply if a witness, one, unintentionally commits an error in the witness testimony.

"Or, two, is unintentionally mistaken as to some matters or facts about which the witness testifies.

"Or, three, gives evidence concerning matters not material in this case without intention of deceiving the Court or jury.

"An Information has been filed charging the defendant, Nathan Samuel Polakoff, with the offenses of Count I, assault on a minor, or in the alternative, Alternative Count I, assault on a minor; and Count II, assault on a minor.

"Alleged to have been committed in Red Lodge, Carbon County, state of Montana, on or about April 17th, 2019, and April 19th, 2019.

"The defendant has pled not guilty. The jury's task in this case is to decide whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty based upon the evidence and the law as stated in my instructions.

"These are some of the rules of law that you must follow. The filing of an Information against this defendant is simply a part of the legal process to bring 1 together and will prevent inappropriate conversations
2 between you and any other persons. The bailiff will see
3 to your needs during the trial.

"However, the bailiff cannot answer any questions about this case or provide you with any information, books or materials, as I have strictly forbidden the bailiff to do so.

"I will instruct you on the law as you must apply the evidence presented in the case in order to reach a verdict, both orally and giving you a set of written instructions which you will take with you during your deliberation. These instructions are intended to cover all necessary laws which are pertinent to the case."

I'll tell you, too, now that you're basically hired, if you need to sip on water you can bring it with you. Anything for your comfort. Bring a quilt. Obviously, we need you to be able to be as comfortable as you can while you do this.

Again, we will let you go, we'll come back and start with opening statements. It looks like about 1:20.

Am I right this time, Mr. Nixon?

```
MR. NIXON: You are, Judge.
                                                                          briefly. When I come in the room people stand. It's
 2
                 THE COURT: All right. During this lunch
                                                                     2
                                                                          not because of who I am, but it's what I represent. And
 3
      break -- again, you will take the break, go to the jury
                                                                           while you're doing this, we're going to show you the
      room when you come back from lunch.
                                                                           same courtesy because you're doing that job.
 5
                                                                                     So that's why we'll stand when you come in
                 It is your duty not to talk about the
                                                                           you. You don't have to wait. I know it's hard when
       substance of the case in any way, among yourselves or
       anyone else, or look at or access any information about
                                                                           you're filling the jury box. So when you get there, you
 8
       this case in any way, including electronic devices or
                                                                    8
                                                                           can sit or stand, whatever you want to do. But that's
                                                                           what we're going to be doing throughout the trial, just
      phones or form or express any opinion on the case until
                                                                    9
10
      the case is submitted to you.
                                                                   10
                                                                           so you understand that, why we're doing it.
                 We'll see you back here at 1:20. We'll be in
11
                                                                   11
                                                                                     All right. Mr. Nixon, are you prepared to go
12
                                                                   12
                                                                           forward with an opening statement?
      recess.
13
                                                                   13
                                (Wherein, the jury is not
                                                                                     MR. NIXON: I am, Your Honor.
14
                                                                   14
                                present.)
                                                                                     THE COURT: Let me just say before that
15
                 THE COURT: Does neither either counsel have
                                                                   15
                                                                          happens, again, attorneys are not witnesses, statements
      to place anything on the record?
                                                                   16
16
                                                                          by counsel during this trial are not evidence, but
                                                                   17
17
                 MR. NIXON: Nothing from the State.
                                                                           counsel may speak to the evidence that may be introduced
18
                 MR. SNIVELY: Nothing, Your Honor.
                                                                   18
                                                                           during the trial.
                                                                   19
19
                 THE COURT: Have you made up your mind if
                                                                                     You may proceed.
                                                                   20
20
       you're going to reserve or do your opening?
                                                                                     MR. NIXON: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
                 MR. SNIVELY: Not a hundred percent.
                                                                   21
                                                                                     This trial is basically going to be a story
22
                 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. We'll see you
                                                                   22
                                                                           about a healthy nine-month-old boy and his mother that
23
      back here at 1:20. We're in recess.
                                                                   23
                                                                           visited Carbon County in April of 2019.
24
                               (Wherein, a recess was taken.)
                                                                   24
                                                                                     And you're going to hear from Everett Musch a
                 THE BAILIFF: All rise.
                                                                   25
                                                                           nine-month-old boy's mother about how she had a
```

130

```
long-term relationship with her high school sweetheart
                                                        and that together they had a boy named Everett. Despite
                                                   3
                                                        being born a month premature, Everett was a happy,
                                                   4
                                                        healthy boy.
                                                   5
                                                                    Could I please have you show State's Exhibit
                                                   6
                                                                    Developmentally, Everett was meeting all of
                                                        his health goals and there was no concerns about his
                                                  9
                                                         health. He'd never been sick, he'd never been injured.
                                                  10
                                                                    Could I have you show State's Exhibit 3,
                                                  11
                                                         please.
                                                  12
                                                                    And even though things didn't work out with
                                                  13
                                                         Richard, his mother Ryann, at the time, Wells, lived
                                                         with her stepfamily and you're going to -- her stepdad,
                                                 15
                                                         her mom and family, you're going to hear how close that
                                                  16
                                                         family was.
                                                  17
                                                                   And Everett did very well. There was
                                                  18
                                                         absolutely no health concerns at that time.
                                                  19
                                                                   Thank you.
                                                  20
                                                                   You're going to hear about how attentive
                                                  21
                                                         Ryann -- she goes by Ry -- was as his mother. You're
                                                  22
                                                         going to hear about how close she was with her family,
                                                  23
THE COURT: Mr. Snively, do you so stipulate?
                                                         like I said. And you're going to hear about how she
                                                  24
                                                         doesn't believe in physical discipline. She's never
THE COURT: I'll just speak to the jury
                                                         spanked a child, either Everett or any of the children
```

```
THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you.
                 We're back on the record in DC 19-17, State
      versus Polakoff.
 4
                 Counsel for the State is present.
 5
                 Counsel for the defense is present. The
 6
      defendant is present.
 7
                 The jury is not present.
 8
                 Mr. Nixon, any issues that you need to
       present to the Court before we bring in the jury?
                 MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor.
10
11
                 THE COURT: Mr. Snively?
12
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
13
                 THE COURT: All right. I'll ask the bailiff
      to please bring up the jury. I'll ask the parties to
      please stand when the jury comes in the courtroom.
15
16
                               (Wherein, the jury is present.)
17
                 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.
18
      Thank vou.
19
                 All right. The jury is now present.
20
                 Mr. Nixon, do you stipulate that all jurors
```

MR. NIXON: I do, Your Honor.

MR. SNIVELY: Yes, sir.

and the alternate are present?

21

22

23

q

that she nannied, for which are nephews and nieces.

And certainly, she's going to say that she never physically punished Everett, who was only nine months old in April of 2019.

You're going to hear that after the relationship with Everett's father, Richard, didn't work out, that she eventually decided to try dating again. She'd only had one serious relationship and the first person that she started dating after that was the defendant, Nathan Polakoff.

She's going to tell you that they dated for about two to three months and that when she met him, Nathan was respectful, he showed interest in spending time with Everett and he told her about how he was an EMT and had medical knowledge.

And things seemed to be going pretty well. She was starting to learn to trust having her son around Nathan Polakoff and she didn't have any concerns about him.

She's also going to tell you on April 17th, 2019, it started like a normal day. She woke up in Billings in her family's house, Everett has happy, healthy, in good spirits. He wasn't sick. He didn't show any signs of injury and he had a good time spending time and playing with his older cousin.

Now, even though Ry is going to tell you she was a very attentive mother, Ry [sic] is her fist baby, she's worried, she tended to really keep an eye out for him, the two were inseparable. As she's sitting there with Nathan, Nathan says, "I hear something."

He says, "I think he's gurgling."

Ry didn't hear it. She hears nothing, but Nathan decides that he needs to go check on Everett.

From that point, Nathan moves out of sight, he goes into the bedroom where Ry can't see him.

Shortly thereafter, she hears a thump. She's instantly up. She hears Everett crying. She goes to see what's going on, and she sees Nathan holding Everett. He's got vomit on him. There's vomit on the floor, the bed possibly.

And Nathan provides a story that you're going to hear again and again and again during this trial. He's going to say that Everett rolled off his futon.

Now, this is not a big, tall bed. This is an almost-ground-level futon. You'll hear Nathan describe it as being about six inches off the ground and you're going to see that there is carpet on the sides.

Now, keep in mind, Everett is only nine months old, it's not like he's running across the thing. He can't walk. He can't -- he has no significant speech

She's also going to tell you later on that day, she went to Red Lodge. She and Nathan had made plans to spend time together. There was no concerns about Everett and everything looked like there was a great weekend ahead.

She shows up in Red Lodge and, again, there's no issues. She spends time with Nathan, she spends time with Everett. And Everett is happy and healthy. There are no concerns.

Unfortunately, that does change. Everett, although he was a very sound sleeper, generally slept on the bed. And what Ry would do is she would get pillows and she would put four pillows on the bed and she would set Everett in the middle of those pillows.

And that way, she could rest a little easier that if she wasn't in the room that he wasn't going to escape from his pillow corral or fall off the bed or anything like that. And to that date, he hadn't ever gotten out of that little pillow blockade that she set up.

So the three of them have dinner and eventually Everett is tired so she puts him to bed. He's asleep. And Ry and Nathan are spending time in the other room of this very small apartment that Nathan lived in.

ability.

But what we have is this story that Nathan is in the room, nobody else sees it, you hear a thump and all of a sudden Everett is crying. But also, by description of both Nathan and Ry, is that there's a bump, a little mark on Everett's forehead. And what you're also going to hear from Ry is that Everett is never really the same.

He's never the happy, healthy, energetic boy from that point on. It's a series of downhill events for the of that several-day period in Red Lodge.

Now, you're going to hear both Ry and the defendant, Nathan Polakoff, talk about how they were concerned that he might have some sort of a head injury. Ry is going to tell you from right there. You're going to be able to watch a video interview with Nathan and Red Lodge police officer, Al Stuber.

You're also going to hear Ry talk about that she had a lot of concerns because there was vomiting, there was at least one incidence of diarrhea and Everett is lethargic and he's not the energetic boy she's used to. He's not eating like he usually does and he's just

not himself.

And maybe she's a worrier. It's her first baby, her boy that she loves so much. And she doesn't understand what can be wrong with him. You're going to hear that she reaches out to Nathan and wants to get him some medical attention. And you're going to hear her say that Nathan repeatedly discouraged that idea.

And eventually, though, they do take Everett to the clinic on April 18th. It's at that point in time that she goes in and sees Physician Assistant Doug Whitehead. And you're going to hear Doug Whitehead testify about how he does examine Everett.

He's going to say that he looked at what was presenting. There was vomiting, there was some diarrhea, and he instantly thinks this is probably going to be some sort of a viral thing, maybe the flu. But he's also going to say that Nathan -- and remember this is the story he's going to tell time and time again -- tells him about this six-inch fall off of a futon.

And there's an examination. You're going to hear Doug Whitehead say that, yes, he saw a bruise. He's going to say it was not necessarily a bruise that was so significant that it caused problems. However, also maybe isn't what you'd expect if a baby just rolls off a six-inch surface into carpet.

happening.

The next day, Ry is going to tell you that she stayed at home with Everett, because he still wasn't feeling well. There's still some vomiting and it's just still not what she expects from her bov.

They spent the day together and then towards the evening, there is a decision made that they're going to try to going out and get something to eat, the three of them. On the way to go to the Boxcar, Everett throws up and that changes the plan and the three of them go back to Nathan's apartment.

At that point in time, Nathan offers to take a shower with Everett. He says, "Don't worry, I've got this." He agrees to take the shower and tells Ry to go and clean up and she can change her clothes.

And that's exactly what Ry is going to tell you that she did. She leaves, changes her clothes. She's picking up around the house, when she hears Nathan, who is in the bathroom alone with Everett behind a closed door, shout out that Everett is not breathing.

Ry immediately runs over and there's Everett holding -- excuse me -- there's Nathan holding Everett. He looks limp and he's just being held.

The EMT, whose medical opinion she trusted, was not providing any sort of CPR, he was not calling

And he's also going to say that he takes a look at basically the vital sings of Everett and his eyes are normal, he's breathing regularly. He's got good mobility, he's got good strength. He's moving his extremities and there's no signs of any catastrophic injury.

You're going to hear that Ryann was at least somewhat relieved to hear that there was not a head injury. She was still worried about how he's acting, because he's still not the little boy she's used to. You're going to hear about she goes to Nathan's parents' house so that they can do laundry and so that she can clean him up.

You're going to hear her talk about, once she's there, she's alarmed because Everett has always loved to take a bath. He loves the water, never minded it. And on that evening, she can't touch Everett's head. He's fussy, doesn't want to let her touch his head and he seems to have some sort of soreness there.

She asks what's wrong and she says that Nathan tells her, "Oh, it's a headache, don't worry about it."

The rest of the day, Everett continues to have a period of vomiting, still not feeling very well, and the rest of the day is spent without much important

911. And, in fact, it was Ry who started CPR based on what she learned on Grey's Anatomy. She didn't have any experience, but she was fighting for her boy. She asked Nathan to call 911, and that is what happens.

You'll hear the 911 call, where you'll hear Nathan Polakoff ask for medical help. And you're also going to get a chance to hear from Officer Matt Grieshop formerly of the Red Lodge Police Department. He's at the Town Pump parking lot when he hears this dispatch and he's got an officer that he's training with him.

And Matt is going to tell you that is one of the most terrifying calls that you can hear in law enforcement. "Baby not breathing." And he's -- you'll see the video, you'll hear him tell the training officer, "Go faster, go faster." He's going to back-seat drive, he's going to tell him you need to get there fast. They show up very quickly.

And one of the first things you're going to see is Nathan coming through the door of this apartment and you're going to see him hand off. He discontinues CPR, hands him to this police officer. And you're going to hear Matt Grieshop say this pale, limp, little boy looks like he's dead. And in fact, Matt thinks he is being handed a dead baby.

He then takes charge of the situation and

gets a blanket and puts the baby on the ground and tries to assess if the baby is breathing, which fortunately, he is.

Now, very luckily, Red Lodge Ambulance is close behind and there are EMTs. You're going to hear from one, possibly two, of the EMTs. Amy Hyfield is an EMT and Joel Anthes is a paramedic.

And you're doing to hear from them. You're going to hear about how they saw this boy who was having great difficulty breathing. You're going to hear about how they watch this boy start having seizures. You're going to hear about how the boy seemed to lose the ability to move the extremities on his right side. And you're going to hear about the only thing they want to do is get this boy to the Beartooth Billings Clinic as soon as they can, and so that's what they do. They go ahead and they rush Everett over to Beartooth Billings Clinic.

Once he's there, he's seen by the doctor on call, who is Dr. Brad Fouts. And you're going to get a chance to hear Dr. Fouts talk about his treatment of Everett. You're going to hear about there was about two and a half hours of all critical care. Meaning that this boy was facing the most serious medical conditions, meaning this boy could die.

You're going to hear very quickly what Dr. Fouts hears that this is nonaccidental trauma. What that means is this isn't the kind of thing that can happen just by happenstance, by a small accident. This isn't a boy that rolls six inches off a bed. This is not the type of injury that a nonambulatory nine-month-old can get by running into something.

You're, in fact, going to hear from Dr. Laskey from Primary Children's Center that this injury is more equivalent to a baby being ejected from a vehicle. This is more equivalent to a baby rolling off the top of a two-story house. This involves an acceleration and a rapid deceleration.

You're going to hear about how serious these injuries are and you're going to hear about the many consequences they caused.

Once Dr. Fouts realizes that there are these two terrible skull fractures, he realizes this is far beyond what Beartooth Billings Clinic can handle.

In fact, he finds out this is beyond what Billings Clinic can handle in Billings. As a result, they make plans to fly Everett directly to Primary Children's Hospital in Salt Lake City. There's a fixed-wing airplane that is set up and there's arrangements made for him to fly there and Ry makes

And you're going to hear Dr. Fouts, who hears this alarming call, looks at this child, and realizes that Everett is facing very, very serious medical issues

He's not breathing well on his own. He does seem to have what might be some sort of paralysis on the right side. He's nonresponsive, his coloring is off. And he's going to hear about how the only explanation offered is Nathan's story about how he rolled off a six-inch futon, maybe it's the flu. And you're going to hear Dr. Fouts say there's no way in the world that's what caused this. It's medically not feasible.

Because of the seriousness of the injuries, Dr. Fouts asks for scans. And it's at that point in time, he realizes that Everett's skull was fractured. You're going to hear he's not a radiologist, but he says he looks and there's going to be a parietal fracture of Everett's skull that is obvious even to him as a nonradiologist. It's a very serious fracture.

You're also going to learn that later on that there's a second skull fracture. That skull fracture you're going to hear about later on is a terrible fracture of the back of Everett's skull called an occipital fracture. You're going to hear more about that, as well.

1 /

arrangements to travel with him.

You're also going to hear Dr. Fouts say that because of the absolutely implausible explanation provided that he has a duty to call not only law enforcement but also Child Protective Services.

He's going to say that both parents -- you might wonder how they're responding, but mom seems appropriate. He says that Nathan, who he misidentifies as father, also seemed appropriate, but also that he kept reaching for explanations.

He kept looking to perhaps change his story. Well, maybe he hit his head on the heater on the floor, maybe he hit his head on the nightstand. It doesn't matter. Dr. Fouts says those still are not plausible. That still doesn't cause one skull fracture, much less two

And you're going to hear as plans are being made to fly out Everett and Ry to Salt Lake City that Nathan leaves and goes back to his apartment.

And keep in mind, Everett and Ry are waiting to hop on an airplane and fly to get life-saving treatment for Everett. And Nathan goes home and he has the opportunity -- takes some time out to take a picture of his futon. In the middle of all of that, he takes a picture of the futon.

And you're going to find out why. Because you're going to watch the interview and when Al Stuber questions him, out comes the phone, "Here's the picture of my futon." Still not plausible. Doesn't explain anything.

So Ry flies to Salt Lake City, she's already made plans that Nathan is going to join her and that he's going to be with Ry and Everett, who he tells Al Stuber he loves like a son. He's going to go be there for his girlfriend and for the baby he cares about so

While that is going on, Matt Grieshop goes off duty and Al Stuber, who is the next officer on duty, starts the investigation. He hears from a number of different people. He hears from Matt Grieshop as to what he saw.

And he's also going to have access to a report that Matt Grieshop writes about the incident. Strangely, he hears the story when he's responded to the scene that there is a baby in the shower with an adult and one of the things that he notices as he looks is the baby is not wet, Nathan doesn't appear wet and that doesn't add up to him.

Why wouldn't he be wet if this happened in the shower? This baby shops breathing in the middle of

She's having a hard time figuring out where he is. You're going to hear an agent for MATIC talk about how he was able to track Nathan's phone using tower data. And that Nathan, in fact, leaves Red Lodge, and he's bouncing off towers in this area. Strangely, he never gets there.

He and Ry communicate. And Ry tells him that the police want to talk to him -- or to her. And she hears for the second time a request from Nathan, "Don't tell anybody that I was alone with Everett." You're going to hear that's not the first time, it's the second

She's going to tell you the first time happened when she was at the Beartooth Billings Clinic, when everything is going on, she's going to tell you that he told her the very same thing while they're waiting to see what's wrong with Everett at Beartooth.

Now, after hearing about the police interview and they want to talk to her and to Nathan, as well, because Al has asked them to please interview Nathan again if he, in fact, does show up, there's kind of some silence.

He talks to Ry's sister, Jennifer. And she says that she asked, "Hey, when are you going to be here?" And the answer is, "I don't know. I'm sleepy, I

the shower, and he starts to wonder if the story makes sense.

You're going to hear that before Nathan decides to go and try to visit Ry and Everett in Salt Lake City, he has an interview with Officer Stuber. He tells him about the futon, he shows him the picture. He spitballs several different possibilities as to how the child could have been hurt, puts several different people under suspicion.

And during this interview, it appears that Nathan is crying. What Al Stuber notices is there's no tears. It doesn't make sense to Al Stuber. He thinks that there might be something suspicious.

Meanwhile, Ry is in Salt Lake City, she's by herself. She doesn't really get much of a chance to see her child, who has had seizures and she's watched basically fight for his life on this flight to Salt Lake City.

When she gets there, she is interviewed by Child Protective Services and she's also told that the Salt Lake City Police would like to talk to her, because Al Stuber has asked that they do a courtesy interview.

Now,  $\ensuremath{\mathrm{Ry}}$  is in touch with Nathan, because he's coming down.

Exhibit one, please.

don't know where I am."

And then eventually says that he's too tired and he's going to go home. Well, as you can see, he was near the Utah border with Wyoming when this decision is

And as that happened, that evening in fact Ry is interviewed by the Salt Lake City Police and you're going to learn that she comes to believe that she is a suspect. She comes to believe that Nathan is a suspect. And even after Nathan doesn't show up, she still can't see this as being anything but an accident.

Who would hurt a nine-month-old boy? Why would anybody hurt Everett? She can't wrap her head around why anybody would want to do that.

It's only later that she and her family realize that the description of the fall from the futon doesn't make sense. You're going to hear how her and her family decide to cooperate with law enforcement and Child Protective Services, because they want to know what happened.

You're going to hear Ry say that she tells Nathan about this plan. And at that point in time, you're going to hear that Nathan threatens suicide. He told Ry that she -- he might as well commit suicide because he's being blamed for it anyway.

And shortly afterwards, there was no more communication. There was never another attempt made by Nathan to see the boy that he claimed to love so much.

At that point in time, Ry started what basically was a year's long battle with her little boy's health. He stays in Salt Lake City for about a month, he's got two skull fractures. He's got retinal hemorrhaging. His eyes had gone crossed. His vision was substantially effected. He had bleeding inside his cranium. He had partial paralysis.

He could no longer suck on a bottle. He could no longer chew food. He had to be fed through an NG tube, which you'll see pictures of.

And you're going to hear about the struggle that Ry had to meet his medical needs. She's a single mom and she's basically is unable to work for about the next year. She takes Everett to out-of-state surgeries, she takes Everett to a year's worth of therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy.

She's dealing with a boy who once was absolutely developmentally on par having serious setbacks cognitively, physically, and she's there.

You'll hear about how she does end up working with the Child Protective Services when she gets to back home to Billings. And you're going to hear that they

was alone with Nathan."

Ultimately, you're also going to hear that since this all happened, there's been a lot of medical treatment for Everett, but he hasn't been injured, he hasn't had any broken bones, he hasn't had any strange accidents. He's trying to recover. And that's largely because Ry and her family have dedicated themselves towards his treatment.

Will you publish the next photo.

You're going to see this happy, healthy boy had to deal with a variety of adversity in Salt Lake City. You're going to hear about how much treatment it has taken to deal with these horrific skull fractures and the damage they caused.

You're going to see the after effect. You're going to hear that these injuries are permanent.
Nathan's [sic] eyes are not going to be the same.

He may have vision issues. He has a seizure disorder now, which limits his future possibilities as he grows up. You're going to hear that because of his age nobody really knows exactly what the final consequences are going to be for these injuries.

Then you're going to hear that he's just not

basically close her case very quickly because they can't identify a harm to Everett.

You're going to hear about Dr. Laskey when she initially was helping diagnose Everett talk to Ryann. You're going to hear about part of her diagnostic treatment is that she asks a parent to identify, "When was the baby last happy?" "When was the baby last well?" "When did the baby first have problems?" "When did things get serious?"

And very quickly there becomes a theme. When is the baby first not well? It's when he's alone with Nathan.

When does the baby have -- basically stop breathing and become nonresponsive? It's when he's alone with Nathan. You're going to hear Dr. Laskey also say that the skull fracture on the back of his head would have immediately debilitated Everett. There would not have been a delayed response. This is a horrific -- it requires a horrific amount of impact and force to shatter a skull. And the baby would have been lights out immediately.

And ultimately, when law enforcement investigate that they look at who was with Nathan [sic] when this happened. You're going to hear Nathan say, "He was with me." You're going to hear Ryann say, "He

the same boy since he spent some time alone with Nathan Polakoff in Red Lodge.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nixon.

Mr. Snively, does the defense wish to make an opening statement at this time?

MR. SNIVELY: We do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Members of the jury, as the old saying goes,

there's a lot more to the story.

The State stands up here and tells you you're going to hear that Nathan's alone, there's nobody else around. What you're going to hear is — first of all, you're going to hear what this sometimes referred to as a cabin, an apartment a little house, that Nathan was renting here, what the distance is in that house and how close everything is. It isn't like this is a big house and Nathan is in one far section of the house and Ryann is in another one.

They're almost on top of each other. When he's in the shower, you're going to hear testimony that they are probably no further than I am from the front row of the jurors from where Ryann is while they're in the shower.

And similarly, on the 17th, when they talk

about the -- Nathan hearing something and going into the room, is you're going to see that distance. Ryann at various times has said, "It was a matter of seconds I was in that room. There was not a length of time."

2.2

You're also going to hear concerning the 17th is the red mark that was on the front of Everett's forehead. And when they go to see Whitehead at about 5 o'clock on the 18th, Ryann doesn't ask PA Whitehead, "Hey, I'm concerned about his head. Would you examine his head?"

Whitehead is going to tell you that Nathan is the one that pointed out, "He has a bruise" -- by that point it's a bruising -- "on his forehead, would you take a look at it?"

And then Whitehead took a look at it and in his report says, you know, young children bump themselves. It doesn't appear out of the ordinary of a bump.

But the importance is it's in the front of the head. It's a bump on his forehead.

The other thing that you're going to hear is Ryann goes to Salt Lake with Everett on a flight out of here, out of Red Lodge. They arrive — it's basically overnight. They arrive early morning time in Salt Lake to the hospital.

to hear all of this evidence and not just the condensed version the State would like you to know and to hear. You need to see the entire picture.

And what you're going to see as time has gone, Ryann's statements have become more and more contradictory from what she initially said.

She was in Salt Lake, Nathan was not in Salt Lake when she was interviewed. You heard the State show you an exhibit and heard them say he turned around at the Wyoming-Utah border and was never in Salt Lake.

So he's not there telling her what to do and not to do. The other red herring that they keep throwing out to you is he said, "Don't say I'm alone." He'd already talked to him before she's interviewed in Salt Lake.

What you're going to hear is that that morning of the 20th, which would be a Saturday morning, Al Stuber requested Nathan to come in so that he could be interviewed, and Nathan did.

And during the interview, Nathan tells Al Stuber, "I plan to go to Salt Lake to see them and to be there with them at least for a few days. That's my plan."

They finish that interview, Al then calls him back, saying, you know, "I thought of a couple of things

That evening, Salt Lake Police Department officers interview her. And what the State didn't bother to tell you is what she says happened coincides with what Nathan had told Al Stuber that day had happened.

She doesn't say, "Look, there's all these other issues, there's all these other things, I had all of these other worries." She doesn't say any of that.

She says that -- it's at the point where the officers leave and one of them comes back in and, in essence, says to her, "Either you or Nathan are going to get arrested."

 $\,$  And then she does a 180 and says, "It's Nathan."

She then comes back here to Red Lodge on May 8th or 9th, you'll hear which day it is, and does an interview with Chief Wells and Al Stuber. She -- Al Stuber at the end of that interview asks her to clarify whether she heard noises when she was within a few feet of that shower and her response is there was not noise. "I heard the babbling between Everett and Nathan and Nathan talking to him. I did not hear any other noises."

You're also going to see the distance. She would have heard it. We have said all along is you need

1 that I'd like to clarify with you."

Nathan is like, "I'll be right back down and talk to you again." He talks to him again, leaves and then Al Stuber calls him and says, "Could I come over to your apartment and take pictures?"

Nathan's response, "Absolutely. Come on over." And he allows him in to take pictures and you're going to see those pictures of what this apartment or cabin looks like, and the shower and everything.

What you're going to quickly realize is it's a very small place. The shower is a stand-up shower like a square or stand-up shower. It's not a tub, a very small area.

And when you see the entire picture in which -- what defense has asked you to do, is not jump to conclusion because of the pictures and the emotion that it invokes in the pictures of injuries, but instead wait until you hear all of the facts, all of the evidence, and then start seeing the consistency or inconsistency of what happened.

And what you're going to see is the State -you're also going to see that Ryann on her medical -you're going to see a videotape of Ryann and Nathan
going to Beartooth Clinic on the 18th for the
appointment with Dr. Whitehead. There's a video and

they come into the -- what I'm going to call the waiting area, where you check in and wait for your appointment. There's wideo of that.

3

5

6

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

Ryann checks in with the people. Nathan is holding Everett and walking around with Everett. Watch the video. The entire time Nathan has Everett. Ryann never once comes over and says — takes her baby from Nathan. Nathan holds that baby until they go back to see Whitehead.

Nathan is the one who asks Whitehead to look at the bruise on the forehead. And it's also important to listen to Whitehead's recollection of what that bruise was and what it meant to him.

And the other medical part that's important to listen to is Ryann does the intake information for the Beartooth Clinic. And the intake says that Everett was throwing up and had diarrhea. You're going to hear Dr. Laskey say that when she talked to her in Salt Lake there's no mention of diarrhea. No mention to Dr. Laskey of diarrhea.

Even though at Beartooth, Ryann is the one who -- that's her comment to the intake person is that's what we're here for is that. You'll also note on that day here Dr. Whitehead's notes and his testimony will confirm that they had reported to him -- "they" being

```
One moment, Judge.
THE COURT: Sure.
```

MR. NIXON: Ryann, come right up this way and

#### RYANN SELF,

WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

--000--

THE COURT: I'm just ask you to take this chair right here, okay? And I'll ask you to pull the mike down to a good level.

All right.

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

### 16 BY MR. NIXON:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Please state and spell your name.
  - A. Ryann Day Self, R-y-a-n-n D-a-y S-e-l-f.
- Q. Where are you from, Ry?
  - Can I call you Ry?
- A. Yes.
  - O. Where are you from?
    - A. Billings, Montana.
- 24 Q. Do you need a second to catch your breath?
  - A. Just a minute.

158

Ryann and Nathan -- that Everett was doing better and that they came in to see that.

When you see all of this, these facts and the points -- and a lot of it is going to come out during cross-examination by me of the State's witnesses, what was said on which time and where people were and what happened. You're going to reach the conclusion that the State can't prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Nathan Polakoff inflicted any of the injuries on to Everett.

And we ask that you keep an open mind until you've heard everything in this case and not jump to a conclusion based on the State's version that they've given you.

And I've pointed out just a few of the issues that the State didn't bother to stand up here and tell you in their opening. And as the case you unfolds you're going to hear more and more of those facts.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Snively.

I want to make sure that all witnesses have been excluded by the parties and that's your responsibility to make sure.

If so, Mr. Nixon, is the State prepared to call your first witness?

MR. NIXON: The State calls Ryann Self.

1 Q. Do you need anything to drink?

A. No. Sorry.

Q. What do you do, Ry?

A. As far as?

Q. As work.

A. As work?

Q. Yes

8 A. Oh. I'm a licensed cosmetologist. I also 9 work at the Squire Lounge as a casino attendant and a 10 cocktail server.

Q. Do you also do some nannying?

A. I do for my sister and her kids.

Q. Are you married?

A. I am married.

Q. And what's your husband's name?

A. Edwin Self.

Q. And what was your name previously?

A. Ryann Wells.

Q. And are you expecting right now?

A. I am.

Q. Okay. When are you due?

A. April 9th.

Q. And a boy or girl?

A. A little boy.

Q. Do you have any other children?

I do. The well-baby child checkups and his 1 Α. 2 0. How many? vaccinations. And -- yeah. 3 Were there visits for anything besides Α. One. Q. 4 And what's your child's name? routine checkups? 5 Α. And what was Everett's name initially? Were there any concerns about any sort of 6 6 Q. Α. Everett Musch. congenital difficulty? 8 Everett Musch. What is his name now? 8 Α. 9 Α. Everett Self. 9 0. Had he ever been diagnosed with a serious 10 Is that because your husband adopted him? 10 disease? 11 11 A. And when was Everett born? Had he ever had any diagnosis involving any 12 12 Q. 13 July 13th of 2018. sort of bone disorders? 13 14 And who was Everett's father? 14 A. 15 His name is Richard. 15 Q. How about bleeding disorders? Richard Musch? 16 16 0. A. 17 17 A. Yes, Richard Musch. Q. And prior to April of 2019, had he ever been 18 Can you tell me a little bit about your 18 sick even? 19 19 relationship with Richard. Α. 20 We were high school sweethearts, off and on 20 Q. Had he had any sort of minor injuries? 21 for a long time. And we got pregnant with Everett in 21 Α. 2019 [sic]. 22 0. And at this point in time, who were you 23 Q. Okay. And has Richard been an active father 23 living with? 24 to Everett? 24 A. My mom and my stepdad. A. No. 25 And can you tell me a little bit about your

Do you raise Everett on your own? family. 0. We're a very, very close family. We're kind 2 A. 3 Or you did at the time. Now you have Edwin, of -- my sister and I are 15 years apart, so we try and 4 right? get the most out of each other. She's my rock and my mom is definitely my rock and my best friend. And we're 5 Α. 6 Q. And can you tell me a little bit about the just -- we're as close as it gets. pregnancy that you had with Everett? Was that how you were with Everett, as well? Q. 8 It was great. It was normal. He was very Α. healthy. He ended up -- I ended up going into labor Did your whole family spend time with Q. 10 five weeks early. No complications, no NICU, no 10 Everett? 11 11 jaundice light. He just wanted to come early and we got Α. Absolutely. 12 to take him home after those recommended two days that 12 And as he grew up, were you keeping track of Q. 13 we were in the hospital. 13 how he was doing developmentally? Did he have any health complications after he 14 A. 15 was born? 15 Q. How was he doing? 16 A. No. 16 Good. He was a little bit ahead. I know What kind of baby was Everett? 17 17 that he was doing some crawling and then he started to 18 stand up and he was -- all of his doctor appointments 18 Oh, a happy baby. And was anyone else responsible for Everett's 19 Q. 19 were great and he was thriving. 20 healthcare? 20 Q. In April of 2019, what sort of mobility did 21 A. Just me. And my mom. And I lived with my 21 he have? 22 mom. 22 Α. He was pulling himself up on, like, furniture 23 23 Did you take him to regular doctor visits? and he was trying to walk, but was just mostly doing the 24 Oh, all of them. 24 pull up and then sit down. 25 Q. What type of visits were those? Q. Could he stand up without pulling something

- to pull himself up on?
- 2 A. No.
  - Q. Was he crawling a lot?
- 4 A. Yeah
- 5 Q. Why don't you tell me how well he moved
- 6 around.

3

11

12

- A. He rolled. He did his crawling like the slow kind of an army crawl. And then he got to his knees and
- 9 he was crawling, but pulling himself up but he wasn't 10 walking yet.
  - Q. Was he talking at all at this point in time?
  - A. No.
- 13 Q. How about eating? What sort of diet did he 14 have at that point in time?
- 15 A. He still had formula. And we were 16 introducing solids like mashed potatoes and we did the 17 rice cereal and trying to do like, little, baby puffs
- 18 that they make and yogurt melts that they made for 19 babies.
- 20 Q. Did you have any trouble feeding him?
- 21 A. No
- 22 Q. Was he starting to chew harder foods at that 23 point in time?
- 2/ 1 No
  - Q. We've talked a little bit about your family.

Cormier.

2

3

12

13

14

15

19

20

24

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

17

20

23

- O. How old is Poet?
- A. She will be five this year.
- Q. Do you spend a lot of time with her children?
  - A. Yes
  - Q. And which children do you nanny?
- 7 A. Poet. And occasionally, Shane and Everett if 8 they were -- excuse me -- Shane and Elgin if they were 9 off school.
- 10 Q. Were you left alone with the children when 11 you were nannying?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you ever have any incidents when the children were injured during your watch?
    - A. No.
- 16 Q. Were there any times that the children had to 17 be taken to the hospital while you were watching them 18 alone?
  - A. N
    - Q. How did the children get along with Everett?
- 21 A. Great. Poet interacted with Everett as any
- two year old, she loved him, mostly did her own thing.
  She was two, he was eight or nine months then. That's
  - She was two, he was eight or nine months then. That's it, yeah.
    - Q. Did you ever have any reason to discipline

- What are your mom's and stepdad's name?
- 2 A. Kathy and Jay.
  - Q. What's their last name?
- 4 A. Kolpin, K-o-l-p-i-n. And Lawhon,
- 5 L-a-w-h-o-n.

3

12

17

19

23

- 6 Q. How long did you live with Jay and Kathy 7 after Everett was born?
- 8 A. Will you repeat that one?
- 9 Q. How long did you live with your mom and 10 stepdad?
- 11 A. Probably until Everett was one and a half.
  - Q. Had you always lived with them while you had
- 13 Everett?
  - A. Yes. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you mentioned a sister. What's your 16 sister's name?
  - A. Jennifer Cormier.
- 18 O. Where does she live?
  - A. She lives in Billings.
- 20 Q. Does she have a husband and children?
- 21 A. Yes
- 22 O. What are their names?
  - A. Her husband's name is Jeff Cormier, her
- oldest son is named is Shane Link. Her second oldest
- $\,$  25  $\,$   $\,$  son is Elgin Link. And then her youngest daughter Poet

1 the children?

- 2 A. N
  - Q. Did you ever spank any of the children?
  - A. No
- 5 Q. Did you do anything else to -- any other
- 6 means of physically disciplining them if they acted up?
  - A. No
  - Q. Did you ever discipline Everett?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Did you ever spank him?
  - A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you ever hurt him?
  - A. No
    - Q. And to the best of your knowledge -- you told
- 15 us that Everett was healthy, was your niece, Poet,
- 16 healthy while you were watching her?
  - A. Yes
- 18 Q. Are you aware of any sort of problems or 19 injury that she had?
  - A. No
- 21 Q. And did you have any worries about Everett
- 22 being hurt when he spent time with his cousins?
  - A. No.
- ${\tt 24} \hspace{1.5cm} {\tt Q.} \hspace{1.5cm} {\tt There} \hspace{1.5cm} {\tt was} \hspace{1.5cm} {\tt never} \hspace{1.5cm} {\tt any} \hspace{1.5cm} {\tt instance} \hspace{1.5cm} {\tt that} \hspace{1.5cm} {\tt you} \hspace{1.5cm} {\tt can} \hspace{1.5cm}$
- 25 think of where he was hurt by them?

171 Q. Why did you do that? How would you describe yourself as a mother? Just to make sure he wouldn't roll off the 2 A. A. I would say I'm a good mom. I love Everett 3 3 bed. more than anything and I would do anything for Everett, Q. Had he ever rolled off the bed? so I'd say I'm a really good mom. Α. Are you a worrier? MR. NIXON: Your Honor, if I may approach, I 6 Q. 6 Yes. Α. have a few exhibits? 8 How would you describe yourself as a worrier 8 THE COURT: You may. 9 when it comes to being Everett's mom? 9 (BY MR. NIXON) Ryann, I'm going to hand you 10 I would just stand up for him. And oh, man, 10 State's Exhibit 1, 2, and State's Exhibit 3. 11 just I always made sure that he was okay and that he had 11 Would you please look at these and see if you everything that he needed and that he was loved and he 12 recognize them. 13 was safe and that he was around people that loved him, 13 (Witness peruses document.) I do. A. 14 his family. I wanted to make sure that Everett felt all 14 Q. What are those pictures of? the love that he could feel. 15 My son, Everett. Α. 16 Let's look at State's Exhibit 1. Is there a 16 Q. And I know he's pretty young, but what was Q. 17 date on the back of that exhibit? 17 Everett's personality like? 18 A. Very happy and energetic. He was just a --18 Α. 19 he was a really happy baby. 19 0. What does that say? 20 Q. How often did Everett cry? 20 Α. February of 2019. 21 Typical, like food and his diaper. He wasn't 21 Q. What does that mean? Is that when the much of a crier. He was really brave. Only the 22 picture was taken? Yeah, around then. 23 necessities he would whine or cry about that he would 23 Α. 24 need. 24 Okay. Those are your initials there by the 0. What sort of things did he like to do? 25 date? He liked to play with his baby toys and he Α. really loved hanging out with me and my mom. And he Okay. And what does it say on the back of 2 Q. loved to crawl, pull himself up and just play in his 3 Exhibit 2? 4 bouncer and his walker. 4 A. April 7th, 2019. 5 0. Did he like the water? 5 0. Is that when it was taken? 6 6 Α. He loved the water. Α. 7 7 Q. What about baths? Are those your initials? Q. 8 A. Loved baths. 8 Α. Q. Have you ever had any difficulty bathing How about State's Exhibit 3? 9 Q. 10 10 April of 2019. Everett? Α. And are all of those exhibits true and 11 Α. 11 0. 12 And I'm talking as of April of 2019, how was accurate depictions of your son for those dates? 12 0. 13 13 A. Yes. he sleeping? A. 14 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I move for the admission of State's Exhibits 1, 2, and 3. 15 How did you put Everett down to sleep in the 15 0.

16 evenings? 17 I would usually have formula and he would lay 18 with me and we would rock or we would sit until he fell 19 asleep. 20 Q. Okay. And would you use pillows? 21 Α. 22 How would you use them? 23 Kind of like a little barricade. I would put a pillow at the top, two pillows on the side, one at the bottom.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Snively? 17 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, can I just see --18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MR. SNIVELY: We don't have an objection. 20 THE COURT: Without objection, State's 21 Exhibit 1, 2, and 3 are admitted. 22 (Wherein, State's Exhibit Nos. 23 1, 2 and 3 were received.) 24 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, may I publish State's Exhibit 1?

THE COURT: You may.

2 (BY MR. NIXON) Ry, what's going on in this 0. 3 picture?

- A. I think we were playing at my sister's house.
- That was in February of 2019? 0.
- 6 Α. Yes.

5

10

11

12

15

16 17

20

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- MR. NIXON: Please publish State's Exhibit 2.
- 8 (BY MR, NIXON) This is the photo that you identified as having been taken on April 7th, 2019. 9
  - What's going on here?
    - We were in his playroom at my mom's house.
    - And what is he doing?
- He had pulled himself up with his hands on my 13 lap and I took his picture. 14
  - MR. NIXON: Please publish State's Exhibit 3.
  - (BY MR. NIXON) You have this marked as "April 2019." What's going on in this photo?
  - A. Again, we were at my sister's house and he was under his bouncer saucer-thing and he thought he was pretty cool in that one.
- 21 Q. Did you try to date at all when you -- you broke up with Richard?
- 23 A. No, not really.
- 24 Q. Did you eventually make the decision to try
  - to start dating again?

- Nathan Polakoff.
- 0. And is he in the room here today?
- Α.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Would you please point him out. Q.
  - He's right there.

MR. NIXON: Let the record reflect that she's indicated that Nathan Polakoff is in fact seated at the defendant's table and is the defendant.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

- (BY MR. NIXON) How did things start out with you and Nathan?
- It was good. Yeah. It was -- it seemed Α. normal. It seemed good.
  - What did he tell you about himself?
- That he lives in Red Lodge and that he went A. to college. I can't remember if it was a business or not. That he was an active member in the community. He did Boy Scouts. He donated blood. He was a trained
- EMT. What did you think about his EMT?
- 21 I thought it was great. I thought, you know, 22 if he had medical knowledge that would be the person to 23 be around me and my son.
- 24 Do you recall the first time that you met Nathan personally?

174

- I did.
- Q. Roughly, when did that happen?
- Man, we broke up, I think it was October,
- November. And I tried dating in -- must have been 5 January or February, 2019.
- How many serious relationships had you had at 6 Q. that point in time?
  - A. Really just Richard.
  - THE, COURT: Mr. Nixon -- you speak very softly, so I'll have you pull the mike closer.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

THE COURT: That's okay. Thanks.

- (BY MR. NIXON) What concerns did you have about starting to date again?
- A. I guess just dating in general with an infant and wondering what that would be like.
  - Q. What concerns did you have for Everett?
- Your typical mom concerns, who is going to be around him, how will it affect my life with that person.
- Just worry, I quess, even if it was something that I could do.
- 22 Q. How old was Everett at that point in time?
  - Seven months, seven or eight months.
  - Who was the first person that you dated after you made the decision to date again?

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- I don't recall the date.
- I'm talking about the event? Q.
  - Α.
  - 0. Do you recall what type of meeting it was?
- It was public. We met in the mall, at the A.
- Rimrock Mall in Billings, Montana.
  - Q. Who was with you?
  - It was me and Nathan and Everett. Α.
- Okay. And how -- were you taking care of Q. Everett while you met with Nathan?
- Α. Yes.
  - Were you carrying him? 0.
- No, he was in a stroller.
  - And did Everett react to the defendant at
- 15 all?
  - Α.
  - Did Everett insist on being picked up?
    - Α.
  - Q. Did you let the defendant carry your baby that day?
  - Α.
    - Q. Why not?
    - Α. Because I just met him that day. Like,
- 24 Everett always palled around with me when I did
  - everything and I chose not to. It just -- he was new in

our life and it was the first time we were meeting and I 2 wanted to meet in a public place. No, I didn't let him 3 pick up Everett.

- And how did your relationship progress?
- It was good. It was kind of long distance, because he's from Red Lodge and I'm from Billings and so, I mean, we talked and we met up a couple of times and that was about it.
- You told us about your tight family. Did 9 Nathan have to meet your family? 10
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

8

11

12

16

17

20

23

3

7

9

12

16

22

- Can you tell us about that. 0.
- 13 He met everyone. He met my sister, my stepdad, my mom. Just -- he was hanging around us so my 14 15 family wanted to make sure that everything was okay.
  - How did they seem to get along? Q.
  - Α. Good.
- 18 0. I'm going to ask you a couple of kind of 19 embarrassing questions here. I apologize for that.
  - Did your relationship with Nathan become
- 21 sexual?
  - Α. Yes.
    - And to ask you an even more embarrassing
- question, do you have any sort of affinities for violent

1 week off, but we were going to go up there to spend a 2 couple of days up there with him.

- Where did the day start out for you? Q.
- At my sister's.
  - In Billings? Q.
- Yes, in Billings. Α.
  - And what did you end up doing the rest of
- that day?

3

8

9

10

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. I nannied. I was on shift until 5:00, until my sister got home from work.
- When did you head towards Red Lodge?
  - I think it was around 5:30 or 6:00. Α.
- Prior to leaving, how was Everett doing that Q.
- 14 day? 15
  - Α.
  - What sort of mood was he in? Q.
    - A.
    - 0. And what was his energy like?
  - Α. High.
    - Q. What had he been doing that?
  - Α. Hanging out with his cousin.
  - 0. How did he like that?
    - Α. He loved it.
- 24 0. What sort of concerns did you have about
  - Everett at that point in time?

- Α.
- 2 Q. Do you enjoy being hurt when you have sex?
- 4 0. Do you enjoy hurting other people when having
- 5 sex?
- 6 Α. No.
  - Have you ever? Q.
- 8 Α. No.
  - Q. What about Nathan?
- 10 I know he wanted to try it, but that was it.
- 11 It was like a light spanking.
  - Is that anything that you had been interested in?
- 13
- 14 No, but I was open. It was...
- 15 Did you and Nathan decide a safe word?
  - Α.
- 17 I apologize for asking such personal
- 18 questions here.
- 19 Now, do you recall the events of April 17th 20 through April 20th?
- 21 Α. Yes.
  - - How did April 17th of 2019 start out?
- 23 It was a typical day. I was nannying for my
- sister and we were going to go up to Red Lodge, because
- he had -- I can't remember if it was the weekend or the

- Α.
  - Did you have any worries about his health at 2 Q.
    - all?

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Α.
  - Q. So what happens after you leave for Red

- Lodge?
- We drive. And we got there -- I can't Α.
- remember. It had to have been 7:00, 7:30, I think.
  - Where did Nathan live? Q.
  - Here in Red Lodge. A.
  - 0. Do you know the address?
  - No, I don't. A.
- Can you tell us a little bit about -- I'll
- 14 back up a little bit.
  - Do you know what county Red Lodge is in?

  - Α. Carbon.
  - Can you describe Nathan's residence.
- 18 It was like a little cabin-house. It was a Α.
  - one-bedroom.
    - Q. Fairly small?
      - Α.
      - Q. Any rough idea how big it is?
      - I don't know. A.
  - Q. Was there a separate bedroom?
  - Yeah, the one bedroom.

```
183
          Q.
                 How big was that bedroom?
                                                                                  MR. NIXON: I'm going to move to admit
                Like...
                                                                       State's Exhibit 4.
 3
                I'm not asking for dimensions. Even if you
          0.
                                                                                  THE COURT: 4?
      say small, big, medium.
                                                                                  MR. NIXON: Yes.
 5
          A. It's kind of in between small and medium, I
                                                                                  THE COURT: Any objection?
      would think.
                                                                                  MR. SNIVELY: No objection.
                                                                  6
          Q. How about the bathroom?
                                                                                  THE COURT: Without objection, State's
 8
                It was pretty small.
                                                                       Exhibit 4 is admitted.
                                                                                               (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 4
 9
                Okay. And what sort of shower did it have in
                                                                 9
10
      it?
                                                                10
                                                                                                was received.)
                                                                                  (BY MR. NIXON) What does State's Exhibit 5
11
              It was just a stand-only shower. There was
                                                                11
12
    no bathtub.
                                                                12
                                                                       show?
              Okay. And were there doors closing off both
                                                                                  Half of his futon and the base heater.
13
                                                                13
                                                                           Α.
14
      the bedroom and the bathroom?
                                                                 14
                                                                                  And do you recognize that?
15
          A. To my knowledge, yes.
                                                                 15
                                                                           Α.
               What about the living room area?
                                                                 16
16
                                                                           Q.
                                                                                  Is that a true and accurate depiction?
17
               It was open.
                                                                17
18
              From what you've said, is it fair to say
                                                                18
                                                                                  MR. NIXON: State's moves to introduce
    you're always pretty close to any other room in the
                                                                19
                                                                       State's Exhibit 5.
20
      house?
                                                                20
                                                                                  THE COURT: Mr. Snively.
21
        Α.
                Yeah.
                                                                 21
                                                                                  MR. SNIVELY: No objection.
22
          0.
                So what do you do when you and Everett show
                                                                 22
                                                                                  THE COURT: Without objection, State's
23
      up at Nathan's?
                                                                 23
                                                                       Exhibit 5 is admitted.
        A. I remember we got out, we greeted and got
                                                                24
                                                                                               (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 5
      Everett in there, it was his bedtime. So we -- I got
                                                                                                was received.)
      him a bottle and I got him situated on the couch and I
                                                                                  MR. NIXON: Sabrina, will you please publish
      gave him a bottle until he fell asleep.
                                                                       State's Exhibit 4.
          Q. Then what did you do?
                                                                                  If I may, Judge?
                                                                  3
                And then I laid him down on Nathan's futon.
                                                                  4
                                                                                  THE COURT: Yes.
                 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, if I can approach,
 5
                                                                  5
                                                                                               (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 4
 6
     I'm going to show Ms. Self State's Proposed Exhibits 4
                                                                                               was published to the jury.)
 7
                                                                                  (BY MR. NIXON) So you've told us that this is
                 THE COURT: Go ahead.
                                                                       his bedroom and you said it wasn't accurate. What did
 8
9
                (BY MR. NIXON) Do you recognize those
                                                                       you mean when you said it wasn't an accurate depiction
          Q.
                                                                10
                                                                       of his bedroom?
10
      pictures?
11
                                                                 11
                                                                        A.
                                                                                 How the pillows are set up.
         A. Yes.
12
              What is State's Exhibit 4?
                                                                12
                                                                                  Okay. So this isn't how you put Everett down
                                                                       for the evening that night?
13
          A. His futon mattress.
                                                                13
14
          Q. And is that in his bedroom?
                                                                14
                                                                         A.
15
                                                                15
                                                                                  How should it be to be accurate?
                                                                16
                                                                                  There should be a pillow on the side and a
16
              And is that a true and accurate depiction of
17
      his bedroom as of April of 2019?
                                                                 17
                                                                       pillow on the bottom and then a pillow on the other
                                                                 18
18
19
                                                                19
         0.
              It's not true and accurate? What's different
                                                                                  MR. NIXON: If I may approach the photograph,
20
      about it?
                                                                20
                                                                       Judge?
21
          A. The pillows.
                                                                21
                                                                                  THE COURT: Yes.
22
          Q. Okay. We'll address that in a little bit.
                                                                22
                                                                           Q.
                                                                                  (BY MR. NIXON) Are you saying there should be
                                                                 23
      Is that an accurate picture of his bedroom?
                                                                       a pillow here?
        A.
                Oh, yes.
                                                                 24
                                                                          A.
25
                                                                25
          Q.
                Okay.
                                                                           Q.
                                                                                 A pillow here, as well?
```

Α. Yes.

3

6

9

12

13

16

17

- 2 Anything else? 0.
  - Α. No.
- Is that normally how you put Everett down for 5 the evening?
  - Α. Yes.
- I believe you mentioned he had never escaped 8 from that pillow corral?
- 10 And I'm going step back a little bit, had you ever had Everett sleep at Nathan's place before this?
  - Α.
  - Had you spent much time at Nathan's house?
- 14 We would go up for a day or so, but other 15 than that, no.
  - Q. This was Everett's first trip?
  - Α.
- 18 And prior to this, had you let Everett spend
- 19 time alone with Nathan? 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. As you set him down, what concerns did you have about Everett at that point in time?
- 23 A. None. I had used the pillow method when we were at my house and I felt confident that he wasn't
- going to get out of it.
- 186
- And based on what you knew about Everett at the time, could he pull himself while he was on that
- bed?

3

4

5

6

10

22

- Α. No.
- 0. And why not?
- A. Because there was nothing to pull himself up.
- Q. So what happens after you put Everett to bed?
- 8 Α. I remember he went to bed, hard, he was
  - snoozing. And I came out to the living room, and at that time Nathan didn't have a TV, so we were watching a
- 11 movie on his computer. And I remember him getting up
- 12 and telling me that he had heard noises coming from the
- 13 bedroom and he wanted to go check on Everett. Had you been listening for noises, too?
- 15
- Did you hear anything? 16
- 17 I didn't.
- 18 What happened then?
- 19 A. I remember him saying he wanted to go check
- 20 on Everett and I said, "That's okay." And then I heard 21 a thump.
  - Q. What kind of a thump was it?
- 23 A. Like something dropping.
- 24 What did you -- what did you hear next?
  - Everett crying and I was in -- I was in the

room immediately.

2

9

10

12

13

14

15

18

19

22

23

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

- So you were very close? 0.
- Α. Yes.
- Q. How long had Nathan been away from you before you heard that thump?
- It had to have been five minutes, maybe. Α.
- Okay. But you were able to respond very quickly once you heard the crying?
- A.
- How long do you think it took you to get Q. there?
  - Α. Seconds. It's a pretty small cabin.
- I'm going to go ahead and put up State's Exhibit 4 again. Where is Everett when you go into the
- bedroom?
- 16 Α. Towards -- so Nathan is actually holding him 17
  - and he's out of the picture towards the right. 0. Okav. So he's in Nathan's arms?
  - Α.
- 20 Q. And you say "right," are you talking about 21
  - towards the foot of the futon?
    - Α.
    - Q. Okay. And what is he -- what is happening
- 24 when you go in there?
  - Α. He's bouncing him like this (indicating).

  - He's crying. Α.

Q.

- What do you do?
- Α. I take him. That was my first instinct, I
- took Everett away. And Nathan told me that Everett had
- rolled off the bed and so he had a little bump right

And what is Everett doing?

- here. And once I took him, he started to soothe down
- and calm down, and I was, like, okay. So then I gave
- him a bottle and he went back to bed.
  - Q. And describe the bump that you saw.
- Α. It was like a little -- it was like a goose egg right there (indicating).
- Did he have that bump earlier in the day? Q.
  - Α.
- 15 Had you ever seen a bump like that on Everett 0. 16 before?
- 17 Α.
  - What concern did that cause? 0.
  - That he rolled off the bed and I -- yeah.
  - Q. What happened after Everett went back to
- 21 sleep?
- 22 A. I got Everett back to sleep and I went to bed
- 23 with him.
- 24 Q. Was that the last thing that you did that 25
  - day?

A. Yeah.

3

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

- Q. Why don't you tell me what happened the next day on the 18th.
  - A. He woke up and he just was not acting himself at all. He wouldn't eat anything, he wouldn't drink anything, he was crying and I was starting to get worried.
    - O. How would Everett normally act?
- A. Usually he would wake up, eat, like his rice cereal, have a bottle and then start playing.
  - Q. What was his activity level like on the 18th?
    - A. Really low, really low.
  - Q. And what would he normally eat in the mornings?
- A. Rice cereal, teething cookies. Just all sorts of little food-appropriate snacks.
  - Q. What did he eat that day?
- 18 A. It was -- I tried to give him a bottle and he 19 threw that up and he didn't want anything to do with his 20 rice cereal.
- 21 Q. Was that the second time that he had vomited 22 since the previous night?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- Q. What other concerns did you have about his health?

- than that. He was big.
  - Q. And how quickly could he move across a surface like a futon?
    - A. Not very fast.
      - Q. Why is that?
    - A. Because he wasn't like speed crawling. He
- was like -- he was moving and he was crawling and stuff, but he wasn't like trying to get up and over pillows or
  - anything.
- Q. So before I interrupted you you were telling me that you were concerned about his headache. What did you decide to do with Everett that day?
  - A. Take him to the doctor.
  - Q. Do you remember what time that was?
  - A. I think it was in the evening.
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  And what did the bump look like at that point in time?
- A. It was still a little red. I think there was some bruising. I can't remember for sure.
- Q. And at this point in time why did you think that Everett had rolled off the futon?
- 22 A. Because Nathan had told me Everett rolled 23 off.
  - Q. Did you ever see him roll off the futon?
    - A. No

190

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- . That he might have a concussion.
- Q. Why did you think that?
- A. I thought he had rolled off the bed and that's how he got his bump. I kept asking Nathan, "Are you sure he doesn't have a concussion?" And he said, "No."
- And he just wasn't getting any better. He just -- like I said, he was really sleepy and I kept getting worrieder and worrieder.
- MR. NIXON: May I publish State's Exhibit 5? THE COURT: Yes.
- (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 5 was published.)
- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) So tell us what this picture is of again, please.
  - A. Nathan's bed and the base heater.
- 17 Q. Is this the location that you believed
- 18 Everett rolled off of?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. How high off the floor is this bed?
  - A. I think it was like up to my ankles.
  - Q. What's the surface of the floor?
- 23 A. It's not like concrete, it was carpet.
- 24 Q. At this point in time how big is Everett?
  - A. He was 25 pounds, maybe a little bits more

- Q. Did you have any other reason to believe
- that?

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- A. No
- Q. So who went to the appointment at the Beartooth Billings Clinic?
- A. We both did.
- Q. Is that -- excuse me. That was with who?
  - A. With Nathan.
- Q. And who was the appointment with?
  - A. Dr. Doug Whitehead.
- Q. And what did you tell Doug Whitehead when you went into the appointment?
- A. That Everett had rolled off the futon and he has a bump on his head and he was throwing up and he wasn't eating.
- Q. Okay. And when you checked into the clinic, who was holding Everett?
- A. It was Nathan.
  - Q. What were you doing?
- 20 A. I was checking him in, doing all the 21 paperwork and stuff.
  - Q. Were you able to hold Nathan -- excuse me -- hold Everett while you were doing that?
    - A. I'm sorry?
      - Q. Were you physically able to hold Everett and

fill out the paperwork at the same time?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

- Q. You were or did Nathan hold him?
- Nathan held him, but I could have held him.
- Q. And what happened during the examination?
- 6 A. We went in there and we told Dr. Doug

Whitehead what happened and I was worried that he would have — he had a concussion and Dr. Doug Whitehead had told me that, "Oh, no. He's fine. Kids fall, get bumps and bruises all the time. I want to do an x-ray of his stomach." So I was like, "Okay."

And we went back there and he did an x-ray of his stomach. And I said, "Are you sure you don't want to do an x-ray of his head?" and he said, no, he didn't want that much radiation going through his body. So after that, we went back into his examination room.

- Q. Did he look at Everett's head?
- A Yeah
- Q. And he examined him all over; is that right?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. And what were you told to do when you left
- 22 the clinic?
- 23 A. I was told to give Everett Pedialyte, if he
- got worse come back on Friday.
  Where did you go from the examination?

- Q. Was there any particular part of his head that he was more sensitive to?
  - A. Me trying to wash the sides of his head.
  - Q. And what did you do after that?
  - A. I dried him off and then we went back to his cabin.
  - Q. At this point in time, what concerns did you have about how Everett was acting?
  - A. None. I was told that he had the flu and that if it got worse to go in on Friday.
    - Q. Did you have any other concerns?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Did you have any concerns about his head?
    - A. No.

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to the leading question. The witness answered his question.

MR. NIXON: Withdrawn.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Was there anything else significant that happened the rest of that day?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Was there any more vomiting?
  - A. Not that I can remember.

24 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'd just ask quickly

-- it's 3 o'clock, I do have a fair bit more to go

194

193

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- A. I think we went to Nathan's parents' house.
- Q. Why did you go there?
- 3 A. Because he had -- they had a washer and dryer 4 and we needed to wash clothes.
  - Q. Why was that?
  - A. Because of how much Everett threw up.
- 7 Q. So what happened when you got to Nathan's 8 folks' place?
  - A. I remember we went in there, I think Nathan started laundry and I asked his mom if I could give Everett a bath.
    - Q. And did she let you give him a bath?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. What happened then?
- 15 A. He didn't want to take his bath. He was 16 pretty fussy and every time I would try to wash his hair 17 he would flinch and start crying.
  - Q. Had you ever seen him do that before?
  - A. No.
    - Q. And what concern did that give you?
    - A. That I -- I was like, "Why doesn't my baby
- 22 want to take a bath?" I didn't know what to think. I
- 23 remember calling in Nathan and asking him, "Why is he
- keep flinching?" And he told me that he probably has a
- headache.

through, do we want to take a break or would it be wise to inquire of the jury, how the jury is doing?

THE COURT: Counsel, approach. Mr. Snively.

(Wherein, an off-the-record discussion was held at the bench outside of the hearing

of the jury.)

THE COURT: We'll take an afternoon break right now. So we'll be back at 3:15. You can go and hang out in your spacious quarters downstairs.

During this break it is your duty not to talk about the substance of this case in any way or to discuss it with anyone else or look at or access any information about the case in any way, including electronic devices or phones or to form or express any opinion on the case until the case is submitted to you.

We're in recess.

(Wherein, a recess was taken.)

THE CLERK: All rise.

THE COURT: Please be seated. Thanks.

Back on the record, DC 19-17, State versus

Polakoff.

23 Counsel is present for the State.

24 Counsel for the defendant and the defendant

is present.

The jury is not present. What do you remember about what you did that Q. We just completed an afternoon break. Is 2 day? 3 there any reason, Counsel, for the jury not to be 3 I remember that we were inside because he was Α. brought in at this time? sleepy. And I remember that during -- towards the 5 evening I thought we could go out and try and get him MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor. MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor. some air and see if that would help make him feel a little bit better. THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. NIXON: Judge, may I approach? 0. Who was with you during the day? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 9 Α. Nathan. 10 MR. NIXON: I have a copy of all the State's 10 0. How much time did he spend with you that day? 11 anticipated recordings. 11 12 It's just the same thing that I just gave 12 And what happened when you decided to go to 13 13 you. dinner? MR. SNIVELY: Okay. 14 14 I remember we went to dinner and Everett had A. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 thrown up again and we decided to go home. 16 16 MR. NIXON: Those are anticipated proposed Q. So what did you do? 17 exhibits. I just gave the same packet to Mr. Snively. 17 A. We went back to his cabin. THE COURT: Very good. All rise for the 18 0. And what did you do once you got to the 19 19 cabin? jury. 20 (Wherein, the jury is present.) 20 A. I remember I was going to give Everett a 21 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thanks. 21 shower, and Nathan told me, "No. You get cleaned up and 22 The jury is now present. 22 I'll give him a shower." 23 Mr. Nixon, do you stipulate that the jury and 23 Q. What did you think about that? alternate are present? 24 I didn't think much of it. I thought he just MR. NIXON: I do, Your Honor. wanted to help. And I was like, "Okay, that's fine." I

had no reason to believe anything else.

Okay. So what did Nathan do from that point Q. 3 in time?

I remember he took Everett and I was going to change into a dress and he took Everett into the shower.

Okay. And do you remember if the door was Q. opened or closed to the shower?

Α. I think it was like ajar, it was like open a little bit.

Q. And what could you see?

Α. Just a crack.

What could you see inside the bathroom? 0.

Α. Nothing.

13 14 So what did you do after you changed into a Q.

15 dress?

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

24

25

I remember I was picking up toys and Nathan 16 Α. 17 came out saying that Everett had stopped breathing.

> 0. You said he came out, where was he?

Α. He was in the shower.

Q. Was he still standing in the shower when he said that?

No, he came out. Like, he ran out of the 22 A. 23 door and was holding Everett and said that Everett had

> stopped breathing and he was holding Everett. Now you're holding your hands out like this.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively. MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Mr. Nixon, you may proceed with your direct of Ms. Self.

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Before we get back to where we were, I want to ask one question of clarification, after you heard the thump, what did you see when you walked into the room?

A. I saw Nathan holding Everett and bouncing him.

What had Everett done?

There was vomit on Nathan's shirt.

Where else did you see vomit?

I think on the bed.

I just wanted to go back and clarify.

So when we left off we were starting to talk 16 17 about the 19th. Do you recall how Everett was doing 18 when you woke up on the 19th?

He was pretty tired. I remember -- I think he vomited, but mostly just sleepy. He was really sleepv.

> Q. What concern did that cause you?

That he was getting over the flu.

24 Q. How did you spend your day that day?

A. Mostly inside.

(Indicating) I'd like the record to reflect that she's Q. How did you mark it? 2 holding her hands out, basically, about body-width apart 2 A. With my signature. 3 and palms in the air. 3 And to your recollection is that an accurate Q. THE COURT: The record will reflect that. recording of the 911 call? (BY MR. NIXON) And what was Everett doing? 5 Α. He was just laying like limp, laying in his Has it been altered in any way? 6 Q. arms, his head was here and his feet were over Nathan's A. No. 8 forearm. 8 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I would move the introduction of State's Exhibit 6, the 911 call. 9 Q. What did you do? 9 10 I grabbed him. I remember I grabbed Everett 10 THE COURT: Any objection to 6? 11 and I laid him down and I performed rescue breaths. 11 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor. 12 Now, you've told us earlier that Nathan was 12 THE COURT: Without objection, 6 is admitted. 13 (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 6 13 an EMT, what was he doing in the way of CPR? 14 14 A. Nothing. was received.) 15 What sort of experience do you have with CPR? 15 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, if I may, I would I don't. I just saw it on a TV show and that 16 16 Α. like to publish State's Exhibit 6 to the jury. 17 17 was the only thing I could think of doing. THE COURT: You may. 18 0. The TV show was that? 18 MR. NIXON: Just as a point of clarification, 19 Grev's Anatomy. 19 I have the same recording on the trial pad so that I can 20 Had you ever had any training? 20 play it to the jury a little bit more easily. 21 Α. No. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 So would you please tell us what you did. 22 MR. NIXON: Is there any objection to that? 23 A. I remember grabbing him and putting him on 23 MR. SNIVELY: No. the floor and covering his nose and breathing into his 24 MR. NIXON: Okav. mouth to keep the oxygen going. 25 (Pause.)

25

What was Nathan doing? I told Nathan to call 911. 3 Did he? 4 Okay. At this point in time, did Nathan 5 0. 6 provide any care for Everett? 7 A. No. How did Everett respond to your rescue 8 Q. breathing? 9 I think good. I don't know. I was just 10 doing what my instincts told me to do. 11 12 Could you tell if he was breathing? 13 Yeah. It was light, shallow. MR. NIXON: Your Honor, if I may, I'm going 15 to approach with a disk labeled State's Exhibit 6. THE COURT: You may. 16 17 (BY MR. NIXON) Do you recognize what that is? 18 19 O. What is that? 20 A. The 911 call. 21 0. And did you listen to that 911 call?

And did you, in fact, mark the disk to show

22

23

I did.

Yes.

that is the disk that you listened to?

MR. NIXON: I apologize for the delay. While we're waiting, why don't I ask a few questions. I apologize it had been working very well vesterday. (BY MR. NIXON) Who are we going to hear on 5 Q. the 911 call? A. Nathan and me. 8 Q. Okay. And who first talks to the 911 dispatcher? 10 Α. Nathan. And at some point in time do you also speak 11 0. 12 with the dispatcher? 13 A. Yes. 14 Why don't you tell what's happening at that point in time. 15 16 I remember Nathan telling me that I needed a Α. 17 break and he started to do CPR. 18 Do you remember how long you were on the Q. 19 phone with the 911 dispatcher? 20 A. No. 21 0. And when -- at what time did you hang up on 22 the 911 call? 23 A. When I saw the police, police lights outside. 24 Q. And what happened when the police arrived?

I remember seeing them and I said, "Oh, my

God, they're here," and I flung the door open and one of the officers asked if I had a blanket to cover Everett 2 3

4

5

6

13

14

23

7

8

10

11

19

20

- What was Nathan doing at this point in time? You told us earlier he was giving some sort of CPR.
- Α.
- 8 0. What was he doing at the time that the police 9 arrived?
- 10 A. I don't remember.
- 11 Q. And you just told me that there was an officer that asked for a blanket? 12
  - A. Yes.
  - Who was the blanket for?
- 15 Everett.
- Q. Where was Everett? 16
- 17 A. He was on the floor in -- not directly in
- front of the door, but back to where I could open the
- door. So he was in front of -- in front of the front
- 20 door laid down.
- 21 Q. And do you remember anybody else around?
- 22 Α.
  - 0. What was your emotional state at this point
- in time?
  - A. I was hysterical. I remember just screaming

- and big window and he was in a room on the other side.
  - 0. And who were you with?
  - I was Nathan. And then his mom and dad Α. showed up.
- How long did you wait there before you heard Q. from the doctor?
  - I don't know. A.
- 0. Do you remember who the doctor was?
- No. I just remember he was tall and he had 9 Α. 10 short brown hair.
  - And what did you learn?
  - I learned that Everett had two skull
- 13 fractures.
- 14 Q. What sort of explanation did you give Dr.
- 15 Fouts?
- 16 A. I don't remember giving him an explanation.
- 17 I remember...
  - 0. What about Nathan?
- 19 Α. I don't know what Nathan gave him.
  - Why don't you tell me what happens next.
- 21 I remember the doctor told us that he had two
- 22 skull fractures and that he -- they couldn't treat him
- 23 there and they couldn't treat him at St. V's in
- 24 Billings, so they were going to life flight him to Salt
  - Lake City.

205

2

3

8

11

12

18

20

- and I remember I felt like I couldn't move. And then I
- had to lean on Nathan to get into the cop car when they
- got Everett into the ambulance. Like, I couldn't
- physically hold myself up.
- 5 Q. Do you recall seeing anybody else show up to 6 help Everett?

  - What happened when they arrived?
  - A. I remember they put him on a stretcher to go to the hospital.
  - What else do you remember about that time?
  - A. I remember there was two officers. There was
- 12 one who I saw at the front door and the one that took me 13
- and Nathan to the back of his car to follow Everett to 15 the hospital.
- Q. And what happens when you get to the 16 17 hospital?
- He's rushed into a room to get help. 18 Α.
  - And what did you do?
  - A. I followed behind them. I remember I was
- 21 sobbing and I remember a nurse saying that we were just 22 there.
- 23 Q. What were you able to do while they were treating Everett?
  - A. Nothing. I had to sit behind a glass wall

And what did you do when you heard that? 0.

- All I could think about was getting on that Α.
- 3 plane.

5

8

10

11

12

14

15

18

19

20

- Q. Did you know what Everett's status was at that point in time?
  - It was critical. Α.
    - What did that mean to you? Q.
  - That it was really serious and that I just Α. needed to be with Everett.
  - Did anyone else try to get on the plane with vou?
- Α.
- Did you contact anybody while this was going
  - on?
- My mom and my sister. Α.
- 16 And did you make any plans about going to
- 17 Salt Lake City?
  - Α. Yes.
  - Q. How about anybody else?
  - Nathan did.
    - 0. How about your family?
- 22 Α. And my family. My mom was on her way to Salt
- 23 Lake City.
- 24 Q. Do you remember much about that point in 25
  - time?

- A. I remember getting on the airplane and there was -- I don't know if they were EMTs or doctors, but they were monitoring Everett and then we got into Salt Lake City.
  - How long did Nathan stay with you? 0.
- He didn't, not on the plane.
- How long -- did Nathan stay with you during 8 the duration of the hospital visit?
  - Yes.

3

5

6

9

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 10 Can you tell me if there was ever an occasion 11 that some of your property was retrieved in Nathan's 12
  - Yes. I remember him and his mom, when we were getting ready to go into the ambulance, that they were going to go and pick up some of our stuff.
    - And where did you fly out of? Q.
  - Red Lodge.
- 18 And can you tell me a little bit about the 19 type of plane that you got on?
  - A. No.
  - How many people were on the plane?
- There was three -- I don't know if there were
- EMTs or doctors, me, and then I think there was a pilot. 23
- Could you see Everett?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- And how was he during that flight down?
- He was stable, but he was sucking on his hand really hard.
- 0. Do you remember anything else that you observed about Everett?
- A. No.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall what time it was that 8 you flew out of Red Lodge?
  - No.
    - Do you recall when you got in Salt Lake City?
  - I think it was early in the morning. It was still dark out.
  - What is your overall emotional state at this point in time?
  - A. Hysterical. I haven't slept yet, haven't eaten and I don't know what's going on.
    - Q. What are you concerned about?
  - Α. If he's going to be okay.
    - Q. Do you recall what kind of staff you talked to when you got to Salt Lake City?
  - A. A lot. I remember we got through there, they took Ev. And I remember there was a case worker there and she asked me what happened. And then I met with
- 23 another doctor who was on that night.
  - Q. And were you able to stay with Everett at

- that point in time? 1
  - Α. No.

209

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 0. Where was he?
- He's with a team of doctors.
- Who did you know when you were down there? Q.
- I'm sorry. What? Α.
  - Q. Who did you know once you got there?
- A. No one.
- 0. And what sort of support staff did you get when you got on to the ground?
- There was the EMTs, and then there were the doctors and then that one case worker, but other than that, I was by myself.
  - Q. When you say "case worker," what do you mean?
- She was just a case worker that was there. I Α. don't know how she ended up there, but she was there.
- MR. NIXON: May I have just a moment, Judge?
- THE COURT: Sure.
- 19 MR. NIXON: If I may backtrack a little bit, 20
  - I'd like to publish the 911 call again.
    - THE COURT: All right. (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 6
    - was published.)
  - MR. NIXON: Please stop it there.
    - THE COURT: Start it over and put it on a

mike.

- MR. NIXON: Let's get the microphone on it.
- (BY MR. NIXON) Whose voices were we listening to on that call?
  - Α Mine and Nathan's.
- Before we backtracked, I believe you said you Q. were alone in Salt Lake City, but you also told us you had made plans in Red Lodge for other people to join you. What plans had you made for your family to meet you?
- That they were -- they were going to -- my mom and Jay were coming to Salt Lake City to be there with me.
- Can you tell me whether or not you had an Q. opportunity to talk to Nathan about coming to Salt Lake City?
- Α.
  - What did you decide? 0.
- A. He said that he would be on his way as soon as he could.
- Q. And what else did you and Nathan talk about while you were at the Beartooth Billings Clinic?
- A. That when he was going to come to Salt Lake City, because I remember he asked his mom and dad if it was okay if he could go.

213 215 Can you tell me whether or not you had the (Wherein, an off-the-record 2 opportunity to speak with Nathan alone at Beartooth discussion was held at the 3 Billings Clinic? 3 bench outside of the hearing 4 Α. Yes. of the jury.) What happened? (Wherein, the witness returns 5 0. A. I remember when I was sitting behind the to the witness stand.) glass, he was sitting with his mom and Nathan got up and (BY MR. NIXON) Do you recognize what those 8 wanted to speak with me in private. pictures are? 9 Q. Where did you go? 9 A. Yes. 10 It was an empty exam room where I was 10 And did you also have a chance to look at the 11 sitting. 11 back of the photos, as well? 12 Q. What happened? 12 Α. A. I remember him pulling me in there and Are those photos all labeled "April 2019"? 13 13 telling me, "Whatever you do, you've got to tell them 14 14 A. that I wasn't alone with Everett." 15 Q. Is that your signature next to those dates? And I was like, "What do you mean? I've been 16 16 A. telling the truth. It was an accident." 17 17 Q. Does that signify when those photos were 18 Like he's... taken? 19 Q. What did you think about that? 19 Α. Yes. A. At first, I didn't think anything about it, 20 20 Q. What are those photos of? 21 because all that I knew was that Everett had two 21 Α. Everett in Salt Lake City. fractures and he had just been to the doctor's and now 22 0. Are those true and accurate pictures of 23 we're going to Salt Lake City. 23 Everett when he was in the hospital? 24 O. Okav. 24 A. Yes. 25 MR. NIXON: So I'm going to go ahead, and if 2.5 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, the State moves for 214

```
I may, Judge, show the witness what is marked State's
                                                                        the introduction of State's Exhibit 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12,
    Exhibit 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13.
                                                                        13, 14, 15 and 16.
                THE COURT: 7 through 13?
                                                                                  THE COURT: Mr. Snively?
 4
                MR. NIXON: As well as 14, 15, and 16.
                                                                  4
                                                                                  MR. SNIVELY: No objection.
 5
          Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Would you please take a
                                                                  5
                                                                                  THE COURT: Without objection, State's
 6
      moment to look at these.
                                                                        Exhibit 7 through 16 are admitted.
                THE COURT: And, Mr. Nixon, you have
                                                                                                (Wherein, State's Exhibit Nos.
      permission to approach the witness.
                                                                                                7 through 16 were received.)
                MR. NIXON: I apologize. I thought I asked.
                                                                                  (BY MR. NIXON) At what point in time on the
10
                 THE COURT: No, no, you did. I'm just saying
                                                                 10
                                                                        20th did you actually first get to see Everett?
                                                                 11
                                                                                  When he was in the ICU.
11
      for the rest of your direct, you can go ahead and
                                                                         A.
      approach to offer your exhibits. That's fine.
                                                                 12
                                                                                   Do you have any idea what time of day that
12
                                                                            0.
13
                                                                 13
                                                                        was?
                MR. NIXON: Okay.
                THE WITNESS: (Witness peruses document.)
                                                                 14
                                                                         Α.
15
                Can I please have a minute? Can I have a
                                                                 15
                                                                                  Any recollection if it was the first part,
                                                                 16
                                                                        last part or is it just kind of kind of a blur?
16
      minute, please?
                                                                 17
                                                                         A. It's a blur.
17
                MR. NIXON: Can she have a second, please?
                 THE COURT: Just go out and we'll take a
                                                                 18
18
                                                                            0.
                                                                                  Okav.
19
    brief minute. We're not going to break, but go ahead,
                                                                 19
                                                                                  MR. NIXON: And if I may, Judge, I'd like to
20
    Mr. Nixon, and take the witness out to the hall for a
                                                                 20
                                                                        publish State's Exhibit 7.
      minute.
                                                                 21
                                                                                  THE COURT: You may.
22
                 MR. NIXON: Okay.
                                                                 22
                                                                                                (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 7
23
                                                                 23
                              (Wherein, the witness steps
                                                                                                 was published.)
                               into hall.)
                                                                 24
                                                                                 (BY MR. NIXON) What is this photo of?
                                                                 25
                THE COURT: Counsel, approach.
                                                                                 Of Everett in the ICU.
```

219 I remember that we had -- I had another case O. Is that how he appeared when you first saw manager, I was contacted by the police, the Salt Lake

Α. MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 8, please.

(BY MR. NIXON) What is this, Ry? 5 0.

Everett.

him at the ICU?

Q. And was Everett conscious when you saw him in

8 the ICU? 9

2

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

17

19

20

22

4

5

9

15

16

19

20

21

A. No.

MR. NIXON: And State's Exhibit 10.

(BY MR. NIXON) What is this?

A. Everett in the ICU.

MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 11, please.

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) What's your understanding of 14

15 what his condition was when you observed this photo? 16

A. Critical.

Q. Had you been made aware of his specific medical conditions?

A. Only that he had two skull fractures.

Q. Okav.

21 MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 12, please.

(BY MR. NIXON) How long did Everett have

23 these various probes on his head?

A. Days, I think.

MR. NIXON: Judge, if you would please

City Police, but I wasn't contacted by CPS for another

week after.

0. Okay. But you were contacted by the police?

Yes. Α.

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

5

8

10

11

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

What did the police want?

Α. They wanted to know what happened.

0. And did you provide an interview?

I did. Α.

And when was that?

That was -- I think it was April 20th. I Α.

13 can't remember the day.

> And how did that make you feel? Q.

Scared. Α.

> Q. How many police officers were there?

Α. Four.

> 0. What did you learn from the interview?

19 Α. That it wasn't -- it possibly wasn't an

accident.

Q. Who was being investigated?

Α. Both me and Nathan.

Q. Who sort of thoughts did you have when you

24 heard that?

I -- I didn't know. I didn't know what was

218

instruct the jury if I am ever blocking, please let me

know. I don't want to impede their vision.

THE COURT: I'll keep my eyes out, too. We certainly want everybody to be able to see the screen, that's for sure.

6 MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 13.

7 (BY MR. NIXON) Is that a close up of the last 8 shot?

MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 14. State's 10 11

Exhibit 15.

12 Q. (BY MR. NIXON) When was this picture taken, 13 Ryann, in comparison to the others?

A. I don't know.

A. I don't know.

Q. Okay.

MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 16.

17 Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Ryann, while

this is going on, what other type -- in addition to 18

> doctors, who else are you talking to during that day? A. I'm sorry. Will you repeat that?

Q. Who else were you speaking to besides doctors

22 that day? 23

Q. Were you ever contacted by Child Protective

Services?

1 going on. I had -- that Everett had a lot of doctors

and he had teams and the only information I was getting

in Salt Lake City was that he still only had two

fractures.

Q. What suspicion did you have at that point in

time?

I still had none. Α.

Q. What did you think had caused Everett's skull

fractures that time?

Can you repeat that? Α.

What do you think had caused Everett's skull Q.

12 fractures at that point in time?

A. I don't know.

14 Did you still trust Nathan at that point in

15 time?

16 Yeah. I didn't know how anyone could -- the 17

thought of anyone hurting a baby, I just -- I couldn't

-- I couldn't accept it.

Q. Were you asked if you hurt your baby?

Yes.

Q. What did you say?

Α.

Q. Did you hurt your baby?

24 Α.

> Q. Did you cause there to be a bump on his head

on the 17th of April?

- No.
- 3 And did you hurt him and cause him to have skull fractures?

5

6

9

10

17

18

19

Had you ever -- withdrawn.

Did you have any contact with Nathan around

8 the time of that police interview?

- A. Yes.
  - When did you contact him?

11 Maybe I'll back up a little bit before I ask that one. Do you remember what time of day the police 13 interview was?

- A. It had to have -- it was at night. I don't 14 15 know the specific time, but it was dark out. I remember 16 it was dark out.
  - Q. Okay. And when did you talk to Nathan?
  - A. I think before.
  - Where was Nathan when you talked to him?
- 20 He had told me that he was on his way from 21 Red Lodge.
- 22 Q. Did he provide better information about where 23 he was?
- 24 A. No.
  - Q. Did you have any idea where he was?

- with law enforcement again?
  - A. About what?
- And did you have any more conversations about 0. law enforcement after that?
  - Α.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

- What did you and your family decide to do Q. regarding law enforcement?
- A. That we would cooperate no matter what and we just wanted to get to the bottom of what happened.
  - And why was that?
- Because we knew at that point that it wasn't an accident.
- Q. And did you continue to communicate with Nathan for awhile after that?
  - A. No.
- 16 Now, after Nathan asked you not to tell 0. 17 anybody about being alone with Everett, did he say anything else?
  - A. No.
- 20 Q. Were there any points in time that he made 21 threats against himself?
  - Α.
- 22 23 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I object. The witness 24 has already answered the question that there was no
  - other communication.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

- A. All I knew is that he was in Wyoming. He said he was in Wyoming.
- Q. What did you believe he was going to do after 4 he talked to you?
- 5 A. I thought he was going to be here to support 6 us.
  - Who else was with you at that point in time? Q.
- 8 My mom. Α.

7

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

- What, if anything, did you discuss about the police interview with Nathan?
- A. I remember telling him that the police are going to interview me. And he, again, had told me to make sure that I tell them that he wasn't alone with Everett.
- 15 Q. What was your response?
  - A. I told him, again, that I'm going to tell the truth and I've been telling the truth this entire time and that this was an accident. And he said, "Okay."
  - Q. When did Nathan get to Salt Lake City?
    - He didn't.
    - What did he tell you about not showing up?
- 22 A. I don't remember him telling me that he
- 23 wasn't coming. I remember when mom told me that he wasn't coming.
  - Q. And did you talk to Nathan about cooperating

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. SNIVELY: It's a leading question.

THE COURT: Rephrase it. Go ahead.

0. (BY MR. NIXON) What additional conversations did you have with Nathan?

- I remember when -- that I -- I'd asked him -or told him that we were going to get to the bottom of this and we were going to help law enforcement any way that we can and we wanted his cooperation.
  - Q. How did he respond?
  - Α. Not good.
  - What does "not good" mean? 0.
- I remember him telling me that he was going Α. to commit suicide.
  - Q. Did he say why?
- He said that he was going to be blamed for Α. everything.
  - What effect did that have on you? 0.
- A. I was in shock. I didn't really know what to
- think. And by that time, I got worried and I told my
- mom to call his parents. And I remember my mom was
- 22 trying to get ahold of Nathan's parents and she kept
- 23 leaving voicemails.
  - Q. Did you or your family have much communication with Nathan after that?

A. No

8

9

10

12

13

15

18

19

20

22

23

5

6

10

11

12

15

16

19

20

21

22

Q. How many times did Nathan try to see Everett after the accident?

A. None.

5 Q. You mentioned earlier that you started to 6 believe that this wasn't an accident. What had you 7 learned about Everett's injuries?

A. That they were deliberate.

Q. What were his injuries -- you said initially skull fractures. Were there any additional injuries that you learned of?

A. There was hemorrhaging behind his eyes and he got — they think he had a stroke and he was paralyzed on, I think it was the left side of his body, he was temporarily paralyzed.

16 Q. Were there any other disorders that they 17 identified?

A. Not that I can remember.

Q. You mentioned his eyes. How were his eyes affected?

21 A. They were crossed.

Q. Had they ever been crossed previously?

A. No.

Q. And how was Everett's vision affected?

A. Permanent. There was -- he won't -- he lost

Q. He did not have seizures?

A. Not before his injury.

Q. Oh, I'm sorry. How about after?

A. Yes

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. What happened with that?

A. I remember I was in Salt Lake City and

Everett started to twitch and it was getting

uncontrollable and we called the nurse in and she said he was having seizures and that we had to get his -- a neurologist in there.

Q. How have those seizures impacted Everett's life?

A. He had to be on Keppra, a seizure medication, for five or six months, until we could come back to Salt Lake City to his neurologist.

Q. How long did he stay at Primary Children's Hospital in Salt Lake City?

A. I think it was two weeks.

Q. Do you remember when he was discharged?

A. I think it was at the end of May, early June.

Q. Okay. And what happened after he was

22 discharged?

A. He got to come home with me. I was under CPS investigation. And I -- my mom and I and my stepdad, we all took care of him around the clock.

23 all cook care of filli around the crock

226

a lot of his sight in one of his eyes. I think it was his right eye.

Q. And was there any other complications because of that skull fracture?

A. He needed a feeding tube.

Q. Why did he need a feeding tube?

A. Because he couldn't eat and he couldn't make -- with what happened when he got paralyzed, he couldn't form a sucking face to drink out of his bottle.

Q. And had he ever previously had issues sucking out of a bottle?

A. No.

13 Q. Was he able to eat things like the rice 14 cereal that you talked about?

A. Yes.

Q. He was able to?

17 A. Oh, no. I'm sorry. He wasn't able to eat

18 because he had an NG tube.

Q. How long did he have an NG tube?

A. I think it was three months, maybe three and a half months.

Q. Now, earlier you mentioned that there had

23 been -- I should clarify, can you tell me whether or not

24 Everett had seizures?

A. No.

1 0. How long was CPS involved?

A. Three months.

Q. And why did that terminate?

A. It was --

 $\label{eq:MR.SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object. It's not relevant why CPS got out of the case.}$ 

THE COURT: Relevance is your objection?

MR. SNIVELY: Yes.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Would you please answer.

A. I was doing everything that the CPS was

asking. I was going to therapy, I was taking Everett to all of his appointments, like usual, my checkups with my case worker, Bonnie, they were all well. The house was well. Everything — he was thriving.

Q. How much follow-up was required after he was released from Primary Children's?

A. A lot. I mean, we've --

Q. Were there surgeries?

A. There was appointments, no surgery yet.

Q. Now I'm talking about since he was released from Primary.

A. Oh, okay. Yes, we have -- we have his eye doctor in Salt Lake City, so we drive out there three times out of the year to go to that. He recently had

surgery on his eve in November of 2021. He is scheduled for another appointment in Seattle for a brain scan.

- Q. How many surgeries has he had since leaving the hospital?
  - So far one.

3

5

6

8

11

12

15

16

17

23

2

3

4

7

10

16

17

18

19

20

- How many appointments do you think he's had since he's been discharged?
  - A. Five or more.
- 9 0 Where are those located?
- Salt Lake City. 10
  - Now, what other impacts have you noticed on Everett since he got out of the hospital?
- 13 When he got out of the hospital, I had to put 14 a feeding tube up his nose.
  - How did he respond to that? Q.
  - Α. Not good.
  - How did you respond to that?
- 18 Horrible.
- 19 0. What sort of treatment was required for his 20 eye?
- 21 Α. He had to do an eye patch and glasses.
- 22 0. How did he like that?
  - He hated it. He would rip it off, throw his
- glasses. We would go again, he would rip it off, throw
  - his glasses. It was back and forth.

- Before, he could eat and he could see and he got along fine with other kids.
- You told us earlier that he was just starting Q. to walk. How was that affected by his injury?
- He lost a lot of his balance. So he couldn't -- he would have -- he wouldn't be able to see anything on one side and he would run into stuff. And he would -- like he's loopy when he would walk and so we had to get him like special shoes to help with his ankles and stuff and help with his balance.
- MR. NIXON: Your Honor, may I approach to show the witness State's Exhibit 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23 and 20?
  - THE COURT: 20, you said?
- MR. NIXON: 20, yes.
  - THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 17 Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Please take a moment and look 18 at those.
  - Α. Witness complies.
  - Q. Would you please look at the back of the photos, too.
  - Α.
    - Q. What do you have written on the back of the
- 24 photos?
  - A. Dates.

230

229

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 0. How old was he when he had to start wearing glasses?
- He was 10 or 11 months.
- 0. What sort of therapy did he require?
- 5 Α. He needed physical and -- at first, physical 6 and occupational therapy.
  - What was the physical therapy for? Q.
- 8 It was for his movements when he started 9 walking, his balance. His balance was really off.
  - Q. And the occupational, what was that to address?
- 11 12 It was to help his paralysis and help regain 13 chewing motion and his sucking motions and whatever else
- 15 0. How long did he have to keep up those therapies?

that he needed.

- I think it was about a year and a half for both physical and occupational.
  - How was he affected socially?
  - He had a lot of outbursts. I know that he
- had a hard time dealing with his emotions. And we 21
- 22 didn't put him in daycare, because he was just -- he was 23 with us all the time.
- 24 Q. How did that change compared to before the accident?

- Q. You signed those?
- Yes. Α.
  - What are those pictures of?
- Of Everett.
- MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I would move the admission of these exhibits.
- THE COURT: Mr. Snively, any objection to 17 through 23?
  - MR. SNIVELY: No objection, Judge.
- THE COURT: Without objection, 17 through 23 is admitted.
  - (Wherein, State's Exhibit Nos.
- 17 through 23 were received.) MR. NIXON: May I publish these exhibits to
  - the jury, please? THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. NIXON: May I approach the witness so I
- can get out of the way?
  - THE COURT: Yeah. MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 17, please.
    - (Wherein, State's Exhibit No.
- 17 was published.)
- (BY MR. NIXON) What's the date on this? A. This one is May of 2019.
  - 0. What does this show?

235 Everett and his NG tube. told us that he most likely didn't need more surgeries Okay. How did you use that tube to feed him? done on his eye in the future and the one surgery didn't 3 So it went up through his nose and then the fix it. And he probably won't have the eyesight that he back of his throat and down to his stomach. And at was born with. And that he'll just have to keep wearing night he would have a little bag that would have his his glasses and doing the patching. formula in it that would be pumped into his stomach. Since he was injured in April of 2019, how Q. What can you tell about his eyes in this many other injuries has he had? 8 picture? Α. None. They're crossed. 9 Α. 9 0. How many emergency room visits as he had? 10 I'll have you take a look at State's Exhibit 10 A. How many health scares has he had other than 11 18. related to this incident? 12 MR. NIXON: Please publish that. 12 13 (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 13 None. Α. 14 18 was published.) 14 And how is he Everett doing today? 15 (BY MR. NIXON) What does this show? 15 Great. He's good. Α. 16 What's changed in Everett's life? 16 Before and after pictures. 17 How close in time are those photos? 17 That wasn't a very good question. How does The left one was from April of 2019 and the 18 he get along with his new dad? right one is from May 2019. 19 Α. Oh, wonderful. 20 MR. NIXON: Please publish State's Exhibit 20 MR. NIXON: One moment, please. 21 19. 21 No further questions at this point in time, 22 (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 22 Judge.

23

24

25

5

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

234 MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 21. (BY MR. NIXON) When was this photo? September 18th of 2019. 4 MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 22. 5 (BY MR. NIXON) How about this? 0. 6 October 31st of 2019. Α. 7 MR. NIXON: Please publish State's Exhibit 8 23. (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 9 10 23 was published.) THE WITNESS: August 21st, 2021. 11 12 (BY MR. NIXON) So this is a more recent 13 picture. How has his eyes changed since the previous pictures? 15 A. A lot better after his glasses. It started 16 to correct it a little bit. 17 MR. NIXON: Finally, please publish State's 18 Exhibit 20. 19 THE WITNESS: March of 2022. 20 (BY MR. NIXON) So this is the most recent, 21 right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And how have things changed since the previous photos?

A. His eye has gotten better. His eye doctor

19 was published.)

(BY MR. NIXON) When was this photo taken?

July 7th of 2019.

23

# CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SNIVELY:

Is it all right if I call you Ryann? Q.

A. I prefer Ry.

Ry. Thank you. Ry, I want to take you back Q. to April 17th of 2019. You and Everett drove to Red Lodge, just the two of you that evening, right?

THE COURT: Mr. Snively, you may cross.

MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.

Α. Yes.

And that was after you spent Wednesday nannying Shane and Poet, correct?

Α.

And the day before that, Shane had been Q.

kicked out of school for fighting, correct?

A.

And he spent that Wednesday with Everett, 0. correct?

Α.

And there was a period of time when you took Q. a bath where the kids were alone, correct?

Α.

0. At Nathan's cabin the distance is very little in that setup, correct?

A. Yes.

236

And do you agree that the distance from the couch in the living room to the bathroom is about this

distance or even a little less? 2 3 It's further? 0. I feel it was a little bit further. 4 5 How far would you estimate that distance to 6 he? I think it was -- it was from me to maybe 7 Α. 8 that wall behind you. 9 0. This half wall here? 9 10 Voah 10 Α 11 11

And you said that the door was -- so you're 12 estimating it to be 12, 15 feet? 13 A. I think so.

14 And you were out at the couch when they were 15 in the shower, correct, on the 19th?

> A. On the 19th, yes.

Q. And the door was partially opened?

18

16

17

19

24

25

5

9

11

12

13

16

19

20

21

22

23

In fact, in that cabin, that was the only

20 door other than the door outside, correct?

21 A. That I can remember. I can't remember if

there was a door to Nathan's bedroom or not. 23

But you don't ever remember a door?

A. To Nathan's bedroom?

And I think you've said it took a second or two for you to get from where you were into the bedroom?

Α. Yes.

And the only thing you saw, other than the vomit was a red mark on his forehead, correct?

Α.

And you had talked about the red mark to Salt Lake Police on the 20th of April, you talked about a red mark with Red Lodge Police on May -- was it May 9th that they interviewed you?

I think so.

0. And of '19?

Of '19. Α.

12

14

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

23

It was shortly after you got back to

15 Billings, correct?

> A. Yeah.

Q. You came up here and were interviewed and

Chief Wells' office here in Red Lodge?

Α. Yes.

20 Q. During that interview, you only talked about 21

a red mark on the night of the 17th on Everett, correct?

Α.

0. And when you see PA Whitehead the next day, the 18th, that red mark had started to bruise, correct?

I think so.

238

Yeah, I don't remember.

And now on the 17th, you said afterwards that 2

3 Everett seemed okay?

4 Α. Yes.

> 0. He slept through the night?

6 Α.

7 You gave him a bottle, he settled back down? Q.

8 Α.

> Q. Slept all night?

10 A. Yes.

> 0. And he slept on the futon between you and

Nathan, correct?

A. Yes.

14 Your testimony is you didn't hear any noise

15 prior -- on the 17th, prior to Nathan going in?

> Yes. Α.

But he told you he heard something and he was 17

18 going to go in?

> Α. Yes.

And you could have followed him in

immediately or gone and looked yourself, right?

A. Yes.

And you were only a few steps from that

bedroom where you were at, correct?

A. Yes.

And you -- prior to the -- to include the

interview here in Red Lodge, you never described the

injury as a goose egg, did you?

Α.

0. In fact, it's June of '21 is the first time

that you ever used that terminology?

I don't remember.

But you do remember that those interviews I just asked you about, it was always referred to as only

a red mark?

Α. Yes.

> 0. Never a goose egg?

Α. Yes.

14 And you've seen PA Whitehead's records, Q.

correct?

Α.

And those records reflect that Nathan asked

18 PA Whitehead to look at Everett's mark on his forehead. 19

Do you remember seeing that?

Α. Yes.

21 0. It never says in there that you were worried 22

about a concussion in those records, does it?

A. Sorry. Will you repeat that?

24 In the records from April 18th of 2019 when

you saw PA Whitehead at the Beartooth Clinic, there's no

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

243

reference in those records of you stating that you thought Everett had a concussion?

- A. No. I know that I asked Doug Whitehead if he had a concussion.
  - Q. I'm sorry?
- 6 A. I said I know I asked Doug Whitehead if he 7 had a concussion.
  - O. And that's nowhere in the records, is it?
- A. It should be in the record.
  - Q. You've looked at the records, right?
  - A. Yes

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

21

22

23

5

6

8

9

10

11

15

16 17

18 19

23

- Q. And you're the one on the 18th that checked Everett in for his appointment, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So the intake form was the information that 16 you provided to them, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And on the intake form you never told the 19 person who did the intake that you were concerned about 20 a concussion, did you?
  - A. No.
  - Q. In fact, what you said you were there for was throwing up and diarrhea, correct?
  - A. I don't remember.
    - Q. So if PA Whitehead says that was the entry

concussion on the 17th, did you?

- A. No
- Q. You told PA Whitehead that Everett was doing better by the time that you saw him at around 5 o'clock on the 18th, correct?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. So again, if that's in PA Whitehead's notes, you have no reason to dispute that?
- A. I mean, there was -- I honestly don't remember all of the conversation that I had with Doug Whitehead. It's been...
  - Q. You don't remember it?
  - $\hbox{A.} \qquad \hbox{Not all of the conversation with Doug and} \\ \hbox{it's been almost three years.}$
  - Q. So the only part that you remember is telling him to x-ray his head because there's a concussion?
- A. I remember asking him if Everett had a concussion and he said no, that it's just a goose egg and that kids fall and they bump and that he wants to do an x-ray on his stomach to make sure that his stomach wasn't turn tied.
- Q. We just agreed that goose egg was never used until after you'd seen Whitehead, after you'd been interviewed by the Salt Lake Police on the 20th of April and after you were interviewed by Red Lodge Police on

242

asis to

that was made at intake, you don't have any basis to dispute that that information came from you?

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. I believe that's speculation at this point in time.

THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) I mean, you were the only one providing intake information to the lady that checked you in, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Nathan never provided any intake information?
  - A. Not that I can remember.
- 12 Q. Okay. And is it your testimony that PA  $\,$
- Whitehead was in the x-ray room when Everett's stomach was x-rayed or scanned?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And did you go back to the exam room and talk to him then?
    - A Yes
  - Q. And now on the 17th, you previously had identified it as a red mark and you had told Salt Lake Police and Red Lodge Police that the noise you heard,
- the thud, was consistent with that mark, correct?
  - A. I don't remember.
- Q. You never told either of those police departments that you thought your son had received a

May 9th. Do you remember agreeing with me that that term came up after that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So your memory about what you told Whitehead is wrong, correct? Because goose egg was not used by you until some point after May 9th of '19.
  - A. Yes
- Q. And the entire time that you and Nathan are waiting to see Whitehead on the 18th, Nathan holds Everett the entire time, correct?
  - A. Yes
- Q. You don't -- when you finish -- there's a period of time where you finish checking in and then there's a period of time, then, before you go back and see Whitehead, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And during that time period, you never took Everett and held him?
- A. I know I held Everett when we came in, because I remember he was sleeping. And then he woke up and, yeah, Nathan was holding him.
  - Q. I'm sorry?
    - A. I said, yeah, Nathan was holding him.
- Q. That entire time period, even after you checked in?

5 ] 247

- A. Not when we first got in there.
- Q. But from the point that you finished checking
   him in until you went in the exam room to see Whitehead,
- 4 Nathan held him?

5

6

8

11

14

20

21

3

5

9

12

13

16

20

23

- A. Yes.
- Q. You never asked to take Everett at any point during that window of time?
  - A. No
- 9 Q. And you -- there had never been any violence 10 between you and Nathan, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- 12 Q. You never had any indication of any violence 13 from Nathan, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you have previously testified that Nathan was always appropriate with Everett, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You never asked to talk to PA Whitehead alone 19 without Nathan in the room, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And you never clarified to PA Whitehead that
- Nathan was not the dad, he was the boyfriend?
- 23 A. Yes
- 24 Q. And you testified that you had gone after
  - that appointment to Nathan's parents' house?

all three of you?

2

3

8

9

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- A. Yes.
  - Q. With Everett between the two of you?
- A. Ye:
- Q. And you've previously said that Nathan took a shower with Everett on the 18th, as well, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And again, it was the same setup as it would have been on the 19th, you were at the couch, right there to hear them?
    - A. Yes
- 12 Q. And you heard on the 18th the same thing you 13 heard on the 19th, didn't you?
  - A. No
  - Q. You didn't hear the two of them talking and what you described as babbling between the two of them when they were in the shower?
- 18 A. I remember Nathan taking a shower with 19 Everett on the 18th, but the door was open and I 20 remember going in and out and doing stuff.
- 20 remember going in and out and doing stuff.
  21 Q. Okay. And you heard them talking -- "them
  22 talking" being Nathan talking and Everett sort of
  - babbling?
    - A. Yes.
      Q. And you heard the same thing on the 19th,

246

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember that?
  - A. Yes.
- 4 Q. On the 18th?
  - A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you said that Nathan started laundry when
- you got to his parents' house; is that correct?
- 8 A. That's what I remember.
  - Q. Do you remember that you had taken the
- laundry over and his mother had agreed to do it for you guys that afternoon and you went there to pick up the
  - laundry that was already done?
  - A. No, I don't remember that.
- 4 Q. Do you remember Everett threw up in the
- 15 garage at their house that evening?
  - A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And that was as you and Nathan were leaving 18 his parents' house that evening, correct?
- 19 A. I think so.
  - Q. And the two of you decided to go ahead and go back to Nathan's house after that happened in the garage
- the night of the 18th, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. On the night of the 18th the three of you
  - being you, Everett and Nathan, again slept in the futon,

1 didn't you?

- A. No
- Q. And you have previously said on the 19th you didn't hear any noise, that it was quiet. Correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. In any of the times when Nathan would have held Everett you'd never noticed any marks on him or bruising on him prior to the red mark that we've just talked about on the 17th, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And on the 18th, you don't -- that's the only mark that you see until the emergency room on the 19th, correct?
  - A. Yes
- Q. You don't see any other marks that are of concern?
- A. N
- Q. You talked to the cops in Salt Lake City for about an hour, do you remember that?
- A. I remember talking to them, but I couldn't tell how long I talked to them for.
- 22 Q. It seemed like a long time?
  - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And there were -- I think you said there were 25 four officers. Were there four or three?

- A. I think there were four.
- Q. So they talked to you for a long time and then they leave you in the room alone, correct?
- A. Yes

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

22

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And at that point when they leave your room, they have told you that they think there's more information, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And you never provide them any other information other than to say Nathan did it, right?
- A. I remember telling them that -- I remember them telling me that it was either you or Nathan and I said that -- I said, "How, if this has been an accident? I don't know what's going on. No one is telling me anything."
- Q. But after they told you it's either you or Nathan, you then tell that one officer -- at that point there's only one officer in there, isn't there?
  - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. At that at that point, you tell that one 21 officer it's Nathan, correct?
  - A. Yes, that I think it was Nathan.
- Q. Right. Prior to that, when all the officers
  were in there, you denied that and when you told the one
  officer it was Nathan you didn't provide any other

1 correct?

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

25

- A. Yes
- Q. You don't tell Red Lodge Police that Nathan had showered him on the 18th, did you?
  - A. Not that I can remember.
  - Q. That information finally came out after all those interviews, right?
  - A. I think so.
- Q. Okay. And your testimony is on the 18th there was no suspicions from you that anything happened on the 18th, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, you had heard them in the shower and listened to what was going on while they were in the shower?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. And you were in a similar position on the 18th that you were in on the 19th?
  - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And on the 19th, you tell Salt Lake and Red 21 Lodge that you don't hear anything happen in the shower?
  - A. Yes
  - Q. In fact, what you tell them is it was quiet while they were in the shower, don't you?
    - A. On? On what day?

11.1

- information, did you, than you had not already provided to all four of the cops?
- A. I don't remember. I was -- I remember I hadn't slept for a long time during that interview.
  - Q. You've never looked at that interview since?
- A. No.
  - Q. So prior to the one officer saying, "It's either you or Nathan," you had denied you had any information as to what would have caused Everett's injuries other than the futon incident, correct?
    - A. Yes
- Q. And even after that interview, you've never provided more information about anything happening other than what you'd originally said, correct?
  - A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
- Q. After that interview you then interview with the Red Lodge Police and your statement to them is consistent with the early part of Salt Lake City. That there was a red mark on his forehead, he'd bumped his head, then Nathan had gone in first to see what was wrong, you were right there behind him on the 17th.

You go to the doctor on the 18th, that
information -- you checked him in because he was
throwing up and vomiting. Or I'm sorry. Throwing up
and had diarrhea, is what you had checked him in for,

- 1 0. On the 19th.
  - A. On the 19th, yes.
    - Q. You describe their shower as being quiet?
  - A. Yeah, I didn't hear anything.
  - Q. And again, you were within, by your
  - estimation, 12 feet of the shower?
    - A. Yes
    - Q. And the shower is a stand-up shower, correct?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. How big is it?
  - A. I'm not sure. Your standard stand-up shower.
    - Q. Pretty small?
    - A. Yeah
  - Q. I mean, I would have trouble in that shower turning around or moving my arms up without hitting the
- 16 sides, right? 17 A. I think so.
  - O. I mean, it is small?
  - A. Yeah. It was a small shower.
  - Q. All right. And in the interview with Salt Lake City do you recall telling them that you heard them, referencing Nate and Everett in the shower on the
- 23 19th, they were just talking and Everett was a little
- 24 whiny because he didn't feel good?
  - Do you remember making that statement to the

253 Salt Lake City Police? diarrhea, correct? Α. I don't. 2 Α. I don't remember him having diarrhea. I... 3 If I show you the --Yes. MR. SNIVELY: With the Court's permission, Q. I'm sorry? I'm going to show the witness that page of the Α. transcript and ask her to look at it and I'll follow up. So you said that he had diarrhea when you Q. 6 checked him in on the 18th? THE COURT: For what purpose? MR. SNIVELY: To refresh her memory. 8 Α. I think so. THE COURT: Okay. 9 0. When you saw PA Whitehead? Yes, I think so. (BY MR. SNIVELY) It's the middle of the 10 Α. page. If you take a minute and look at that. And you tell others that there had been diarrhea during that time period, correct? A. (Witness complies.) 12 Which others? Does that refresh your memory as to what you 13 Α. 14 Other medical people. told --MR. SNIVELY: If I may, Judge, I'm going to 15 I think so. Α. 16 retrieve the page. Q. All right. When you get to Salt Lake, do you THE COURT: Yes. 17 remember Dr. Laskey? O. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Does that refresh your 18 A. Not -- not really. memory as to what you told Salt Lake City Police? 19 Q. Do you remember one of the doctors in Salt A. Honestly, no. A lot of what I told the Salt 20 Lake asking you about diarrhea? Lake City Police I don't remember because I was up so 21 A. No. 22 0. Do you remember them asking you what the Okay. But reading that transcript doesn't 23 symptoms were that Everett had when you sought medical

24

25

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

help here in Red Lodge?

254 Do you have any reason to believe that that isn't an accurate statement of what you said to them? A. No. Just that I hadn't slept in, I don't know, like 24 hours, 30 hours. I can't even remember. 5 Q. But you were being truthful with them, were 6 you not? 7 A. All right. And I'm going to hand you, to 8 again refresh your memory, if you would take a minute and look at the top of page 24. 10 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, if I may approach? 11 12 THE COURT: You may. 13 (BY MR. SNIVELY) If you'd just take a moment and read that to yourself. 15 (Witness complies.) Do you see, having looked at that transcript, 16 17 you used the terms that they were talking and babbling in reference to the 19th? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And do you have any reason to doubt that that

would have been your statement to Salt Lake City Police

Now, when you checked Everett into the

Beartooth Clinic on the 18th, you had indicated he'd had

2

3

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

22

23

24

on that date?

A. No.

refresh your memory?

A. No.

Α. Yes. And you didn't tell them that there had been 0. diarrhea, did you? I remember telling -- I'm confused. I'm Α. sorry. You didn't tell the doctors in Salt Lake City Q. that Everett had diarrhea, did you? I don't remember. Q. And when Nate and Everett came out of the shower on the 19th, they were wet, correct? A. I don't remember if they were wet or not. I was focused on Everett. Q. Okay. And they were both naked? I remember -- yeah, yeah. Everett was naked and I -- yes, Nathan was naked, too. THE COURT: You have to turn it off. Thanks, go ahead. MR. SNIVELY: All right. (BY MR. SNIVELY) So both of them were naked Q. when they came -- when Nathan came out of the shower with Everett on the 19th, correct? Α. Q. And then at some point, Nathan put on a pair of shorts, underwear? Α. I think so.

And then at some other point later on, he

puts his t-shirt on and then when you and he go to the hospital or the emergency room, he has like pajama bottoms on and a blue-checked plaid shirt at that point, correct?

- A. I don't remember what he was wearing.
- Q. Okay. And, I mean, you've previously said that you heard the water running in the shower on the 19th, right?
  - A. Yes.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

- Q. So you believe both of them would have been wet coming out of the shower?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And again, on the 19th of April while they were in the shower, other than hearing the talking and the babbling that we've already talked about, you said it was quiet, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, in your testimony about talking to Nathan on Saturday afternoon on the 20th, I think your testimony is you thought you talked to him about 4 o'clock before you had talked to Salt Lake Police, correct?
- A. I think.
- Q. And he'd already told you that he had talked to Red Lodge Police earlier that day, correct?

hope the weather cooperates — but do your best to get here so we can get started right off and get rolling again and get a lot of work done.

In the meantime, I know you probably have this memorized by heart, but it's more important now than ever, because you're going to be out and about talking to people.

It is your duty not to talk to about the substance of the case in any way, among yourselves or with anyone else, look at or access any information about this case in any way, including electronic devices or phones or form or express any opinion on the case until the case is submitted to you.

We'll be in recess until tomorrow at 8:30. All rise.

(Wherein, the jury is not present.)

THE COURT: The jury is now out of the room. I simply wanted to make sure and admonish Ms. Self that during this break you're not to discuss your testimony with any other witness, don't discuss the questions that were asked, answers provided or anything with any other witnesses.

24 Okay. I understand that you'll be out and 25 about.

258

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
A. No. I don't remember him telling me that he had talked to them.
```

Q. Okay. But if you found out that he had already talked to Al Stuber --

MR. NIXON: Objection, speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Counsel, approach.

(Wherein, an off-the-record discussion was held at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. Okay. It is after 5:00, and as I told you, I try to work with the scheme of the witnesses but sometimes it's just pushing it. It's been a long day already with the jury selection and everything else. I don't think we can get this witness done in a timely way unless we go quite a bit into suppertime. So we're not going to. We're going to break for the evening now.

So what I'll ask you to do is, as I've said, maybe just remind you to leave your notebooks on your chair and we'll collect them and put them in the vault and keep them safe for you and when you come back tomorrow they will be right there for you to work with.

We'll start at 8:30, so do your best -- I

Does counsel need to put anything on the record before we break for the evening?

MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Nixon.

MR. NIXON: No, thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: We'll be in recess until -- for you guys, 8:15, unless something pops up. I want you guys in chambers at 8:15.

If something pops up, let me know. We can meet earlier than 8:00.

See in the morning. We're in recess.

(Wherein, court was in recess for the evening.)

\* \* \*

## CARBON COUNTY COURTHOUSE

RED LODGE, MONTANA

MARCH 9, 2022

DAY TWO OF TRIAL

\* \* \*

(Wherein, the following took place in chambers.)

THE COURT: DC 19-17, State v. Polakoff.

This is day two, morning of the jury trial. We haven't resumed yet. We're in chambers.

Counsel for the State, Alex Nixon is present.

260

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Counsel for the defendant, Robert Snively is present. The defendant is present.

3

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I asked the parties to appear at this time before we start the jury trial process again to make sure there's no issues that I need to address before so we don't waste the jury's time.

Mr. Nixon, is there any issues that need to be brought to my attention?

MR. NIXON: There is, Your Honor. I'm not sure if you received the email I sent to both you and Mr. Snively.

THE COURT: I did, but you can summarize for the record.

MR. NIXON: Yes. Well, my concern was that during the course of Ms. Self's testimony yesterday, I'd heard some noises behind me as I was asking questions and I honestly assumed that they were probably coming from the jury.

And as we took one of our breaks it was brought to my attention that there was a blond woman and a slight brunette woman that had positioned themselves in the line of sight of Ms. Self, and had been making just kind of intimidating stares, acting somewhat inappropriately.

I was told that by three or four people.

indicate that this was not new behavior. 2

Making the matters worse, last night I was contacted by Mr. Moyers, who represents Beartooth Billings Clinic, he had indicated that the -- that these same two women had confronted him and asked if he had been photographing them, which he denied. And was then asked why he was there and asked if he was associated with the prosecution. He indicated that he was not and was, once again, accused of working with the prosecution.

My concern is that this sort of behavior is volatile, I don't want to start some sort of altercation between members of the victim's family.

Mr. Snively had asked me before this started if the stepfather or mother would be willing to be in the courtroom. Ms. Kolpin, Ms. Self's mother is under subpoena she's been excluded. The stepfather is there in the courtroom.

I did ask -- I did have a talk with him yesterday before court proceeded and I told him there would be no tolerance whatsoever for any sort of behavior that would lead to problems that might be noticed by the jury.

I'm assured he also -- I also told Ms. Kolpin that if I see that kind of behavior it wouldn't Mr.

After being told about it, I turned around and was able to observe the blond woman going out of her way to try to make contact with Ms. Self.

I did ask Ms. Self directly later on if she had seen that, she had. Her husband had also observed

When I first observed it, I did mention the issue to Mr. Snively, because I didn't want any distractions as it's a very small courthouse. They could easily be seen by the jury where they were sitting.

Mr. Snively showed the same concern that I did and immediately had a talk with them.

My assistant could hear her say prior to the jury coming in, this person turning around and saying things like, to quote, "This is fucking ridiculous." And shortly thereafter, I observed her once again trying to stare at Ms. Self after she again took the witness stand and before the jury came in. I did bring this to Mr. Snively's attention.

I was hoping that that would be the end of it. The undersheriff did indicate that there had been incidents with the same person outside the courthouse on previous court appearances and that he had seen her screaming at the witness's stepfather. So he did

Snively that asked to get them kicked out, it would be 2

So I'm disturbed by what I saw yesterday and I don't think there's any benefit at this point in time, if there's going to be this continued behavior even after Mr. Snively warned them. It's just too small of a courtroom. It's not worth compromising this trial to tolerate that sort of behavior.

> THE COURT: What are you asking? MR. NIXON: I'd just ask that they be ejected

from the courtroom.

THE COURT: Do you know who they are? We'll figure that out soon. You want them to be --

MR. SPOJA: One is sitting out in the hallway right now.

MR. NIXON: I saw one right outside the door. She's a younger, heavy-set blonde woman.

THE COURT: -- removed from the courtroom for the trial?

MR. NIXON: I believe so. If Mr. Moyers -like he said, he might be available, I think he's going to be watching today, indicated that he was approached by the metal detector, so it was not even just the courtroom. It was -- he was in the hallway. That was observed by Deputy Pratt. I don't know if -- there's

55 ] 267

going to be an attempt to pull videotape, I haven't gotten it yet. It may show.

But what my assistant indicated was that when Ms. Self came in to testify these two women immediately positioned themselves in the line of sight and she could hear basically noises of disapproval coming from behind her from these two women. And that's such close proximity to the jury, basically it would be behind me.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Snively, your position.

MR. SNIVELY: Judge, my only comment is I did talk to the one lady when it was brought to my attention during the afternoon break and I told her she had to stop doing anything or she'd be kicked out of the courtroom. I mean, I don't represent them. I don't — they don't — I don't have a position.

 $\label{the court} \mbox{THE COURT: I assume they're supporters of } \mbox{Mr. Polakoff.}$ 

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  SNIVELY: The one lady is. I'm not sure who the second lady is.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NIXON: She was a slight woman with short brown hair who was sitting next to the blonde woman.

 $\,$  THE COURT: Was she engaged in the same

24 behavior?

MR. NIXON: That is what I was told. I was

by counsel, their inappropriate behavior explained, I do
believe it is important, it's appropriate to grant the
motion.

And, obviously, any behavior that is considered assaultive or anything such as with Mr. Moyers, there's laws in effect that could deal with that, whether they be prosecuted or whether it rose to that level, I don't know. But whether it be witnesses or observers or anyone facing that behavior by other observers, I simply can't have it.

As the State noted, this courthouse is very small. It's very unfortunate, because it's not any desire of the Court to try to limit anyone who might be here just simply interested or that has an interest in the case or is in support of either the State or the defendant.

But under the circumstances, the motion in this case I think that is the remedy. I'll ask the bailiff to exclude -- make sure we identify these people appropriately, exclude them from the courthouse premises.

MR. NIXON: May I have one other, Judge? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. NIXON: If, in fact, there's any sort of allegations regarding the stepfather or anybody else

told by Mr. Moyers that two women approached  $\mathop{\mathrm{him}}\nolimits_{\scriptscriptstyle{\bullet}}$ 

THE COURT: How about in court?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NIXON: In court they were sitting next to each other and I was told they were engaged in the same behavior.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{I'm at a bit of a disadvantage having my back} \\ \mbox{turned to them.}$ 

THE COURT: Well, I think they were directly behind Mr. Snively and Mr. Polakoff.

 $\label{eq:mr.snively: I didn't see them do anything.} Based on what I was told, I addressed her and told her.$ 

THE COURT: All right. Well, the Court doesn't take it lightly to exclude individuals from public -- clearly a public setting, which is this trial, or a public building, which is the this courthouse, however, especially during conduct of a trial of this nature, I do have a duty to make sure that the matter is presented without prejudice to either party.

I appreciate counsel trying to deal with the matter appropriately, trying to explain the inappropriate behavior. If that hadn't happened, I'd be inclined to admonish the individuals and give them the opportunity to behave themselves in accordance to what we demand of observers.

But given the fact that they had been warned  $\,$ 

acting inappropriately that may be there supporting the defendant, I would -- I will address them before we get to trial today. And if there's allegations of that, I would not oppose a motion from Mr. Snively to make the same request of any supporters of the victim's family.

THE COURT: Well, I haven't heard anything like that. But clearly, I'm not going to accept anything. And in addition, before we start, before the jury comes in, I'm going to talk to the gallery.

MR. NIXON: And I haven't, either.

Judge, my concern is partisanship, it's preserving this jury, keeping them in a situation where they can fairly and impartially judge things. I don't think anybody needs distractions.

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{I}}$  don't think Mr. Snively or the defendant want distractions, either.

THE COURT: Well, in further addition to the record, I'm going to note that in this courtroom where these individuals were placed is about 20 feet from the witness box and about less than 15 feet from the jury box, in clear sight of the jury, which absolutely can't have any tainting of this jury, not to mention the make up of this courtroom is such that the jury isn't able to leave to go to the jury room without going through the gallery. There's no way.

And I simply can't take the risk of having anyone in the gallery in any way interfering with the jury or trying to in any way influence the jury and that is my concern here.

I'll talk to the bailiff.

MR. NIXON: Do you want me to -- would you prefer to have the bailiff or would you like me to get somebody from the sheriff's department downstairs?

THE COURT: That might be appropriate. I am going to instruct the bailiff to watch for any more inappropriate behavior.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NIXON: And if the Court does wish to inquire,  $\operatorname{Mr.}$  Snively -- these Bobs confuse  $\operatorname{me.}$ 

Mr. Spoja did talk to Ralph Dawson about this and I don't know if he ended up seeing this. I believe there was some concern on be his behalf.

THE COURT: I believe that there was. That Mr. Dawson -- Bailiff Dawson actually did observe the same information that you've supplied to me.

Unfortunately, I wasn't able to observe it directly, due to the, as I said, line of sight was impeded. It was clearly in court during session in my presence.

MR. NIXON: If I may, I have one more thing.
That discussion Mr. Spoja and Mr. Dawson was after Mr.

in there, as well. It sounds like -- maybe I won't. Since we're now -- between now and when I step into the courtroom, something comes up one of you let me know. Otherwise, I'll just tell the bailiff to bring up the jury.

MR. SNIVELY: Judge, could we have a few minutes before we go in?

THE COURT: I'll give you -- yeah, but not very many, because I told that jury 8:30 and we got a little behind yesterday. But, yeah, I'll definitely give you a couple of minutes, Mr. Snively.

(Off the record.)
(Wherein, the following took
place in open court.)

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you.
We're in open court. DC 19-17, State versus
Polakoff.

Counsel is present for the State.

Counsel for the defense is present. The defendant is present.

The jury is not present.

I want to address the gallery quickly before I bring the jury in.

I recognize the emotional nature of this

```
Snively had advised.
```

THE COURT: All right. The reason I extended it to the courthouse premises is because of the nature, again, of what occurred, some of the additional inappropriate behavior downstairs and the jury room is downstairs.

And therefore, it's appropriate to not just exclude from the courtroom but the premises, as well.

After this trial, of course, those individuals will be free to come and go in this public building, of course, as usual.

I'll ask the State to contact a representative of the sheriff's office and politely explain it to those two individuals.

Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: I don't have anything for the record. It's a comment afterwards.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else for the record before we go in and is there anything else that prevents us from starting right off with Ms. Self?

 $\mbox{MR. NIXON:}\ \mbox{No, sir.}\ \mbox{Not as far as the State}$  is concerned.

MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We should pretty much be able to go in and bring the jury up. I'll ask the same question

trial. I recognize that the emotions can run high. And I recognize human nature is such that if you have strong opinions on a case of this nature, it's hard to conceal those.

But I will tell you, anyone here, I have a jury sitting right here, the gallery is feet from that jury. If I find anyone who is doing anything or behaving in any fashion that I believe could impact this jury or influence this jury or attempt to influence this jury or act inappropriately towards any witnesses or any parties, they will be excluded from the courthouse for the remainder of the trial.

If it continues or if there's action that is escalated from that, you'll be held in contempt. Keep that in mind, please. Accord yourself the way you need to, with courtesy appropriately in this room.

Thanks.

Bring the jury in, please.

(Wherein, the jury is present.)

THE COURT: Be seated. Thank you.

The jury is now present.

Mr. Nixon, do you stipulate that the jury and the alternate are here?

MR. NIXON: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: I do, Your Honor. of it and returned to Red Lodge. You knew that at the 2 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you. time that it happened, didn't you? 3 We had a matter that we had to deal with, so A. Yes. a couple of minutes later than I thought, but I Q. It would be incorrect when you state you don't know why he turned around? appreciate it. Let's get right to work. Mr. Nixon, I believe you were continuing with 6 Α. Yes. You knew that he was in -- well, let me Ms. Self, correct? 8 MR. NIXON: Yes, Your Honor. It was Mr. withdraw that question and phrase it this way. Snively's cross. I can make sure that she's brought in. 9 He had communicated with you and you had 10 THE COURT: Please. All right. Come on 10 communicated with him as he was driving through Wyoming, forward. You remain sworn. You can take the witness 11 correct? 12 stand. Okav? 12 Α. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 And you also told Chief Wells that Salt Lake 14 THE COURT: Mr. Snively, I do believe you Police kept pushing and pushing you for information and 14 15 15 you didn't have any information for them? were continuing your cross. 16 MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'm going to object 17 CROSS EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 17 to this. I don't think that's the appropriate way to BY MR. SNIVELY. impeach a witness. I think there needs to be an inquiry 19 O. Good morning. 19 as to whether she remembers and allow her an opportunity A. Hi. 20 20 to review the transcript if, in fact, he means to 21 I want to start with you testified on direct 21 impeach her with it. THE COURT: Overruled. I believe he just that there was no TV in Nathan's cabin on April 17th; is 22 23 that correct? 23 asked if whether that's what she said. If she A. Yes. 24 remembers, that's acceptable. Go ahead. Q. And you are aware there was a TV on the table (BY MR. SNIVELY) Just -- your answer to that

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

near the couch in his cabin, correct?

A. I thought that was a computer screen.

That's what you watched the movie on was that 4 screen that was on the table?

Yes. Α.

5

6

12

15

16

17

20

21

22

The other question, you said Nathan had turned around, you didn't have any idea why he turned around. Do you remember that, saying that yesterday?

Yes.

10 We're talking about when he's on his way to 11 Salt Lake and then he turns around, correct?

13 Q. And you talked to Chief Wells about that, do you remember that?

A. Barelv.

Sorry?

I said barely.

Do you remember telling Chief Wells that he 18 19 turned around because they were saying he had done it?

0. So you knew why he turned around?

23 So the idea that he never came to Salt Lake,

you knew because you were in communication with him that

25 he was going to turn around because he was being accused

question was that that is what you told Chief Wells, correct?

> Will you repeat the question, please? Α.

You'd told Chief Wells that the Salt Lake Police kept pushing and pushing you for information that you didn't have?

A.

And that then they left you alone as soon as Q. you said it had to be Nathan?

They gave me an ultimatum. They told me, "It was either you or Nathan."

And once you said it was Nathan, they left Q. you alone?

A.

Yesterday, you testified about Nathan's comment about suicide?

Do you recall talking to Chief Wells about Q. that, as well?

I don't remember.

MR. SNIVELY: With the Court's permission, may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Are you attempting to refresh her memory?

MR. SNIVELY: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you ask her about remembering whether she told that to Wells?

MR. SNIVELY: I thought I did, Judge.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) I'm going to hand you page 7, and if you'd just take a moment and look at that part again. Like yesterday, just look at it to yourself and then I'll ask you a question.
  - A. (Witness peruses document.) Okay.
- Q. Having looked at that transcript, does that refresh your memory as to what you told Chief Wells?
  - A. Yes.

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

17

5

6

7

8

11

12

19

20

21

22

- Q. And you told him that Nathan was considering checking himself into a psych ward because he was afraid of committing suicide because of a fight he'd had with his parents?
  - A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. There's no -- you never told 19 Chief Wells that it had anything to do with this case, 20 did you?
- 21 A. Not that I can remember.
- 22 Q. All right. And that he didn't say he was 23 going to commit suicide, he said he was going to go get 24 help by checking himself in, correct?
  - A. About the suicide?

- Q. When you went in the bedroom on the evening of the 17th, when Nathan is holding him, there's some womit on Nathan, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. On the 18th, you testify that Nathan and Everett took a shower together?
    - A. Yes

8

10

12

13

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And that you again heard them, there was talking babbling, they shower, no concerns?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And on -- and that's before you go see Whitehead, correct?
- A. Yes
- 14 Q. So he had, in essence, cleaned Everett up to 15 qo to the doctor?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. And then you go see Dr. -- or PA Whitehead.

    And am I correct that you were the only one that
- 19 provided intake information at that appointment?
  - A. Yes
  - Q. And your testimony is that -- or PA Whitehead was in the x-ray room when x-rays were being taken of Everett, correct?
  - A. That I remember, yes.
    - Q. And do you agree that Nathan asked Dr.

278

- Q. Yes.
- A. Yes
- Q. I want to make sure on the 17th of April that I understand your testimony is that Nathan went in the room and within seconds you're in the room, as well?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did Nathan holler for you or did you just go in the room on your own?
- 9 A. I went when I heard the thump and Everett 10 crying.
  - Q. All right. So you were there immediately?
  - A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you never saw Nathan do anything 14 inappropriate on the 17th?
- 15 A. No. I just saw him holding Ev and comforting 16 him.
- 17 Q. He was holding him appropriately and trying 18 to console him appropriately, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. We've already talked about that there was a red mark on his forehead?
  - A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And now your testimony is that that night
- 24 Everett sleeps all night, no issues?
  - A. Yes.

1 Whitehead to look at the bruise on Everett's forehead at

- the end of your appointment with Dr. Whitehead?
  - A. I don't remember.
- Q. You don't have any reason to dispute that if that's what is said by the other witnesses?
  - A. No
- Q. And you agree that you did not during the intake make any reference to concerns about the bump on his head or concussion, correct?
- A. I know I made it in the office with Doug and Nathan.
- Q. Right. But I'm asking you in the intake part, you did not state anything about that to the intake person?
- A. I don't remember. I would have to look at the intake form.
  - MR. SNIVELY: Judge, if I may approach? THE COURT: You may.
- Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) I'm going to hand you the document again. If you would just review it, please.
  - A. (Witness complies.)
  - Q. Does that appear to be the intake form?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And is there any reference on there about a concussion or a bump on the forehead?

- A.
- 2 0. And what does that say the reason for the visit is? 3
- Throwing up and diarrhea.
- You agree that that information, throwing up 5 0. and diarrhea, came from you?
  - A. Yes.
- 8 MR. SNIVELY: If I may retrieve that
- 9 document?

15

16

17

- 10 THE COURT: Yes.
- 11 Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) And you agree after the appointment with Whitehead, you and Nathan went to his 13 parents' house; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - And that Everett threw up in the garage as you and Nathan were leaving their residence, correct?
  - A. No. I think we were going into the residence, that I can remember.
- 19 Q. And you went there, you say, to pick up 20 laundry; is that correct?
- 21 A. Yeah, or do laundry.
- 22 And you agree that Nathan's mother held
- 23 Everett during that visit?
- 24
  - Q. And then you and Nathan on the evening of the

- I think it was on Main Street and then we went to the Boxcar for dinner.
- I'm sorry. I missed your reference to what 0. street.
  - I don't know if it's called Main Street. Α.
  - Okay. You walked on Main Street? Q.
    - For a little bit, I think. A.
  - 0. From his house?
- A. I don't know -- I don't remember if it was from his house or not, or if we drove in part.
- So there are two times you left his house that day?
- A.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

22

23

5

9

10

11

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

22

25

- 14 So the statement that you stayed at his house 15 until you went to dinner is not an accurate statement, 16 correct?
- 17 Α. We did go to dinner.
  - 0. I'm sorry?
  - Α. We did go to dinner.
  - No. The statement that you stayed at his Q.
- 21 house until you had gone to dinner is not accurate?
  - A. Yes. Because we went and we got Everett some air and then we went to dinner.
- 24 0. So was it the same trip that you were out getting air and then you end up at the Boxcar?

- 18th go back to his cabin, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 3 And the three of you spend the night together 4 in the futon?
  - Α
- 6 Q. And then the next day, your testimony is you basically hung out at his place for that entire day,
- 8 until dinnertime, correct?
  - A. Yes.
    - And at dinnertime you went -- is it the
- 11 Boxcar?

5

9

10

17

- 12 A. Yeah. It's whatever that diner is that looks 13 like a train.
- Q. I think you've referred to it at various 15
- times as the Boxcar?
- A. Yeah. 16
  - And just the three of you went to the Boxcar?
- 18
- And you didn't do anything else that day, 19 20 other than stay at Nathan's house?
- 21 A. For most of the day, yes.
- ${\tt Q.}$  Did you leave his house other than when you 22
- 23 went to the Boxcar?
- A. I remember we went for a small walk.
  - 0. Just in the neighborhood?

Α.

- Okay. So you didn't go out, go back home and come out a second time?
  - Α.
- 0. All right. So your testimony is that
- sometime just prior to dinner the three of you went out and may have walked a little bit on the street and then went to dinner?
  - Α.
  - Without returning to Nathan's cabin? Q.
  - Α.
- 12 You previously have talked about going out to 0. 13
  - lunch, as well, correct?
    - A.
  - So that didn't happen, lunch was not out, you were at his cabin that entire time, correct?
  - I know that there was a point where we went out for lunch, because he was getting refried beans and he couldn't eat the refried beans.
  - Q. Okay. But you just told me you didn't go out for lunch.
  - A. I don't remember. I'm doing my best.
- 23 So your testimony is you don't know what you 24 did on the 19th --
  - Α. I don't know what we did all on the 19th.

285 I'm sorry? accidentally hurting Everett during that time? 2 I don't know what we all did on the 19th. 2 A. None. 3 0. What concerns do you have about Everett and Because I know during the morning he was Shane spending time together? sleepy and I wanted him to get some air and I don't None. Shane loves his cousin. 5 remember any of those times. How does Everett feel about Shane? 6 Q. All right. You never saw Nathan do anything He talks about Shane all the time, and Elgin, Α. 8 inappropriate with Everett, did you? 8 and wanting to go to his auntie's house to see Shane, 9 9 Elgin and Poet. 10 You never saw Nathan angry at any time that 10 Q. And Elgin is Shane's younger brother? you were around him, did you? You've been asked quite a few questions about 12 A. No. 12 And you never witnessed Nathan be violent in 13 13 how quickly you were able to get to see Everett after he any manner while you were around him, did you? 14 was hurt. How quickly did you get to see Everett and 15 15 Nathan after you heard the thump and crying? MR. SNIVELY: I don't have any other 16 16 A. I'm sorry. Will you repeat that? 17 questions, Judge. 17 Q. How fast were you able to respond after you THE COURT: All right. Any redirect? 18 heard Everett being hurt? 19 MR. NIXON: Please, Your Honor. 19 Α. It felt like seconds to me. 20 Do you need a moment, Ry? 20 Now, how long were you away from Nathan and 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 Everett before he got hurt on the 17th? 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 22 Α. I think it was five minutes.

How old was Shane Link in April of 2019?

BY MR. NIXON:

23

22

23

24

25

0.

Q. So yesterday, Mr. Snively started out his cross-examination asking you about Shane Link?

25 It didn't feel that long. Okay. And you were asked similar questions about what happened in the shower on in 2019. You were -- you testified regarding how quickly you were able to get there after Everett stopped breathing. How long do you think it took from the time that you heard that Everett stopped breathing until you were able to get right to him? A. It felt like seconds. I could see him and I 9 10 grabbed him.

I'm not entirely sure on the time.

How long were you apart from Nathan and Everett before he stopped breathing?

Okay. And yesterday, we also heard a lot of talk about the term "goose egg." Regardless of what you want to call it, what did you observe?

18 his forehead.

Q. And when did that injury occur?

On April 17th.

0. What were the circumstances?

A. Nathan had told me that Everett rolled off

23 24

Q. And whose story was the futon story?

4 And can you please describe what Shane looked 5 like at that point in time? 6 He was a little bit shorter than me, real 7 skinny, kind of -- we refer to him as kind of like a 8 bean pole. 9 Q. And before April 17th, 2019, how much time 10 did he spend around Shane? A. A lot. 11 And how many times did you observe Shane 12 13 intentionally hurting Everett before April 17th, 2019? A. None. 15 How many times did you observe him accidentally hurting Everett before April 17th, 2019? 16 17 None. After Everett got back from the hospital in 18 19 May of 2019, how much time did Everett spend around 20 Shane? 21 Α. A lot.

How many times have you seen him

How many times have you observed Shane

intentionally hurt Everett since that time?

He was 12.

286

23

24

11

12

14

15

19

20

21

22

25

0.

Okav.

0.

Maybe 10 to 15 minutes. I'm not sure.

16 17 That there was either -- there was a mark on Α.

the bed.

Α.

You were asked yesterday and a little bit 2 today if you'd ever observed Nathan ever be violent. 3 Had you?

Α.

4

5

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

20

21

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

18

22

- From the time that you first met Nathan until 0. April 17th, how many times had Everett been injured?
  - A. None.
- 8 How many times had he been alone with Nathan 9 from that point in time?
  - A. None that I can remember.
  - Between April 17th and April 19th, how many times was Everett injured?
    - A. Twice.
- 14 How many times was he alone with Nathan? 15 Excuse me. Alone with Everett? I'm sorry.
  - MR. SNIVELY: I'm sorry. What's the question?
  - 0. (BY MR. NIXON) During that period of between April 17th and 19th, how many times was Everett alone with Nathan?
  - A. Three times.
- 22 O. You were also asked a little bit about the Salt Lake City interview on the night of the 20th. At 23 that point in time, when was -- the night of the 20th, when was the last time that you had slept?

- Α. Still none.
- 2 0. How did you feel about Nathan at that point in time?
  - He was my boyfriend. I loved him. And I felt like who would do this to a baby. It had to have been an accident. The doctors weren't telling me or my mom anything until his whole team of neurologists and doctors came and I can't even remember when they came
  - Okay. And what choice were you given by the Q. Salt Lake City Police?
    - That it was either me or Nathan. Α.
  - Why did you say Nathan?
    - Because it wasn't me. A.
  - Even though you said that, what did you think Q. had happened to cause Everett's injuries?
- 17 Α. I was still thinking that it had to have been 18 an accident.
  - 0. Okay. There was also a little bit of questioning about where Mr. Polakoff was in his trip to Salt Lake City. Where did you -- how much did you know about where he actually was during his trip?
- A. Not very much. I knew he was in Wyoming and 24 that he was keeping in contact with my mom and my sister.

290

289

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- I think it was the 18th. Because it happened on the 19th, so the last night that me, Nathan and Everett slept in the futon, which was the 18th. And I didn't sleep the 19th or 20th.
- Q. You testified about being emotional and not sleeping. How well do you recall those events?
  - Barely. It kind of all was a blur.
- How did that interview with the Salt Lake City Police make you feel?
- A. Emotionally stressed. It was really traumatic and I couldn't understand what was going on. They had taken me away from Everett and I said -- I hadn't slept or ate and I -- I didn't know -- I didn't know why they were pulling me into a room to be
- 15 guestioned. 16 Q. And how much did you know about Everett's 17 condition at that point in time?
  - Α. Not very much.
- 19 What suspicions, if any, did you have prior 20 to the interview that Everett has been intentionally 21 hurt?
  - None.
- 23 What suspicions, if any, did you have that Everett had been intentionally hurt after your
- interview?

At the end of the interview of the Salt Lake City Police, what was your expectation about Nathan coming to visit you?

Α.

- 0. I'm going to ask you to clarify that. What was your expectation as to whether or not he would show up to see you after the interview?
- A. I still thought that he would. He still might come.
- Q. And you were also asked a little bit about Nathan's suicidal statements. Regardless of what you told Chief Wells, what did Nathan tell you about being suicidal?
  - A. On that day?
  - 0.
- He told me that he was going to check himself Α. into a mental hospital, because he was going to commit suicide because everyone was going to blame him.
- You talked a little bit about the shower on the 18th and 19th and you were asked to describe what the shower looked like. Now, do you know how Everett was hurt on the 19th?
  - A. Barely still, but kind of.
- 24 Q. Did you observe anything?
  - I didn't observe anything. I just know what

291

the Salt Lake City doctors had told me.

Q. Can you tell me whether or not anybody has ever been able to tell you this is exactly what happened to Everett?

Α.

Do you know exactly what happened to Everett? Q.

I -- what the doctors had told me was that --MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to the

hearsay. 9

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. NIXON: That is appropriate.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Who was alone with Everett when he stopped breathing?

A. Nathan.

Who was alone with Everett when he got the injury on his forehead?

A. Nathan.

18 O. You've been asked a lot about diarrhea, too. You did say that there was some diarrhea. Do you recall 20 how many times Everett had diarrhea prior to you taking

21 him to see Whitehead?

22 A. I think once. I can't remember how many 23 times.

And after things got more serious, you

describe that Everett had seizures and stopped

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. SNIVELY:

293

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

23

24

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

Q. Ma'am, when you talked to the Red Lodge Police you'd had plenty of sleep, correct?

Α.

And you came to Red Lodge for the purpose of talking with Red Lodge Police?

Α.

0. So there was not an issue of any sleep deprivation or anything when you talked to them in May of '19, correct?

Α. Correct.

And you, in essence, told them the same thing that you told Salt Lake Police, didn't you?

Yes. A.

Q. And at no time during those interviews, and specifically with Chief Wells, did you make the comment about Nathan saying that they were blaming him and that was the reason for the suicide, did you?

19

A. Not that I can remember. 21 I mean, that's -- yesterday and today, is the first time that has come up, correct? 22

> A. I think I've done so -- I've done a lot of testimonies and stuff.

But you don't remember ever saying that

294

breathing, and then you subsequently learned that he had a skull fracture. How important was it to you while you were sitting in Salt Lake that Everett had previously had diarrhea?

Α.

Why is that?

Because I was just thinking about how this could have happened, who -- how, I guess, he could have done it and just a lot of stuff. Everett was in critical condition. I didn't know if I was going to take my baby home. A lot of things I didn't even think about. A lot of things I was mostly just focused making sure I was there for Everett and --

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object. This isn't responsive to the question.

THE COURT: It's narrative.

MR. NIXON: One moment, please, Judge.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. NIXON: No further redirect, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nixon.

Anv recross?

MR. SNIVELY: Just a couple quick questions, 22 23

please.

24 THE COURT: All right.

before you said it yesterday, do you?

A. Not that I can remember.

All right. And I showed you the transcript of that question and that's not in there, is it?

Α. Yes.

And that would have been with Chief Wells in Q. May of '19, correct?

Α. Yes.

And so if I understood your answer to Mr. Nixon is your opinion is it wasn't important to tell Salt Lake doctors the entire history of your son's medical condition?

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I believe that misstate the evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.

(BY MR. SNIVELY) Your testimony is that you didn't think it was important to tell the Salt Lake doctors or specifically Dr. Laskey about the diarrhea?

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that. I think that misstate, as well.

THE COURT: Hold on. He's just asking her if that's -- if that's what it is. Go ahead. Overruled.

22 23 THE WITNESS: I don't remember talking that

24 much with Dr. Laskey. There were so many doctors there.

And I have -- and I have talked to so many doctors.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
(BY MR. SNIVELY) So your testimony is you
don't recall her asking you for the history and going
through a whole series of questions with you?
```

- A. I don't remember a lot of the questions that she asked me. I don't even remember what state I was in when she came and talked to me or when the other doctor came and talked to me.
- Q. I'm sorry. You don't know where you were -which state?
- A. State of mind I was in. I barely even remember being with Dr. Laskey or how long after Everett was out of the NICU when I saw Dr. Laskey. I barely remember her.
- 14 Q. How many times do you think you talked to her?
  - Α. I think once.
  - Just one time?
  - I think so.

subject to re-call.

19 MR. SNIVELY: I don't have any further

20 questions.

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

21 THE COURT: All right. May this witness be 22 excused, Mr. Nixon?

23 MR. NIXON: The State would ask that she is

24 excused.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively.

```
Douglas Allen Whitehead. D-o-u-q-l-a-s,
Allen A-l-l-e-n, Whitehead W-h-i-t-e-h-e-a-d.
```

- 0. And what is your occupation?
  - I'm a physician assistant.
- And could you please tell us what being a 0. physician assistant entails.
- A. It's a provider that's not a physician trained. However, usually bring years of some other experience. Mine was a paramedic for several years and then attending an accredited physician assistant training program.

I attended Rocky Mountain College. And after being accredited and completion of the training, one practices as a provider that is with a relationship, duties and delegations agreement with a supervising physician.

- Okay. You started going into it a little bit, but are there any -- do you have any other educational background getting your PA education?
- A. Yes. I started my medical training and career in Sidney, Montana. I volunteered as an EMT after taking a training course there in Sidney. And then went to North Carolina and took a program for -- it was the highest accredited emergency medical care program for paramedic training.

298

MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I would ask that she be

THE COURT: So you are still subject to your subpoena. But for now, you're admonished not to discuss your testimony with any other witnesses. Don't talk about the questions that you were asked or the answers that you provided with any other witness. Okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: For now, you can step down and go on out. Thank you.

Next witness, Mr. Nixon.

MR. NIXON: The State calls Doug Whitehead.

## DOUGLAS WHITEHEAD,

WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

--000--

THE COURT: Sit yourself right there, please, and make sure you speak into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Okav.

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. NIXON:

Q. Mr. Whitehead, will you please start by stating and spelling your name.

And so I attended training there from -until 1992 and graduated with a bachelor of science in emergency medical care and healthcare administration supervision.

I left there, North Carolina University -- or excuse me -- Western Carolina University and went to Spokane, Washington, where I worked as a paramedic for nine years as a paramedic, paramedic supervisor, and an operations manager for American Medical Response in Spokane.

I left there to attend additional training at Rocky Mountain College to become a physician assistant. I continued to work now for 30 years -- I'm recertifying my paramedic for 30 years and continue to volunteer in the community of Joliet with Joliet Ambulance.

When I finished my PA training for Rocky Mountain College, I went to -- my first role was in Forsyth, Montana, at Rosebud Healthcare Center, where I was a PA practicing family practice, following a family practice, as well as about 50 percent of the emergency room call time, urgent care, walk-in care, hospital -in-hospital patients.

When Billings Clinic severed from Rosebud Healthcare Center, their critical access hospital there, I traveled with Billings Clinic for some time working in

some vacated family practice roles or for some emergency 2 room coverage over the weekends.

I was fortunate enough to join Beartooth Billings Clinic when they built their new hospital and worked there as a physician assistant following a family practice, urgent care, walk-in care, four to six on-call shifts per month in the emergency department and then following in-hospital patient and long-term care patients, as well.

- When did that new clinic open?
- 2012. I think October of 2012.
- How long did you stay with Beartooth Billings Clinic?
  - A. I was there from 2012 to June of '20.
- Do you still practice as a physician assistant?
  - A. Yes.

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

- Where do you currently work?
- 19 A. I currently work at SCL Health medical group 20 as a PA at the walk-in clinic, walk-in clinics 21 throughout Billings.
  - Q. You mentioned you do some family practice as well as some emergency room. What other sort of roles do you play as a physician assistant?
    - A. Many physician assistants practice

illness or their complaint is usually reviewed as the problem-specific exam is provided to their provider based on the information that they provide and the questions that I ask for clarification.

- Q. And do you consider where a child should be developmentally?
  - Α.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- If you're seeing a nine-month-old --THE COURT: Stop. Anyone with a phone, turn it off, please, or they will be collected. Thanks. Go ahead.
- (BY MR. NIXON) You've had the opportunity to serve nine-month-old patients before?
- Yes. Α.

Q.

- Q. What do you expect developmentally from a nine-month-old?
- A. Usually they are not speaking. They may have a history of crawling and some, perhaps, cruising, which is kind of, you know, which is bringing themselves up on chairs or something like that. Some, you know -usually I'll ask the parent what they're doing, whether they're crawling or cruising or such. They're usually not verbal to provide history.
- 0. Why is it important to collect that information?

differently. Some do surgery and such things like that, but my practice has been family practice, urgent care, walk-in care, emergency room coverage, that included often in-hospital work at critical access hospitals, including Rosebud Healthcare Center in Forsyth, and also in Columbus, Stillwater Billings Clinic and at Beartooth.

Currently, I'm just doing the walk-in work.

- Q. Okay. You mentioned that you did family practice at Beartooth Billings Clinic. What does that family practice entail?
- A. We would follow patients according to our duties and delegation agreement. I would see infants, children, adults, acute care, chronic care, long-term care at Cedarwood Villa here at the nursing home.
  - Q. So you mentioned you saw infants. Did you see a lot of pediatric patients in the family care?
- A. I believe so. I would do some well-child follow-up or well-child cases, as well. And as part of walk-in and/or emergency care, it was all-comers. You know, anybody that would come in, I would see.
- Q. Assuming that a child is too young to talk to you for care, how do you approach a pediatric case?
- A. Usually parents, of course, carry or accompany their children and so the history of their

Because they're the only advocate for the child.

> And what other sort of medical information do 0. you see?

- Α. Past medical history and then the history of present illness, you know, why they're in the clinic on that date.
- Q. And just to go back and clarify, I believe you said that you were working at Beartooth Billings Clinic in April of 2019?
- Yes. I was the walk-in or the urgent care Α. provider that day.
- And do you recall the events of April 18th, Q. 2019?
  - Α.
- And as part of your -- you seeing -- first let me withdraw that.

Do you remember the name of the patient that you saw that day?

- A. Yes.
  - O. What was that?
- A. Everett Musch.
- Q. And did you generate a report on your visit with Everett Musch?
  - Α. Yes.

Q. And do you have that today?
A. I do.
Now, is it going to help yo

 ${\tt Q.} \qquad {\tt Now, is it going to help your recollection to} \\ {\tt be able to refer to that report while you testify?} \\$ 

A. Yes.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

6

7

8

10

11

12

16

17

18

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'd ask that he be allowed to refer to his report to refresh his recollection.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: I don't have any objection.

THE COURT: It is allowed.

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Had you ever seen Everett in the clinic before?

A. I don't believe so.

 $\label{eq:Q.And do you recall who was with Everett that day?} \endaligned And do you recall who was with Everett that$ 

A. Yes. Two guardians, that I assumed was mother and father.

Q. Is that how they're referred to in the report?

A. Yes.

22 Q. Did you recognize either of those adult 23 individuals?

A. I did recognize Nathan. But I am admittedly very poor with names, so I didn't recall his name.

diarrhea. Throwing up and diarrhea is written on here.

Q. So are your observations documented in the history of present illness of your report?

A. Yes.

Q. How do you describe Everett when you saw him in your history of present illness?

A. He's a nine-month-old brought to the clinic accompanied by his mother and father, sitting on his mother's lap and appears well. Slightly fussy and dependent.

Q. Do you also document what they told you about vomiting and diarrhea?

A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

Q. What do you say about that?

A. The mother -- excuse me. "The mother and father report he had episodes of vomiting and diarrhea. He vomited one time last evening and has been seemingly thirsty, taking Pedialyte well."

And they state he seems to enjoy the Pedialyte. They're having no trouble with rehydration. His appetite seemed normal to them. He had two to three episodes of vomiting on the day of service, but had not had an episode in the last several hours.

Q. Do you also note anything about the diarrhea?

A. Yeah. I'm sorry. The vomiting seemingly was

306

Q. How well did you know him?

A. Not well. I had just seen him in the community.

4 Q. And just to verify, how old was Everett when 5 you saw him?

A. Nine months old.

Q. Did you take any notes of what size he was, height or weight?

A. That, I think is in the intake of the record.

Q. Do you have access to that?

A. I do. His weight was 9.1 kilograms, and his height was calculated at 26.5 inches by the nurse.

Q. And just in case we have some folks that aren't good with the metric scale, roughly, how much in pounds is 9.1 kilograms?

A. About 20 pounds.

Q. Okay. And so what sort of expectations -- I'll withdraw that.

19 What are your initial observations of Everett 20 physically?

A. He was aware, he was alert. I believe he was sitting on his mother's lap, and appropriate to me.

23 Q. And what concerns were articulated to you 24 about his health?

A. The intake concerns were vomiting and

3(

getting better, less vomiting, better liquid intake and one episode of normal-colored, diarrhea-like consistency in his diaper. No bleeding. No evidence of blood in his stool and normal heavy wet diaper in the morning.

Oftentimes they are heavier in the morning with urine.

Q. What did you document regarding physical trauma that the child had experienced in your history of present illness?

A. The father states the patient was on a bed mattress on the floor and that he fell from the bed onto the floor, about six inches from the surface, landing on his frontal forehead. He had a very small area of a mark on his forehead. And the father reported that he responded appropriately after the fall.

Q. Did you examine that bump?

A. Yes.

Q. How would you describe it?

A. As a mark on his forehead. As I reapproached the infant and put my hands on him, I could touch the area. There was no underlying instability or fluid collection. It was stable. It wasn't really an injury.

Q. Okay. What did you tell Nathan and the mother about how babies bruise?

A. I told them that -- we talked a little bit

about that particular mark. And then I thought that it could be from crawling or cruising and we tried to re-address what things he was doing in his development that may have caused something like that.

Q. As a new patient, what do you know about how Everett bruised like?

What do you know about his tendency to bruise at that point in time?

- A. I wouldn't know anything about his tendency to bruise.
  - How concerned were you about that bump?
- A. After reevaluating that and initially evaluating it, there was no evidence of an injury there. No injury. Just a mark on his forehead. 14
  - Q. Nevertheless, what did you think about the explanation for the mechanism of that injury?
    - A. It seemed plausible.
- 18 Would you expect to see an injury from a roll 19 off a futon to carpet?
  - A. Like this little mark?
- Yes. 21
- 22 I might expect to see a little mark like
- 23 that.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

20

21

22

23

- 24 And based on your initial, I quess,
- observation, what were your concerns for?

medical history.

309

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- What concerns did you have about his vital 0. signs?
- His heart rate was 145, which is an expected value on a nine-month-old, respirations 30, body temperature 99.5. His oxygen saturation was 98 percent.
- Q. You talked about his respirations, what concern did you have about his breathing?
- A. I asked parents if he had any respiratory symptoms and no reported increase work of breathing, he's not been -- okay. Yeah. No report of increased work of breathing.
- What did you observe, if anything, regarding Q. the ease of his respiration?
- A. No significant upper respiratory problems historically. He had no cough. And by my assessment, his lungs were clear.
- 0. Did you have any concerns about his ability to breathe?
- Α. I did not.
- 21 Did you have the opportunity to look at his
- 22 eves? 23
  - Α. I did.
- 24 What did you observe?
  - He was tearing slightly, because he was

310

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- I reevaluated that because a good 20 to 30 minutes of our time was spent on the primary complaint about nausea and vomiting. So when I was asked to evaluate the mark, I'd already evaluated him comprehensively. And I reevaluated that area and there was no injury, so I reassured the parents.
  - Q. What did you think was the cause of his distress?
    - A. Of his distress?
    - Of Everett's distress. Nausea?
- A. Oh, yeah. Vomiting and diarrhea. I felt 11 that it was a viral syndrome, gastrointestinal viral 12
- 13 syndrome.
  - Was his temperature significant? Q.
  - He had a temperature of 99.5, which is arguable whether that's a fever or not. The literature
- 17 disputes whether that's a fever or not. Everybody has their level of concern about a fever. That would be 18 19 very low fever.
  - medical history?
    - No past medical history that I was aware of.
  - Did he have any sort of a surgical history?
  - The surgical history, it was reviewed on the intake by the nurse and myself, and he had no known
- Did he have any sort of significant past
- 0. What did you notice about that?
- For the most part, just that he was moving 22 Α.
- 23 all extremities well while he was on the lap of either
- 24 his mother or presumed father. He was moving all
  - extremities well. I didn't do any resistance testing or

311

approached by a stranger, as myself. Tearing slightly,

3 bilaterally.

> 0. What concern did you have about Everett being cross-eyed at that point in time?

that's documented. And then he had equal pupils

- I didn't see that he was cross-eyed at that Α. time.
  - What is petechiae? Q.
- Petechiae are little blood collections under Α. the skin.
- Can you tell me whether or not you observed 0. any petechiae?
  - There was no petechiae that I noticed. A.
  - What signs of retinal hemorrhaging did you observe?

Did you have a chance to examine his

- There was no evidence of retinal Α. hemorrhaging.
- extremities? Α. I did.

0.

anything like that.

2

3

5

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

21

22

- Q. What comments did you make about his strength?
- A. He moved all extremities well. He is quite strong. In fact, resisting observations of TMs and oropharynx. Pretty appropriate for a nine-month-old where somebody is approaching them and they're just trying to be comforted by mom.
- 9 Q. What signs of paralysis on his right side did 10 you observe?
  - A. There was no paralysis on his right side. I put down, "He moves all extremities well."
  - Q. What, if any, signs of seizure activity did you learn about or observe that day?
  - A. There was no report of any seizure-like activity and there was nothing like that witnessed on the physical exam.
    - Q. Did you make note of his skin coloring?
- 19 A. I did state, "He is slightly warm to touch, 20 perhaps."
- 21 Q. But the actual color of his skin, did you 22 make any comments about how he appeared?
- 23 A. I just stated that he appeared well. I don't think I...
  - Q. Okay.

whether it be urgent care or emergency department, usually part of that anticipatory guidance is to make sure that they are hydrating well and to return to the clinic, whether it be a clinic or emergency department, if anything changes.

I'we got notes here that said patients are reassured about the abdomen film, it looks unremarkable and that I anticipated the symptoms were viral etiology. I said to continue to treat the fever and hydrate with Pedialyte.

- Q. Now, did you make any notes that what, if any, notes did you make about thinking he might have multiple skull fractures?
- A. He didn't have any skull fractures when I saw him.
- Q. Can you tell me whether or not you could definitely say that without having an x-ray?
- A. I examined him in the room for the mark that I was asked to evaluate and his skull was stable, his pupils were normal. There was no injury.
- 21 Q. Nevertheless, can you say that definitely 22 without an x-ray?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, do you recall speaking to me prior to your testimony?

314

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Unless you see it on my note. I don't see it here.
  - Q. That's all right. And based on your concerns, what sort of tests did you have done?
  - A. Based on the history of vomiting and diarrhea, I examined his abdomen and his bowel sounds were perhaps slightly hypoactive from what I would expect, and so since there was some concerns of problems that are unique to infants under one or two years old, I mentioned to the parents, "I'd like to do an x-ray", and so sent him over for an x-ray of his abdomen.
- 12 Q. What, if anything, remarkable did you observe 13 about the x-ray?
  - A. It looked normal for him.
  - Q. What other tests did you test for?
  - A. A rapid strep test.
    - Q. And did you authorize a head x-ray?
  - A. I did not.
- 19 Q. Based on that, can you tell me anything about 20 what an x-ray may have -- withdrawn.
  - Did you ever see Everett after this?
  - A. I've not seen him since, no.
- 23 Q. Did you make any plan about checking him with 24 you again?
  - A. As always when patients leave a visit,

1 A. I'm sorry?

- Q. Do you recall speaking with me prior to your testimony?
  - A. Yes
- Q. Can you tell me whether or not you recall talking about the bump on the head?
  - A. Yes
  - Q. Okay. Can you tell me whether or not you recall saying that although unremarkable, you wouldn't expect there to be a bump from a six-inch fall?
- A. I wouldn't expect there to be a cranial fracture from a six-inch fall.
- Q. And do you recall talking about a bump that you observed? Do you recall saying that you would not expect that?
  - A. Yes
  - Q. Okay.

MR. NIXON: No further questions.

THE COURT: Cross, Mr. Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

### BY MR. SNIVELY:

- Q. Sir, Nathan is the one who asked you to look at the bruise on the forehead, correct?
  - A. At the mark on his forehead, yes, he did.

And when you saw it, had it had started to bruise, is that a fair -- or discolor, I should say?

- 3 In my opinion the discoloration -- it's called ecchymosis, a little skin discoloration is what
  - All right. Did you see any elevated bump on 0.
- that? 8 No. On my assessment there was no fluid 9
  - collection or bump or instability of the skull. Q. All right. And you placed your hands on Everett's skull during that exam, correct?
  - A. Yes.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

22

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And you would have used your thumbs or a finger or two?
- 15 (Indicating) This here, and then my hands 16 would have been wrapped around his skull.
- 17 Q. All right. And your thumbs would have been 18 on his forehead?
  - Α. Yeah.
    - The way you're describing?
- 21 Yeah. That's how I'm doing it.
  - MR. SNIVELY: The witness just put his hands straightforward about three inches apart with his
- 23 fingers spread to describe what he's testifying to.
  - THE COURT: The record will reflect that

A.

- Escorting them or escorting them either 0. direction, correct?
- Α. No.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- And am I correct in you're doing other work 0. while the x-rays are being performed?
- Correct. I'm uncertain if I'm seeing any A. other patients at any time, but my office is right around that area.
- Q. I mean, you potentially could have seen somebody else, you could have been doing paperwork, reviewing something?
  - Right. I don't recall what I was doing. A.
    - Right. Q.
  - Α. But, yes.
- But you wouldn't have been with the parents Q. and Everett?
  - Α. I would not have.
- 0. How did you find out the -- or how did you receive the results?
- A. I read the x-ray myself as a preliminary read. And then the rest of the results are formally dictated by a radiologist at Billings Clinic in Billings and then they're entered into the electronic medical

record.

- testimonv.
- MR. SNIVELY: Thank you.
- 3 Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) And the mother, did she ever 4 tell you that there was a goose egg on his head?
  - A. I don't recall her saying that.
  - Q. And that's something you would have noted in your records, had you been told that, right?
  - A. If there was any fluid collection on the scalp, I would have mentioned that.
  - Q. Also, when you sent Everett and, what you believed, his parents for x-rays, did you go perform those x-rays?
    - A. No, sir.
  - Q. Can you tell the jury how that happens at vour clinic?
  - A. After we consult with the parents, caregivers and say, "Hey, I'd like to do an x-ray," they consent to that and then we go to our computer terminal and type in the type of x-ray we request and then notify by intercom system to the rad tech in the building that we'd like to get an x-ray. And they usually come to the room and escort the patient or family members and patient to the x-ray suite, perform the x-ray and then escort them back to the room.
    - Q. But you don't accompany them in any of that?

And that's how you read it? 0.

- Correct. And then I confirm that.
  - I'm sorry?
  - A. And then I confirm my initial impression with their official read.
- And there's usually a lag between those? Q.
  - 20 to 30 minutes, perhaps. A.
  - So it would have been probably while they Q. were still in your office?
  - Likely, uh-huh. Α.
- 0. In this case, they confirmed what you had initially assessed it as?
- A.
- Did the mother ever tell you that she was
  - worried about a concussion?
  - A. No.
  - And in the intake notes is there any reference to Everett having a concussion?
  - A. No. Just says "throwing up and diarrhea."
- And that entry of throwing up and diarrhea, how was that entry made in the system?
- This particular entry is made, I believe, by Mary Jo, who was the service representative that admitted him into the clinic visit. And she put the chief complaint of the patient or patient's caregivers.

And then the next process is a nurse evaluates them for appropriateness of where to place the patient for their visit. And Jillian Aukema was the nurse on duty, and she put down "vomiting and diarrhea."

- Q. All right. And again, the original entry of throwing up and diarrhea, that's what that lady would have been told by whoever checked him in and gave his history?
- Α. Correct.

3

5

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

22

23

5

8

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- And that's where that information comes from?
- 12 And then the nurse -- if the nurse thought it 13 was something different, would that have been corrected 14 on that --
  - Α.
  - 0. -- nurse entry?
  - Uh-huh.
- 18 O. And in this case, they're consistent?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 And in the nurse's notes there's no
- 21 annotation of a goose egg or concerns of a concussion?
  - A. Yes. She did mention that, "Father states patient did fall off of bed, about six inches from bed
- last night. Has bump on forehead."
  - Q. All right. Which was consistent with what

or not there was report of some sort of a head injury or 2 some sort of a mark. It didn't make the notes, didn't 3

- Α. Yes.
- And based on that, what sort of an 0. examination did you do?
- A. I reapproached the infant when I was asked to evaluate the mark on his head and that's where I, again, looked at his pupils, evaluated the skull, the cranium like this, pushed on the bump to be assured that it was stable. There was no instability, no fluid collection, no injury. And I would have relooked at his pupils and touched the anterior fontanelle, which was open and soft.
- Okay. And what does that mean? Q.
- Α. That means that there's no significant swelling.
  - 0. Okav. And you mentioned he was how tall?
  - Α. The intake as taken by the nurse had his
- 20 height at 67.31 centimeters. And I'm sorry, 26.5 21
  - inches.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0. Okay. Thank you. Can you tell me whether or not based on that, would a six-inch fall be more or less distance from him just tipping over from a sitting position?

- you examined?
- Α.
- Did the mother ever ask you to do a scan or 4 an x-ray of Everett's head?
  - Α Nο
- 6 And in your medical opinion, there was not a need for that at that point?
  - A. There was no indication for x-ray.
    - Did the mother also report to you during that appointment that Everett seemed to be getting better?
      - A. She did.
  - Q. And the significance of that that he's recovering from the flu?
  - A. It was reassuring that he's recovering from gastrienitis.
  - So it was consisted with your diagnosis that he would have been getting better from the onset?
  - MR. SNIVELY: I have no further questions,

20

- THE COURT: Any redirect?
- MR. NIXON: Please, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. NIXON:

Q. There's been a fair bit of talk about whether

It would less distance than tipping over from a sitting position.

- What would you expect in the way of an injury if a child simply tipped over on carpet?
  - Α. At most, maybe a mark on the skin.
  - Would you generally expect anything?
- I would expect no injury whatsoever or maybe Α. some mark on the skin or a slight abrasion, perhaps.
- Okay. Although -- it is your opinion, then, that although you weren't terribly concerned by the mark, that you wouldn't generally expect to see anything from a six-inch drop onto carpet?
- MR. SNIVELY: I object. It's been asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- (BY MR. NIXON) Have you had the opportunity to review these notes much recently?
  - Α. Yes, for the last few days.
- Q. Have you had the opportunity to review these notes quite a lot in the recent past?
  - Α. In the last few days, yes.
  - Q. And before that?
  - Α. At least one time previously.
  - And have there been any consequences --
    - MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object. I don't

```
325
      think this is relevant.
                                                                          that that you wish to put on the record? Mr. Snively?
 2
                 THE COURT: Approach.
                                                                    2
                                                                                    Let me just say for the record, there was a
 3
                                                                    3
                               (Wherein, an off-the-record
                                                                         relevancy objection and the sidebar was due to the
                                                                         nature of that objection, the Court ultimately sustained
 4
                                discussion was held at the
                               bench outside of the hearing
 5
                                                                          the objection.
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I guess I would just
 6
                                of the jury.)
                 THE COURT: That objection is sustained.
                                                                         like to on the record that the Court's not going to
 8
                 Mr. Nixon, any other questions?
                                                                    8
                                                                          allow any conversation about any other pending
                 MR. NIXON: No. Mr. Whitehead, thank you for
 9
                                                                   9
                                                                         litigation related to this case.
                                                                  10
                                                                                    THE COURT: I think my ruling was pretty
10
      your time. I don't have any further questions.
                                                                  11
11
                THE COURT: Mr. Snively?
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: Well, I guess I'm sort of
12
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
                                                                  12
                                                                  13
                                                                         surprised that that issue came up and I had to object.
13
                 THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
                                                                                    THE COURT: All right.
14
                 MR. NIXON: Please, Your Honor.
                                                                  14
15
                 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                                   15
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: I think it's out of bounds.
                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Whitehead, you
                                                                   16
16
                                                                                    THE COURT: Okay.
      are excused from your subpoena. But please do not
                                                                  17
17
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: I guess I'm not sure, what ruling
      discuss your testimony with any other witness or the
                                                                  18
                                                                         are we referring to?
19
      questions that you were asked or the answers that you
                                                                  19
                                                                                    THE COURT: Well, right before the sidebar
20
      provided. You are free to leave now. Free to stay, if
                                                                  20
                                                                          there was a relevancy objection and I believe the
21
      you wish.
                                                                   21
                                                                          discussion at that sidebar was about possible inquiry
22
                 Mr. Nixon, your next testimony, do you
                                                                   22
                                                                          into whether PA Whitehead was subject to civil
23
      anticipate a lengthy witness or not?
                                                                   23
                                                                         litigation.
                 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I believe there's
                                                                  24
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: Okay. But had there been a
       going to be a couple of videos played, so there's going
                                                                         previous ruling about that?
```

```
to be some time. It's going to be the reporting
officer. So I do think it might be time to inquire
whether a break is in order.
```

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Let's try to do that. Let's take a morning break.

Folks, 15 minutes. During this break it's your duty not to talk about the substance of this case in any way, among yourselves or with anyone else, or look at or access any information about this case in any way, including electronic devices or phones or form or express any opinion on the case until the case is submitted to you.

Go on down to the jury room. We'll be in recess.

15 (Wherein, a recess was taken.)

THE BAILIFF: All rise. 16

17 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thanks. We're back on the record. DC 19-17, State 18 19 versus Polakoff, after a break.

Counsel for the State is present.

Counsel for the defendant is present. The defendant is present.

The jury is not present.

Counsel, before the jury comes in, we had a brief sidebar off the record, is there anything about

THE COURT: No.

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NIXON: Okay. I misunderstood.

THE COURT: No. No. I found that to be not relevant. Unless you -- go ahead and put it on the record if you think it is.

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I quess I'll go ahead and do that, if you don't mind.

I guess I believe that the State does have a problem with the objection that was sustained prior. I believe there was some inconsistency in PA Whitehead's testimony regarding what he said on the stand and what he had agreed to discussing with me previously in meetings.

And subsequently, I think that did leave the State to wonder if it was necessary to inquire into possible bias. It is a concern, certainly, that, you know, it was to his personal benefit to change that testimony at the time, because of possible consequences in an upcoming civil problem.

So I was happy to move on, but I do think that there was a relevance to that line of questioning because the ability to examine regarding the inconsistencies in his previous testimony was foreclosed.

THE COURT: Anything?

331 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I made my point. I WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST don't believe that --2 DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS 3 THE COURT: Okay. Well -- oh, I'm sorry. I EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED cut you off. AS FOLLOWS: MR. SNIVELY: That's fine. I'd already made 5 --000-my point. I said I don't believe -- it has nothing to THE COURT: Officer, please take the witness do with what Mr. Nixon just said. It was that I don't chair. believe the civil litigation should be mentioned by any 8 All right. You may proceed, Mr. Spoja. DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 witness. 9 10 MR. NIXON: Just to make it clear, I'm happy 10 BY MR. SPOJA: 11 to not mention it again. Certainly that is your ruling 11 Q. Good morning. and the State doesn't intend to make inquiry again. I 12 Good morning. Α. 13 simply rely on that statement. 13 Can you state your name and spell it for the Q. 14 THE COURT: All right. And as far as 14 record. relevance with regard to your point about bias, first, 15 Matthew Steven Grieshop, G-r-i-e-s-h-o-p. Α. it's somewhat problematic, as you know, Mr. Nixon, to 16 16 Q. You're obviously in uniform. What is your 17 attempt to impeach based on conversations with you who 17 current position? 18 cannot be called and so we were getting into dangerous 18 Α. I'm a police officer for the City of 19 territory, in any event. 19 Columbus. 20 Obviously, you can't testify to anything 20 Q. And how long have you been with Columbus? 21 you've been told and I assume you didn't have another 21 Α. It will be two years in May. officer or something there during that time. But in any 22 0. Prior to that, what did you do? 23 event, based on your offer of proof or, essentially, 23 Α. Before that, I was an officer here in Red your argument, I still find under 403 that any relevance 24 Lodge. is outweighed by prejudice. 25 0. Okay. And I assume that you had to go

So the ruling will stand and I'll ask the through some training and education to get into that State to refrain from any further mention of that. current position. Obviously, if you believe something happened in this A. Yes, I did. trial that makes it relevant, approach the bench before Can you tell us a little bit about that. 5 you go there. Okay? Α. In 2009, I went to the Montana Law 6 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I can tell you there Enforcement Academy. simply won't be. Okay. And after that you go through -- did Q. you go to work, then, directly for Red Lodge at that 8 THE COURT: Okay, thanks. Any reason the jury can't be brought back in? point? 10 MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor. 10 A. No, I was actually employed with Big Horn 11 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor. 11 County. I was a deputy in the city of Hardin. THE COURT: Thank you. I'll ask the bailiff Okay. And after that -- well, how long were 12 12 Q. 13 13 you with Big Horn County? to bring them in. 14 (Wherein, the jury is present.) 14 Approximately 18 months. Α. 15 THE COURT: All right. Be seated. Thanks. 15 Okay. And then where did you go? 16 The jury is now returned to the courtroom. From there, I came here to Red Lodge. 16 Α. 17 Mr. Nixon, do you stipulate that the jury and 17 Q. Okay. And how long were you with the City of 18 18 the alternate are present? Red Lodge? 19 MR. NIXON: I do, Your Honor. 19 A. A little over nine years. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Snively? 20 Q. Okay. And in April of 2019, were you still 21 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor. 21 working for the City of Red Lodge? 22 THE COURT: Let's proceed. The next witness. 22 Α. Yes, I was. 23 MR. SPOJA: The State calls Officer Matt 23 And at that point in time how long had you Grieshop. 24 been with the city? 25 MATTHEW GRIESHOP, At that time, just about nine years.

- All right. Do you have to update your education and training through your career?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

22

23

4

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

- Is that part of maintaining your POST certification?
  - A. Yes. We're supposed to get about 40 hours every two years of POST accreditation.
    - What sorts of education and training is that?
- There's different kinds. I mean, there's drug investigation, there's firearms training, there's different assortment of training that anybody could go
- 13 Okay. So back in April of 2019, what were 14 some of your duties?
- 15 A. At that time I was the sergeant for the 16 police department. I took care of scheduling and I did 17 evaluations. I helped the current chief out with cases that needed to be done, equipment, whatever needed to be done. And I was also kind of liaison with the other 20 younger officers, with concerns they may have had to 21 bring those to the chief at the time.
  - Were you tasked with acting as a field training officer for younger -- or newer officers?
  - A. There was a time that I had a few officers where I did do some field training time with them, yes.

- We were -- Dawson and I were in one of our 2 Red Lodge patrol vehicles and we were at the Town Pump at that time.
  - Q. Okay. Do you recall the nature of the dispatch?
    - Α.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Okay. What information were you provided?
- Α. Information that came over the EMS dispatch was that there was a child that was not breathing at that time.
- Q. Okay. In your career, how often have you heard a dispatch like that?
- A. I believe that was my first.
- And what -- what does that do you to -- well, strike that.

As an officer, what are you thinking when you get that dispatch?

- A. I just want to get there as soon as I possibly can.
- Is that one of the worst? MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object. It's not relevant, whether he thinks it's the worst or not.

THE COURT: Sustained.

24 (BY MR. SPOJA) What level of importance does a call of this nature receive?

- Okay. So I'd like to draw you back to April 19th, 2019. Were you on shift that day?
  - A. Yes, I was.
  - Do you recall what shift?
- 5 Α. I believe I was working the 6:00 p.m. to 6:00 6 a.m. shift.
- 7 Q. Okay. And did you have a trainee at that 8 time?
  - Yes, I did. A.
    - Do you recall who that was?
  - Yes, that was Officer Dawson.
  - Q. Okay. So as -- while you're riding along with him, what are some of the things that -- I quess what is the objective of field training officers?
- 15 A. You know, just more to evaluate some of the decisions that they do, you know, how they drive in 16 17 their vehicle, their safety on traffic stops, just their overall composure of how they handle themselves at what 18
- 19 they're doing when they're patrolling or with the 20 public.
  - 9:00 p.m., did you receive a dispatch? A. There was a dispatch, yes.
- 24 Q. And where were you at when you received that, heard it?

Q. Okay. So back again, April '19, just after

- Q.
- Α. Absolutely.
- 0. Okay. Do you have kids?
- MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object. His

THE COURT: Sustained.

- (BY MR. SPOJA) Can you tell me what -- I guess, what were you thinking as you were responding?
- MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object. Same

basis.

THE COURT: Let's just get to what he did.

Sustained.

- MR. SPOJA: Very well.
- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) You responded?
  - Α.
    - Q. Okay. And were you driving at that point?
- No, I was not.
- 0. Who was driving?
- Α. Officer Dawson.
- And was the patrol car that you were
- 24 operating was it outfitted with an in-car camera system?
  - Α. Yes, it was.

That call was severe.

And does that determine how you respond?

I do.

And did this call resonate with you?

personal experience is not relevant.

Was it functioning properly that evening? 2 Yes. 3 MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, may I approach? 4 THE COURT: Yes. (BY MR. SPOJA) Officer Grieshop, I'm handing 5 you what's been premarked State's Exhibit 24. Do you 6 recognize that? 8 A. Yes, I do. 9 How is it that you recognize it? 10 A. I viewed that video yesterday. 11 Q. Does it bear your mark? 12 A. It does. 13 Q. And is it a true and accurate depiction of 14 the events of April 19th, 2019, relating to the response 15 to this call? 16 A. It does. 17 MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, the State moves for admission of State's Exhibit 24. 19

THE COURT: Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: No objection.

THE COURT: Without objection, State's

Exhibit 24 is admitted.

20

21

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 24 was received.)

MR. SPOJA: The State would like to publish

the child was limp. It wasn't crying, it wasn't struggling, the color was off. And, I mean, at the time I thought the child was dead.

Q. Do you recall what the defendant did after he handed Everett to you?

I do not. Α.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Okay. In watching the video, did you notice anything that seemed odd about what the defendant was doing after giving you Everett?

A. After I watched the video, I did notice that he had stepped away from -- after I took the baby down to the ground to look for a pulse, he had stepped away, went to a different part of the house and then came back a few moments later. And then I believe he had a vape pen or something in his mouth that he may have been smoking at that time.

Q. And this struck you as odd?

Α. It did.

> 0. Why is that?

A. First of all, I never noticed that before. And then, you know, the mother, while I'm down on the ground with this child, it's having labored breathing and in distress, the mom is right there. And after

24 viewing the video, I didn't realize from my recollection

that he wasn't there until after I reviewed the video.

at this time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, you may. Do we need to turn the lights down, Mr. Spoja?

MR. SPOJA: Yes, that would probably be appropriate, Your Honor.

> (Wherein, the video was played.)

(BY MR. SPOJA) Officer Grieshop, are you a trained EMT?

A. No, I'm not.

0. Trained as a First Responder?

Not in the medical sense, no. Α.

Q. Okay. Do you recall how the defendant was holding Everett as he was handing him to you?

A. I just remember that the child's head was flopped over one side of his arm. The child did not look to be supported very well.

Q. What were you thinking when the defendant handed Everett to you?

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I am going to object to what he was thinking. He can testify what he -- his facts.

THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

(BY MR. SPOJA) You may answer.

Okay. When I received the child from Nathan,

But a situation like that, I would have expected both people or parents to be right there concerned about the

child.

0. Do you recall any particular observations of the defendant that you made?

I believe he was wearing maybe some Α. boxer shorts or just some kind of shorts, at that time. Other than, that he didn't have a shirt. I don't believe he had socks on at that time.

Q. Did he appear wet?

Α. He didn't appear to be wet at that time.

Did Everett appear to be wet? Q.

Α. He did not.

Do you recall your arms getting wet from Q. taking Everett?

Α. No, I don't.

Can you describe the defendant's behavior while you were there?

I don't recall much of his actual behavior, Α. because when I was down on the floor in the doorway. The only thing I remember is the mother being there, kind of in my face. That was the only thing I remember at that time.

Q. Okay. Do you recall whether he was attempting to continue CPR, rescue breaths or anything

339

like that?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

23

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. There was nothing like that being done at all.

O. Okav

MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes.

Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) I'm handing you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 25. Do you recognize that?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. And how is it that you recognize it?

A. I viewed that video yesterday.

Q. Does it bear your mark?

A. It does.

14 Q. And is it a true and accurate -- first off, 15 what is on that disk?

A. It's a video from the body cam that I was wearing on that evening.

Q. Is that a true and accurate depiction of the events as captured by your body cam on April 19th, 2019?

A. It is.

MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, the State moves for admission of State's Exhibit No. 25.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: No objection.

THE COURT: Without objection, State's

Q. Okay. And so you were at the hospital twice?

A. Yes

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And the first time is when we saw just a few moments ago, when the ambulance arrived?

A. Yes

Q. And then you left, presumably?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And about how long later did you come back?

A. About an hour and a half later.

Q. Okay. And after you learned that it was something other than just a medical call, what was your next step?

A. At that time I radioed my dispatch to start a new call, just so that — it wasn't a medical call at the time, if we needed to change the direction of the investigation at that time then we could have a different call for service number.

Q. And what was the basic nature of the incident at that point in time?

A. Information was given to me by Dr. Fouts that there was a fracture in the skull of the child and so that was the information that was given to me.

 ${\tt Q.} \qquad {\tt And \ were \ you \ informed \ of \ whether \ it \ was} \\ {\tt considered \ to \ be \ accidental \ in \ nature \ or \ something}$ 

2 ||

2

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 other?

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SPOJA: I'll rephrase.

 $\mathbb{Q}$ . (BY MR. SPOJA) I guess, what action did you take based on the information that you received at that point?

A. At that time the information that was given to me by Dr. Fouts, like I said, I just started a new call for service. After I left the -- I believe I kind of checked on the parties that were there at the time and then I left the hospital. At some point, I went back to dispatch and pulled our dispatch records for the calls and then the involved parties. And I later made a call to CPS to report the incident.

Q. Okay. And did you act as the investigating officer for the rest of this case?

A. I did not.

Q. And who did?

A. Officer Stuber.

Q. Why was that?

A. Partly because of the information that was
given to me at the time, I knew that there was more that
was involved. Number one, I didn't know the seriousness

1 Exhibit 25 is admitted. 2

(Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 25 was received.)

MR. SPOJA: May we publish, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. SPOJA: Can we dim the lights again?

THE COURT: Yes. Do you want us to wait until you get it ready or are you ready to roll?

MR. SPOJA: It should be.

(Wherein, the video was played.)

Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Officer Grieshop, you were the officer that essentially received the call the first call out, correct?

A. It was a medical page that came out and it wasn't designated either to myself or Dawson.

Q. Okay. Was there a period of time -- let me rephrase.

During your shift that evening, did there come a time where you learned that it was something other than just a medical call?

A. Yes.

Q. And do you recall when that was?

A. I believe it was the second time I went to

the hospital that evening, I spoke with Dr. Fouts.

at that time. The parties were leaving and the time frame Officer Stuber was coming on in the morning, and it would have been easier for him to handle that case in the daytime. And Officer Stuber does many of those daytime investigations.

- Q. Okay. And so he came on shift for the morning shift or day shift?
  - A. Yes.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What did you -- what did you do when Officer Stuber came on?
- A. I met him at the police department. I just tried to bring him up to speed on the events that transpired in the night, the parties involved, and kind of what was going on at that time.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  Do you have any further involvement in the case?
  - A. Not direct involvement.
- O. Okav
- 19 MR. SPOJA: If I may have a moment, Your

20 Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

- 22 Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Did you -- were you aware of 23 what the circumstances were when the -- when Everett had 24 stopped breathing?
  - A. At the time of the dispatch call or after?

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object. It's --THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SPOJA: I have nothing further, Your

Honor

345

2

3

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

THE COURT: Cross?
MR. SNIVELY: Thank you.

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. SNIVELY:

- $\mathbb{Q}.$   $\;$  Sir, Nathan told you that he had started CPR on Everett?
  - A. Yes
- Q. He also told you that he placed the call to 911, correct?
  - A. That, I'm not for sure.
  - Q. But after he started CPR, you were told the 911 call was placed, correct?
  - A. There was -- I was told that there was a 911 call, but I'm not for sure if it was Nathan or the mother that made the call.
    - Q. And you've never listened to that 911 call?
- 21 A. I don't believe that I have, no.
  - Q. All right. So the information that you knew was that Nathan had performed CPR or had been performing CPR on Everett prior to your arrival, correct?
    - A. Yes.

346

- At any point during the call.
- A. I mean, yeah, just the information that I
- received from dispatch and then our brief contact when I
- 4 did take the child, from communication there, the
- 5 information was given to me that Everett was in the 6 shower with Mr. Polakoff and that the child had stopped
  - breathing and that Mr. Polakoff started CPR at that time in the shower.
  - Q. Then who did you receive that information from?
    - A. From Mr. Polakoff.
  - Q. Okay. And so they were in the shower when the baby stopped breathing?
  - A. That's what was told to me.
  - Q. What would you expect to see if the defendant and baby were in the shower when this started?
- 17 MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object, it's speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: On my arrival I would have expected to see both parties naked, fully drenched and CPR actively being done on the child.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Okay. They weren't wet?
- A. No
  - Q. What did that tell you?

Q. And at your arrival, Nathan was wearing what you describe as boxer shorts. I mean, would you agree with me they were basically long underwear?

- A. Yes.
  - Q. Is what they appear to be?
  - A. Yes
- Q. And you can see them in the video, with the black tight, kind of boxer shorts on, correct?
  - A. Yes
- Q. And what was Nathan's hair like when you arrived at the scene?
- A. I don't recall his hair.
- Q. Did -- by the video, did it appear to be wet?
- A. I would have to go back and look at the video to see if it appeared to be wet.
  - Did the video show a vape pen?
- A. The in-car camera, from looking at the time frame that Nathan left to come back, it appeared to be a vape pen or a smoking instrument at that time, yes.
- Q. And you never documented that in your written report that you did right after that incident, did you?
  - A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And Nathan came back and was down right with 24 the baby and you in that video, correct?
  - A. He did come back, yes.

348

- And was -- I mean, at that point you're in 2 charge, correct?
  - Yes. Α.

3

8

12

13

14

- I mean, you had taken the baby and started monitoring the baby, you're telling dispatch that the baby is breathing, you have taken control of the scene at that point, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- 9 0 And Nathan is down on the floor, right beside 10 you and Everett, correct?
  - A. He is, yes.
    - Acting appropriately?
  - Yes.
  - Trying to help?
- 15 I don't recall.
- 16 And there was a period of time before you got 17 there that the baby and Nathan could have been dried off, correct?
- 19 A. Absolutely.
- 20 Q. So the fact that they were not wet doesn't
- 21 mean that there wasn't CPR performed and the 911 call
- was made during that time and that they could have been
- 23 dried during that time period?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Because there's at least a few minutes when

Well, it was more handed, taken, I think -you know, I wanted to do whatever I could for that child. But then also Mr. Polakoff, obviously, didn't want that child in his hands. So I took that and wanted to just establish what was going on with that child right away.

MR. SPOJA: Thank you. I have nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively.

## RECROSS EXAMINATION

### BY MR. SNIVELY:

When he handed you the child, he didn't know 0. whether you were a policeman or EMT, correct?

MR. SPOJA: Objection, calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) I mean, you didn't identify yourself when that door came open, did you?

MR. SNIVELY: Thank you.

THE COURT: May this witness be excused?

MR. SPOJA: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. SNIVELY: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Officer, you are 23

24 excused. Don't discuss your testimony with any other

witnesses or any questions asked or any of your answers.

349

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

```
you hear the medical page, before you're at the scene,
correct?
```

Α.

3

6

11

17

18

19

20

- And did you actually time how long it took you guys to respond?
- A. I did not.
- A few minutes, correct?
- 8
  - Nathan acted appropriate during your
- 10 interaction with him?
- MR. SNIVELY: I don't have any other 12
- questions, Judge.
  - THE COURT: All right. Any redirect? MR. SPOJA: Yes, Your Honor, thank you.
- 15 16

# REDIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. SPOJA:

- Mr. Snively asked you about the defendant's 0. hair. What was your present sense impression of whether the defendant was wet?
- 21 A. At the time I didn't think that he or the 22 child were wet.
- 23 Q. And why -- you were also asked after you took Everett, that you were in charge. Well, why did you
  - take the baby?

All right?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE WITNESS: Absolutely.

THE COURT: You're free to go.

Next witness, State.

MR. SPOJA: The State calls Amy Hyfield.

# AMY HYFIELD,

WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST

DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS

EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED

AS FOLLOWS:

--000--

THE COURT: Please take the witness chair.

13 Please make sure you speak in the microphone.

Okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. SPOJA:

- Q. Good morning.
- A. Good morning.
- Can you state your name and spell it for the
- record.
- A. My name is Amy Hyfield, A-m-y H-y-f-i-e-l-d.
- 23 And what is your current position?
- 24 I work for Red Lodge Fire and Rescue. My
  - current position is the volunteer coordinator. I'm also

a firefighter, an EMT, and I'm on the Search and Rescue.

- Q. How long have you been with Red Lodge Fire and Rescue?
- A. As an employee I've been there since December of 2021. But as a volunteer prior to that, I was with Search and Rescue for about ten years. I've been with fire for about eight and I've been with EMS for about four.
- Q. Okay. What sort of training and education do you have that brought you in your position?
- A. Into the volunteer coordinator position or for EMS?
  - Q. For EMS.

- A. Okay. So as an EMT, I have to take an EMT class, which I did about four years ago, so that would have been in 2017 and ending in 2018. And I had to obtain my EMT licensure through the national registry and I also have to get state licensed.
  - Q. You went through education before that?
- A. My educational background, yes. Not related to EMS, but I have a BS, an MS and Ph.D. in chemistry.
- Q. And so at the time in April of 2019, about how long have you been an EMT?
- A. I would have been licensed for just a little over a year at that time.

paramedic with the Red Lodge EMS. And then the
ambulance arrived with Mark Rubella, who is an EMT,
JoAnn Stark, who I believe at the time was an EMR, and
then -- although, she may have been EMT at the time.
And then Maggie Blevins, who was an EMT also with Red
Lodge EMS.

- Q. Do you recall watching the body cam from Officer Grieshop?
  - A. I do, yes.

- Q. Okay. And does that show what you and Mr. Anthes were doing with Everett?
- A. It does for the so Joel and I responded on scene and Officer Grieshop was already there, so you can see us responding to the scene. And then it does show some footage of the work that we were doing in the doorway up until the time that we got onto the ambulance.
  - Q. Okay.

MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, I would like to play the video and have the witness describe her actions as we provide further context to the video as we're watching it.

23 THE COURT: If it will assist her testimony, 24 go ahead.

MR. SPOJA: Can we dim the lights, please?

- Q. And were you on call on April 19th, 2019?
- A. I was not on call on that day, no.
  - Q. Did you respond to a medical call on April 19th?
- A. I did in the evening, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And do you recall where you responded 7 from?
  - A. I responded from my home.
    - Q. Okay. And about how far -- was that very far from where the call was?
  - A. It was not. It was -- I think I'm three, maybe four blocks away, my house is.
    - Q. Okay.
    - A. Yeah.
    - Q. Do you recall the nature of the dispatch?
- 16 A. As I recall, it was a dispatch for a unresponsive and not breathing infant.
  - Q. Do you recall when you responded who else was there?
    - A. Certainly. Officer Grieshop was already on scene. Very shortly after I arrived, Joel Anthes, who is a paramedic with Red Lodge EMS, arrived on scene, and then there was some additional responders that responded after that.
      - Including Chad Malcot, who was at the time  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{a}}$

(Wherein, the video was played.)

THE WITNESS: So that's Joel Anthes that just entered there and that's me in the blue.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) What were you doing right there?
- A. I believe what I have are blue bags that our oxygen and it's for airway and respiration, so I'm trying to get that ready. We also have a blue bag that's a pediatric bag that we'll take with us and that will have what we need for infants and pediatric cases, including things for airway breathing and circulation.

I think at that time I was trying to grab the BVM, which was a Bag Valve Mask for an infant and that is something that we would use to assist with breathing if they're not breathing adequately.

- Q. Okay. By "not breathing adequately," what -- can you unpack that a little bit?
- A. Certainly. So we would be looking at respiration rate. And for an infant that's going to be a lot faster than an adult, like every two seconds, two to three seconds or so. So if they're not breathing adequately and at a slower rate then we feel like we need to assist them with breathing, that's what we'll

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Okay. MR. SPOJA: Continue, please. (Wherein, the video was played.)

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE WITNESS: So Joel is taking a brachial pulse right there. And he's trying to work on the airway at that time and I'm trying to get oxygen.

- 0. (BY MR. SPOJA) What do you have in your hand?
- A. That's the BVM, the Bag Valve Mask. And Joel has just asked for it. And I'm asking Officer Grieshop to please hook that up to the oxygen while I'll go and work on airway and breathing with Joel.

You can hear Joel asking for some history. And Chad Malcot has just arrived on scene in the red. So he's trying to work on the oxygen while I am working with the infant and Joel to make sure that we've got adequate breathing, that his airway had continuous assessment.

- Q. What's happening here?
- A. So the ambulance has arrived and Joel has made the call that we just need to transport, so he's picked up the infant and we are bringing it to the ambulance right now. And I'm going inside the ambulance to get things ready.

You can hear Joel say that the infant is

breathing adequately or, if they're not breathing adequately, that we assist them with that. And also I'm trying to get set up for -- to do other things, like provide more oxygen, continue assessment, make sure that the pulse is adequate and that we can start to do some interventions if they're necessary.

- Q. Okay. What type of -- I guess what after you're prepped, what went on with Everett? What were you doing specifically?
- A. I know that Joel attempted an IO, which is a way to get fluid actually into the bone. And that was unsuccessful and then he did an IV, so that we could try to get fluids into the child.

And then we were continuing to assess, try to give oxygen and monitor breathing, assist with breathing if needed. Although at that time I think he was breathing adequately enough on his own that we were just providing oxygen and monitoring that, making sure that the airway stayed open.

And because children have a very large head, we want to make sure they're positioned correctly to make sure that the airway stays open. All that work was being done by a variety of people trying to get IV fluids prepped and ready for the paramedics to be able to administer as we were en route. Then we, obviously,

apparently having a seizure. So I'm trying to get the cot ready, so that we can put the child on it right now and Joel has the infant in his arms and hands it to me. MR. SPOJA: Let's stop it.

Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) So about how long does it take before you guys are rolling?

- A. When I looked at the patient call report, I think we were not on scene for very long. I think the whole call from the doorway to the hospital was about 11 minutes.
  - Okav.
- And we were -- I think we were en route maybe four to five minutes after we got to the doorway itself or when Joel arrived on scene, so it wasn't very long.
- Q. And what are you doing -- I mean, we can't see inside the ambulance. What are you doing while -after you'd received Everett?
- A. So in this case and in all of our EMS calls, our primary concerns is our ABCs, which is airway, breathing and circulation and any life threats that we may encounter.

And so we are still at this point concerned about making sure we've got a good airway so that the infant in this case could breathe without any restrictions. We're trying to make sure that they are got to the hospital and transferred care there.

- Q. After you got to the hospital, I guess what does that look like?
- Α. Certainly. The rule, typically, with the person or in this case an infant on the stretcher, we'll roll them into the ambulance. We've already made a call to the hospital ahead of time, giving them information that we have. And in this case I think they were all ready. They undoubtedly heard the page go out, they do have a radio in the hospital.

So that we can bring them into the emergency room and give a report to the doctors and nurses that are on scene and then transfer care to them. In some cases we'll stay around if the hospital requests to assist with other things.

I was not one of the people that stayed in the emergency room, but sometimes our paramedics definitely stay in there.

And as I recall, the child did start crying right before we got to the hospital, which was a very positive thing for all of us, because when children cry, it means they're breathing well and they're airway is open.

Q. Okay. You mentioned that Everett was having a seizure --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- -- as Joel was transferring him to you? 0.
- Q. Did he have any others on the way to the hospital?
- A. I do recall that that was in the PCR report 6 that it looked like he had a second seizure en route, 8 so, veah.
  - Q. Was there anything else of note that occurred on the transport to the hospital?
  - A. Once we had a little bit more time, we were able to do more of a head-to-toe assessment. And I know that when reading the PCR report and my own recollection, the child had a bruise on his forehead, and one of our staff did note that there was some one-sided weakness or stiffness, that one side was not moving in the same way that the other was. And so that was noted in the patient care report as a concern.
    - Q. And, I quess, why is that a concern?
- 19 20 A. Well, when we do a head-to-toe, we're trying 21 to make note of things that physicians and the care that we're being transferred would need to know. A bruise 23 can indicate some sort of a head trauma or trauma to the to another part of the body if there was bruising in other places, but that was the only place that we did

- sometimes they will kind of have a vacant stare. I don't recall looking at the child's eyes during the seizure, but the movements look very abnormal and more stiff or twitchy or kind of involuntary motion of the limbs in this case.
- Was that what you saw during transport, as 0. well?
  - Α

MR. SPOJA: Thank you. I have nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Cross?

### CROSS EXAMINATION

# BY MR. SNIVELY:

- Q. You said you had done sort of a head-to-toe visual of Everett during the time he's being transported?
- A. The team did, yes.
  - 0. All right. And you were part of that?
  - Α.
- 20 Q. Several of you were examining him during that 21 time, correct?
  - A. Yes. I don't think that we were able to examine his entire back. He was laying on his back, but there was an attempt to make that head-to-toe
  - assessment.

see bruising.

And the body typically will react, you know, equally on both sides, so having a deficit on one side that's not present on the other can be something to note to pass onto the physicians that we're delivering and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ transferring care to so that they can assess that further and do more tests.

O. Okav.

MR. SPOJA: Can I have a moment, Your Honor? THE COURT: Sure.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Now, we saw a little bit of Everett while Joel is carrying him and he notes a seizure there. Is that something you looking at it? Are you seeing the same thing?
  - A. For the seizure?
- Yes.
- A. As I recall, yes. I remember us saying, yes, it looks like the child is having what appears to be a seizure.
  - How do you -- what does that look like?
  - It looks like an involuntary stiffening and sometimes jerking and twitching of different limbs. It doesn't look like normal movement that you would have for arms and legs.
    - And so it looks like they're -- in an adult

During that head-to-toe, there was no red marks noted, correct?

> A. I don't recall that being in the patient care report, no, just the bruise on the forehead and the head.

- On the front of the forehead, correct? Q.
- I recall seeing it on the front of the A. forehead. I think the patient care report notes it continuing back towards the occipital lobe, which would be closer back towards the ear. I'm not sure exactly how far it extended back that far.
- I guess what I'm asking you is not what 0. somebody else may have said, but what you saw. You saw the bruise on the front of his forehead?
- A. It was more towards the -- kind of the side and front of over here.
- Q. Okay. But on the front side?
- That is what I observed more on the front, Α. yeah. Uh-huh.
- MR. SNIVELY: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Redirect?

MR. SPOJA: No, thank you, Your Honor,

THE COURT: Okay. May this witness be

excused?

367 MR. SPOJA: Yes. to sort out one part of his testimony. And I just tell 2 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor. the Court that so the Court is aware of it. MR. NIXON: He will be the next witness after 3 THE COURT: So you are excused, but you may not discuss your testimony with any other witnesses, the questions that you were asked or the answers that you THE COURT: How long do you anticipate Dr. 5 Fouts? I know it's hard to say. 6 gave. Okay? MR. NIXON: I would guess between -- the way But you're released from your subpoena. You 8 may leave. Thank you. 8 the trial has gone so far, between 30 minutes and an Next witness. 9 9 hour. I would imagine it's going to be somewhere in the 10 10 neighborhood of 45 minutes. MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I guess I would 11 simply ask, I don't believe we can finish up the next 11 THE COURT: Do you got an indication of how 12 witness. 12 long it will take discuss your issue? 13 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I don't think it's very THE COURT: Approach. Let's talk. 13 14 (Wherein, an off-the-record 14 long. We're objecting to one part of his testimony. 15 discussion was held at the 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 bench outside of the hearing 16 MR. NIXON: And, Your Honor, it's an issue 17 17 of the jury.) that you're aware of at this point in time. I will say 18 THE COURT: This is one of those times, 18 that Mr. Spoja will be handling the examination of 19 folks, the next witness will be of some time. Rather 19 Officer Stuber, but it's most certainly going to be over 20 than split that up again, I'm going to give us an early 20 an hour, as there is -- there's a better part of an hour 21

lunch. So let's break until five to 1:00. During that time, I know you probably have this memorized, but it is my duty to let you know and remind you a lot that you must not talk about the

22

23

23

21 worth of a video to watch. He will be a longer one, so even though it 22 23 might be early for an afternoon break, it is going to be 24 before a fairly lengthy bit of testimony. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you for

substance of the case in any way, among yourselves or with anyone else, or access any information about the case in any form or form or express any opinion on the 4 case until the case is submitted to you. 5 You may go ahead and go have lunch and I'll 6 have you come back to the jury room at five to 1:00. We are in recess. 8 (Wherein, a recess was taken.) THE BAILIFF: All rise. 10 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you. This is back on the record in DC 19-17, State versus 11 12 Polakoff. 13 Counsel for the State is present. Counsel for the defendant is present. The defendant is present. 15 16 The jury is not present. 17 We just reconvened after lunch. 18 Mr. Nixon, Mr. Spoja, any reason not to bring 19 the jury? 20 MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Snively? 22 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I don't. Although, I

> wanted to give the Court a heads up, I'm not sure the exact order their calling witnesses, but before they

call Al Stuber I need a short hearing without the jury

the heads up. We'll go ahead and -- yeah, get some testimony before the jury before we take that issue up, 2 3 4 Go ahead and bring the jury up, please. 5 (Wherein, the jury is present.) 6 THE COURT: All right. Be seated. Thanks. 7 The jury is back. 8 Mr. Nixon, do you stipulate that the jury and alternate are present? 10 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I do. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Snively. 12 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. I hope you all had a 14 good lunch. We'll get right back to work. 15 Mr. Nixon, please call your next witness. 16 MR. NIXON: The State calls Dr. Brad Fouts. 17 Dr. Fouts. 18 THE COURT: Please step this way and be 19 sworn. 20 DR. BRADLEY FOUTS, 21 WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST 22 DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS 23 EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED 24 AS FOLLOWS: 25 --000--

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

371

THE COURT: All right. If you would please take this chair right here.

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. NIXON:

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

20

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- $\mathbb{Q}$ . Dr. Fouts, could I have you start by stating and spelling your name, please.
  - A. F-o-u-t-s.
  - O. And what is your occupation?
- A. I'm a family medicine doctor here in Red Lodge, and previously worked in the emergency room also.
- Q. Okay. And how long have you been a physician?
  - A. Since 2000.
- 14 Q. And how long have you been at SCL Health or 15 Mountainview?
  - A. Since 2003.
  - Q. What is your specialty at Mountainvew clinic?
  - A. Family medicine.
- 19 Q. And what exactly does that mean?
  - A. We take care of pretty much from birth
- 21 through death. So a lot of children, but also all the 22 way through, older adults, OB, in the past, not as much 23 now.
- Q. And would you please tell me about your be educational background.

- A. As far as the continued ed?
- Q. Continuing education.
- A. It's usually 50 to 75 hours a year of classes that we do on different topics, that can range dramatically.
  - Q. Does continued practice require any further certification?
- A. So the ALS, which is Advanced Life Support and then the Pediatric -- PALS, Pediatric Advanced Life Support, those are the main two.
- Q. So you've told me you basically see patients from the cradle to the grave.
- A. Yep.
  - Q. How many pediatric patients do you see?
- A. Probably 20, 25 percent -- 20, 25 percent of my practice would be pediatrics.
- Q. And what type of visits are those, generally?
- A. So most commonly it would be acute illnesses or well-child checks in the clinic. In the emergency
- or well-child checks in the clinic. In the emergency room it was everything, you know, from traumas to
- 21 lacerations to seizures, you name it. All kinds of
- different things there. So it would vary depending on
- 23 that, but a lot of well-child checks and a lot of acute care needs.
  - Q. Why don't we break that down a little bit.

370

A. So I went Wake Forest University, School of Medicine in North Carolina and then did my residency in Billings in family medicine.

And then otherwise, did some time in pediatric emergency medicine down in Salt Lake. And worked as an ATLs instructor, so it's Advanced Trauma Life Support, also for probably 15 years.

- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  And do you have any special certifications as a physician?
- A. So I was an instructor for Advanced Trauma Life Support and I keep Advanced Life Support, basic Pediatric Advanced Life Support and all the routine certifications up to date.
- Q. What does it take to get one of those certifications?
- A. For like Pediatric Advanced Life Support and Advanced Life Support, you have to do it every two years. You go through a course and an evaluation by other instructors. And to be an instructor in Advanced Traumatic Life Support, it was a multi-day course and you're selected to teach, and teach the trauma courses.
  - Q. Is that in addition to other ongoing continued education requirements?
  - A. Oh, yeah. That's outside of those.
    - Q. What do those entail?

1 What's a well-child check?

- A. So kids usually come in at two months, four months, six months, nine months, 12 months, 15 months and et cetera, and they -- we just check to make sure that they're developing appropriately, that they have immunizations, that everything is going okay as far as meeting all of their milestones.
  - Q. And what would acute illness generally be?
  - A. So anywhere from colds to pneumonia to diarrhea, lacerations or injuries, all of those things.
  - Q. And what sort of challenges are posed by having a preverbal patient?
  - A. Well, they can't tell you what's wrong with them. You have to figure out what the problem is using the history from the parents, because they can't tell you. A lot of times they can't isolate where they're having pain and it's -- you're interpreting the findings that you see on them.
  - Q. Do you have a method that you generally approach treating that sort of patient?
  - A. So for an acute area issue in a child that's sick or is injured, first of all, you just observe them as you kind of come in the room, see how they're doing, try to get some history from their parents.
    - If they're a child that we know, then we know

372

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

375

their history and those things. Otherwise, we have to obtain that from the family or the parents or the medical record.

If we have time to do that before they get there that's always great. Like in the emergency room, sometimes we'll know when they are coming and who they are and we can read on that before they get there.

Otherwise, then it's examination head to toe, starting at the head and working your way down. And if it's in the emergency room, we use a specific method from Advanced Trauma Life Support, where we look at airway, breathing, circulation, disability, and then start with those things and their environment to make sure they're not hypothermic, and things like that, and then start with a head-to-toe examination.

0. Go ahead.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Well, like in the emergency room we use a thing called a Broselow Tape very commonly and it's pediatric emergency room things that we use. And it's a pre-made kit that we can actually lay the baby on and based on their length, you can know their weight, the doses, their medications, the sizes of all their things. And so we start out with those kind of things, too.
- 24 Do you also have expectations of where a child should be developmentally depending on their age?

making sounds. They're not going to necessarily be speaking verbally that you would be able to understand. They will be making a lot of cooing, gooing, different sounds.

They are not walking. I've seen ten-months-old walking, but never a nine-month-old. They are crawling, usually, at nine months, so that would be a developmental milestone that we're looking for. And then just kind of what they're doing, eating and those things are other things we're looking at.

- Do you also have some personal experience with children?
  - A. I have a couple of my own, yes. Yeah.
- And as part of your job at Mountainview, do you also take call at Billings Clinic?
- Yes. So I quit take calling this year, but for the past 20 years I took call anywhere from six -three to six nights a month I'm in the emergency room and that would -- for all those years.
- Q. Okay. And you may have mentioned this earlier, what's the range of cases that you see generally when you're on call?
- You never know what you're going to see on call. Anywhere from small children to adults, illness, injury and intoxication, all kinds of things. It's

- That can be variable, but in general it tracks pretty well, unless a child has a disability.
- Q. How much harder is treating a patient if you don't have any sort of history with them?
- A. It's a little -- it's a little harder, because you don't know the parents, you don't know the child and you don't know their history as much. You still -- you still do the same things as far as evaluation usually, but it is a little tougher when you don't know the family.
- Q. Now, in the course of your practice, have you had the opportunity to see many nine-month-old babies?
- A. Oh, yes, lots.
  - Q. Any idea how many?
- 15 A. Hundreds, a thousand. I mean, I see nine-month-olds probably most days of the week. At 16 17 least -- in a week, three or four probably on average or 18
  - So you've told me you've had some expectations about where a kid should be developmentally.
    - Α Sure
  - What are you thinking when you look at your charts and see you have a nine-month-old patient?
    - So a nine-month-old is usually going to be

really variable.

- Can you tell me whether or not that's similar Q. to working in an emergency room?
- Α. Oh, you mean in the clinic versus the emergency room?
- In the clinic. Excuse me. I think I wasn't Q. clear there. Taking call -- is taking call at Billings Clinic similar to working in an emergency room?
- A. Yes. That's what we're doing pretty much. We're just dealing with emergencies then. You see -oh, it depends. I worked at the emergency room at St. Vincent's and at Billings Clinic and, you know, Salt Lake, and so there you see a lot higher acuities in general. So we see a lot more colds in our emergency room, where that would get shifted to the walk-in clinic there.

But we do see this time a year, obviously, lots of ski injuries, lots of traumas. In summertime, a lot of motorcycle wrecks. So we see pretty high-intensity trauma also.

- Q. And what type of care is the Beartooth Billings Clinic able to provide?
- We are a trauma-receiving facility and so we don't -- you know, if someone has a severe trauma we usually transfer them to Billings most of the time or

somewhere else, if needed.

- Q. Okay. You know, what happens if let me take a step back here. What sort of limitations does Billings Clinic have in Billings as far as being able to take patients?
  - A. So Billings Clinic and St. V's?
  - O. Yes.

3

5

6

8

10

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

23

5

6

7

10 11

12

13

17

18 19

20

21

- A. So they have limitations as far as certain -especially with pediatrics, they have certain
  specialties in pediatrics. There's no pediatric
  neurosurgeons, so they will deal with some pediatric
  trauma and some injuries, but more extensive pediatric
  injuries oftentimes get transferred either to Denver or
  Salt Lake. And burns, big burns, we have a lot of our
  big burns we'll fly straight to Salt Lake, also.
  - Q. Why do they go to Salt Lake?
- A. You know, it depends on -- sometimes they go to Denver, but I would -- from here, we tend to send more people to Salt Lake. And it's not because one is better than the other, it's just that's the pattern, mostly. Those are our two big tertiary care centers with teaching medical schools with full-scale pediatric specialties.
- Q. Can you tell me is a pediatric patient more likely to be transferred out?

on your experience that evening?

- A. Yes, I pulled -- I have the reports from the -- my notes from the ER visit. When we take care of somebody, right after they leave we do a dictation of their visit and so I have that. And then some of the other notes from the CT scan and things like that.
  - Q. So while we're talking here today, would it be helpful for you to be able to utilize your notes to refresh your recollection?
  - A. I definitely need those, yes.

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I just ask that he be able to refer to his notes to refresh his memory for purposes of his testimony.

 $\label{eq:THE COURT: Any objection to that, Mr. Snively?} \label{eq:THE COURT: Any objection to that, Mr. Snively?}$ 

MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's fine, Mr. Nixon.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) And do you recall seeing a patient brought in by ambulance that night?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What was that patient's name?
- A. The patient's name was Everett Musch. And I hope I'm saying that right.
- Q. And had you ever seen Everett before that evening?

378

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. A pediatric patient is definitely more likely to be transferred out, yes.
  - Q. What hospital in Salt Lake do they go to?
- A. They go to Primary Children's in Salt Lake is the hospital that they go to.
  - Q. What do you know about Primary Children's?
- A. It's an excellent facility. I was there where I worked there for a month, a month and a half during the Winter Olympics when they were in Salt Lake, and it's a high-level, full-scale, very great facility.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Affiliated with the University of Utah.
- Q. Okay. I'm looking at the name, but is pediatrics their primary?
- 15 A. Yes, it's all pediatrics. They only do 16 pediatrics.
  - Q. And in April of 2019, were you still taking call at Beartooth Billings Clinic?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Do you recall if you were on call on April 19th?
- A. Yes. I mean, from the notes, I have to refer to the notes because it's been about three years almost now, but yes.
  - Q. Do you have reports that you generated based

1 A. No, I had not.

- Q. What information did you have prior to Everett arriving at the hospital?
- A. So we had a dispatch call for a child that was potentially not breathing that was nine months old and coming in by ambulance. And that was the initial call that we got.
  - Q. Were you at the clinic at that point in time?
- A. I don't remember if I was at the hospital or they called me in. I cannot recall that.
- Q. Okay. So when you get a report like that what sort of concerns does that trigger?
- A. So the first thing we do is try to activate
  -- it puts us on high-level alert, obviously. And so we
  motivate to get radiology techs in the building, to get
  all of our staff there.

For a call like that, usually as a provider we'll call one of the other providers just in case there is a problem that you need help with. It's always hard because they're not nearly as bad when they get there so you never know, but we always try to be ready with all the resources that we need.

- Q. Did you call anyone that night?
- A. I called Dr. Ole to come in and help me out just in case we needed help. And then we also called

380

x-ray, lab, you know, extra nursing and all the things that we would standardly do. It's a trauma protocol that we do.

- Q. And do you recall what time he made it to the clinic?
- A. Oh, I would have to refer to the notes. But it was in the evening, I know that. Late in the evening. I would have to look and see the timeline. It was around 9 o'clock, but I don't know exactly.
  - O. That's fine.
  - A. Okav.

- Q. How was he brought to the emergency room?
- A. He was brought to the emergency room by the ambulance, by a paramedic. We have different levels of response. So when we have a sick, sick person or a trauma patient, we call a trauma protocol, either one, if they're sick or if they look severe.

And the ambulance has — because I'm the medical director for the ambulance, also, but the ambulance has the ALS response or a BLS response. And so ALS is paramedic level, meaning they can give IVs, they can give medications and things like that. So the ambulance responded with a paramedic.

Q. And before you see Everett, are you aware of anything that is going on on the ambulance?

A. So we pull -- I don't remember if I had looked right then or if it was shortly thereafter, but I did see that he had been in the clinic a day or two before with -- actually, I think we already knew that with a diarrheal illness, because we were kind of concerned when we heard the story that he wasn't breathing and that he had been sick that he could be terribly dehydrated and could be just an illness that was causing it, so we had that information also.

And then we could pull up his allergies from the chart and those things. As soon as we had the name we could do that.

- Q. After seeing him, what were your concerns?
- A. So once as mentioned previously, we kind of do this protocol where we look at airway, breathing, circulation. So his airway was intact, he was breathing, he was he had a blood pressure and his oxygen levels were good and has pulse were good. So that kind of takes our kind of reassures us somewhat, but he clearly had some disability.

So in that primary survey, we call it, he would have scored on the disability mark because he was showing signs of a head injury or a stroke from illness, a seizure, multiple different other possibilities. So that was kind of the big concern at that point.

A. We got a report from -- so the ambulance, once they pick up the patient and they start to do their care, they will usually call the hospital with a report. And so we would have received that report from them, that they had -- that they had picked up the patient.

And I don't recall right now if they told me before they got there or right when they got there that they had given Ativan for what appeared to be seizure-like motions.

- Q. Where were you when you first saw Everett?
- A. In the emergency room.
- What were your initial observations?
- A. So I refer back to the notes, but he when he initially came in the concern was the call—out was that he might not even be breathing, but he was clearly breathing and he was maintaining his airway at that time, but he did have what appeared to be seizure—like or some atypical movements that were not normal.

But he was maintaining his airway, his oxygen level was good. So the initial impression was that he had something major going on, but that he did appear to be breathing, which was better than what we were concerned would be the case.

 $\mathbb{Q}.$  At this point in time had you referred to any previous medical history?

After that, his temperature was good so his environmental stuff was fine. So he was not hypothermic or anything like that.

And so we then do a secondary survey where we listen to his heart and lungs and check everything out and there was no other gross deformities on his -- that we could see at that point.

He did have a bruise that was obvious on the front of his — kind of the front of the scalp.

- Q. And were you -- at that time can you tell me whether or not you were concerned about flu or a bump on the head?
- A. So at that point, I mean, our level of concern was a higher level than just a flu or the bump on the head. It was that something else major was going on intercranially in his brain and so that's when we I think even before we took him to the CT scanner we gave him another dose of the Ativan, because he was still having some intermittent coming-and-going-seizure-like motions.

The Ativan is a medication that tries to break the seizure motions. And then he stabilized and we took him to the CT scanner to look at the brain, because that was our main concern is the brain.

Q. Okay. I'm going to step back one second

before we talk about the CT.

A. Sure.

- Q. For a layperson, what does it look like when you're observing -- what causes you to suspect a seizure?
- A. So seizures can be really tough because you can have seizures that are obvious, that everybody knows because they're tonic-clonic, where their whole body is jerking. But we can also have seizures where somebody's eyes are just going off to the side and they're not actually having any movement disorders.

He was not -- he had a -- I can't remember -- I think it was his left arm he was holding in a funny position and not moving appropriately. And then his -- so then there's eye motions we're looking at also. So it was much milder. So it wasn't a -- you know, it was one of those things where we sometimes don't know for sure that it's a seizure but it looks like it is.

We do not have the ability to do a EEG, which is a brain wave test. Even in Billings, they wouldn't have done that in the emergency room. But it's something that you base it clinically on what's going on.

Q. Can you tell me whether or not he seemed to be moving both sides of his body with the same ability?

intermix.

1 17

- Q. Before you take Everett to the CT scan, what's your assessment of how severe his condition is?
- A. His condition was severe throughout. I mean
  -- so, for instance, when we took him to the CT scanner,
  Dr. Ole and I both went because there's a fear at any
  point that he could stop breathing and we would have to
  put a breathing tube in him to breathe for him.

That was our biggest concern is his ability to continue maintaining breathing. So especially the medicines we gave, Ativan, is a medicine that helps to break seizures, but it also can cause excessive sedation that can cause you to not be able to maintain airway. If we see a problem from that we have to put a breathing tube to breathe for them.

So we went with him to the CT scanner, which is not normally what we do. And we took all of our supplies just in case that happened in the CT scanner. So we were watching very closely the whole time.

- Q. Is that considered life-threatening?
- A. Yes. I mean, anytime you could potentially stop breathing, it's definitely life-threatening.
- $\mathbb{Q}_{\star}$  So what did you do next then after you decide to get the CT scan?
  - A. So the way that it works in the CT scanner is

- A. I would have to refer back to my notes to remember which side was which. He was not moving both sides of his body to the same ability. My remembrance from three years ago, was his left side. I would have to look at the notes. He was definitely not moving one side well.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. And I can look at that and see.
- Q. You mentioned earlier that you were considering the possibility of a stroke. Can you tell me whether or not that lack of movement on one side caused that concern?
  - A. Yes. That was one of the big things.
  - Q. And why?
- A. Well, so a stroke -- so kids can have strokes from illness. They don't typically have strokes like adults do from just from blocked arteries as much as it's from illness. And so -- if their blood pressure gets too low and things like that and so that can cause similar things.

That would be in our differential diagnosis. It would be a stroke, head injury, seizure, all of those things can do that. And they can all overlap, because you could have a stroke that causes a seizure, a head injury that causes a seizure. So those things can all

the patient is in the CT scanner and it's radiation, so we cover them up. And we're behind a screen to avoid the radiation and we can see the computer from the CT scanner and so we could see immediately that there was a skull fracture on the CT scan as the images came up on the computer screen.

And so at that point, we knew that -- and there was some bleeding -- small amounts of blood in and around the brain that this was the probable cause for the other motion abnormalities.

- Q. Are you trained in reading CT scans?
- A. Yes. So we're trained in it, we're not radiologists. So it's not a —— I mean, there are definitely times when there's very small findings that the radiologist will tell us later that they see. But the obvious things we have extensive training in reading them, but we're not a radiologist at the same time.
  - O. How about this one?
- A. So it was obvious. It was very obvious that there was a fracture in the skull and so it was obvious enough to me. So a lot of times we wait until we get the report back from the radiologist before making decisions on what to do next, because we're not a hundred percent sure. But on this case we were a hundred percent sure.

  $\,$  And so I had already called St. Vincent's to try to transfer him and get him to their hospital as soon as I saw the CT scan.

Q. And what did they say?

- A. They did not -- they reviewed the CT scan also, they did not feel that they were an appropriate receiving facility for him and that he needed to go to Salt Lake.
- Q. And do you generally -- you sent those scans to a radiologist?
- A. So at night this would have been, you know, in the evening, and at night at the hospital what happens is the radiologists in Billings don't read the CT scans. They go to an outside reading it's called VRad. And a VRad radiologist reads it at night and then the next morning the Billings Clinic radiologist looks over it to make sure they agree.
- Q. Okay. And how do you normally get the feedback from the scans?
- A. Usually they are just -- they come through the fax -- send it through the printer or the fax machine, we get a report.
  - Q. How did you get the feedback on this case?
- A. We had an emergency call from the radiologist on this case, instead of a fax, which is almost -I

- 1 message with Child Protective Services and had the 2 nurses call back to talk to them later, but we also 3 called law enforcement immediately.
  - Q. And at this point in time, did you know who was accompanying Everett Musch?
  - A. Yes. And I can't recall if they came in on the ambulance with him or shortly thereafter. But it was pretty close in time of him arriving and them being there also.
    - Q. Did you know either of those individuals?
    - A. I did. I did know Nathan from his childhood, just not well, but yes. And I did not know the mother at all.
      - Q. And when did you first talk with them?
  - A. So it kind of happened simultaneously. So what usually happens as we don't just talk to them and then go check the baby because the baby was in critical condition, we want to check the baby and we're talking to them as we check the baby. So as soon as they were in the room, we started talking to them, asking them what happened, what's been going on, getting some history from the parents.
    - Q. What sort of history were you getting?
  - A. We were -- so there was -- the child had apparently been sick with some vomiting and some

don't think I've had it otherwise with VRad.

- Q. Did you consult with the radiologist over the phone?
- A. Yes. We chatted and he was very concerned it was nonaccidental, which we were, too. And he -- in fact, he said he wanted to make sure that we were reporting this because he had an obligation to report also. And he wanted to make sure that we were going to report it so that he knew that that was done.
- Q. Are you referring to an obligation as a primary reporter?
- A. Yes. So we have anytime we have any suspicion of child abuse or elder abuse or disabled person abuse, we are obligated to report that to the authorities. And we're not the investigators, we just are obligated if there's any suspicion.
- Q. Okay. And you talk about the authorities, who is it that you generally call?
- A. So we call the Child Protection Services for children, obviously. And we there's a hotline number after hours and if it's -- but if there's anything more life-threatening or more concerning we call a law enforcement also.
  - Q. What did you do in this case?
  - A. We called law enforcement also. We left a

diarrhea, we were told that, and that he had been seen in the clinic a day or two before, which we kind of already knew. And then —— so then we were also told that he potentially had fallen off a futon or a couch, kind of a piece of furniture also.

- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  Were you told how far off the ground this futon was?
- A. It was low. It was low and it was a carpeted surface. These are all things we usually ask to try to figure things out. So I don't know exactly, but it was not high off the ground.
- Q. Who told you that story?
- A. Nathan told me this story. And I think -- he gave most of the history. You know, and that was the -- yeah, that was it.
- Q. Okay. And at this point in time, did you know that Everett had skull fractures?
- A. Not at that point in time, no. That was initially when we were seeing the child, doing the primary survey and checking him out. So at that point they were still in the room. And I don't remember if they left the room, but we became much more focused on the child and I think we actually had them step outside
  - Q. In your medical experience, even before you

saw the CT scans, was Everett's condition likely tied to a roll off a futon?

- A. No. I would say that this was not a -- this was not an injury sustained from rolling off a futon.
- Q. Based on your experience, what do you expect to see in the way of an injury if a baby rolls six inches onto a carpet floor?
- A. We see lots of babies come in and parents worried because their kid rolled off the bed, rolled off of a couch and it's rare that we ever see an injury from that. Their mass is small enough that it's not usually enough to sustain major injuries from those kind of levels.
- Q. Okay. So back to the scan again now. You talk about fractures. You consulted with the radiologist. How do you classify these two different skull fractures?

A. So they were major skull fractures. They

weren't just small cracks. One was -- we call it a

stellate, meaning "star like." And so with an impact, a lot of times the scull will break in a star-like pattern rather than just a snap like a long bone does. And so especially the one that was more posterior was more stellate, but they were both significant scull fractures. And one was stepped off, meaning that the

to move than in an adult.

Q. And when you look at this scan, you talked to the radiologist, did you have an opinion as to whether the two fractures were from the same event?

- A. I don't know. I mean, that's -- I have no way of knowing.
  - Q. Okay.

- A. I mean, it would for it to be the same event, it would have to be hit on different sides. I mean, unless there was a fall from, like, downstairs where you could hit your head several times. But it had to be two separate blows, because they're kind of coming from different sides.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell me how seeing two separate fractures impacted your decision that this might be nonaccidental?
- 17 A. It would have been probably the same decision 18 if it would have been one fracture. Either of those 19 fractures look nonaccidental, in general. That just 20 adds more to it.
  - Q. Can you tell me whether or not rolling off a futon is medically plausible for the injuries?
  - A. No. I do not think rolling off a futon could cause these injuries.
    - Q. Eventually, after you find out that there's

bone was actually pressed in on the other bone.

- Q. Now, in the course of your decades of practice have you seen many skull fractures with this severity?
  - A. Only one. Only one, yeah.
- Q. And what sort of trauma was involved with that?
- A. It was it was abuse. And, I mean, I don't know exactly how it happened in the end, but it was definitely it was abuse.
- Q. What sort of force do you associate with these sort of injuries?
- A. And I would say that with children. I have seen other skull fractures like this a lot in car wrecks and in major -- it takes a lot of force. It's not something that happens with just mild injuries.
  - Q. One thing I'm wondering is can you tell me whether a child's skull is any easier to fracture than an adult skull?
  - A. In general, no. So in general, kids' bones absorb more. And so a child's skull -- the bones are not fused together. They're actually -- we call them -- they're separate and there's a fontanelle in the middle. There's suture lines, we call it. So there's a place where bones are not attached so they have more ability

these two serious skull fractures, do you talk with Nathan and the mother again?

- A. Yes. You know, I try not to be dishonest and I try to let people know -- I don't think I've ever called CPS and not told the parent that I was calling CPS. And I always try to explain to them that doesn't mean -- I always try to explain to them that any level of suspicion we're required to call them, and so we always say that. And so, yes, we did definitely tell them that.
- Q. Can you tell me whether or not all of the calls you make are truly associated with child abuse?
- A. No, no. I mean, definitely not all the calls we make are. We're required to call for any suspicion. And there's -- you know, there's times when it is not child abuse, clearly.
- Q. So I believe you told me you like to be forthright with the patients, what did you tell the mother and Nathan?
- A. I don't remember exactly what I told them, but I know I told them we were going to call CPS and this seemed to be a head injury that it was a much big that it was a significant head injury that required a significant blow.
  - Q. And how did they react?

A. Both parents were -- you know, it's always hard to read people, because with trauma people respond differently. And my recollection is I -- the mother was sitting, mostly, and she was upset, visibly upset.

Nathan was visibly upset, appropriately upset. He was walking. I had assumed he was the father of the baby. And so, you know, he was visibly upset also. There was an addition — there was more additions to the story, when I said that. That potentially there was a radiator and maybe he could have hit his head on that

- Q. If I could slow you down there.
- A. Uh-huh.

- Q. What does Nathan initially tell you about what happened to Everett?
- A. So there was the most specific things was there was a roll off of a futon was the thought that this may have occurred from. And then there was also a —
- Q. I'll slow you down again. So what changes after you tell them this appears to be a nonaccidental skull fracture?
- A. That's when the radiator -- that maybe he hit his head on that was brought up. That there was, I guess, by the futon or something like, a radiator of

explained that an injury that was not explained by the mechanism of injury from the family and they reported it to Child Protection Services also and the patient was safe in custody.

And I did say that they seemed appropriate, but that he continued to come up with explanations that may have been thoughts of how the child hurt his head by hitting this heater, was what he added on later.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, after you talked to Nathan and Ryann, what are you doing for Everett and his care at that point in time?
- A. Mostly closely monitoring. He -- you know, our worry was that he was going to decompensate. And I had Dr. Ole helping me also. He did a thing called a FAST exam, where it's an ultrasound just to make sure there's no bleeding in the abdomen or other locations.

He -- and then we -- there's things we do to prepare a child for transport to Salt Lake but that you wouldn't have to do to Billings. We put a Foley catheter in.

We were talking to Salt Lake several times also asking them about what they wanted us to do. We usually defer to them, because they're the experts on do they want certain medications given, do they not want things given. So we were preparing all of those things.

some kind or a baseboard heating or something like that.

- Q. Can you tell me whether or not that had been mentioned previous to your --
  - A. That hadn't been mentioned previous.
- Q. Can you tell me anything else that Nathan said to you at that time?
- A. There was also that he had the baby in the shower, was a part of the story that I never really quite understood, and that it had quit breathing when it was in the shower with him. That was another part of the story that was -- yeah, that was in there.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q.}}$  Okay. Did you make any notes in your report regarding your communication with Nathan?
- A. I'll have to look to see if I noted specifically about I think I noted telling them about the injury. I referred to him as the father of the baby, I know. Let's see. He was in the shower with him when he stopped breathing by his report. And he reports he was interacting, seemingly normally and then suddenly went limp and stopped breathing.
- Q. If I may stop you. I think maybe I -- well, actually, go ahead and continue, please.
- A. Okay. And so I did note that. And then I think at the end I talked to him a little bit more about it. So I noted that we did notify law enforcement and

And we gave an anti-seizure medication called Keppra and then it was mostly monitoring him closely until they got there so we could take him to the airport.

- Q. And roughly, how long was Everett at --
- A. He was in our hospital for roughly about two and a half hours. Because of the —— because we had to wait on the plane to come from Salt Lake. Way longer than we want a child to be there if we can get them to a facility. But, you know, it's quicker than him going to Billings and then having to go from Billings then to Salt Lake. So he got to the place he needed to faster that way.
  - Q. I think earlier you talked about being critical care. Can you tell me how much of that time was considered critical?
- A. So we considered the whole time critical care pretty much, because throughout his stay we are concerned that he could at any minute -- when we have a serious significant head injury like this start to seize more severely, quit breathing. Those were the two big things. Cardiac-wise he was doing pretty well. We worried a lot about the breathing, other worsening of bleeding in the brain, things like that.
  - ${\tt Q.} \qquad {\tt You\ also\ mentioned\ earlier\ that\ this\ was\ actually\ life-threatening?}$

A. Sure.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

2

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. How much of that two and a half hours was considered life-threatening?
- A. Oh, he was -- I mean, the whole time he was -- he had risk of -- when we have bleeding in the brain, the hard part is you don't know is that going to get a lot worse, and then, you know, things are going to get a lot worse quickly or is it going to stop. And so that's just something that we don't know.
- Q. You just mentioned bleeding in the brain. Was that associated with the scull fracture?
- A. Absolutely, yes. So there's subdural hematomas, which are collections of blood that occur just inside the scull fractures that were adjacent to the scull fractures and so those would be related to the head injuries.
- Q. And what other injuries did you see that you believe were associated with the scull fracture?
- A. So he had bruising along the scalp, especially you could see the bruising more in the front. He had really thick hair and so it was harder to see stuff in the back. There was definitely some bruising there also. You could see it really well on the CT scan.
  - Q. Does detecting these fractures or making sure

appearance, but the posterior one was definitely much more. It extended out in the bone quite a ways.

- Q. Do you believe you could have felt the other fracture with your hand?
- A. Yeah, if you were aggressive enough. In the old days, before there were CT scans, you would actually more squeeze the skull a little and you can feel it move, but there's not a reason to do that when you have a CT scan that you can see it, so we didn't do that.
- Q. Is it tougher when the baby has a lot of hair?
- A. Yeah. I mean, it's easier to see things and feel things when there's not a lot of hair for sure, yeah.
- Q. Do you have any medical opinion about how quickly you would have expected ramifications from these scull fractures to manifest?
- A. I mean, they look like acute injuries that I would expect that if you received these injuries you would have an immediate loss of consciousness, immediate change of mental status. It would be immediate.
- Q. Okay. Did you do much more than monitor for his well-being for the rest of his stay at -
- A. We gave him medications and then monitored and those were the main things. Yeah, that was mostly

402

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

it.

sort of

- someone does not have a fracture require some sort of scanning?

  A Yes I mean obviously year the only way
- A. Yes. I mean, obviously, yeah, the only way you know really well is so you can't see it real well on an x-ray. You have to see a CT scan to be able to see the scull fractures. There are times that you know they are there. In fact, I think I went back and felt the area where I see the fracture afterwards and you could definitely feel the one where the bones were stepped off.
- Q. Now, when you're saying the one, where on the head was the one that you could feel?
- A. Sometimes I get right and left mixed up. One was anterior on the left side, I'm pretty sure, and the one was right on the posterior. But that could be -- it was opposing sides front and back.
- Q. Okay. And which one did you consider the more severe?
- A. So the one in the posterior was definitely a bigger fracture and it was yeah, it was the bigger fracture.
- 22 Q. Now, earlier you talked about a stellate 23 fracture. Can you tell me whether that's the fracture 24 you were talking about.
  - A. They both had somewhat of a stellate

- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  And did you have any more communication with Nathan and Ryann?
  - A. You mean after that evening?
  - Q. I should say, you've talked about meeting with them twice. Did you confer with them very often during that evening?
  - A. No, we weren't, you know, conferring back and forth regularly. We talked to them a couple of times and there was several folks there and we usually try to get most of the folks into the waiting room just to try to keep the space -- you know, so we can get in and out better. There's a limited amount of room. So, yeah.
  - $\mathbb{Q}$ . Have you seen either Nathan or Ryann since that evening?
- A. Just today. I mean, the first time I've seen Nathan probably since then.
  - You know, if I've seen Ryann, I don't know.

Yeah.

- MR. NIXON: I might note for the record that Dr. Fouts pointed towards the defendant.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. The record will 23 reflect that.
  - MR. NIXON: May I have just a moment, please?
    THE COURT: Sure.

407 MR. NIXON: I don't believe I have any THE COURT: Hold on. One at a time. further questions at this point in time. MR. NIXON: I just wanted him to -- either THE COURT: All right. Mr. Snively, do you 3 withdraw the question or allow him to answer it. MR. SNIVELY: I'll withdraw the question and wish to cross? MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 ask a different question. CROSS EXAMINATION THE COURT: Okay. Doctor, just so we know, 6 BY MR. SNIVELY: we're taking every word down --THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'll slow down. 8 O. Good afternoon, Doctor. 8 9 Good afternoon. 9 THE COURT: You're doing fine. 10 Q. You previously gave a statement which you 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. said these injuries could be two days or so old. Do you 11 THE COURT: I'll jump in when I have to. 12 remember saying that? 12 Go ahead, Mr. Snively. A. No, I don't remember saying that. I don't --13 13 (BY MR. SNIVELY) With your training, your 14 I am not able to judge the length of the injuries, but 14 medical training, you're not able to medically state 15 in general, I don't remember saying they could be. I 15 what the mechanism of injury was? don't know how old they could be. 16 16 A. So I would say I can medically state that it was a contusive blow to the head, yes. 17 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, if I may approach? 17 18 I'm going to hand you a page of a transcript. 18 0. Okav. 19 THE WITNESS: Sure. It's been three years, 19 Α. Is that... Q. I think we're --20 so that would be great. 20 21 MR. SNIVELY: Just take a moment and look. 21 Α. I can't say --22 THE WITNESS: Sorry, I don't have glasses on. 22 0. -- asking two different things. 23 MR. SNIVELY: Just read it to yourself. 23 Α. Okay.

24

406 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Can you give me just a second? MR. SNIVELY: Sure. 4 THE WITNESS: My arm is just long enough. 5 Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Do you see there where you 6 said the injuries could have been a couple of days? 7 A. Sure. Sure. Yes. 8 Q. And that was a true statement that you gave at that time? A. Yeah. I think I was questioned could they be 10 11 a day or two old. Q. Right. 12 13 A. And, you know, I don't know that we can -- I mean, I don't have the expertise to say exactly when 15 these injuries occurred. I would say that an injury like this --16 0. Okav. 17 18 Α. Okav. 19 Q. So from your medical experience and training 20 you cannot date these injuries? 21 A. I cannot date them exactly, no. 22 Q. Nor can you medically say how they were

And you're hesitating. Let me rephrase it.

A. Yeah. Can you rephrase it?

THE COURT: Don't say anything yet and just

wait for a question.

23

24

caused?

or a side of a car versus other possible ways that it could have happened? A. No, I couldn't say that, yes. I could just say it was a contusive injury to the head. 5 Q. All right. You also medically cannot determine who would have caused the injuries? A. No, sir, I didn't try to. 8 I mean, and that's not part of your job? Q. 9 A. 10 Your job --Q. 11 Α. Take care of the baby, yes, exactly. And when you talked to the Nathan, Nathan was 12 0. appropriate? 14 He was disturbed, which is appropriate, yes. Α. 15 I think -- yeah. All right. And he was providing information, 16 Q. 17 trying to provide you information to help you? 18 A. He was -- he seemed to be providing 19 information trying to help us, yes. 20 MR. SNIVELY: May I have just a moment, 21 Judge? Sorry. Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Again, Doctor, with the two 22 23 separate fractures, you can't say if they are bang, bang 24 or one happened an hour after the other? I mean, you can't date --

Just based on the scan, you couldn't say if

this was a head that went into a car jam, for example,

```
only been if I'd blacked out."
 2
                 -- how those happened either, can you?
                                                                    2
                                                                                    And then the officer then follows up, "Well,
          0.
 3
                                                                          why would you have blacked out?" And they start a
               No, sir.
 4
                 MR. SNIVELY: I don't have any other
                                                                          discussion about the medications he was on and the fact
       questions, Judge.
                                                                          that he used marijuana but he didn't have a medical
 5
                 THE COURT: Any redirect?
 6
                                                                          card.
                 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, may Dr. Fouts be
                                                                    7
                                                                                     And our position is that that's not
 8
       excused?
                                                                    8
                                                                          admissible. And if the Court thought it was relevant
                 THE COURT: No redirect, you said?
 9
                                                                    9
                                                                          it's more prejudicial than probative than anything
10
                 MR. NIXON: No, thank you, Judge.
                                                                   10
                                                                         before the jury. He made a comment, the marijuana.
11
                 THE COURT: Mr. Snively?
                                                                   11
                                                                         There's no evidence to show that had anything to do with
12
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Judge.
                                                                  12
                                                                         it, other than to prejudice the jury that Nathan used
13
                 THE COURT: So you may be excused. Don't
                                                                  13
                                                                          marijuana without a medical card.
      talk about your testimony with any other witness or talk
                                                                  14
                                                                                    THE COURT: How prejudicial is marijuana use
14
15
      about the questions asked or any of the answers that you
                                                                   15
                                                                          this day and age, frankly?
                                                                   16
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: Well, Judge, that's an
16
      gave. But you are excused from your subpoena.
                                                                  17
17
                THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
                                                                          interesting question and a question that we've
18
                 THE COURT: Do we need to deal with that
                                                                  18
                                                                          discussed. But the same point is we don't know that.
19
      other matter, then, or is there another...
                                                                  19
                                                                                    We have some jurors here of the age where it
                 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I believe so. The
20
                                                                  20
                                                                          would have been a serious thing to marijuana and whether
21
       State will next call Al Stuber.
                                                                   21
                                                                          their views or changed or not is hard to predict.
22
                 THE COURT: Okay. Folks, it's a little
                                                                   22
                                                                                    I mean, there's still a lot of people that
23
      inefficient, but I'm going to have to give you a little
                                                                   23
                                                                          believe marijuana use is inappropriate and they don't
      break right now. There's something I've got to deal
                                                                  24
                                                                          like it, even though now in Montana it's legal. At the
      with. We'll try to 10, 15 minutes and we'll get back on
                                                                          time of this incident, it wasn't legal without the card
```

```
track. I'll send you down there in a minute, just
remember the same admonition.
```

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

Don't talk about the case, don't access any information, don't form or express any opinion until the case is submitted to you.

> You may go to the jury room for break. (Wherein, the jury is not present.)

THE COURT: The jury is out. Counsel for the State is here, as is counsel for the defendant and the defendant.

Apparently, there's an issue that the parties need to address outside of the presence of the jury. Is that right?

> MR. SNIVELY: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SNIVELY: The defense anticipates that the State is going to try to elicit from Mr. Stuber testimony about the defendant's marijuana use and we would ask the Court to exclude that evidence.

We believe it's more prejudicial than probative to anything before the Court.

During his interview, Nathan -- there's a question about, "Did you do this," or something along those lines and Nathan's response is, "It would have

and they discuss the card issue.

3

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Judge, I don't think it's admissible just because it may not be as a general societal thing as prejudicial as it once was, when you don't know what the jury perceptions are, because you do have jurors that can hold that view that they believe and have not, I quess, what I'll say, is modernized the view of marijuana.

THE COURT: In the context, though -- isn't the context that the defendant was talking about things that may have made him blackout? Is that the context?

MR. SNIVELY: Potentially that is what the discussion is, is what medications he's on and the fact that he uses marijuana. But they go way beyond just the fact that I use marijuana. They start talking about do you have a Montana marijuana card and that information, too. It's not like just one reference and it's left.

THE COURT: Mr. Spoja.

MR. SPOJA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What's the State's position?

MR. SPOJA: Well, we believe it's the

defendant's own statement, he made it relevant to his statement by offering that to Officer Stuber at the

And the exchange, it's in reference to a

question by Officer Stuber, it says, "I mean, isn't it possible you could have gotten really, really angry and 3 just threw him down?" 4 And the response is, "Unless I blacked out, no." Then Officer Stuber follows that up with, "Is 5 there any reason why you would have blacked out?" 6 And the answer is, "Not that I know of. None of my medications cause it. If you need a list, I can 8 9 provide a list of my medications." 10 And the next question is, "Do you take any 11 illegal drugs?" 12 "I smoke pot. I won't lie." "Okay." 13 "I use it for chronic pain." 14 15 "Do you have a prescription for it?" "Unfortunately, no, I don't." 16 17 "Okay. You need to get one." 18 Then he references later on that he used it 19 last night, being the night of the -- of Everett's 20 injury. 21 THE COURT: Well, back up. When is this interview being done? 23 MR. SPOJA: This interview is done on the

1 after the blackout is said and the question, "Is there any reason that you would blackout?"

And the answer being, "Not that I know of. None of my medications cause it."

That that should be the end of it. There shouldn't be the further discussion about the marijuana and the fact that he'd -- that it increased -- they're also trying to get in that he used it at some point after the accident and it caused his panic to get worse. That's not relevant for the jury to hear.

THE COURT: Okay. I agree with the defense. I think you get exactly what you need. There's no context lost by ending the statement after the blackout statement and the none of my medications caused it, essentially.

It is 404 evidence, really. And while the risk of prejudice might not be extreme, it really is not relevant and not necessary. And the Court on 403 grounds will exclude it.

So can you fix your exhibit?

MR. SPOJA: I'm going...

THE COURT: Counsel, this should have been

23 brought to me before if that exhibit was...

24 MR. SNIVELY: I thought we talked about it. 25 THE COURT: You did. But I'm talking about

414

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Okav.
               MR. SPOJA: If I -- just a couple more
     relevant statements here.
4
```

morning of the 20th, so the day after the victim's

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SPOJA: He said he tried last night to calm down and it just made my panic attack a thousand times worse and I threw everything out. I'm done, I have more important things to focus on.

And that's actually the end where we -- where we end the video. There's further discussion of his -what we've referred to as the Missoula stuff, but that's not part of what the exhibit is.

THE COURT: Okay. This is -- part of this testimony is not going to be question and answer, it's going to be an exhibit?

MR. SPOJA: It's in the video of the defendant's interview.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Mr.

Snively?

injuries.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

I guess I'll ask, is this something that's going to come up in the defense case regarding any sort of incapacitation or anything like that?

MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor. We're not presenting that. We're not going to present the marijuana use. You know, I guess it's our position that an exhibit that needs to be modified right now with the jury sitting out there.

MR. SNIVELY: Oh, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Can we fix it, Mr. Spoja?

MR. SPOJA: I can. I probably need about ten

minutes.

THE COURT: Or when you publish it, do you trust...

MR. SPOJA: I'm not sure that --

THE COURT: It would be in the middle? I want it so that you're comfortable that it will work, because it sounds like it's in the middle of you --

MR. SPOJA: It's close to the end, so I'd 14 feel a whole lot more comfortable if I could trim it and 15 then reburn it.

> THE COURT: If you think you can do that in a timely fashion, otherwise we'll have to go a different witness.

What do you guys think? Mr. Nixon and Mr. Spoja, can you do it in ten?

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I don't think it's going to take necessarily ten minutes. The part that we're looking at excluding is going to be basically at the very end of the interview. The rest of the stuff is very clearly 404(b), which was discussed regarding like

heroine and cocaine use and that is not relevant. 2 THE COURT: Do you know exactly when to push 3 stop, or not? MR. SPOJA: If I can have just a few minutes to make sure the exact time stamp, I can give Ms. Pratt instructions. THE COURT: Sure. That sounds good. We'll 8 be in recess, hopefully, for five minutes. And if you 9 need a little more time, just let you know, so we can 10 10 get back to the jury as soon as we can. 11 MR. SPOJA: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 12 MR. NIXON: While Mr. Spoja is doing that, 12 13 can Mr. Snively and I approach? 13 THE COURT: In chambers or are you good? 14 14 15 MR. NIXON: In chambers would be fine. 15 16 16 THE COURT: Do you need a record? 17 MR. NIXON: You know, that's probably wise. 17 THE COURT: Okav. We definitely need Mr. Polakoff. 19 20 (Wherein, the following took 20 21 place in chambers.) 21 THE COURT: This is continuation of State v. 22 23 Polakoff, in chambers on the record with Mr. Nixon for 23

MR. SNIVELY: I think we did. I indicated I'm not going to talk about her being a nurse. I'm going to talk about her as a mother who held the baby and what other her observations were. I don't intend to even ask her her occupation.

MR. NIXON: Okay. I think that satisfies the State.

I may just ask for a minute. Bob was going to look at that and it might just take a minute more. I don't think it's going to be long. It's a little bit more problematic than the last one just because the last one was at the very end and we could have hit stop. But that wouldn't make it a clean exhibit either.

Again, I'd like Bob to do that. It should be more clear.

THE COURT: Mr. Spoja.

MR. NIXON: Mr. Spoja said that he would like to just clip it so it is, you know, a true and accurate exhibit as to what the jury heard.

THE COURT: That's probably good for the record. Yeah. Just the fact that rather than playing -- publishing it, according to my ruling, they're actually fixing it so that the record will reflect that that's what the jury heard.

MR. SNIVELY: Are you going to delete the

418

24

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

8

9

```
is present.
```

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

the State.

While the jury is out, the parties asked for -- to come before the Court and just to address an issue.

Mr. Snively for the defense and Mr. Polakoff

So Mr. Nixon, go ahead.

MR. NIXON: First, I apologize this delay is on me. I had not previously caught that there was mention of Mr. Polakoff's mom's occupation in the interview. And the concern that the State has already articulated still stands. There's not been any statement or any transcript or any ability to question Ms. Goedde about what she will testify to.

And the State's concern is that she'll be given some sort of expert status by mentioning that she is a nurse and that -- it seems like in the video there's also a statement by the defendant, which basically states that, "Well, my mom is a nurse. She should have known if there was anything wrong."

And I think as a matter of fundamental fairness, since the State has no idea what she's go going to say, that if she is going to testify, it has to be outside of the realm of any sort of perceived expertise.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Snively, I thought we might have addressed this at a final, final pretrial.

reference to mom being a nurse, then, too?

MR. NIXON: I would, yes.

MR. SNIVELY: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. NIXON: Then we would stop it cold at just where you wanted and where the judge ordered it to be stopped.

MR. SNIVELY: It would be the end of the video at that point.

MR. NIXON: Maybe for the record just to reiterate, the State has already excised the interview to exclude mention of having been mirandized previously. That's clearly outside the -- out of bounds. It's just not relevant.

THE COURT: Okay. That sounds like -- I understand why you brought it before the Court. It doesn't appear to be an issue. Mr. Snively seems well aware of the reason if he does call these witnesses that that won't come in and be arqued.

Anything else?

MR. NIXON: I don't believe so, Judge.

THE COURT: So Mr. Stuber is the interview of the defendant.

24 MR. NIXON: Obviously, I've been more long winded than I'd guessed so far.

```
THE COURT: I know Mr. Spoja is not here, but
       just in general, I know the first day got a little away
 3
       from us maybe. Do you feel like we're getting back on
 4
                 MR. NIXON: I don't see that we're going to
 5
      have any issues finishing by Friday.
                 Do you, Bob?
 8
                 THE COURT: Well, he doesn't know.
 9
                 MR. SNIVELY: It's your call. You keep
      saying you're calling a witness and then you're not
10
       calling a witness.
12
                 THE COURT: You don't need to clarify it.
13
                 MR. NIXON: I don't mind clarifying.
                 THE COURT: You should finish evidence
14
15
      tomorrow.
                 MR. NIXON: Yes. We will finish tomorrow.
16
17
                 THE COURT: All right.
                 MR. NIXON: I don't anticipate it -- well,
19
      let's just assume that I've just been a poor judge so
20
      far that we'll do it by noon. I don't expect it to last
21
      that long.
22
                 THE COURT: Very good. Each party, you're
23
      trying the cases.
                                                                   23
                 But I will say to you, Mr. Snively, based on
                                                                   24
```

```
MR. NIXON: We're probably going to need a
       couple of hours, at the very least.
                  MR. SNIVELY: I anticipated that. But I
       didn't she think she would stay here, so yeah.
                  MR. NIXON: I do anticipate, Judge, that
 5
       we're going to be able to knock out at least another
 7
 8
                  I've got two more witnesses of length. We
 9
       have Dr. Laskey and Al Stuber.
10
                  Al is largely going to be -- he has to take
11
       some time just because if the interview's admitted then
12
       we have about an hour of watching the video. So I'm
13
       going to guess that he's probably going to be minimally
14
       about two hours.
15
                  I have a couple of short witnesses that we
16
       can -- Jesse Thompson from MATIC, who will not take
17
       long; Bonnie Rothe, who will not take long; and also
18
       Jennifer Cormier, who is the sister. She's not going to
19
       take long.
20
                  Really, this is the next-to-last big hurdle
21
       as far as time required for testimony.
22
```

THE COURT: It sounds like, just so you're prepared, we'll probably do Mr. Stuber then and then I might even -- I hope I don't -- we might just take a short break and if you've got a -- probably your doctor

```
422
```

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

witnesses, have them ready, technically somewhere around that noon mark so that we're not sitting and waiting.
Okay?

this kind of general idea, if you do plan to call

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ SNIVELY:}\ I$$  will, Judge. They're about ten minutes from here.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: You know how it is sometimes and you don't plan. And the defense is always somewhat at a disadvantage, because you don't know. I am simply not going to send this jury home with half a day.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  SNIVELY: Oh, no. Within a few minutes we'll be ready to go.

 $\,$  MR. NIXON: And I assume we'll be taking a break for the inevitable motion that happens after the State rests anyway.

 $\label{thm:thm:thm:thm:thm:thm:you} \mbox{\sc can get your people and call witnesses.}$ 

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} MR. NIXON: Bob, may I tell Ryann she's \\ released now or do you want to keep her under subpoena? \\ \end{tabular}$ 

MR. SNIVELY: I'd like to keep her just to make sure the rest of this.

MR. NIXON: She asked if she could go home, I told her yes. At this point in time, the State is not going to call her. If you want us to contact her, please keep in mind that we're going to need...

MR. SNIVELY: I'll give you plenty of time.

1 is not going to fit in in an hour or close.

MR. NIXON: I can tell you with one hundred percent certainty since her flight was cancelled this morning, she's not going to be here today.

THE COURT: If you hear me, if we have that additional 50 minutes or whatever I sure want to use it.

MR. NIXON: We have all of our witnesses lined up to go today except Dr. Laskey. We'll be able to -- I cannot imagine we can't fill today. I think we're going to be -- I hope to knock out two or three. Maybe even get down to where it's only Dr. Laskey tomorrow.

Like I said, I've not been very good at looking in my crystal ball.

THE COURT: Well, it sounds like we're back on track. I appreciate that heads up.

You guys can go ahead and take a break.
Hopefully not a very long one, but go ahead. I think
we're in recess, I'll just ask the State to let me know
as soon as you get that exhibit and we'll bring the jury
back you up.

(Off the record.)
(Wherein, the following took place in open court.)

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

424

427

1 anticipate an objection. We'll get it into evidence,
2 knowing that what really will come into evidence is
3 essentially what you're playing and we'll make sure that

the disk that's part of the file is that. Does that makes sense?

MR. SPOJA: I think so, yes.

THE COURT: So I wouldn't worry about the normal foundation for that reason and just identify that it is the interview.

MR. SPOJA: Thanks, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You bet. Thanks for fixing that

so quickly.

MR. SPOJA: Absolutely.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Any reason for the jury not to

come in?

16 MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Let'

THE COURT: All right. Let's bring them.
(Wherein, the jury is present.)

THE COURT: Jury is now present.

Mr. Nixon, do you so stipulate? MR. NIXON: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. We're back.

Now you know what my wife deals with when I

426

THE COURT: Please be seated. Thanks.

Counsel for the State is present.

Back on the record, State v. Polakoff, DC

Counsel for the defendant is present. The

We just had a break. The jury is not

There was a ruling by the Court that

Mr. Spoja, were you able to do that?

issue is that I have prepared and modified what will be

played -- or what we'll ask to be published today. The

actual disk that would be submitted into evidence, I've

I've explained as much to Mr. Snively.

it for efficiency sake -- is it State's 26 or something?

THE COURT: Do you want to call it 26A?

MR. SPOJA: Yes. It would be 26.

Whatever the Court's pleasure is as far as

THE COURT: I think why don't -- if you offer

not been able to modify yet. I can do that later on.

how we get it to the -- into evidence, we'll do.

It will take a little more time.

MR. SPOJA: I was, Your Honor. The only

necessitated to fix a modification of the State's

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

19-17.

present.

exhibit.

defendant is present.

MR. SPOJA: Okay.

THE COURT: Because I don't want that one in really. Knowing — but I do want the record to reflect, assuming that I accept it, that it comes in. The one that will be part of our record will be the one that was played.

Does that sound good, Mr. Snively?
MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I agree. That's fine.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SPOJA: And pardon -- I'm going to ask for some real basic guidance here as far as what the Court would like to see. How I normally do these, as you've seen with my prior witnesses, I had them identify their mark on the disk, I'm not going to be able to do that with number 26. I have that on the existing 26, I won't on 26A.

THE COURT: I guess I don't know. I don't mean to put words in your mouth, Mr. Snively, but you don't have a foundational objection to this thing, do you?

MR. SNIVELY: No. We're not objecting. So we're fine with just having him say, "You taped it and we're going to play it."

THE COURT: Why don't you just identify it as the disk containing the interview, offer it. I don't

1 say ten minutes, right?

As I said, and I won't waste any more of your time to say, I did kind of warn you, I understand it can be frustrating. Some of these delays are just something that we can't fix.

And just know that we've been working while, hopefully, you were taking a little bit of a break, stretching your legs and having some refreshments.

We'll go right back to it.

Mr. Spoja, your next witness.

MR. SPOJA: Thank you, Your Honor. The State calls Al Stuber.

## ALAN STUBER,

WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST
DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS
EXAMINED their TESTIFIED
AS FOLLOWS:
--000--

THE COURT: Sir, right to this chair, please  $\,$ 

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

# BY MR. SPOJA:

Q. Good afternoon.

A. Good afternoon.

Q. Can you state your name and spell it for the record.

420

A. Alan, A-l-a-n, Stuber, S-t-u-b-e-r.

- 2 Q. And do you go by "Al"?
  - g. Ima ao joa go
- 3 A. I go by Al.

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

19

22

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

22

- Q. Al, can you tell us what do you do right now?
- A. I'm retired and I own a private business.
- 6 Q. And you retired from?
  - A. I retired from the Red Lodge Police
  - Department December 31st of 2020, after 22 years of working for the Red Lodge Police.
    - Q. And before -- when did you come to Red Lodge? You're not from here originally?
  - A. That's correct. I moved to Red Lodge in 1988 and opened up a private business. Then in 1997, I got on the Red Lodge Police reserves, and did that for -- until they hired me as a part-time police officer, working Friday and Saturday nights from, oh, like at 9 o'clock at night until 7:00 in the morning. And then I was hired on full time August 1st of 1999, I believe. Or -- no, probably -- '98 probably.
- 20 Q. That would have been about the time that you 21 went to the law enforcement academy?
  - A. Yes, I went that March.
- 23 Q. Okay. And from that point forward, do you 24 maintain your training?
  - A. I did. I was constantly going to some kind

A. He told me that the --

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to the

hearsay.

10

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: It's an investigation.

Overruled. Go ahead.

MR. SPOJA: Thank you, Your Honor.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) You may answer.
- A. I was told that the child or baby was nine months old, he wasn't breathing, that Officer Grieshop, and I believe there was another officer in training with him, arrived on scene, and ambulances showed up. They had to do CPR and take the baby to the hospital. And that the baby had some severe head injuries, that they had to fly the baby to Salt Lake City.
- Q. Okay. So what did you do with that information?
- A. Well, the first thing I had to do was start trying to figure out who to contact. One of the -- the mother, apparently, had gone with the baby to Salt Lake City. I believe the first person that I contacted -- I talked to the chief, as well. That was the first person I talked to. And then we were able to get ahold of Nathan Polakoff.
- Q. Okay. Did you gather any information before you spoke to Mr. Polakoff?

430

of training every year. There was a lot of stuff going on in Billings and throughout the state that I'd go to.

- Q. Okay. In April of 2019, you were working with Red Lodge Police Department at that point?
  - A. I was.
  - Q. Okay. What were your duties at that point?
- A. I was a patrol officer. I do whatever calls came up, take them, and cases or, you know, whatever law enforcement had to deal with.
- Q. Okay. And specifically, April 20th, 2019, were you working on that day?
- A. I did, yes.
- Q. Were you working day shift or night shift?
- A. I was working the day shift. I came on, I believe, it was 7 o'clock in the morning.
- Q. Okay. And so was there -- did you receive a briefing from the night shift that morning?
- A. I did. When I come to work, I usually come about 15 minutes early, and Officer Grieshop briefed me on a case that had happened the night before, somewhere around 9 o'clock or 9:30 in the evening, of a child that wasn't breathing over there on South Hauser. And I'm not sure the address, but it's 7-something South Hauser.
- Q. What other information did you receive at that point in time?

1 A. Well, I'd have to maybe refer to see if I
2 contacted anybody other than that, but...

- Q. Would that be in your report?
- A. It might be, yeah.
- Q. Okay. Would reviewing your report refresh your recollections or assist in refreshing it?
  - A. Yes

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  SPOJA: Your Honor, may he refer to his report?

MR. SNIVELY: No objection.

THE COURT: Yes, he may.

THE WITNESS: (Witness peruses document.)

I think from the information that Officer

Grieshop gave me was enough to be able to ask for an interview with Mr. Polakoff.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Okay. So did Mr. Polakoff agree to be interviewed?
  - A. Yes, he did.
  - Q. And when did he come in and speak to you?
  - A. He came in at 9:45 that morning.
- Q. Okay. Now, as part of your investigation, you identified Mr. Polakoff, did you learn his date of birth, phone number, all of those things?
- 24 A. I did. I believe his date of birth is 25 11-27-96.

```
Okay. And I assumed that you provided him a
    Miranda warning?
 3
         A. I did. I read and also have a copy of his
    Miranda warning so he could read it and sign that he
 5
        Q. And after signing that, he agreed to be
 6
      interviewed?
 8
        A. Yes, he did.
9
         Q. Did you record the interview?
         A. I did.
10
11
               MR. SPOJA: May I approach the witness?
12
              THE COURT: Yes, you may.
13
         Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) I'm handing you
14
      what's been marked State's Exhibit 26. Do you know what
15
     that is?
        A. Yes, it's a DVD.
16
17
        Q. Is that the recording of the defendant's
      interview?
19
        A. Yes, I signed it.
20
                MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, the State would move
21
      for admission of State's Exhibit 26.
22
                THE COURT: Mr. Snively.
23
                MR. SNIVELY: No objection.
                THE COURT: Without objection, 26 is
```

```
435
          Α.
                 He did.
 2
                 Did that strike you as unusual?
          0.
               Yeah. I've never had anyone bring me
          Α.
      pictures of a -- supposedly -- whether it's a crime
      scene or an incident scene or whatever, I've never had
       that happen.
       Q. And remind us, when was this interview?
                 This was the day after the occurrence, in the
 8
        Α.
      morning about 12 hours later.
10
       Q.
                 Okay. And was he presenting it as basically
11
       the same as it was when Everett was injured?
12
                 He did state that the pillow wasn't in the
        Α.
13
      same place as in the picture. It was, you know -- he
14
      kind of mentioned in the statement that the pillow was
       up a little higher or somewhere else on the bed. But
16
      other than that, that it was pretty accurate as to what
17
      it looked like.
18
       O. Okav.
19
                              (Wherein, the video was
20
                               played.)
21
               (BY MR. SPOJA) He told you it was really
22
      hard to try not completely breakdown. We talked earlier
23
      about when he appeared to be crying. Have we seen
```

24 similar events through the video so far? 25 Yes. There was -- I think there was a little

```
admitted.
                                                             434
                              (Wherein, State's Exhibit No.
2
                               26 was received.)
                 MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, can I publish the
 4
      same?
 5
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
 6
                MR. SPOJA: And can we dim the lights,
 7
      please?
 8
                              (Wherein, the video was
9
                               played.)
10
                 (BY MR. SPOJA) Do you notice anything out of
11
      the ordinary at this point?
          A. Yes. I was looking for -- when I'm doing
12
      interviews, I always look for other stuff besides what
13
      they're saying, body language, and so forth and I
    noticed that he wasn't shedding any tears.
15
         Q. And did he seem to be wiping at his eyes?
16
17
          A. He was. He was indicating that he was quite
18
     upset and crying and yet there was no tears and he was
19
      just wiping dry eyes.
20
                 MR. SPOJA: Go ahead.
21
                              (Wherein, the video was
                                                                 21
22
                               played.)
23
              (BY MR. SPOJA) Mr. Stuber, the defendant
      provided you or he showed you a picture he had ready of
                                                                  24
```

his bedroom?

```
bit earlier and this one here where he'd go to start
      talking about the incident, especially in the shower or
 3
       just the injury of the baby, and he would start shaking
       and then crying and/or appeared to be crying.
 5
                 Again, his eyes were dry and I didn't see any
      tears or even tearing up in his eyes. I was close
       enough to be able to view that.
        Q. Did that strike you as odd?
                 Well, yeah. I know -- you know, when I get
        Α.
10
       emotional or --
11
                 MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object, his own
12
       personal information.
13
              THE COURT: Sustained.
14
                 THE WITNESS: I have --
15
                THE COURT: Sustained.
16
                 MR. SPOJA: I'll rephrase.
17
        Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Based on your experience as
18
      an officer in interviewing individuals, did the
19
      defendant's behavior strike you as odd?
20
       A. It did.
               And what about it was odd?
22
                 MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I still object. He
23
      doesn't have the training to tell us what normal
      reactions are in people.
```

THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: The fact, he was getting so emotional and then I wasn't seeing tears, which the reaction didn't seem to be what you would expect from that kind of an emotional incident.

O. Okav.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

(Wherein, the video was played.)

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) You said that he was upset that he wasn't able to go with. Did that strike you as odd?
- A. At the time I thought, like, you know, if you're that involved, why weren't you going or at least getting in the car and heading out right away? So, yeah I thought that was kind of odd.

Continue, please.

(Wherein, the video was played.)

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) we heard him telling you that they had talked about spanking and they hadn't made any decisions. And then shortly before that, you heard his statement that, admitted that they just kind of jumped into this. Did those statements seem incongruous to you?
  - A. Say that again? That he tried to jumped into

would have to check my notes, but I believe after he left, I thought of a couple more questions that I wanted to ask him, so I called him back.

- Q. And asked him a couple more questions?
- A. Yes. He did come back and I read the Miranda to him again and he signed it, like the first time. And I just wanted to clarify again about -- I believe it was Shane, Jr., Link, if there was any other people that might have been in the house -- in his house in Red Lodge, and tried to establish if there was any other people that we need to talk to.
- Q. Okay. And did the defendant tell you there was anybody else around those few days that they were all in Red Lodge?
- $\hbox{A.} \qquad \hbox{He stated it was just him, Ryann, and} \\ \hbox{Everett.}$
- Q. What did you do next?
- A. Then I received a phone call, dispatch told me that the hospital down in Salt Lake City was trying to contact me. So I called back and talked to Jill Willis, I believe is her name. And she gave me some information about the injuries.
- Q. Did that provide you additional information about the extent of the injuries?
  - A. It did. It was -- there were two different

438

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

```
what?
```

- $\mathbb{Q}$ . That they sort of jumped into this, their relationship. Did those two statements seem to be at odds?
- A. Well, for just being together for a couple of months and then, you know, deciding to just jump into the role of a father, and some of the statements that he was making about, you know, discipline and so forth. I felt it was --
- MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to what he thinks is the meaning of what's being said.

THE COURT: Sustained. That's the province of the jury and you can argue it, Mr. Spoja.

 $\mbox{MR. SPOJA: Very well. Let's go ahead and continue, please.$ 

THE WITNESS: I just --

17 THE COURT: Mr. Stuber, you need to stop. I 18 sustained the objection.

THE WITNESS: Oh, all right.

(Wherein, the video was played.)

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) When you were done with that interview, what was your next step?
- A. I believe I talked to the chief. He wanted me to give him his business card. And I believe -- I

1 injuries.

5

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{2}}$  MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to the  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{3}}$  hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) What did you do after you spoke to -- did you speak with anyone else, other than this Ms. Willis?
  - A. I did. I then talked to Dr. Laskey.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q.}}$  Okay. And what did you do after you spoke to Dr. Laskey?
- A. She had requested --

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MR}}.$  SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object again to the hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained. Just the question is what you did.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) So what did you do?
- A. I went and took -- and called Mr. Polakoff up to see if I could take pictures of his residence.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. And I told him why.
- Q. What was the reason why?
- 23 A. The reason why was to take pictures of 24 possible areas where the injuries could have occurred,

the bedroom and the bathroom and, you know, essentially

probably the house itself, because there's furniture and stuff.

- Q. Okay. And did he agree to allow you to come over?
- A. He did. He said to come on over. I did go over there and asked him to read the Miranda again, have him sign it again. I also got a consent to search his house so I could take pictures and/or if I saw anything else that would have been evidentiary. And so he signed that.

He had a question about it, because it was a consent to search for a motor vehicle, which I had put in instead of the type of vehicle I put in the address of his residence. And explained to him that it was just a consent to search and he could tell me at any time to stop and I would have to stop and leave. And so he stated he understood that. He did sign the consent to search and I took pictures.

- 19 Q. Did you have a body cam running while you 20 were over there?
- 21 A. I did. I turned on the body cam.
  - MR. SPOJA: May I approach?
- 23 THE COURT: Yes.

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

22

3

6

7

10 11

12

20

21

23

Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Handing you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 27. Do you recognize that?

- Q. And what's that from?
- A. From his cell phone.

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Q. Okay. And does that reference anything in particular?
- A. It references when it was created, the type of phone that it is, the model, the time that the picture was -- there's a picture here, the time that it was captured, and the actual pixel resolution and the orientation, which is horizontal, and the tech information.
- Q. Is that a true and accurate depiction of data retrieved in your investigation?
  - A. Yes, it is.

MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, the State moves admission of State's Exhibit 28.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Without objection, State's 28 is admitted.

(Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 28 was received.)

MR. SPOJA: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) I'm going to hand you what's been mark as State's Exhibit 4. That's the picture that

442

- A. Yes. My initials are on there and dated.
- 2 Q. What is it?
  - A. It's a DVD of my body cam.
- 4 O. About how long is that?
- 5 A. Gosh, I'm not sure. A half hour, 45 minutes.
  - Q. Okay.
    - A. Yeah.

8 MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, the State moves for 9 admission of State's Exhibit 27.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: 27 is admitted.

13 (Wherein, State's Exhibit No. 14 27 was received.)

15 MR. SPOJA: Approach the witness, Your Honor? 16 THE COURT: Yes.

- 17 Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) I'm handing you what's been 18 marked as State's Exhibit 28 through 47. Will you take 19 a look at those real quick.
  - A. (Witness complies.)
  - Q. Beginning with No. 28, that's the first one
- 22 on top there.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Do you recognize what that is?
  - A. This is an extraction report.

the defendant provided you; is that correct?

A. Ye

Q. And we saw him email it actually to you during that video, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And is that picture -- is that what that data in Exhibit 28 is for?
- A. It is. I was looking at it and it appears to be the same.
- Q. Okay. What's the date and time that the photograph was captured? Was created, I guess.
- A. It was created on 4-19 at 11:03 p.m.
- Q. Okay. And that was after Everett had been taken to the hospital?
  - A. Yes
- Q. But before he'd been flown to Salt Lake,
- 17 correct?
  - A. Yes, I believe so.
  - Q. Okay. Let's move to State's Exhibit 29. Do you recognize that?
    - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Well, I guess, frankly, let's just --
  - If I may, Your Honor.
- You've reviewed all the photographs?
  - A. I did.

447 And what are those? bedroom. These are the photographs I took of the 2 Okav. 0. 3 bedroom and the bathroom. MR. SPOJA: 31, please. (BY MR. SPOJA) What do we have here? Q. Okay. And the defendant's house, in general? Yes, sir. Now I'm standing in the kitchen and taking a 5 5 Α. MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, the State moves for picture into the bedroom. 6 admission of State's Exhibit --MR. SPOJA: 32, please. 8 Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Well, I quess, first off, are 8 0. (BY MR. SPOJA) And what's this? 9 they true and accurate depictions of the defendant's 9 Α. This is in the bedroom to my left, as you walk through the door. And to the left of the bed --10 house on the day that you photographed them? 10 11 A. They are. 11 well, there's closets. I quess you call them closets. 12 Okav. 12 And then on the floor is the Styrofoam, that was Q. referred to earlier, and then his left side of his bed. 13 MR. SPOJA: The State moves for admission of 13 MR. SPOJA: 33, please. State's Exhibit 29 through 47. 14 14 15 THE COURT: Mr. Snively, do you have an issue 15 Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) What do we have here? with any of those? 16 This, I believe, was kind of like the frame 16 Α. 17 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, give me a second, 17 or something to keep the mattress off the floor. A 18 please. piece of wood. 19 MR. SPOJA: Did I move admission of 28 19 O. Okav. 20 already? 20 MR. SPOJA: 34, please. THE WITNESS: This here is -- on the right 21 THE COURT: You did and it's been admitted. 21 22 MR. SPOJA: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 side of the bed is the heater, which was on the south

23

24

22

23

24

along the floor.

THE COURT: All right. 29 through 47 are 25 MR. SPOJA: 35. 446 admitted. THE WITNESS: This was on the right side of (Wherein, State's Exhibit Nos. the bed at the head of the bed. It's just a little 2 29 through 47 were received.) round coffee table or end table. 4 MR. SPOJA: May I publish, Your Honor? THE COURT: 36. 5 THE COURT: You may. 5 6 MR. SPOJA: Let's begin with 29. 7 Modern technology is great until it quits 8 working right. There we go. 9 THE COURT: I was going to say, you could 9 publish the old fashion way and pass them around, too. 10 10 MR. SPOJA: This is where modern technology 11 11 is actually working for us today. 12 12 13 Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) And was this what you were 13 talking about, the capture time of the photograph and 14 15 all the other data of the photograph? 15 furniture. A. Yes. 16 16 17 17 All right. MR. SPOJA: Let's move on to 30. 18 18 19 Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) All right. What are we seeing 19 20 here? 20 21 A. This here is a -- I'm standing at the front 21

door of the apartment and this is to my right, looking

Q. And what do we see through the kitchen there?

You can see through the kitchen and into the

MR. SNIVELY: We don't object to Exhibit 29

23

22

23

into the kitchen.

THE WITNESS: This here is looking from the right side of the bed to the left side, where his closets are and his bed. MR. SPOJA: 37, please. THE WITNESS: This here was as you came in the bedroom door, that was the little bassinet, or whatever you call it, where the baby would sleep. MR. SPOJA: 38, please. THE WITNESS: This here is the living room, their little dinner table with the TV on it, and THE COURT: 39. THE WITNESS: This here is the -- standing in the living room, taking a picture of the table but to the right there is where the bathroom door is. MR. SPOJA: 40. THE WITNESS: And then I took a picture as it was, into the bathroom. Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) And you can kind of see on the right door frame it looks to be a curtain of some sort. What's that?

end of the heater, which is a wall heater that goes

449 I believe that probably is the shower I had to go to the PD and get a copy and take them back 2 curtain. to him. 3 Okay. Then after you were done with that, 0. Okay. Q. 4 MR. SPOJA: 41, please. what was your next step? I think I did have a conversation with a 5 THE WITNESS: That's the toilet area to the Α. left of the shower. doctor, but I would have to review my report to see if I MR. SPOJA: 42. did. Did that inform you as far as what your next 8 THE WITNESS: That's the shower. And on the 8 9 bottom there is like a little end table with stuff on 9 steps in your investigation were -- should be? 10 10 It gave me a lot more direction as to where 11 MR. SPOJA: 43. to go. THE WITNESS: That's the end table with items 12 12 MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, may he refresh his 13 13 recollection from his report? on it. THE COURT: He doesn't remember, otherwise? 14 (BY MR. SPOJA) About how much space is there 14 15 between the shower and that little table we're showing? 15 MR. SPOJA: Just to determine whether it was I would -- you know, maybe a foot. I didn't 16 16 then that he spoke to Dr. Laskey. 17 measure it, but --17 THE COURT: If you don't remember that 18 0. Pretty close? 18 without it, you can refresh your memory. 19 Α. Pretty close. 19 THE WITNESS: I did talk to -- I'm not 20 Okay. 20 exactly sure time. It was that same day. 21 MR. SPOJA: 44. 21 MR. SPOJA: Okay, that's fine then. 22 THE WITNESS: This is a picture into the 22 THE WITNESS: It was after I got done talking 23 shower. 23 to Mr. Polakoff, then I did talk to Dr. Laskey. 24 Okay. 24 Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Okay. What were your next

450

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: This was a picture of the handle in the shower, the one on the right. And I used a measuring device to capture the size of the faucet.

O. Okav.

25

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

MR. SPOJA: And 46.

MR. SPOJA: 45.

THE WITNESS: And this is the one on the left. And for the same reason, to get the size. That's the faucet that had the blue washcloth on it.

MR. SPOJA: And then 47.

THE WITNESS: And that's the washcloth.

(BY MR. SPOJA) That shower is not particularly large?

A. No. It's probably a couple feet by a couple of feet, I quess.

Q. Okay. And what did you do with these pictures immediately after you took them?

A. I took and put them in the case, but I also then -- Dr. Laskey, I think is her last name, she wanted to have pictures of the scenes, but I didn't send them all, but I sent the ones of the shower and the bathroom area, and the bedroom.

Q. Okay. And what did you do -- what did you do next?

Then I had to give Mr. Polakoff a receipt for the pictures and he signed the receipt for them and then I put -- well, I did call the Salt Lake City

steps after talking to her?

Police Department, requested agency assist, to see if somebody, a detective or an officer, could go up and interview Ryann and see -- and maybe her mother or whoever was there. And also informed them that  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Mr}}\xspace.$ Polakoff was going to head down that direction, too, and they agreed to help us out on the interviews.

Okay. Were they -- did you ask them to Q. interview him, as well, if he got down there?

A. Yes, I did.

Okay. Did you do anything -- I guess what 0. happened next?

Then I believe I did a preservation letter. Α.

What's that?

That is to preserve any information on a phone number for an investigation into any kind of situation, a crime. And they request to do that, they need a case number and so forth, so I went ahead and preserved the phone numbers for Nathan Polakoff and Ryann Wells.

0. And what did you do after that?

Α. I did talk to -- later that evening, I did talk to one of the officers from Salt Lake City.

Q.

Α. And he told me that --

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to the 2 hearsay. 3

THE COURT: Sustained.

- (BY MR. SPOJA) Without telling us what the officer told you, what was your next step?
- A. Well, I had received that phone call when I was off duty, so the next morning I went back to work to see -- I believe I wanted to do another interview with Mr. Polakoff.
  - Okay. Did you conduct any other interviews?
  - Well, later on I did, yes.
  - Who did you speak with?
- 13 Well, I went down and interviewed Jennifer 14 Cormier, Shane Link, which is the 12-year-old son.
- Present was his father, who was down there. I didn't really interview him, but he was present during the 16
- 17 interviews. 18 I also spoke to the vice principal of the school down there, and that was Ms. Fucs, F-u-c-s. A 20 couple of days later, I then interviewed the
  - Q. And that's Ryann's stepdad?
  - A. That's Ryann's stepdad, yes.
- 24

grandfather.

6

8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

A. And his name is Ray -- I'd have to review his

- After all of that, did you develop a suspect? Q.
- Say that again? Α.
- After all of that, did you develop a suspect 0. and have some recommendations for the county attorney's office?
  - I did. Α.

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

What were those?

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object. What his conclusions were are not relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SPOJA: Can I ask him what the

recommendations to the county attorney's office was?

THE COURT: I believe that Mr. Polakoff is on trial here and I think that's pretty of obvious.

15 MR. SPOJA: All right. As long as we can draw that line for the jury.

16 17 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I object to the running 18 commentary from the prosecutor.

THE COURT: Sustained. It's been a long day.

MR. SPOJA: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Spoja. So you've got some cross, right?

MR. SNIVELY: I do.

24 THE COURT: I'm just going to ask the jury if

they just want to stand for a minute before

last name.

- Q. Did you interview Ryann?
- A. Yes, I did. Actually, it was Ryann and her mother came up to the police department. Chief Wells was there, so we conducted the interviews separately, of course, but I was with the chief and the chief did most of the interview.
- Q. Okay. And did you gather any information at any point -- well, I guess, did you get information relative to the defendant's cell phone?
- A. We did get some information back, went through MATIC to ask for assistance and they were able to help us. And I did get a search warrant for the phone and there was information that was retrieved.
- Q. And that was -- the extraction report was part of that information?
  - A. I believe that's all it was, yes.
- After all of your investigation, we've covered it pretty well, that's about everything that you've accomplished, right?
- 21 A. Yes. I also had interviewed the medical 22 people that responded to the call that night in 23 question.
  - Q. Okay.
  - Α. Yeah.

cross. I'm going to stand, but nobody has to. I know we took our break early, but we really are doing some good work.

If it's okay, we'll just let us stretch a minute.

(Pause.)

THE COURT: Mr. Spoja, are we okay to go on? I see Mr. Nixon stepped out.

MR. SPOJA: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and cross. MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, Your Honor.

# CROSS EXAMINATION

# BY MR. SNIVELY:

- Q. Sir, when you were at the house, or the cabin, as it's been called by other witnesses, did you take measurements of the place?
- Α. I just took measurements of the faucets.
- You didn't measure how far it was from the 0. couch to the bathroom?
  - A. No, I took no other measurements.
- 21 0. You didn't take the measurement as to the 22 size of the living room?
- 24 You didn't take an actual measurement of the shower?

A. No.

459 Α. Well... 2 0. You didn't take a measurement of the 2 MR. SPOJA: Objection, badgering. It's not a 3 bathroom? question. THE COURT: Sustained. Go ahead. Didn't take a measurement of the kitchen? MR. SNIVELY: I'll move on. I didn't hear 5 0. what the objection was, but I'll move on. 6 Α. Didn't take a measurement of the bedroom? Q. THE COURT: Okay. 8 A. 8 (BY MR. SNIVELY) You asked him and he 0. 9 0. Isn't one of the investigative techniques of consented to a search of the cabin, correct? police is to diagram and get measurements of a place if Α. 10 10 All right. But all that you did was take they believe a crime had occurred there? 12 A. That does come up, yes. 12 photographs? 13 And that would answer the question of how far 13 Α. 14 it is from the couch to the shower, wouldn't it? 14 You didn't conduct a search? Q. 15 A. It would. 15 A. 16 16 And it would also answer the question of how Q. And, in fact, when you took the photographs 17 17 far it is from the couch to the bedroom, correct? that are Exhibit 44, 45, 46, and 47 -- do you have those 18 18 in front of you? 19 And did you note that the only door inside 19 A. Okav. 20 the cabin was on the bathroom? 20 Q. First of all, those pictures all depict 21 A. Yes. 21 various parts of the shower, correct? There was no door on the bedroom? 22 Α. That's correct. 23 I didn't see one. 23 Q. When all of those pictures were taken, you Q. Well, have you looked at your photos? 24 were standing outside of the shower, correct? There's --25 Α. That's right. 458 Yeah. May I look? You never took a picture while you were Α. 0.

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Sure. Look at them. 2 3 (Witness complies.) Yeah. There's no door 4 into the bedroom. So the only door in that cabin inside was the 5 Q. 6 bathroom? 7 A. 8 And there was one entry door, correct? Q. 9 Right. A. 10 Which was right by the couch? Q. 11 When you walk in the front door and the couch 12 13 is like right here in front of it? That's correct. 15 There's enough room for the door to swing Q. 16 open? 17 And there's -- how far is there between the 18 0. 19 couch and the table? 20 A. I'm not sure. 21 0. Pretty short, isn't it? 22 A. Well, it was close, I guess. 23 All right. And how long was the couch? 24 You know, I didn't measure it.

Q. I thought you were there to search.

standing in the shower, did you? I did not stand in the shower. I just reached over into the shower. 0. From outside the shower? A. Q. And your estimation is that the shower is two foot by two foot? Pretty small. Α. All right. I mean, you're a decent-sized man, you would have had trouble fitting in there, wouldn't you? A. Yes. Now, the defendant had told you when you interviewed him on Saturday morning the 20th of April, that he'd only had three hours of sleep, correct? Α. Yes. And do you agree with me that people react Q. differently to situations? I quess so. Q. So when you say that he didn't act the way you thought he should, I mean, you agree with me everyone reacts differently to situations? A. Everyone is different.

And being interviewed by a police officer for

some people is a very stressful situation, even if they've not done anything wrong. Do you agree?

- A. That's possible, yes.
- And what is your estimation of the distance from the couch to the bathroom?
- A. The bathroom door, the entryway?
  - Yes. The door.
- 8 Maybe six feet.
- And from the door to the shower, how many 9 0 10 feet?
  - Probably another maybe six feet.
  - Six feet? 0.
- 13 It wasn't a very big bathroom. I didn't measure it, so -- it couldn't have been any... 14
  - So if you take a moment and look at photo 43, Q. please.
  - (Witness complies.)
    - MR. SNIVELY: Could you project 43 for me,
- 19 please.

3

5

6

11

12

15

16

17

18

20

21

25

6

11

12

15

16 17

20

22

THE COURT: 43, you said?

- MR. SNIVELY: Yes.
- O. (BY MR. SNIVELY) So to the right of that 22
- 23 picture of 43 is the doorway, correct?
- A. The right of that picture?
- 0.

MR. SNIVELY: If we can go back to 43, please.

- (BY MR. SNIVELY) All right. That's the Q. picture you're referring to?
  - Α. Right. Right.
- And to the left of that picture is the Q. shower?
  - A. Correct.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- And from the previous picture we know down on  $% \left\{ 1,2,...,2,...,2,...\right\}$ 0. this picture by the shower it would be the toilet, correct?
- Correct. It would be on the left side of the Α. shower there.
- Q. Right. But the orientation of this picture would be down blow that, correct?
- The toilet would be to the left of the A. shower.
- 0. Okay. We're talking the same thing. We're just use using different terms.
  - Α. Yeah.
  - 0. So where is the second wall in the bathroom?
  - Α. The wall I was talking about is the one that
- -- the vanity -- in the back of the vanity is on the 23
- 24 north wall and the right side of the vanity is on the
  - east wall and then there's a door.

- Well, not really. It would have been to the right and then back towards -- there was a wall right 3 here.
- 4 0. So where you're standing is in line with the 5 doorway?
  - Well, not really. The door would be like over here (indicating.) You walk through the door, there's a wall. There's a wall right here. And then it went alongside where the vanity is, the vanity is
- 10 attached to the wall on two sides.
  - Q. Give me just a second here. MR. SNIVELY: So if we could project photo
- 13
  - (BY MR. SNIVELY) Does that photo show the wall that you're referencing?
  - A. No. That wall separates the toilet from the shower and then...
- Q. So your testimony is there's another wall in 18 19 that bathroom?
- It's the wall that is opposite of that is the 21 living room. So the vanity, if you look in this picture here -- the right side of that vanity --
- 23 Q. Hold on a minute. Which picture are you looking at?
  - A. 43.

0. Okay. They are the outside walls of the bathroom, correct?

- A. Correct.
- 0. There's no internal wall, other than separating the shower from the toilet?
  - That's correct.
- And so this picture you're saying the doorway Q. is just to the right of this picture and back a little bit, correct?
- A. That's correct. I'm probably standing somewhat -- there's a little bit of a wall and then the door. I'm probably standing in front of the vanity.

THE COURT: Again, the record should reflect this is State's Exhibit 43, when we're talking about this picture. I think it's clear, but it's awhile back.

MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, Your Honor.

If we could go to picture 39, please.

- (BY MR. SNIVELY) And the left bottom corner 0. of 39 shows the couch, correct?
- A. Correct.
- 21 O. And then that -- the door is the door to the 22 bathroom, correct?

  - So that's the distance from the couch -- that picture 39 shows the distance from the couch to the

A. Correct.

467 bathroom, correct? THE COURT: All right. So you're not 1 2 Α. Correct. released from your subpoena, but you're done for today. 3 In picture 36 -- wait a minute. 38, I'm They'll be in touch with you. sorry. The bathroom door is to the upper left of that In the meantime, I must tell you not to discuss your testimony with any other witness. Don't 5 picture, correct? The bathroom door? discuss the questions you were asked or the answers that 6 Α. Yes. you gave. You're free to leave for now. Q. No. The bathroom door to be to the upper, 8 Α. 8 Again, someone will contact you for sure if you've been released. Thank you. 9 the right side. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. Do I leave these here? 10 Oh, I'm sorry. I said left, didn't I? 11 11 MR. SPOJA: I'll retrieve that. 12 I meant the upper right. 12 THE COURT: Would you? Thank you, Mr. Spoja. 13 Yeah. The other left. 13 I invite the jury to stand up again, because Yeah. My other left. 14 I think we have just a short witness. 14 15 Yes, it's to the right of that. 15 MR. SPOJA: The State calls Jesse Thompson. All right. Just so we all are oriented. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, come forward here, 16 17 17 It's my other left on the right side of the picture? please. Raise your right hand. 18 18 JESSE THOMPSON, 19 WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST 19 All right. And Nathan on the 20th cooperated DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS 20 20 with you, came in voluntarily for an interview and 21 21 that's the interview played here today? EXAMINED their TESTIFIED 22 22 AS FOLLOWS: 23 And then you called him and asked him to come 23 --000--24 back for a second interview? 24 THE COURT: You may proceed. MR. SPOJA: Thank you, Your Honor.

And he did that? 0. He did. And you then -- he leaves and you call him a 4 third time to say, "Hey, can I come over and take 5 pictures?" 6 Α. Correct. And he was cooperative with that? Q. 8 Α. 9 And agreed to let you in to take pictures? 10 That's correct. 11 0. And these are the pictures that we just talked about that you took at that time? 12 13 14 MR. SNIVELY: Can I have just a moment, 15 Judge? 16 THE COURT: Sure. MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I have to no 17 18 further questions. 19 THE COURT: Any redirect? 20 MR. SPOJA: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: May this witness be excused? MR. SPOJA: Yes, please. 22 23 THE COURT: Mr. Snively? MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I need him subject to re-call.

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SPOJA:

Can you state your name and spell it for the Q. record, please.

A. My name is Steven Jesse Thompson, S-t-e-v-e-n J-e-s-s-e, T-h-o-m-p-s-o-n.

And what do you do, Mr. Thompson? Q.

Right now, nothing. I'm unemployed. Α.

What were you doing a couple of weeks ago?

I just got back from a contract in A.

Antarctica.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

23

24

25

Q. What were you doing in Antarctica?

I was supply and logistics.

How long were you there?

Four months. Α.

Was it as cold there as it is here?

No, actually. It's their summer down there.

I'd like to take you back to 2019. Spring of Q.

19 2019. What were you doing then?

> Α. I was a crime analyst with the Montana

21 Analysis and Technical Information Center. 22

Q. We colloquially refer to as MATIC?

Α. Correct.

Okay. And as an analyst, what were some of the things that you do?

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

I would do case support on different cases around the state, analyze cell phone records, and then do analysis on terrorism and extremism-related issues.

Q. Okay. So in April or May of 2019, did you receive a request for assistance from Red Lodge Police Department?

A. April of 2020, I believe. March of 2020.

Okav. And what was that relative to?

That was relative to a child abuse case out of Carbon County.

Q. Okay. Do you recall what functions you performed?

I received a warrant return from Verizon that was passed onto me from the investigating officer. And I used one of the tools that we use for analyzing cell phone records to determine locations of that record -or locations of the cell phone.

O. Okay. And you developed a report?

Α. I did.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And as part of that report, did you do some mapping to assist with the -- your report itself?

I did.

MR. SPOJA: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

(BY MR. SPOJA) Handing you what's been

MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, the State moves for admission of 48 and 49.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. SNIVELY: No.

THE COURT: Without objection, State's

Exhibit 48 and 49 are admitted.

(Wherein, State's Exhibit Nos.

48 and 49 were received.)

MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to log with the Court, Demonstrative Exhibit 1. It's basically an area map.

Mr. Snively, we've discussed this before.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SPOJA: To assist Mr. Thompson with his

testimony.

THE COURT: No objection, Mr. Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: That's correct, Judge.

MR. SPOJA: Let's publish No. 48, if we may.

(Wherein, State's Exhibit No.

48 was published.)

(BY MR. SPOJA) Can you tell us what this is that we're looking at.

Α. Yes. All the numbers there represent some kind of record, whether it's data usage, text message, phone call, in those Verizon records that we got.

470

marked as State's Exhibit 48 and 49, do you recognize

Α. I do.

those?

Can you tell me what those are?

Α. That is a map taken over -- these are from 20 April to 21 April, a map of different cell phone towers that the phone was pinging off of, basically.

Q. Do you recall which -- was that the defendant's phone?

A. Correct.

Okay. And that -- what were you able to determine with regard to where the towers -- how that --I quess, what were you able to determine as a result of the information that you had from that?

A. I was able to determine that the phone was taken out of Red Lodge around midday on 20, April, 2019. And it hit on towers headed south and then west in Wyoming. It went through Cody, Thermopolis, down to southwestern Wyoming, Kemmerer, Green River, Little America area. And then turned back and was back in Red Lodge about midday on the 21st of April, 2019.

Q. I guess I neglected to do this. Are these images are they true and accurate copies taken from your report?

Α. They are. 0. Okav.

> How Verizon does their records is a little confusing sometimes, so the order -- the number that you see there doesn't necessarily mean something happened in certain sequential based on that number and that's different records mixed together. Like I said, the data usage, text, phone calls.

The red that you see there are area covered by different cell phone towers. And those towers -this is the outbound from Red Lodge map. Those towers were the ones that the cell phone was on during that trip.

Okay. And we only see -- I guess is that Thermopolis in the upper right corner?

A. It is.

I see Green River. What else is in that area?

I think just to the west of Green River is Α. Little America. And north and I think a little best bit west of that is Kemmerer.

0. Okav.

MR. SPOJA: Can we look at 49, please.

(BY MR. SPOJA) What are we looking at here?

24 Similar to the previous, this one, Exhibit

49, is the return trip to Red Lodge. And again, during

that trip the red represents the coverage area of the cell phone -- the tower that the cell phone is on.

THE COURT: Mr. Spoja, can you step back, the jurors are having a hard time seeing.

MR. SPOJA: My apologies.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) So this is the return trip?
- A. Correct.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

21

22

23

Q. Were you able to determine -- strike that.

Let's look at Demonstrative 1.

 $\begin{array}{c} \hbox{And this is -- is this the route that you} \\ \hbox{would have expected him to take between Red Lodge and} \\ \hbox{Salt Lake City?} \end{array}$ 

- A. That looks like based on the cell phone towers that the phone was on, that is definitely a route that could have been taken.
  - Q. Okay. And can you highlight --

So you mentioned Green River, Little America and Kemmerer based on the data that you had, did the defendant's phone proceed any further toward Salt Lake than those areas?

- A. No.
- Q. And about what time of day do you recall that he was there?
- A. That was approximately -- they came up on Little America in one instance at 10:52 p.m. on the 20th

where it says a similar table, what are those?

- A. Select calls during the outbound trip from Red Lodge to southwestern Wyoming.
- $\mathbb{Q}.$  And the dates and times, those are also indicative of the --
  - A. Times of the phone calls.
- Q. All right. Now, these aren't the entirety of his usage?
  - A. No.

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- O. Just selected calls?
- A. Yes

MR. SPOJA: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Snively, cross?

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SNIVELY:

Q. Sir, especially in Kemmerer and Green River area, a cell phone can ping from those different towers?

When you say that time in Little America, you're not saying he was physically in Little America. It's just his phone pinged off that tower?

- A. That's correct. Different cell phone towers have different propagation ranges. And so just because he's on this Little America tower doesn't mean he's physically at Little America.
  - Q. And also he could be -- there could be

474

of April. And then shortly after that time is when he appears in Green River at 11:01, at least on the tower,

- the Green River tower, and then after that, it appears that the phone starts a return to Red Lodge.
- 5 Q. Do you know approximately how far it is from 6 Kemmerer to Salt Lake City?
  - A. I do not.
- 8 Q. And did there appear to be any delay in the 9 return trip?
  - A. No.

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. SPOJA: One moment, please.

THE COURT: Sure.

13 Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Going back to -- we can turn the lights back on.

I'll have you look at Exhibits 48 and 49.
There's the table on the bottom, right below the

- photograph. Can you tell us what that is?

  A. It's select calls during return to Red Lodge.
  - Q. That's in Exhibit 49?
  - A. Exhibit 49.
  - Q. Okay. And is the date and time -- what are
- 22 those indicative of?
- 23 A. The time of the records, the time of the 24 call.
  - Q. Okay. And then on 48, that same table, or

several towers that he could hit at the same time?

- A. If they have overlapping ranges, yes.
- Q. And those in that area appear to have some overlap, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So when your chart shows that he was in Little America at 22:52, that's -- it pinged that tower at that time?
- A. Correct. That pings the Little America tower.
- Q. Your report cannot pinpoint when he turned around and headed back to Red Lodge, can it?
- A. It cannot pinpoint that exact time that he turned the vehicle around and headed back to Red Lodge.
- Q. All right. And when you say that there was -- well, in your information it didn't document when he left here, either, did it?
- A. As I recall, it showed up in Cody, Wyoming, at 3:53 on the 20th of April. And so in the report I think he said he left Red Lodge midday. Based on approximate travel time between Red Lodge and Cody, but --
- Q. But you should have been able to pick a ping off the tower in Red Lodge, correct?
  - A. If I'd had a record in Red Lodge that showed

479 what looked like an obvious time or closer -- I guess a 1 Did you prepare one? Q. ping between Red Lodge and Cody, it might give me a 2 Did I prepare that? Α. 3 3 little bit more accurate data on exact time he left Red 0. Yes. 4 4 Α. 5 5 So you're, again, just approximating, you 0. Have you seen that before today? think it was around noon? 6 6 Α. A. Correct. 7 Q. But you prepared 48 and 49? 8 When you say there was no delay when he 8 I did. MR. SNIVELY: Thank you. 9 turned around, I mean, it took him 13 hours on about a 9 10 10 six-hour trip, didn't it, on Exhibit 49? THE COURT: All right. Any redirect? 11 A. Correct. 11 MR. SPOJA: No, Your Honor. 12 MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, I'm going to object. 12 THE COURT: All right. May this witness be 13 There's -- I guess I've not heard any evidence that 13 excused? there's a six hour -- I'm not exactly sure what he's 14 MR. SPOJA: Yes, please. 15 referring to. 15 THE COURT: All right. Sir, you are excused 16 16 THE COURT: Facts not in evidence? from your subpoena. But I have to tell you, please 17 MR. SPOJA: Yes, sorry. 17 don't talk about your testimony with any other witness 18 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, he asked the guestion 18 or talk about the questions you've been asked or answers 19 and he turned and came back without delay. 19 that you've given, but you are free to go. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 MR. SNIVELY: And that's what I'm asking the 21 THE COURT: Counsel, approach, please. 22 witness about. 22 (Wherein, an off-the-record 23 THE COURT: No. I think the objection was 23 discussion was held at the you interjecting a certain amount of time that the trip 24 bench outside of the hearing took that no one has testified to any amount of time of the jury.) 478

5

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the trip took, that I'm aware of.

MR. SNIVELY: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Okay. That's what was sustained.

Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Sir, on Exhibit 49, you show ping number 83 at 23:01, which is 11:01 at night, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And you show a ping 41 in Red Lodge, the next day, April 21 at 12:15 p.m., correct?

A. Correct.

O. So that would account for the 13 hours,

v. So that would account for the 13 hours, right?

A. There is an approximate 13-hour difference between those times, yes.

Q. And that's your exhibit that you put together?

 $\mathbb{Q}.$  All right. And the Demonstrative Evidence 1 --

MR. SNIVELY: Can you project that for him?

Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) In the middle of that for the time, eight hours and 40 minutes, is from Red Lodge to Salt Lake City, correct?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Folks, it's been a long day. You're almost done today. I just wanted to talk to counsel about where we were so I could give you an idea about that. It does appear that at least the evidence is very likely to be done tomorrow. That's where we are in timing. On the giving it to you guys, I can't tell you that right now. But we are on track that way. I wanted to give you an idea.

I think there's maybe a few more witnesses for the State and the defense has a chance to put on a case, as well. Just to give you that idea.

We will take our break for the night. And so we'll start again at 8:30, as we did. Please get here so that -- you're going to say, "Yeah, so we can sit in the jury room waiting for you, Judge."

We want to start as soon as we can for your sake and get in as much as we can. In the meantime, I'm going to give you the same admonishment not to talk about the case with other people. And I know in the evening it's even worse, just tell them I said, and don't answer any questions, discuss the case at all or try to form or express any opinion on the case until it is presented to you.

Please leave your notebooks on your chair. We'll take them for safekeeping. We'll be back

483 technical, it's more of a denial of the defendant having

done anything here. So it didn't appear like jury instructions were a major issue.

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

4

5

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

482

There was a conversation about given the fact that the State does have two distinct time frames charged for Count I and Count II that the elements instructions would reflect that.

And I didn't really get anybody's position on that, but the indication was nobody really -- that the State didn't object to that and was going to modify.

MR. SPOJA: And I've done so, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Okav.

> MR. SPOJA: They were printing off as I was walked out of my office, so Sabrina is going to bring them up and I'll have a copy for the Court and counsel. It's a clean copy, if you will.

> THE COURT: Oh, okay. And I know that we're not quite done here and, obviously, a couple of these instructions are dependent on what you do in your case, Mr. Snively. But still, kind of at the point where at this point the State's pretty much stock and you're not objecting to any of those?

23 I mean, we don't have to settle instructions 24 now I just kind of want to know.

MR. SNIVELY: That's correct, Judge. I don't

tomorrow. We're in recess until tomorrow. 2 (Wherein, the jury is not 3 present.) 4 THE COURT: We're still on the record. I 5 don't know that there's anything any party needs to address to the Court right now. 6 7 Anything, Mr. Nixon? 8 MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Snively? 10 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Again, I'll ask 12 counsel to be prepared to go on the record at least with 13 the Court at 8:15, just to make sure there's no issues 14 before we get the jury going. 15 As usual, if something arises this evening 16 you have my contact information, let me know as soon as 17 possible because we could meet earlier if we had to. 18 At this point, 8:15 will be when I want to see you. If there is nothing else, we'll be in recess 20 until morning. 21 Thanks. 22 (Wherein, an evening recess was

taken.)

\* \* \*

#### CARBON COUNTY COURTHOUSE

RED LODGE, MONTANA

MARCH 10, 2022

DAY THREE OF TRIAL

(Wherein, the following took place in chambers.)

THE COURT: This is DC 19-17, State v. Polakoff. This is the continuation of the jury trial. We're in chambers in the morning of day

three.

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

Counsel for the State is present.

Counsel for the defendant is present. And the defendant is present, as well.

The Court asked the parties to appear here before we go in and resume testimony to determine if there's issues that need to be addressed to prevent, obviously, further -- any sort of issues that would maybe send the jury out prematurely and too often.

So I'm going to ask one thing, and then the parties can sure chime in. Initially, at the final, final pretrial, right before we started voir dire in this case there was just a review of the State's proffered jury instructions. The indication was it's not necessarily the kind of technical money laundering or something case where instructions are highly

anticipate a long instructions argument.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. Anything 2 3 else, Mr. Nixon?

MR. NIXON: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Did you need to put anything on the record here or you just did that or are you guys okay with each other's?

I guess the record should reflect it's just a proffered State's exhibit, and I do appreciate -- and let me just say, I appreciate counsel communicating with each other and the Court to make this presentation to the jury as clean as we can.

And if I express any difference from yesterday, as you probably know, I get a little growly with the jury's time and I don't mean that to reflect on counsel. You all are doing an exceptional, professional

Anything else, Mr. Snively, you need to place on the record?

MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.

MR. SPOJA: Nothing.

22 THE COURT: So we're ready to start

witnesses?

24 MR. NIXON: Here at 8:30, I believe so. THE COURT: Yeah. And you believe you'll

487 rest your case this morning? Is that going to bother you, Bob? 2 MR. NIXON: I think unless something 2 MR. SNIVELY: No, that's fine. 3 3 unforeseen happens, we'll be done before lunch. THE COURT: That should help. Anything else? 4 THE COURT: Okay. MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor. MR. NIXON: Or by lunch. 5 MR. SNIVELY: No. Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring in the jury. 6 6 MR. NIXON: Maybe even sooner. (Wherein, the jury is present.) 8 THE COURT: Okav. We'll figure that out. If 8 THE COURT: Go ahead and be seated. 9 it does get sooner then we can -- you know, I'll have --9 The jury is the now present. 10 10 get the jury out of here, do what we've got to do in a Mr. Nixon, do you stipulate that the jury and 11 legal fashion. 11 alternate are present? 12 And, Mr. Snively, just so you know, it is my 12 MR. NIXON: I do, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Snively. 13 practice prior to the defendant's case in chief is to 13 14 address the defendant on the record with his right to 14 MR. SNIVELY: I do. testify, the pros and cons of that. It's not in any way 15 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you 16 16 indicative that I don't think you've had that all. I hope you had a good, restful evening and we're 17 17 conversation, but it is my practice. ready to charge right into our job today. I'm going to make sure we do that. So that 18 Mr. Nixon, you may call your next witness. 19 I'm sure that Mr. Polakoff has weighed that, understands MR. SPOJA: Your Honor, the State calls 20 20 all of that and makes note of his decision. Okay? Jennifer Cormier. 21 MR. SNIVELY: Thank you. 21 JENNIFER CORMIER, 22 THE COURT: All right. That gives us five 22 WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST 23 minutes to go and get yourselves ready and we'll start 23 DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS as close to 8:30 as we can. Thank you. 24 EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED (Wherein, off the record.) 25 AS FOLLOWS: 486

--000--(Wherein, the following took THE COURT: You can take a seat right here. place in open court.) THE BAILIFF: All rise. Ms. Cormier, I'll just say, it is an 4 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you. intimidating place but we need to make sure you speak up 5 All right. We're back in court on DC 19-17, 5 so we can hear you. I may bug you if I don't feel like 6 State versus Nathan Polakoff. we can hear you. Okay? 7 Counsel for the State is present. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 Counsel for the defense is present. The 8 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Spoja. defendant is present. 9 MR. SPOJA: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 The jury is not present. 11 Counsel, any reason not to bring in the jury 11 BY MR. SPOJA: Q. 12 at this time? 12 Good morning. 13 MR. NIXON: I don't believe so, Judge. We 13 Good morning. did get a request from the gallery asking if there could 14 Will you state your name and spell it for the 15 be a microphone put somewhere closer to the podium. I'm 15 record, please 16 Jennifer Kristen Cormier, J-e-n-n-i-f-e-r, 16 not sure if that's possible or not. Α. 17 17 THE COURT: It might affect your moving the C-o-r-m-i-e-r. 18 Thank you. And you're Ryann's sister. Is 18 podium around a lot. 0. 19 19 MR. NIXON: I'm wondering if it could even be that correct? 20 set on the rail. 20 A. 21 THE COURT: True. I don't really want it in 21 0. A little older than her? 22 front of the jury. 22 Α. Quite a bit, yeah. Fourteen years. 23 23 MR. SNIVELY: It's going to interfere with So, I guess, I'd like to have you start just our notes and stuff. 24 kind of describing your family and how things are. 25 MR. NIXON: Maybe down by the hand sanitizer? Α. Sure. My mom had cancer when she was 38 and

she didn't think she would ever be able to have any more kids and then she got pregnant with my sister when she was almost 40, so she was kind of our little miracle.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

She and I have been close the whole time that we have grown up. When I started having kids, when I had my first son, she was always there helping me watch him. And then when Everett -- I mean, when Elgin was born prematurely, that was my second son, she was there with me for that.

I had a daughter four years ago and she was my only nanny for the first three years of her life.

- Q. Okay. Would you describe your family as pretty close-knit?
  - A. Yeah. We're very, very close.
- Q. Okay. And you described all your kids growing up and their contact with Ryann. When Everett came along, how did that affect the rest of the family?
- A. When my sister told us that she was planning on having a baby with her then fiance', I wouldn't say we were excited. She was 19, but she was making her own decisions.

And then Everett came along, he was the easiest, sweetest little baby. And my sister was so scared that her milk wasn't coming in enough. My daughter was only a year old, so after work, I went to MR. SPOJA: Certainly, Your Honor.

- (BY MR. SPOJA) So you're telling us how 0. Everett refers to your daughter. He's got a special name for her?
  - Α.

2

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And so how was the relationship between he Q. and Poet during, I guess, the first nine months of his life?
- They were rarely ever away from each other. A.
- Okay. Did they seem to play together fairly well?
- Oh, my gosh, yeah. Yeah. I mean, they took naps together. They still will cuddle up on the couch 14 right next to each other and fall asleep.
  - Q. How much older is Poet?
  - She's about 13 months. Α.
  - Q. So young kids being young kids, you know, infants and early toddlers sometimes do things that are little out of the ordinary. Was there ever a time that Poet or Everett were -- I don't want to say violent, but would do things that you had to maybe correct as far as toward each other?
  - Α. No. No.
  - Okay. No throwing of books or anything like that?

490

my mom's house where my sister was living and I would nurse Everett. I nursed him for the first almost six months of his life, whenever my sister felt like she wasn't making enough milk.

I also pumped milk for him when I was at work and brought it after work, to the chagrin of my baby. She was not happy.

But he and my sister traveled with me and my husband. I travel for work a lot, so does my husband, and since she was my nanny, she came with me. Even when Everett was as young as six days old, I had to go remodel a store in Helena and they came with me.

When my sister was doing her testing for her cosmetology school, we had to drive to Great Falls and that was a six- or seven-hour test, so I got to just have Everett and Poet, and I got to be the nanny that day and that was a lot of co-nursing.

Everett and my daughter are almost litter mates, you know. They're that close. Everett calls Poet, "Ma Poet."

MR. SNIVELY: I'm going to object. I don't think this is responsive to the question at this point.

THE COURT: Well, it's responsive, but it gets a little narrative so maybe more question and answer.

Α.

Okay. Were Poet and Everett ever left alone 0. together?

A. No. There was always someone there.

Not quite old enough yet?

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object, to the form of the question, leading.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SPOJA: I'll move on.

(BY MR. SPOJA) You've got two older boys?

Α. I do.

And Shane is the oldest? 0.

Α.

And back in 2019 he was 12; is that right?

Α.

Can you describe the relationship that he had with Everett.

Yeah, absolutely. Shane was very excited for Α. a little baby boy to come along. And he is -- he's just so naturally good with babies. He baby-sits for a couple of my friends, which is kind of unheard of for a boy, you know, but he really takes an interest in kids.

Q. Okay. And has it been like that for the last few years, at least?

Α. Forever.

Q. Okay.

5

6

15

16

- Forever. Even when his brother came along, 3 he was 15 months old.
  - Q. Back in 2019, Shane got in a little bit of trouble at school?
    - A. He did.
    - Can you tell me about that.
- Yeah, he was being bullied pretty badly. He 8 9 was going to school in Hardin. The boys' dad was the 10 head custodian there. But he was getting bullied pretty badly by a couple of boys that are a grade older than 12 him and it ended up in an altercation that they all got
- 13 in-school suspension for. Q. And did that -- did the trouble that he got 14 into, did that spill over outside of the school?
  - Α. No.
- 17 Q. Okay. Has he got into any real trouble since 18 then?
- 19 A. No. But we also moved him schools. He came 20 to live in Billings to come to school here.
- 21 Q. Now, you said that Ryann was your nanny for the first, what, three years of Poet's life?
- Yes. 23 Α.
- 24 What did that look like as far as on a
- day-to-day basis?

-- I'm not sure how long, a month or two, maybe -- and she wanted to spend the weekend with him in Red Lodge, so my mom told her, "Well, he's got to come and meet Jay."

MR. SNIVELY: I'm going to object to the

hearsay.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

10

11

14

15

24

25

THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.

THE COURT: Sustained, I quess. Yes, 8

sustained.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) Yeah.
- Right.
- Without talking about --Q.
- Α. Right.
  - -- the things that other folks said.
- Right. We all wanted to meet him before he was going to be alone with my sister or her son.
- 17 Q. Okay. And did you eventually -- did you meet 18 him?
- 19 Α. I did.
  - And do you recall when that was?
  - The 16th. Α.
  - 0.
    - Α. April.
  - Oh, okay. All right.
    - It might have been the 15th, but I know it

- If she didn't just stay the night with
- Everett, she got to my house between 6:30 and 7:30 in
- the morning and then she left when I got home from work.
- I work 48 hours a week, and sometimes my husband doesn't
- 5 get home until Saturday night, so there were times where
- 6 she was with Poet upwards of 50 hours a week.
- Q. During that time, I assume that she was, 8 essentially, the only adult?
  - A. Yeah, she was the only person.
- 10 Okay. So during that time, did you have any 11 issue with your kids suffering any injuries?
  - A. No. Never.
  - Any unexplained illnesses?
- 15 Any hospital visits for other than
- 16 well-child?

9

12

13

21

22

- 17 A. No. Nothing like this has ever happened to 18 anyone of our kids.
- 19 Now, can you describe what -- you were around 20 Ry when she started dating Nathan?
  - Α. Yes.
  - Can you tell us about that.
- 23 She was excited. We told her that she
- couldn't, you know, go to visit him in another town
- unless we all met him, because they had been talking for

was only a day or two before she came to stay in Red Lodge. 2

- Q. Okay. Do you know, had he come to visit your sister in Billings before then?
  - A. Yes. At my mom's house.
  - Okay. And had she -- strike that.
    - What were your impressions of Nathan when you

met him?

- A. He was very soft-spoken. I asked him some pointed questions about, you know, what he does for work and whether or not his family is still together or they're supportive. He seemed like he would be okay.
- 12 13 It seemed like it would be okay to let my sister go
  - there.
    - Q. Okay.
- 16 Α. He said that he was an EMT for Red Lodge 17
  - Mountain, which made me feel better because Everett was
- 18 so young, you know anything could happen with a little
- 19 baby, SIDS death, everything. So that made us all feel
- a lot better, that he had some medical training and he 21
  - seemed like a good kid.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you recall what things were like on 23 the 17th, then?
  - A.
    - Well, let's start with when you and Ry went 0.

to Hardin or did that happen -- did you guys have to go to Hardin to pick up Shane?

- A. Yeah, but that was in the morning.
- O. Okav.

3

4

8

11

19

3

4

5

8

10 11

22

23

- 5 A. Uh-huh. And then we came back. They were 6 going to Red Lodge, though, right after that.
  - Q. Okay. "They" being Ryann and...
  - A. Rv and Everett and Nathan.
- 9 Q. And so did you talk to your sister between 10 then and the 19th or 20th?
  - A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what did you guys talk about? Not 13 telling me what she said, but generally what were the 14 topics of conversation?
- 15 A. She called me because Everett was throwing 16 up. And I asked her if she wanted me to drive to Red 17 Lodge. I had already started driving to Red Lodge and 18 she put Nathan on the phone.
  - O. Okav.
- 20 A. And I asked Nathan what was going on.
- 21 Q. What day was this?
  - A. I think it was late the 17th, the afternoon,
- 23 4:00 or 5:00.
- 4 Q. All right.
  - A. And I asked Nathan what was going on and he

talked to them. That is when I talked to my mom and she told me to get to the hospital.

- Q. Okay. So that would have been the 19th?
- A. Uh-huh.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

23

24

- ${\tt Q.}$  So after -- this is when you learned that
- Everett had been injured?
  - A. Yes
  - 0. What did you do after learning that?
- A. I drove to the hospital in downtown Billings, because they did not know yet if he was going to be life flighted to Billings or somewhere else. I texted Nathan and asked him what was going on and if he had any information.
  - Q. Did you receive any information back?
- A. Yes. He told me in the text message that he -- that someone thought it was an electrolyte imbalance and he was having seizures and that my sister was really shaken up. You know, just stuff like that. He told me that they were his whole world and he would keep them safe.
- 21 Q. When was the next time that you either texted 22 or spoke to Nathan?
  - A. About two or three hours later, maybe.
  - Q. Okay. What did you learn from that?
    - A. He said that he was going to be driving down

498

said he thought he had some sort of flu and they were going to take him to the clinic.

- Q. Okay.
- A. But my sister did tell me about him falling off a futon.
- 6 MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to 7 hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. (BY MR. SPOJA) So you can only tell us what Nathan would have told you.
  - A. Okay.
- 12 Q. So what I guess what was the end result of 13 that phone call?
  - A. I didn't drive to Red Lodge.
- 15 Q. Okay. And you can go back to telling us what 16 the conversation with Nathan was about.
- 17 A. I asked him what was going on, if the baby
  18 had a bump on his head. He said that he thought he had
  19 the flu and they were going to take him to the clinic.
  20 He told me not to worry about coming out there, he had
  21 everything under control.
  - Q. Okay. And then when is the next time that you talked to either your sister or Nathan?
- A. That would be not the next day, but that night. But I didn't -- it wasn't -- that isn't when I

1 there.

3

5

6

9

12

14

- Q. Okay. And this was late on the 19th?
  - A. No. I guess it was more like five hours,
- 4 because it was the morning, 9:00 or so in the morning.
  - Q. Of the 20th?
  - A. 20th.
  - Q. All right. And what did you do at that
  - point?
    - A. I started helping my mom pack.
- 10 Q. Okay. And your mom and her husband then left 11 for Salt Lake?
  - A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you speak to Nathan again?
  - A. I did a few times.
- 15 Q. Okay. What was the next time that you talked 16 to him?
- 17 A. The next time I talked to him was about an hour later, it must have been 10:00 or 11:00. And I 19 think I asked him where he was. And when he texted me back he said that he had been sleeping, he had taken a 19 nap and he was getting ready to leave.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. I don't remember what I said after that. I think I thanked him for getting on the road to be with
  - my sister, because I couldn't leave yet. And then I

didn't talk to him for another almost 12 hours. It was a guarter to 11:00 at night or so, when I texted him and 3 asked him what was going on and he said that he had just woken up and he was very turned around and he was thinking he was just going to turn back.

> Okay. Q.

6

8

13

14 15

16

17

19

- And I asked him where he was and he said he Α. was somewhere in Wyoming.
- 9 Q. Okay. What did you think about all of this 10 at that time?
- MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to the 12 speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- (BY MR. SPOJA) What was the next thing you
- A. I texted him and I said, "I'm going to call you." And I called and he answered and I asked, "What is going on? Why is it taking you this long to get down there?"

20 He said he just didn't know the way down 21 there. And the last thing that he said to me before I got off the phone, and it was the last time I talked to 23 him was, "Make sure you tell your sister that I wasn't alone with that baby."

Q. Okay.

A. No, I did not know that.

All right. And you knew your sister had already been interviewed in Salt Lake City, didn't you?

A. I did know that.

- Q. All right. To your knowledge, your sister had already given a statement prior to any comment about to relay a message to her, correct?
- A. All I know is that they took her out of the room where she was with her baby. I didn't know if she had talked to them or anything else. I hadn't gotten any other information.
- 12 Q. You hadn't talked to your mom around 11:00 to 13 midnight on the 20th?
  - A. No, I don't think so.
  - Q. And so you didn't -- you helped your mom pack and then didn't talk to her again until sometime on the 21st, is that your testimony?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. But your mother had gotten to Salt Lake -when did your mother get to Salt Lake?
  - A. I'm not sure.
  - Q. But your mother was in communication with your sister, correct?
    - A. I think so.
      - But your mother wasn't giving you any updates

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

25

```
MR. SPOJA: I have no further questions, Your
```

Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively, do you wish to

4 cross?

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

MR. SNIVELY: I do, Judge. If I can have just a moment.

# CROSS EXAMINATION

# BY MR. SNIVELY:

- Q. When you talk about texting, you did not text on the traditional cell phone text, did you?
- A. No. I think it was on Facebook.
  - Q. And what is your mother's name?
- A. Katherine.
- Q. And what last name does she use?
- 15 A. Kolpin.
- Thank you. And so that last conversation, 16
- 17 was it roughly almost midnight on --
  - A. I think so.
  - 0. I'm sorry?
    - A. I think so.
  - Q. And that would have been on the night of the
- 22 20th, maybe early morning of the 21st? 23
  - A. Correct.
- And you knew at that point that Nathan had
  - already talked to the Red Lodge Police Department?

as to what was going on with your sister and Everett in Salt Lake?

- A. No, there was really no time for that. The only thing that I knew --
- Q. Hold on. Wait. Okay. So there was no time for your mother to update --
  - A. Me.
  - Q. -- you as to what was going on?
  - Correct. A.
- 10 And what was it that your mother was doing that she didn't have time to update you? 11
  - A. What do you mean?
    - MR. SPOJA: Objection, speculation.
      - THE COURT: Sustained.
  - Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) You don't know what time Nathan left Red Lodge on the 20th, do you?
  - A. No.
  - And you don't know what he did with Red Lodge Q. Police Department prior to leaving, do you?
  - A. No. I don't -- I didn't even know that he had talked to them.
    - Q. And...
- 23 MR. SNIVELY: Thank you. I don't have any 24 other questions.
  - THE COURT: Okay. Any redirect?

```
MR. SPOJA: No further questions, Your Honor.
 2
                 THE COURT: All right. May this witness be
                                                                   2
 3
      excused?
                MR. SPOJA: Yes, please, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Mr. Snively?
 5
                MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
                 THE COURT: All right. So you are excused
      from your subpoena, but don't talk about your testimony
 8
 9
      with any other witness, don't talk about the questions
10
      that you were asked, the answers that you gave.
                                                                  10
11
                 You're free to leave now or you can stay, if
12
                                                                  12
      you wish.
13
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
                                                                  13
                                                                  14
14
                 THE COURT: Next witness.
15
                MR. NIXON: The State calls Bonnie Rothe.
                                                                  15
                                                                  16
16
                            BONNIE ROTHE,
17
                      WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST
                                                                  17
                       DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS
                                                                  18
19
                        EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED
                                                                  19
20
                              AS FOLLOWS:
                                                                  20
21
                              --000--
                                                                  21
22
                 THE COURT: Ms. Rothe, please take the
                                                                  22
23
      witness chair.
                                                                  23
24
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
                                                                  24
25
```

- I've been a supervisor for three years. A.
- And were you a case worker before that? 0.
- Yes. I was a case worker for four years Α.
- prior to becoming a supervisor. What sort of educational background do you 0.
- Α. I have a bachelor's in social work and I've done the trainings that the State of Montana offered that they're called MCAN trainings. They're the training that the social workers get as we get into this child protection field.
  - Q. And you may have touched on it a little bit, simply what is the objective of the child protection team?
  - Our objective is keeping kids safe and Α. families strong.
  - Q. What is a temporary investigative authority?
  - Α. Temporary investigative authority is a legal custody that we use when we have impending dangers, we have imminent risk of a child and emergency protective services are necessary, which is where the State takes custody of a child. But instead of filing for a temporary legal custody, which is a six-month amount of time of legal custody, the State will sometimes file for a temporary investigative authority which is about a

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

have?

# BY MR. NIXON:

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Bonnie, will you please start by stating and spelling your name for the record.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- A. Yeah. It's Bonnie Rothe, B-o-n-n-i-e, R-o-t-h-e.
  - And what is your occupation? Q.
- A. I'm a child protection specialist supervisor for the State of Montana.
  - Q. And what does that job entail?
- That job entails supervising CPS workers, child protection specialist workers who investigate child abuse reports and also do the case management if child abuse is found.
- Q. How many case workers do you supervise?
  - Α. Four currently.
- 17 What region of the state do you serve through 18 vour office?
  - A. We cover Region 3. And for my unit that's Yellowstone, Carbon and Stillwater counties.
  - Q. And as the supervisor, do you also basically monitor all of the activities as far as with the court and what your case workers are doing?

    - And how long have you been supervisor?

- ninety day, if we feel that reunification is possible within a shorter time frame.
  - Do you call it TIA?
  - A. Yeah, we call it TIA.
    - 0. Do you use a lot of acronyms?
  - I use a lot of acronyms, yes.
    - How do you initiate a TIA? Q.
- So TIA is decided through staffing. So the Α. investigative worker, who receives the report and does the investigation, will then staff with a supervisor and during that staffing where we find that we've crossed that safety threshold where there's imminent risk, immediate danger and it's clearly observable that this child is at a safety risk, the Department decides to take emergency protective services, which means we take custody and then we staff, "Is this something we can do within ninety days or is this something that will need a longer term fix?"
- Q. I believe you mentioned you do monitor all of the filings made by your office?
- A. Yes. All workers have to report with a -staff with a supervisor prior to the decision to make sure that we've followed our safety model and that we have reached that safety threshold where we have the legal right to intervene and take custody.

- And you were the supervisor in April of 2019?
- 2 A. I was.

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 3 And do you recall if your office had case 0. involving Ryann Wells and her son Everett Musch?
  - Yes, we did.
  - What was your initial involvement in that Q. case?
  - The initial involvement came through with a P1 critical-near-death report, an on-call worker was dispatched to the night of Everett being flown to Salt Lake City due to his injuries.

The investigation continued with an assigned worker and then we later took custody of Everett.

- Q. Who is the assigned worker?
- The assigned work was Spela Bertoncelj. I always say her name wrong.
  - It's a tough one for all of us.
- 0. Where was Spela located out of?
- 20 Spela is located out of Red Lodge. Α.
- 21 And where were Ryann and Everett located?
  - Α. They were located in Yellowstone County in Billings.
- Q. Did your involvement change because of their location in Billings?

- supervising as safety resources, the Department was
- 2 allowed to make unscheduled and scheduled visitations
  - into the home, Ryann had to attend individual
- counseling, Everett had to make every and any medical,
- PT, occupational therapy appointment. And Everett was not allowed to have contact with Nathan.
  - By that, do you mean Nathan Polakoff?
  - Α. I do.

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 0. How did Ryann do in her safety plan?
- She did really well and the Department dismissed after the 90 days.
- And did you do any sort of in-home checks Q. while you were there?
- Yes. Because Spela was based in Carbon A. County and I am based in Yellowstone County, I did the in-home safety checks for her because it made no sense to have her drive an hour just to do those visits.
- 0. And what did you observe on those home visits?
- Α. I observed mom doing the things that we asked her to do. It was a safe and appropriate housing. I observed the injuries to the baby and what was being done to help him. I observed the family working together to address those medical needs.
  - What, if any, safety concerns did you have

- It did. Because initially it was believed that the family lived in Carbon County so it was assigned to Spela, but when it was found that mom actually resided in Yellowstone County, the legal case and the CPS case were transferred to Yellowstone County.
- And did you seek TIA?
- We did.
  - And did you, in fact, get that authority? Q.
- Yes, TIA was granted.
  - Do you recall roughly when that was?
- Oh, I believe we filed on April 24th and we were granted I think within the first 20 days, so May of 2019.
- You told us earlier your goal is to keep families safe, what sort of plan did you put in place for Everett and Ryann?
- We put in -- it was called an in-home safety plan, because Ryann moved in to her parents' home, so we were able to use them as safety resources to help the Department monitor. So an in-home safety plan was put into place and Everett was returned to his mother's care
- 23 Q. And what were the different elements of the 24 safety plan?
  - A. The safety plan involved grandparents

after that visit?

- A. After my multiple visits, I did not have any.
  - How many times did you visit?
- Α. In the beginning it was weekly, announced and unannounced. Then it went to biweekly and then it went to monthly.
  - Q. You said you dismissed; is that correct?
  - Yep. Α.
  - Do you recall when that was? Q.
- It would have been 90 days after the original order granting TIA.
- And has the Department had any further Q. intervention with either Ryann or Everett?
  - A. We have not.
    - MR. NIXON: No further questions.
  - THE COURT: All right. Mr. Snively.
- MR. SNIVELY: No questions.
  - THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
  - MR. NIXON: Please, Your Honor.
  - MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Ms. Rothe, you are released from your subpoena. I need to admonish you not to discuss
- 23 your testimony with any other witness. Don't talk about 24 any of the questions that you were asked or the answers
  - you have given you're free to go or stay.

THE WITNESS: Okay. DR. ANTOINETTE LASKEY, WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST 2 THE COURT: Mr. Nixon. 2 3 3 MR. NIXON: May we approach, Your Honor? DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED THE COURT: Yes. AS FOLLOWS: 5 (Wherein, an off-the-record discussion was held at the --000-bench outside of the hearing THE COURT: Doctor, I'll have you sit right 8 of the jury.) here in this witness chair. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: We're going take an early break 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 and then I do believe we may be with the State's last 10 witness, just so you know we're moving along. BY MR. NIXON 12 We're going to take a 15-minute break. Don't 12 0. Would you please start by stating and 13 clock me, please. And then we'll get right back to it. 13 spelling your name. 14 So you can go down to the jury room. 14 Sure. Dr. Antoinette Laskey, L-a-s-k-e-y. Α. 15 Remember, the admonishment. I do have to 15 And what is your occupation, Dr. Laskey? Q. I'm a professor of pediatrics at the 16 tell you, don't talk about the case, the substance of 16 Α. 17 the case, amongst yourselves or with anyone else. Don't 17 University of Utah. access any information about the case in any way or form 18 O. And can you tell me a little bit about your 19 or express any opinion on the case until the case is 19 educational background. 20 submitted to you. Have a good break. 20 A. Sure. I got my undergraduate degree at the 21 We'll be in recess. 21 University of Missouri in Columbia in 1993. I got my (Wherein, a recess was taken.) 22 medical doctorate at the University of Missouri, 23 THE BAILIFF: All rise. 23 Columbia, 1998. 24 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thanks. 24 I completed my pediatric residency at the We're back in court in State v. Polakoff, DC University of Missouri Hospitals and Clinics. I

19-17. finished that in 2001. Then I went to University of North Carolina 2 Counsel for the State is present. Mr. Spoja 3 at Chapel Hill and got my master's degree in public 4 Mr. Snively for the defense is present. The health and completed what's called a fellowship, which 5 defendant is present. is additional training in child abuse pediatrics. 6 The jury is not present. And then I went -- after I graduated there, I 7 Counsel, any reason we can't bring the jury started my first faculty position in Indianapolis. 8 in at this time? And how long were you in Indianapolis? Q. I was in Indianapolis until 2012. When I 9 MR. NIXON: The State is prepared to go. Α. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Snively. 10 left there, I went to the University of Utah and Primary Children's in Salt Lake City. 11 MR. SNIVELY: We're ready. 11 12 And are you still there today? THE COURT: Okay. Please bring in the jury. 12 Q. 13 13 (Wherein, the jury is present.) I am. 14 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thanks. 14 Okay. And you mentioned you're a professor. 15 Does the State stipulate that the jury and 15 What do you do as a professor there at the 16 University of Utah? 16 alternate are present? MR. NIXON: Yes, Your Honor. So professor is my academic rank, so I teach 17 17 THE COURT: And, Mr. Snively? 18 in the medical school, but then I also have -- my 18 19 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor. 19 primary job is clinical responsibilities, so I take care 20 THE COURT: All right. Very well. 20 of patients at Primary Children's, which is part of 21 Mr. Nixon, the State may call its next 21 Intermountain Healthcare. 22 witness 22 Do you have any sort of special medical 23 MR. NIXON: The State calls Antoinette 23 certifications or board memberships? 24 Laskey. 24 A. I do. I'm double certified in general pediatrics, as well as child abuse pediatrics.

- Q. Can you tell me a little bit about what it takes to become certified in both of those, I guess, disciplines?
- A. Sure. To become board certified you first have to complete -- after you finish your medical school, you complete your specialized training.

After you've completed at least three years of training, somebody says that you've met all of their requirements in terms of clinical care, then you're allowed to sit for the board exam.

If you are certified in a subspecialty, which is a further specialization of the broader field of pediatrics, you have to complete additional training and be allowed to sit for the board exam.

Once you passed the board exam, you have to keep passing it on a rolling basis, in addition to doing ongoing learning at a certain level each year.

- Q. And does that require keeping up to date on the latest literature and findings in the field?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you also have to do the same as a professor?
- 23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And as if that wasn't enough, do you have any 25 other advanced degrees? specialized services was to concentrate it all in one place.

So we develop partnerships with other hospitals in that intermountain region, so when they have a child who needs very specialized care they can send them to us and we can provide that care and then send them back to their community with the supports that they would need.

- Q. Are you a part of any particular team as part of, I quess, your pediatric practice?
- A. Sure. So my area at Primary Children's, I'm the division chief, which means the head of the program called Center for Safe and Healthy Families, which is a team that specializes in the evaluation and management of the potentially abused child, as well as providing trauma therapy services for patients who have experienced some sort of abuse.
  - 0. How many doctors are on that team?
- A. So I have one faculty partner, where we've just hired a third one, and we also provide training, so we're the training program for people that are specializing in child abuse pediatrics.
- Q. And how do you become involved with a patient?
  - A. So patients can be either sent to our

- A. I got my master's of business administration in 2015 at the University of Missouri -- or sorry -- at the University of Utah, David Eccles School of Business.
- Q. How is Primary Children's associated with the University of Utah?
- A. So pediatric care in the intermountain west is concentrated at Primary Children's Hospital. So we provide services for children throughout the intermountain region, including Montana, Wyoming, Idaho Nevada, and all of Utah. So all of the physicians at Primary Children's are University of Utah faculty.
  - Q. Are all of your patients pediatric patients?
- A. Yes. I only see patients up to the age of 18.
- $\mathbb{Q}.$  Okay. Now, why is the range so large on that Primary Children's Hospital?
- A. So just like if you were to have a heart attack or have a stroke, you want to go to a place that has lots of experience dealing with that. If you concentrate services you develop higher levels of expertise.

So with children, children aren't small adults and their problems actually require a very high level of specialization. So the University of Utah and Intermountain Healthcare decided the best way to provide

hospital by another physician. For example, another emergency department, when somebody has a concern about abuse or neglect. Families can bring their patients — their child to us in our clinic. Or sometimes we are involved when somebody — a patient that's already in our hospital, somebody has a concern, so they ask for our help evaluating the patient.

- Q. And if your team is called in, does that necessarily mean that there has been child abuse?
- A. No. It means somebody is worried about it. And, in fact, the statistics that we keep, we actually undiagnose abuse in more cases than we actually diagnose abuse. Because our job is to figure out somebody is worried about it, what else do we need to do to figure out is this a medical condition, is this an accident or did something happen.
- Q. When you're working as a member of the Safe and Healthy Families team, are you working with law enforcement or Child Protective Services?
- A. So our job is to help be an interface with what we call our community partners and help them understand difficult medical concepts. So we help them understand things and we talk with them about what our medical findings are, but our job is separate and distinct from their job. My job is medical, their job

is investigation.

- Q. So when you find -- you make a finding that there may be child abuse, what do you generally do?
- A. So we always go in with the understanding of what raised the concern, what is wrong with the child that somebody is asking for help. And depending on what that concern is, we develop a plan of what other medical conditions should we be thinking about, so what tests should we be running or what x-rays or things like that.

And then we're also thinking about what -- if this is, for example, trauma, so like a broken bone, what other information do I need to know how likely it is that it happened in the way that was described to me.

- Q. And are you a mandatory reporter?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. What does that mean to you?
- A. So mandatory reporting is the law in all 50 states and U.S. territories and it requires certain designated people to report their concerns about child abuse or neglect to whatever the state designated authority is. So, for example, because I work in Utah, and as I said, we serve the intermountain west, I have to know the laws for the six states that we work with.

And if I'm concerned about abuse or neglect,
I need to make a report to the appropriate authorities.

their family history, so types of medical conditions that might run in the family, like easily broken bones or seizures, things like that.

I ask questions about -- so in pediatrics, children obviously live in a social environment that influences their health and well being, so I ask about who lives at your house and who takes care of your child and where do you work. And I need to know if there's animals in the home, things like that.

Then we take a history called "Review of Systems," and it literally — the way I tell a family is thinking about the last two weeks, how would you describe your child's health, and let them just tell me about everything about the last two weeks, if there's been anything like cough or cold or fever. And then I ask very specific questions thinking about things that could have happened over the last couple of weeks that I want to understand better.

And then finally, after I've done all of that, I do what's called the "History of Present Illness." So the way I ask a family is tell me the last time that you saw your baby completely, totally normal. What time was that?

Now tell me how you know they were normal. Now tell me everything that's happened since then until

So in Montana, I would call your Child Protective Services and the law enforcement agency for where the event might have happened.

- Q. And you went into this a little bit, but maybe we'll break it down a little bit more, what's the first thing you do when you get this referral?
- A. So usually when a child is admitted to the hospital with an injury, the trauma team is the one that calls us. So the trauma team is made up of trauma surgeons and also other types of surgeons that might be involved in the care of a patient with an injury, so orthopedic surgeons or neurosurgeons.

So it's standard policy across the board of if a patient is admitted with an injury and there's a concern about abuse or neglect, trauma calls my team. So we have a call schedule and somebody is on call 24 hours a day. Whoever is on call gets the request and then we make arrangements to go in and see the patient with our social worker.

- Q. And how do you initially assess a patient when you're called in?
- A. So as a pediatrician, the way that I approach a case is just like a pediatrician does in the office for like a well-child check. So I ask questions about mom's pregnancy and delivery. I ask questions about

you came to the hospital today.

- Q. Is that conversation with the caregiver integral to your diagnosis -- I'm tongue-tied today -diagnostic process?
- A. Yes. It helps me think about what other conditions might be going on, as well as when did all of this possibly start and what does that mean.
- Q. And do you rely on what these people tell you to actually make your diagnosis?
- A. I do.
- $\mathbb{Q}.$  Okay. And why is it important to go so far back in the history?
- A. Well, so it's sometimes not too far back, because babies -- particularly young babies, I'm only going back a few months sometimes. But babies are influenced by -- you know, things can happen during pregnancy or delivery, and then things can happen just based on their age and their development. So I need to understand who they are right this minute. We don't change very much day to day, but babies change quite a bit.
- Q. Is this especially important when you find yourself with a preverbal patient?

when things started. I have to rely completely on a parent or caregiver.

- Q. How old does a patient have to be before you can rely on what they report?
- A. It depends on how verbal kids are. Some kids are super talkative and they'll tell you anything you'd ever wanted to know at the age of, like, three. But some you know, really, I ask kids even that are very, very young to tell me things to assess their verbal status. But they're generally not reliable historians until they're at least school-age and even then.
- Q. How important is it to refer to -- let me strike that. Do you have expectations of where a child should be developmentally?
- A. So, yes, there's standards that were established decades ago looking at what is normal child development. And so your pediatrician might ask you questions about, "Tell me things your baby is doing."

We like to ask the question for you to tell us whatever you perceive. And then we'll start going through a checklist in our head based on the age of the patient and say are they doing this, this, or this, because it helps us know are they a little on the slower side, are they average or are they advanced for their

A. Okay. That's not fair.

 $\ensuremath{\text{Q.}}$  Have you seen nine-month-olds during that period of time?

A. Yeah, quite a few.

Q. What do you expect when you encounter a nine-month-old patient?

A. So a nine-month-old should be rolling both directions, meaning front to back and back to front. They should be able to sit independently, which means they can get to a sitting position and hold that without too much effort.

They're starting, but not super good yet, at what we call pincher grabs, which is the Cheerio test, where they can pick things up and feed themselves.

They should be babbling, so repetitive syllables, ma, ma, ma, da, da, da, but not necessarily with purpose. They definitely should be smiling and laughing. They're crawling. But that's pretty much the extent of their mobility at nine months, is crawling. And some of them won't even be crawling yet.

Q. You told us part of your job is to look at trauma and you've told us you look at the developmental stage. How does the developmental level of a child correlate with what you might expect in the way of injuries?

). When do you expect children to become verbal?

A. Well, you -- there's -- you want a child to have a hundred percent of their speech understandable by age 4. They should be putting two-word sentences together between the age of, like, 18 months and two. And the vocabulary just grows exponentially once they start talking, so at about two to three is when they start getting words. That's when we have to be careful of what say around them.

- Q. When do you expect a child to start walking?
- A. So most children start walking around the age of 12 months. Some very early kids will pull to a stand and do what's called cruising up around ten months, which means they can hold on to furniture and walk, holding, but those are really early bloomers. So 12 months is average. 14 months is when we start getting worried if they haven't started walking yet.
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  In the course of your practice, roughly, how many pediatric patients would you see a year?
- A. Oh, in a year? A couple hundred -- about a couple hundred in a year, I would say.
  - Q. And how many years have you been doing it?
- 23 A. More than 20. Oh, you want me to do the 24 math?
  - Q. No.

A. So there's a concept in medicine -- in pediatric medicine called sentinel injuries. So sentinel injuries are things that are warning signs. They're things that tell us this isn't right for a child this age, so we should think about what else might be going on, either in their care environment as well as like what could be going on on the inside of the baby that we can't see on the outside.

So when a child is perambulatory, meaning they can't walk on their own two feet, they should not have a bruise anywhere on their body. There are, obviously, some times that bruises happen by accident, but it's more common that a bruise in a nonambulatory baby is something that's warning us that's something is happening and we should think about that.

So once a child pulls to a stand and starts moving around on their own two feet, it's what I call the danger time, because babies that can cruise or can walk on their own, 50 percent of babies that age will have a bruise on them at any given point in time. So that's not terribly newsworthy and that's why we don't think much about it if they can walk.

But if they can't walk, if we see things like bruises or blood spots in the white parts of their eyes or blood coming from their nose or mouth, those are

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

warning signs that we should do other tests for.

- Q. So if you see a bruise in a nine-month-old, what sort of suspicion does that trigger?
- A. So that should cause us to think -- one of the things that I teach people that are learning to take care of children, is you always have to think about what will kill this child if I miss it. That's my responsibility to not miss that, right?

So the two things that cause bruises that could kill you if I don't find it, is a bleeding disorder, meaning something is wrong with your blood — it doesn't clot or it clots too much — or abuse. So these are the two things that I have to think about when I see bruising in a baby that's not ambulatory.

- Q. And I guess just to clarify since we're using this term, what do you mean by "ambulatory"?
- A. Ambulatory is being able to walk on two feet. So we all are ambulatory. Babies that are what I call ground based, so a crawler is not ambulatory.
  - Q. Have you ever seen a nine-month-old walking?
  - A. No.

3

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

4

5

6

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. This is out of, roughly, how many nine-month-olds if you had to guess?
- A. Oh, God, I've seen gajillions. That's not a number, but it's a lot.

bones on the inside.

- Q. Can you tell me whether or not it's possible to bypass the tests and just rely on visual or tactile examination?
- A. No. Because you can't tell that a baby has a broken bone without doing an x-ray. Sometimes it's obvious. Like sometimes they're not moving their leg and it's bent funny and it's obvious that it's broken, but I can't tell you what kind of break that is if I don't get the x-ray.

But babies can also have broken bones that you can't see anything on the outside. So they have no bruises, no swelling. They're just crying because they're crying because they can't say, "My arm hurts, stop touching it," right?

So that's why I have to get an x-ray to see if there is a fracture.

- Q. Would that be the same thing with skull fractures?
- A. Yes. Skull fractures are very hard. And, in fact, you can have a skull fracture and somebody not know that it's there for many days, even with like looking at the kid, you may not know for days that it's there.
  - I guess for a lack of a better term, have you

530

- Q. Fair enough. So if you do encounter something that you think is possibly life-threatening like you said, what is important for you to do as a pediatrician?
- A. Well, history is always important, right? Because there are not every baby that has a bruise has a bleeding disorder or is abused. That's absurd, right? There are things that can happen.

But I need a history. So I take a history from caregivers. I say tell me, you know, when did you notice this, what else is going on, those sorts of things.

But then I'm also going to do tests, because the way that I find out if they have a bleeding disorder is to test their blood. So there's specific tests that I can do to make sure that blood is normal.

And then we have to do x-rays. So when you are worried about abuse in a child less than the age of two, the standard of care in medicine is that you do what's called a skeletal survey.

So the skeletal survey is an x-ray of all the bones in your body. And it can be anywhere between 20 and 25 films, depending on what they see and what they need to do to position the baby to better figure things out. But that's going to tell us if there's any broken

1 ever had patients that had surprise skull fractures?

- A. Oh, yeah. So I've taken care of babies where they were just super irritable, that's why somebody brought them to medical attention. They were just not able to be calmed down, they would just cry, cry, cry. And I examined them and I saw nothing on the outside and then we would get an x-ray and find out that they have dozens of fractures. And literally, I couldn't tell, even though this is what I do for a living. You know, holding the baby, I couldn't tell.
  - Q. Medically speaking, is there any merit to somebody being able to rule out a head injury without doing a CT scan or an x-ray?
  - A. No. We don't have x-ray vision. The only way I can see what's going on inside of the body is with an x-ray or a CT scan.
  - ${\tt Q.} \qquad \hbox{How does $--$ how much more difficult is it to} \\$  do an examination if the child has a lot of hair?}
  - A. So baldies are easier to diagnose because you can actually sometimes see like a contour difference when you're looking at them. Because sometimes, not always, but sometimes there will be like soft-tissue swelling over a fracture.

So if you're looking at the kid you can see, sort of appreciate, maybe, a lumpiness. But if they

532

have a head of hair, it can be very, very difficult to appreciate. You can't see bruises. You may not be able to see soft-tissue swelling.

And our hands aren't particularly good at picking up, unless it's super squishy, over the spot. Like I can -- I call it the pediatrician's handshake, we always rub baby's heads because we're checking the soft spot. So I can rub a baby's head and not know there's a fracture in there because that's -- we're just not that tactile.

- Q. Is there any sort of a medical standard of care regarding encountering a head injury like that?
- A. So again, if you're worried about abuse of any kind, the standard of care is you're supposed to do the skeletal survey. What that does is it tells us if there's any fractures where those fractures are. And it can give us a guess as to how old they are depending on where they are on the body. You can't age skull fractures, but you can age other types of fractures.
- Q. You told me that you'd had these surprises before. Do you have any idea how many times you've seen these unanticipated skull fractures?
- A. I would say, in general, skull fractures are more often diagnosed on a radiology study than they are on clinical exam. So if the baby comes in with a big

A. So before I see a patient I always review the entire medical record to see what we already know. So I can sometimes, not always, but sometimes see what happened before they got to us, because the outside records will be put into the chart.

I will talk to the trauma teams to find out what they're doing and what they have -- you know, what they're already done, what they're planning on doing.

Is he going to need surgery, things like that.

I'll look at the CT scans and x-rays that have already been done, so I always look at those myself, not just read the report, but I look at the study to see the things.

And then after I've talked to all of the medical people then I go meet with the family that's available at the bedside.

- Q. I'm going to step back just a little bit. How come you don't just rely on the medical notes of your reports?
- A. So depending on what you do, like what you're training is, we fall into patterns where we think about things based on the lens we bring to it. My job is to think very broadly about all of the different things that could be causing what I'm seeing and I like to rely on my own history gathering, because I ask questions in

soft squishy spot on their head, we are obviously thinking there's a concern of a skull fracture, but we can't know that because you can't feel it. All you feel is the soft squishy, which is actually the scalp that's not the skull.

So I would say it's more common that we find the skull fractures on an x-ray than by our clinical exam.

- Q. Were you working at Primary Children's Hospital on April 20th of 2019?
  - A. I was.
- Q. And do you recall seeing a patient named Everett Musch?
  - A. I do.
  - Q. What were the circumstances of his admission?
  - A. So I was on call for my team, the trauma team called me and said he'd been life flighted down from Billings because of a severe head injury and they were asking for me to come see him.

So as I recall, I got the call in the middle of the night, so the first thing the next morning, it was a weekend, I called my social worker and said, "We have to go do a consult in ICU."

Q. And what was the next thing you did in that case?

a very specific way so that I'm sure that the information that I'm getting is as accurate as it can be, as opposed to sort of the shorthand that we use when we -- when we do our jobs.

The other thing is that in medicine now, unfortunately, everything is digital and there's a lot of templates that people use. So when they document sometimes they just document based on a template and forget to change the normals to the abnormals. So I find relying on information in the chart could actually cause me to have inaccurate information.

So I always tell a family, "I'm so sorry. I know you've answered these questions a thousand times, I've reviewed everything, but I want to hear you tell me personally and that's why I'm asking you again."

- Q. How often do you encounter inconsistencies or just plain wrong information on the reports?
- A. Every single patient has something inaccurate in their chart, every single one.
- Q. I think before I got you off target here, we were just starting to talk about how you started your treatment of Everett. So you looked at all the scans and other reports, what did you do next?
- A. So Jill was my social worker, she and I went and found the baby in the intensive care unit. I

introduced myself to mom and I explained to her, you know, "I'm a pediatrician that works with the Center for Safe and Healthy Families, our team is called when somebody is worried about an injury that your child has. The trauma team has called me and asked me to see your child, and I'd like to talk to you about it."

So Randy [sic] was extremely distressed. Obviously, her baby --

- Q. I'll hold you up just a second here. Let's talk about a few things. You mentioned in ICU. What sort of patients go to the ICU part of the hospital?
- A. Babies or any patient that requires a higher level of support than can be provided on what we call the floor. So babies that either need support breathing or need intensive monitoring or are at risk for a sudden change in status, meaning they could suddenly get worse, so they need a very high level of care.
- Q. What exactly do you know about Everett's condition when you're going in to meet with mom?
- A. So I know that he has a very severe brain injury and there's a discussion about whether or not he's going to need surgery to deal with that. So the neurosurgeons, as part of the trauma team, are what we

and got her to calm down a little bit.

We stepped outside of the baby's room because there was a lot of things going on, nursing was having to do a lot of monitoring of Everett.

So we sat right outside of the baby's room and I did my history that I talked about.

- Q. And what is the first part of the history that you talk about?
- A. I find it's helpful for people that are really stressed if we go back to the easy part which is, "Tell me about your pregnancy." So since he's only nine months old, we have only nine months of material to cover, so that's not hard. So I say, "Tell me about your pregnancy. Tell me about your delivery." So that's where we started.
  - Q. What did she tell you about?
- A. Pregnancy and delivery were unremarkable. He was a smallish baby, he weighed about four pounds. But, you know, that's nothing earth-shattering.
- Q. Did she identify any problems with the pregnancy?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Other than premature?
  - A. No.
  - Q. So what's your next line of inquiry?

call following along to see which direction is he going to go. Is he going to get worse enough that they need to operate or is he going to stabilize and it's not a surgical issue, it's a medical issue?

So I know that he's critically ill, but he's still breathing on his own, which is goodish.

- Q. Now, when you're talking about critically ill, what does that mean?
- A. So critical is -- there's definitions that the healthcare system uses to define your status. And critical is the highest level of seriousness. So it's one that tells us that you have a life-threatening or potentially life-threatening injury or medical condition of some kind and you need extraordinarily close monitoring.
- Q. So you've got that information and you go talk to mom. What does Ryann look like when you first see here?
- A. Ryann is so distressed, which is why I'm always thankful for my social worker because mom is just crying and having a hard time pulling it together to answer my questions.

So first, we have to help her get herself together because we're not trying to traumatize anybody, but I do need certain information. So we talk to her

- A. So then I go through past medical history. Tell me about his general health, is he generally healthy, growing and developing normally, had all of his shots, things like that.
  - Q. What do you learn about his medical history?
- A. Normal. Nothing really stood out in that. He was developing normally. You know, doing the things that I would expect a nine-month-old to do.
  - Q. And what's next?
- A. So after we talked about that, then we did the Review of Systems. Well, I do -- I switch back and forth between developmental history -- I mean, I'm sorry, social history and Review of Systems. I don't remember which I asked next.

Tell me about who lives in your home, what do you do for a living, those types of things.

- Q. What do you learn about the home that they live in?
- A. So mom shared with me that she was living with her -- well, she had -- may I refer to my note?

  MR. NIXON: Your Honor, may she please refer to her notes that will help her recollection of the event?

THE COURT: Mr. Snively?
MR. SNIVELY: I have no objection.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You may. THE WITNESS: Thank you.

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

- (BY MR. NIXON) Please.
- Okay. Mom shared with me that she works as a waitress and she visits her then-boyfriend in Red Lodge and stays with him when she is in Red Lodge, but they don't live together full time.
- O. And you mentioned you also kind of interchange. What was your next line of inquiry?
- A. So then I ask the Review of Systems. "Tell me how the last couple of weeks have been for Everett. Has he had any illnesses or anything like that?" And she had shared that he had been vomiting recently.
- Q. You'd mentioned earlier that you liked to ask questions about when was the last time that the baby was
  - Α. Uh-huh.
  - Did you do that with Ryann?
- A. I did. It was a little bit difficult, because the vomiting had been going on and they had
- sought medical care specifically because of the vomiting, but then something got very much dramatically worse the day that he was -- that he got sick enough
- that he needed to be life flighted down. So the story
  - was kind of blurry about when was he last okay.

bit more about what the child fell from and what they fell to. So I said, "Can you show me like with your hand how high is the thing that we're talking about?"

And she, you know, leaned down and showed me it was a very low futon, so just like a cushion, very, very low. And then it would have been on to the floor which was just carpet.

- O. And you told us a little bit earlier about nonambulatory babies and bruises. Was Everett ambulatory?
- What concern did you have when you heard about this bruise -- or bump, I should say, however she described it?
- A. She described that he had like a red mark on his forehead. So babies definitely roll off of things, you know, that happens. We put babies on all sorts of different surfaces and they can roll off, particularly as a nine-month-old.

So she's describing a red mark that is not terribly remarkable to me. But it later developed a goose egg. A goose egg is more than I would expect from a fall off of such a low surface. And it also -- a fall from a very low surface like that shouldn't cause a head injury. I mean, babies literally fall off stuff that

- 0. Did she give you a time when he was last okay?
- She did. She described him as last normal on the evening of April 17th, which I documented as Wednesday.
- Q. And did she also supply details about what the circumstances were?

  - What were those?
  - She had told me that they were in Red Lodge and at Nathan's house and that Everett had been put down to sleep on, like, a futon. And she and Nathan were in the other room, which I think was like a living room or something, and she heard a thud -- or Nathan heard gurgling and went to check on Everett. And then there was vomit and that's when the vomiting started is specifically related to the futon.
    - Q. Was there any trauma described to the baby?
  - A. So Ryann told me that she didn't see anything happen but she was told that the baby had fallen off of the futon.
- Q. And was there anything remarkable about that 23 explanation?
  - A. So I ask -- whenever there's a fall reported, one of the things that I ask people is tell me a little

- low and sometimes even higher, like couches or beds and don't have head injuries.
  - Q. So what's the next thing that you discuss with Rvann?
  - A. So we had talked about the futon fall. And the vomiting is associated, in her head, with that, that's when the vomiting started.

The vomiting persisted to the point that she was worried that something was wrong with him and had told me, you know, he was vomiting a lot. He wasn't himself.

And at some point, because of the vomiting she decided to bring him, I think, to Beartooth Clinic is the name. Yeah, she took him to Beartooth Clinic.

She thought he had a low fever. She described it as 99.3, which is -- that actually can be normal in some people. So she felt like he's vomiting, he's got a fever, something is not right with my baby. That's why she brought him.

- Did you talk to her about how Everett normally acted?
- Α. Yeah. She said he's normally a super happy baby.
- Q. Does any of this prompt any concerns for you?
  - So I'm concerned that I've got a baby who's

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

547

got vomiting and I know from already having seen his scans that he has two very significant skull fractures. So I'm concerned that this is something more than we've been made aware of.

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. So in your practice, what are some of the things that you look for if there's a head injury?
- So with head injuries, obviously, a head injury in a baby that's nonambulatory is even more concerning than just a bruise in a nonambulatory baby, so I'm thinking about, you know, I clearly have evidence of impact, because the only way you can break your skull is by impacting your skull. So I know he's got an impact injury.

I'm worried about how bad is his brain injury, so I know I'm going to have to do both a CT scan and an MRI. When you have a head injury in a baby, you sometimes will have bleeding in the backs of their eyes, so we need to have the eye doctors to come put the drops in that make the pupil really big so that they can see the entire back of the eye and they use a special camera to do that.

I know that he needs a skeletal survey, because I need to check all the bones in his body. And at the same time, I'm also doing, like, lab studies to make sure he doesn't have a bleeding disorder or

defects or bleeding disorders?

- Α. Yes. There was no history of anything that ran in the family. And there was no evidence on our medical evaluation that he had bleeding or bleeding disorders or problems with his bones.
  - Q. Do you articulate your concerns to Ryann about that?
- A. After we talked about the extent of all the injuries that he had, we definitely -- because I show the family what I'm talking about. It's hard to visualize some of these injuries. So I ask them, "Do you want me to show you so that you can see what we're talking about?"

So when I showed her the fractures that he has of his skull and the brain injury that he had, I did say, "I am very worried about this. This does not make sense based on the things that he can do under his own power. I'm very concerned that somebody has hurt him."

- O. And what are you thinking at this point in time is required to actually create a skull fracture?
- A. So by definition, if you have a skull fracture you have to have an impact. Either your head hits something or something hits your head. So he has two very large skull fractures. One is on the side of his head. And the very, very serious, bigger one is

something like that, though that wouldn't explain a broken skull.

- Q. Can you tell me whether or not there's any explanation provided regarding how this skull might have been fractured?
- The only history that was offered -- and I specifically ask the question, "Besides the things that we've talked about, have there been any other falls or bumps or drops that you can think of in the last couple of weeks?"

So car crashes -- you know, I go through every possible, like, "Can you think of anything besides what you just told me?"

And Ryann could only tell me about the futon event was the only thing that she was aware of.

- Q. And based on your experience and education, had you formed an opinion as to whether that could be a plausible explanation for skull fractures?
  - A. So, yes, I did form an opinion.
  - What was that?
- Falls off of futons, particularly as described, definitely happen, but they should not cause the injuries that he has.
- Q. I'm not sure if you mentioned this earlier, but had you checked with mom regarding any possible bone

actually on the back of his head.

So there are different types of fractures. The one in the back is easier to posit how it might have happened. The one on the side, I can just say is not inconsistent with a fall from a surface.

- You said the other one you might be able to figure out some mechanism. But can you tell me whether or not falling off a futon would be that mechanism?
- A. No. Neither of the skull fractures could have happened from a fall off the futon. A fall off a futon may have happened, but it didn't cause the injuries that we're seeing.
- Have you seen a lot of skull fractures in children during the course of your practice?
  - A. Oh, yes. They're extremely common.
- How -- let's start with the one on the side of his head. What do you call that type of fracture?
- Α. So it's a complex linear. So that's got two parts to it. Complex means -- the opposite of complex is simple. So a simple linear skull fracture is just one line, so either a horizontal line or a vertical
- Complex means it's got more than one branch. So his actually has a horizontal component and a vertical component. So it's one fracture that went a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

couple of different directions.

And you've had other patients with similar fractures?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

21

23

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

O. What sort of trauma was involved with that fracture?

A. Either an --

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to what other patients -- I think the question was what other patients had.

THE COURT: What's the objection? What's the basis?

MR. SNIVELY: It's not relevant what other patients had that what caused theirs.

THE COURT: Mr. Nixon.

MR. NIXON: And perhaps I can rephrase it a little bit. I believe it's relevant. I think we're basically looking to rely on our expert testimony in regards to medically what basically comes part and parcel with this type of severe fracture.

I'm not looking to talk to any individual. I'm looking to get an opinion as to generally what is required in the way of extreme force to cause a fracture.

THE COURT: Based on this witness's

child moving back and forth. But it's never purely back and forth, because babies' neck muscles aren't strong enough to support the weight of their head. That's why we support babies' heads.

So if a baby is being shaken, their head can move in an oscillatory way, forward and back and side to side in the way that shakes the brain within the skull and that can tear blood vessels that connect things and that's what causes bleeding around the brain.

Was this in play in this particular injury?

It was. Everett had very severe bleeding around his brain, in addition to the fractures that he sustained

Q. Let's talk about the other fracture now. You mentioned that he had a fracture in the back. How would you classify that fracture?

A. So the fracture in the back actually was a complex stellated skull fracture. So stellated means literally star, stellular. So it's a burst-type fracture. So there is a central portion of the fracture that's kind of smooshed in and then there's branches that go out in multiple different directions around that, which suggests a different type of impact.

So based on your experience, what sort of force is necessary to create a fracture like this?

experience?

MR. NIXON: Based on her experience as a professor, as a pediatrician, as a trauma pediatrician.

THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: So linear skull fractures typically happen from falls against -- or impacts against flat surfaces, so nothing pointy like a corner or an object.

When it's a complex one, that implies more force. So when you have more than just a simple line, you've got multiple lines, that typically requires a much higher force. So either a fall from a much higher height, a propulsive force, so sometimes people falling down stairs and the baby flies out of your arms, so you can imagine that is a high-force event, as compared to a low-height fall.

So when we see a complex skull fracture that suggests more trauma.

- (BY MR. NIXON) Do you know what an acceleration/rapid deceleration injury is?
  - Α. Yes.
  - What is that?
- 23 So in babies -- you probably have heard it called "shaken baby syndrome," the medical term for it is abusive head trauma. So it involves the head of a

- Really intensive force. This is a very severe fracture. Of the fractures that I see, this is probably the worst type of fracture.
- And I think I understand what you're saying, but is it your opinion that this was the worst of the two injuries?
- Oh, yes. This was the one that is my medical opinion that caused him to need to be life flighted down.
- What do you expect when you have a child with a stellate fracture like that?
- So the brain injury underneath it, obviously, is the highest concern. Bones mend, but the brain doesn't heal quite as well as the rest of us does. So the injury that he sustained, my concern was what extent is the brain injury that occurred at the same time as that skull fracture.
- 0. When you see something like that, what concerns do you have about some sort of permanent disability?
- A. My concern at that time based on what I knew about Everett and how he was doing clinically and what I could see on his scans, was that he would most certainly have long-lasting effects. One of the things that I tell a family is that, "Things are pretty serious. We

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

don't know how bad they are and we may not know for days, weeks, months, years how bad this is going to be."

And we do some expectations setting. So the next 24, 48 hours are going to be kind of sketchy. They could go really badly or he might be stable and this is the worst of it and he's going to get better from here, but he is going to have effects from this.

- And based on your experience and your expertise, what sort of immediate consequences would there have been to Everett after that skull fracture?
- A. The fracture in the back was so severe and the injury underlying it, that once he sustained that injury it would have been unambiguous something is wrong with him. He would have been clearly and persistently impacted by that injury. Something is wrong. And he would not have gotten better and then gotten worse after that injury. Once he had it, he had it and it was going to be obvious.
- Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether the idea of a delayed effect on that injury is plausible?
  - A. I'm sorry?

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

0. That was a terribly-asked question. Withdraw it, please.

Do you have an opinion on whether or not it would be medically possible for him not to show some

7:00 p.m., mom reports Nathan was in the bathroom with Everett when she heard him call out that Everett had stopped breathing. Nathan ran out naked into the room where mom was holding -- and runs out. Mom describes Everett as pale and limp, his lips were pale and he was breathing shallowly. She took Everett from Nathan and started CPR and Nathan called 911.

- 0. Is it your opinion, then, that breathing would have -- that breathing issue would have stopped contemporaneously with the skull fracture?
- Yeah. Particularly impacts in the back of your head, which is called your occipital lobe, so the occipital skull is the back of your skull and the brain that's underneath that is your occipital lobe, serious impact injuries to that can cause immediate sensation of breathing.

MR. NIXON: If I may, Your Honor, I'd just like the record to reflect that Dr. Laskev is cupping the back of her head on either side of her neck to show where the occipital lobe is located.

THE COURT: The record will reflect that description.

- 0. (BY MR. NIXON) Now, would it be correct to refer to the other fracture as a parietal fracture?
  - A. Yeah. So if you put the palms of your hands

sort of injury after that skull fracture?

No. He absolutely -- I can't -- it was such a large, serious fracture, he could not have been in any way normal after he had that. It would have hurt. So if he was able to cry, he would have cried in a way that any caregiver knew something is badly wrong.

He may not have been able to cry, because it could have knocked him immediately unconscious. It could have impaired his ability to breathe. It wouldn't have surprised me if he was having seizures. It was a very, very severe injury.

- Q. Now, did you talk with Ryann about the circumstances around Everett stopping breathing?
  - Yes.
  - What did she tell you?
- She described to me that day they had gone --"they" being Ryann, Everett and Nathan -- had gone to Redbox to get a video, and while they were doing that Everett threw up on mom. So when they went home to Nathan's place, mom told me that Nathan said, "I'll give him a shower," and so mom went about changing her clothes.

Nathan took Everett into the bathroom and while they were in the bathroom -- I'm just going to refer to my notes, so I'm accurate -- this is around

just over your ears and let your fingers wrap kind of around the skull, the parts that your hand are touching are, generally speaking, the parietal bones, which are the parts of your skull and then underneath that are the parietal lobes.

- What sort of impact do you in your medical opinion think that would have caused?
  - Α. The occipital?
  - The parietal fracture.
- The parietal is the one that was a complex linear, so that could be an impact against a flat surface but with great force.
- Q. And can you tell me based on your experience whether that would have necessarily been as debilitating as the other fracture?
- A. It would not have been as debilitating. It was severe, but it wasn't the most severe of what he had. And his brain did sustain an injury from something that is more than these impacts. There's injury to his brain in places that aren't just isolated to where there are fractures.
- So we'll get back to you and Ryann again. What is the next step as you conclude that conversation?
- A. So after I take the history from whatever caregivers are present, I ask, "Is it all right if I

exam your child?"

And then with the help of nursing, because babies that are brought in having sustained a head injury are in what's called a papoose. So that's like a c-collar or a neck collar, but because it's a baby and their necks are, like, ridiculously short and you have to put a c-collar on them, so it's like a whole-body contraption that they're strapped into.

So it literally goes all the way down their back to hold everything perfectly in alignment. So I need a lot of help to do the exam. I have to have nursing help me take all that off while keeping him perfectly still, and then check his skin, do all the regular checkup things that the pediatrician would do.

MR. NIXON: With that answer, Judge, I'd like to publish State's Exhibit 15, previously admitted.

THE COURT: Yes, certainly.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Dr. Laskey, can you tell me what we're looking at in this picture?
- A. Sure. So as part of my job I have to photo document or take pictures of the children that I see, the injuries that I find. Or if we don't see anything on the outside, I still photo document that this is the child say that I saw. So I took this picture and that's my hand and this is what Everett looked like when I came

consciousness is definitely impaired. We call it noxious stimuli. So you're trying to see, "Are you in there? Are you awake?"

So you do things like you make your knuckles and you rub it really hard on their breast bone. Or you can -- this sounds so mean, but it's how we assess your brain status, we pinch in like right under your collar bone to see if we can make you cry or respond. He really didn't do much. It took a lot to get him to even like (indicating).

- $\mathbb{Q}$ . In your experience is that unusual for a head injury?
- A. Well, not for a head injury. It tells me how serious the head injury is. We were actually quite lucky that he was still breathing on his own, because he was pretty impaired.

MR. NIXON: Let's take that down.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) You talked about the collar that we just looked at. What's the next step in your evaluation?
- A. So I look at all of his skin. He doesn't have anything notable on his skin. There was a bruise that was actually documented on his collar bone and so I always ask, you know, "Where did this come from?"

And nursing is actually the one that said,

into the ICU to see him.

- Q. We talked earlier about hair. Everett have quite a bit of hair for a nine-month-old?
- A. Gosh, he has a head of hair. Actually, I think I made the joke to Ryann that he needed a barrette because he had so much hair.
- $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NIXON: Would you please publish State's Exhibit 16.
- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) And what are we looking at in this picture?
- A. So this is the c-collar that I was telling you about that it holds his neck stable and keeps the rest of his spine in alignment until we can do what's called clearing the C-spine, which shows that he doesn't have a cervical spinal cord injury and we can't do that until we get the MRI. So this is just what his face looks like when I see him.
- Q. Now, do you know if he is awake or asleep in this picture?
- A. He's in a state of impaired consciousness. So this -- when I was examining him, normally a baby, obviously, should get mad at me for messing with him and so I usually make babies cry. No matter what I did to him, he really didn't do much.

So he's breathing on his own, but his

1 "Oh, neurosurgery did that."

And so neurosurgery did that when they were pinching him trying to get him to wake up. So we knew about that one and we said that was neurosurgery. He didn't have any other bruises anywhere on his body.

- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  Did you do any other observations of Everett at that point in time?
- A. So after, you know, I listen to his heart and lungs and checked all of his skin and things like that, then I'm done with the physical exam. And then I go back and I sit down with Ryann and explain to her what all that I've seen and what I'm thinking and what else we need to.
  - Q. What do you say?
- A. So I had the conversation with Ryann that Everett is critically ill, I'm very worried about him. You know, I try to give families good news, right? Because this is a horrible day to be absorbing everything.

So I specifically tell her, the good news is he's breathing on his own. The bad news is he's clearly got a brain injury and I don't know how serious it is yet, because all I have at this point is his CT scan.

So a CT scan is like the old tube TVs that we used to have. We were all happy with them and we could

see TV just fine, but when we got high definition we knew there was a difference, right?

So an MRI is what I need. That's my high-definition picture of the brain. So I have a general idea of what's going on, because I see it on the CT scan, but it only gives me a general idea.

It tells me he's got bleeding around his brain, he's got skull fractures in two different places. And he needs an MRI for me to see how deep the injury is, how bad is this injury and what's it going to mean for him going forward.

- Q. And do you eventually get those tests?
- A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And can you tell me whether or not you had the opportunity to confer with the treating doctors, like the neurologists?
- A. Yes. When a child is admitted to the hospital and I'm doing what's called consulting on them, I continue to see them and talk to the other doctors involved in their care. And when tests are done like the eye exam that I ordered or the MRI is done, then I'll talk to the doctors that did it. And if there's images to review, I review those myself.
- Q. What did you eventually learn about Everett's brain injury?

head and they have a lens and they look at it and it's mirrors and -- I can't use it.

What it does is it allows you to see all the way out to the very side, front of the eyeball and appreciate the entire thing. And if there's hemorrhages or bleeding in the back of the eye, they have a camera that they literally rest directly on your eyeball and it takes a picture of the back of the eye. It's called a RetCam.

- Q. What did the RetCam images reveal?
- A. He had extensive multi-layered, severe retinal hemorrhages. So the retina is the back of your eyeball where the image that you see is focused on, it's like a screen, and blood vessels run through that.

And there's many, many layers, and within those layers his blood vessels have ruptured and caused bleeding. So he had bleeding within the layers of the retina and he also had blood that had broken through and was in front of the retina and behind the retina.

So there was nothing normal in the back. And I believe it was only his right eye. His left eye did not have hemorrhages. He had blood everywhere in the back of his eye.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  Was there any consequences from that bleeding?

- A. So his brain injury had more than just the bleeding around his brain. He had what's called cortical injury. So cortical means the brain matter itself is damaged, which is not surprising given what he looked like clinically. Bleeding around the brain is bad and it makes you have a headache, but generally if it's just bleeding around the brain. The kids are mad, they're yelling and they're crying, they hurt. They could be having seizures. But when their consciousness is impaired that tells me that the brain actually has been damaged and you could see that on the MRI.
- Q. You talked about the necessity for pictures of his eye. I don't remember exactly what term that you used. Did you get those images, as well?
- A. Yeah. So the pediatric ophthalmologists, the eye doctors, come and they put the dilating drops in and then they use a special piece of equipment that's different than the one you're probably familiar with, where the doctor takes the things off the wall and looks in your eye, when they use that, you can only see straight back in the eye. So your pupil, the black spot of your eye, is like a window and it allows you to see straight back, but not much more.

Ophthalmologists have something called an indirect ophthalmoscope, where they put a thing on their

A. So the part of your eye that -- we call it the macula, which is your visual hotspot -- that's where all the images are supposed to be focused if you're seeing correctly. If there's blood in front of that, then it's like pulling the shades down. You can't -- the image can't get to that part of the retina, so you're functionally blind if there's bleeding in front of the macula.

So he has so much blood in the back of his right eye that he's functionally blind at that point in time in that eye. Now, it can go away. But sometimes it doesn't, because your body can only absorb, you know, blood that's in certain places.

They can't absorb — sometimes you need surgery, sometimes it's never going to go away, it leaves staining. So it can impair your vision long term.

So he wasn't conscious enough for us to tell if he could see, but we can say that just based on where the blood is, if he were conscious he would not have been able to see out of that eve.

- Q. Do you know whether there was any permanent consequences from that bleeding?
- A. I believe he's had ongoing vision issues.
- ${\tt Q.} \qquad {\tt And} \ {\tt after} \ {\tt you} \ {\tt see} \ {\tt all} \ {\tt of} \ {\tt this} \ {\tt and} \ {\tt you} \ {\tt hear}$

about all of these injuries, can you tell me if you've formed an opinion as to whether you had an obligation to report this as a mandatory reporter?

A. I did.

- Q. What did you do?
- A. I believe it was already reported, but if it had not been I would have initiated the report. The findings that I was seeing were not consistent with accidental events or a medical condition.
  - Q. Earlier you told us that part of your job is to educate law enforcement, even though you're not a law enforcement officer. Did you reach out to law enforcement to let them know about your findings?
    - A. I did.
    - Q. Do you recall who you talked to?
  - A. If you told me his name, I would. I don't think I put it in  $m\gamma$  note.
    - Q. Do you recall what agency you talked to?
  - A. Red Lodge. Somebody in Red Lodge. How many people are there in Red Lodge? I don't think there are very many. Presumably a sheriff. I don't know.
- Q. Do you recall what sort of information that you forwarded to the law enforcement in Red Lodge?
- A. So whenever we -- so as part of my job, when I see a patient I have to write a note about my patient.

talking about.

 $\label{eq:mr.nixon: Judge, I would ask permission to show State's Demonstrative 2.}$ 

THE COURT: Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: No objection.

THE COURT: Without objection, you may show State's Demonstrative 2.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Dr. Laskey, what are we looking at here?
- A. When you do a CT scan, there are hundreds and hundreds of images that are taken by a computer and they do what's called a reformat. So reformat is literally a computer algorithm that takes every single cut, so every single image that they take at different layers and builds it back into a 3D model so that you can see it in space.

So as a doctor, I can look at a CT scan which is two-dimensional and know what I'm seeing. But a three-dimensional image is often much easier to see how a fracture looks. So in this case, this is a screenshot of the rotating 3D image so that you can see the fracture that I was telling you about that's on the left. So this is the complex linear skull fracture that is on the parietal bone on the left.

MR. NIXON: If I may, Judge -- I'm sorry.

It's called a consult note. Because I'm saying that the things that I'm seeing are concerning for inflicted trauma, I reach out to the local law enforcement agency and ask for, "Who is the assigned detective?" And I reach out to the Child Protective Services and say, "Who is the assigned worker?"

And then when I have that, I send them a copy of my note. Because HIPAA, which protects your privacy specifically says that you are allowed to share information in the course of an ongoing investigation and so I share my notes so that they have my documentation about my concerns and my findings.

- Q. And do you recall if you created a PowerPoint to help educate law enforcement?
  - A. I did.
- Q. Do you recall if you were also asked to create a PowerPoint to help educate on the retinal hemorrhaging?
  - A. I did.
- Q. Do you believe that the use of this PowerPoint will help educate the jury as to how to view and assess the pictures that are going to be offered of the 3D Scan and the retinal images?
- A. I find me talking about things that I saw much more difficult to understand than seeing what I'm

Our laser pointer doesn't seem to want to work very well on the TV.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Are you referring to this right here?
- A. Yes, that's the fracture. So that's literally a break in the skull itself. The line that's to the right of that, that's a squiggly line, that's called a suture. And a suture is normal connection between the plates of the skull. So when a baby is born, their skull isn't fused because you need for it to collapse down a little bit to come out of the birth canal and you then need it to grow as the brain grows.

So once the brain has finished growing in volume, the sutures start to knit closed. So those — the squiggly lines, there's a squiggly line on the left of the screen towards the front, that's a suture, and then there's a suture in the back and there's a suture that goes right down the middle.

But the lines that are in the middle of the screen, those are the fractures. So you can see there's a vertical component and a horizontal component. And the horizontal component wraps all the way up around the top of the head and around the back of the back of the head.

MR. NIXON: Next slide, please.

THE WITNESS: If you hit F5. MS. PRATT: I don't have that. THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Tech support from (BY MR. NIXON) What are we looking at in this 0. slide? So this is -- I should mention first, that the name that's up in the top, right corner, you'll see it says ZZZPC Carda, that's this patient's trauma name. So when a patient is transported emergently, we give them a trauma name as soon as they hit our system, because that allows us to -- it's like "Jane Doe." It allows us to start putting orders in and 

doing things before we have the patient registered. So ZZZPC means trauma Primary Children's. And then they have like a random word generator that alphabetically assigns you the next random word that they choose.

So I know that ZZZPC Carda is Everett because that's documented in my note and that's the name that he was given before we had him registered.

So this is the same 3D recon, but I rotated it so that looking straight on from the back. So you can see the top of his cervical center spine at the bottom of it. And you can see the squiggly lines that I talked about that are sutures that are sort of a

this the first fracture that we looked at?

A. Yes. This is the first fracture and it actually goes far enough back that it actually leaves the parietal bone, goes over the suture and enters the occipital bone.

THE COURT: And I'm going to have the record reflect that Mr. Nixon is pointing at a mark about 10 o'clock on the picture of the skull. Go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) What significance does a fracture crossing the suture line have for you?
- A. That also has to do with force of impact. So typically, fractures that happen from what we call routine household falls, so falls downstairs, falls off high surfaces, will remain within one bone of the skull, so just the parietal bone.

If it crosses the suture line, that suggests a substantially higher force to actually involve more than one bone of the skull.

MR. NIXON: Next slide, please.

 $\mathbb{Q}.$  (BY MR. NIXON) What are we looking at in this picture?

A. So when I have the 3D reconstruction on the computer, I can rotate it around the axis of the spine or in a circle, up and down, this allows us to appreciate the fracture that was in the occipital bone.

triangle in the back meeting and then going forward with the suture down the center of the skull. And you can see the large stellate fracture on just to the right of the midline.

- Q. You have a note here that says, "This appears to be a separate impact site."
  - A. Yes.

- Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. So the two fractures don't connect in any way. So there's -- there are fractures that you can get from a single impact that are in two different places, but they don't look anything like this. They're totally different.

This very clearly is a separate and distinct fracture from the one that's on the side. So there's no connection. There's no plausible — there's no plausible mechanism trauma of — any kind of trauma that could cause both of these with one impact.

MR. NIXON: If I can approach?

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Can you tell me whether or not this is the suture that you're talking about, the squiggly line?
- A. Yes. Squiggly lines are sutures. The one that goes up the middle is also a suture.
  - Q. Can you tell me whether or not this -- is

So the back, right side of his head is so severe that it actually travels all the way under the base of his skull

almost to where it connects with the spinal column.

fracture like that?

A. I -- I can't even -- the right occipital skull fracture is such a severe skull fracture I cannot think of any plausible accidental mechanism for this.

And what does that indicate when you see a

MR. NIXON: Next slide, please.

THE WITNESS: This is -- it's, again, just rotating a little bit more, so now you can see the right side of his head a little bit more.

And you can see there's like a central pushed-in part of the fracture that's sort of -- almost got like a circular component to it and then the branches coming off of that. That indicates a point of impact, so something different than a flat surface.

You can't get this from a flat surface. You have to have this by having something impacting -- you either impacting into or something impacting into you that's raised and pointed in some way.

MR. NIXON: Next slide, please.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) What are we looking at in this is slide?
  - A. This is the very top of the head. So his

nose is down in this picture and the back of his head is up. And this is allowing you to see the suture, so the squiggly line straight down the middle is a suture. And the squiggly line at the bottom — the bottom third of the skull — that's another suture.

The one that's right in the middle, so it goes, you know, right at 3 o'clock, that is part of one of the branches of the parietal fracture. And it shows you how high it goes, it goes all the way up to the very top of his head.

 $\mbox{MR. NIXON: }$  Can we see the next slide, please.

THE WITNESS: So obviously this is just rotating it a little bit more and now you can see his eye sockets, his nose, his sutures are still there.

The reason that I showed this picture is that you can see the component that we just looked at, that went all the way up to the top is actually lifting off. So it's no longer in alignment with the rest of the skull, it's actually lifting up.

So it could either be lifting up because the brain is swelling under it or the other part could be pushed down because of the impact. I can't tell the difference just by looking at this.

MR. NIXON: Next slide, please.

it's very hard to see his optic nerve in this. It would actually be just inside from 9 o'clock, is where his optic nerve is. And his nose would be in, you know, to the left of that.

There's nothing normal about this retina. You can see that there's just blood, blood spots of different intensities. So some darker and some are lighter. That has to do with what layer they're in, so how deep they are or shallow they are when you're looking at it.

So this is what is called "too numerous to count" is how the ophthalmologists describe it. So too-numerous-to-count multi-layered retina hemorrhages in all four quadrants, so dividing the eyeball into four, to the periphery.

MR. NIXON: Next image, please.

- $\mathbb{Q}.$  (BY MR. NIXON) What are we looking at in this photo?
- A. So same eye. When they take the picture they actually move the eyeball from the outside to move different parts into focus. Because the retina wraps all the around to the back of your eye and attaches just behind your iris, so the colored part of your eye, that's how much retina you have. And they can see all the way out to that edge by moving the eyeball around.

- $\mathbb{Q}$ . (BY MR. NIXON) What are we looking at in this particular image?
- A. So the back of the eye, like I told you, is the retina. And the retina should have blood vessels that run in it, but blood should be in blood vessels. There shouldn't be blobs of blood anywhere other than straight, pretty clean blood vessels.

You also see when you look at the back of the eye, you look at what's called the optic nerve. The optic nerve is a bright yellow or white circle that is — it's not dead center, it's actually towards your nose. And the blood vessels run out like a spider web from that.

Your optic nerve is where all of the messages from the retina get gathered and then sent back to the brain on that nerve connection. So in this picture the blue arrow is the optic nerve and the red arrows are just some examples of vascular and that is a totally normal eye. This is not Everett's eye. That is just a normal eye.

MR. NIXON: Next image, please.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) What are we looking at here?
- A. So this is from Everett's RetCam. So "OD" stands for Latin that I don't know, but it's the right eye. So this is a picture of Everett's right eye and

1 So what they're demonstrating is that there's retinal 2 hemorrhages everywhere not just in the very back of the 3 eye.

MR. NIXON: Next image, please.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) What are we looking at here?
- A. So the same eye but you can see where the optic nerve is, which is now up at 2 o'clock, they've moved the eyeball around to take pictures of sort of the other side of the eye. And they're showing that there's such extensive retinal hemorrhages they aren't able to find any normal retina in this patient. There's actually 19 pictures of the backs of his eyes. These are just representative.

MR. NIXON: And next image, please.

- $\mathbb{Q}.$  (BY MR. NIXON) Dr. Laskey, what are we looking at here?
- A. That is just to give you a reference point of what a normal skull of a similar-aged child should look like. So again, the squiggly lines are totally normal. Those are sutures. The line that's horizontal in this that goes from about 9 o'clock straight across, that's actually a different suture, it's a temporal suture. That's a suture also. No fractures on this child.

MR. NIXON: Next image, please.

THE WITNESS: So again, just rotating it so

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
you can see the different sutures.
```

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Is this a normal image of the skull?
- A. Yes, this is normal.

MR. NIXON: Next image, please.

THE WITNESS: And again, you can see the lines that have the squiggles in them, so you can see the temporal suture that goes sort of horizontal and then the suture in the front and then there's the suture in the back.

MR. NIXON: If I may approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Dr. Laskey, I'm approaching
  with what is marked as State's Exhibits 50, 51, 52, 53,
  54, and 55. Can I please have you take a second and
  look at those images.
  - A. (Witness complies.) Yes, sir.
  - Q. And do you recognize what those images are of?
  - A. I do.
- 21 Q. And what are they?
- A. These are the screen captures of the 3D
- 23 recons that we just looked at without the annotation.
- Q. And are those true and accurate depictions of that 3D imaging?

Red Lodge Police. Did you make any requests of them as far as asking for information?

A. Yeah. Obviously, as a doctor, I can't go to people's houses and look at the things that are being described to me. So I had asked specifically for a picture of the futon, because I wanted to better understand sort of the size and dimensions that we were talking about with that.

And then I also, because of the history of him, Everett, suddenly changing his status from normal to not breathing in the bathroom and the type of skull fracture that he had, I asked for pictures of things in the bathroom to see if there was something that could have caused this type of injury.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NIXON: Your Honor, I would like to publish what was previously introduced as State's Exhibit 4.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Dr. Laskey, do you recognize this photograph?
- A. Yes.
  - O. What is it?
- A. It's a picture of the futon that the baby was reportedly placed on to sleep.
  - Q. And it's hard to say, but how high off the

578

1 A. Yes. And they have the patient's identifying 2 information at the top, right corner.

MR. NIXON: If I may approach, Your Honor, with State's Exhibit 56, 57 and 58.

THE COURT: Yes.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Do you recognize those images?
- A. Yes
- Q. And what are we looking at there?
- A. These are representative of the RetCam images that we just looked at.  $\label{eq:condition} % \begin{array}{ll} A = A & A & A \\ A = A$
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q.}}$  And those are true and accurate of those RetCam images?
  - A. Yes, sir.

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I would move for introduction of State's Exhibits 50 through 58.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: No objection.

THE COURT: Without objection, State's

19 Exhibits 50 through 58 are admitted.

20  $\,$  MR, NIXON: I believe the Court already has a 21  $\,$  copy.

(Wherein, State's Exhibit Nos. 50 through 58 were received.)

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Before we start looking at some of these images, I believe you said you talked with

1 ground does this appear to be?

- A. There's actually another picture that I was provided that made it very clear. It's really very low.
- Q. How about we look at State's Exhibit 5, please, which was previously introduced.
- A. So again, this is very low. Both Ryann had described to me and then law enforcement reported to me that this was -- gosh, I want to say like a foot, maybe, off the floor. This was very -- this is a very thin futon mattress like on just a wood platform.
- Q. After looking at these photographs, what were you thinking?

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to what she's thinking. If she has a medical opinion, that's fine.

THE COURT: Sustained.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NIXON: Your Honor, I'm going to withdraw that question, please.

THE COURT: Okay.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Diagnostically what importance does this -- do these images have for you?
- A. They help me understand the context of the reported fall.
  - $\hbox{Q.} \qquad \hbox{Okay.} \quad \hbox{Based on your expertise and training,} \\ \hbox{do these images provide any sort of believability for} \\$

the story that you've heard about the futon?

- A. So I can't say whether or not Everett did or did not fall off of the futon. He may well have. What I can say is that it is my medical opinion that if he did fall off the futon, it would not have resulted in any of the injuries that we're seeing.
- Q. Earlier we had talked about Everett going in to see, I guess, a physician assistant or doctor at Beartooth Clinic on the 18th. Did you review those records?
  - A. I did.

- Q. And based on your experience and training, did you notice anything that concerned you?
- A. My concern is that there was a report of a bump on his head and a history of vomiting that was persistent enough that mom was specifically stating that was her concern and it was associated with this fall from the futon and there was not any imaging that was done at that time.
- Q. In reviewing the records associated with that, what, if anything, did you notice that might be concerning to you regarding a head injury?
  - A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Okay. What, if any, symptoms concerned you about a head injury?

A. A change in appetite when you've got a pukey kid definitely could be a number of different things. But again, it's how much of a change in appetite. Is he even taking a bottle, is he going to be able to stay hydrated or is something more seriously wrong with him and we need to do more?

- Q. Can you tell me whether a change in energy or having less energy is important?
- A. I mean, yes, it's all part of the bigger picture. How sick is this child?
- Q. Earlier in this trial we heard testimony regarding mother, Ryann, saying that the baby reacted strongly to having his hair washed and her touching the side of his head. Do you assess any importance on that?
- A. So actually, a quite common story for bringing a child to the medical attention and finding a skull fracture is babies with lots of hair, so you don't see anything, but you're washing their hair, if you think about what you do when you wash hair, you kind of vigorously rub the scalp, babies -- you might feel a soft, squishy spot or the baby might respond in a way that suggests that they hurt.

That's often one of the reasons that they come to medical attention, we do an x-ray and we find a skull fracture. That's a very common history.

A. So we have a patient whose specific presenting complaint -- what we call the chief complaint -- the reason they came to medical attention is vomiting. He's vomiting, vomiting, vomiting.

Mom is saying, "Something is wrong with him. He's vomiting, something is not right." That worries me because that could be an indicator of a brain injury.

- Q. Can you tell me in your expert opinion are you concerned if a patient has behavioral changes at the same time?
- A. So behavioral changes in infants are often harder to assess than in an older child or adult. So if the parent is telling you he's not eating, he's not acting himself, something's off or just not right, that's hard to put your finger on medically, but it should cause you to be concerned about how sick is this patient?

Like what else do I need to be thinking about? Is this just he feels puny because he's sick, like has the flu or something, or is something more seriously wrong with him and what else do I need to do to figure that out?

Q. And can you tell me what, if any, importance a change in appetite would have in conjunction with those two things?

Q. Also, earlier in this trial we heard
Physician Assistant Doug Whitehead say that he could
positively eliminate the possibility of a skull fracture
by visual and tactile examination. Based on your

experience and expertise, do you believe that's possible?

- A. That's not possible. You can sometimes find a skull fracture on exam and say, "I'm pretty sure there's a skull fracture in there, we should get an x-ray." But you can't rule out a skull fracture without getting an x-ray. There's no way that you could do that.
- Q. Earlier in your testimony, you talked about the priority being trying to rule out what could harm the baby most. Do you have an expert opinion as to whether that was done in this examination?
- A. No. I believe that he had symptoms and findings that would suggest that he was re-injured after an initial injury event and failing to identify the first injury event led to the access of an individual to cause a second event.
- Q. Okay. So based on what you just said, based on your experience and training, do you have a medical opinion as to when the less serious parietal fracture occurred?

Yes.

2

3

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 0. What is that?
- A. On or about Wednesday when the symptoms started, which I am saying the symptoms that started are the vomiting. So the vomiting started on Wednesday and the reported fall happened on Wednesday. I believe something happened on Wednesday.
- Are there any other reasons that you think that's the case?
- A. He wasn't normal after the vomiting started. He was ill and persistently ill and then became critically ill acutely on the day that he was brought to medical attention, for Beartooth Clinic requiring life flight down.
- Q. Can you tell me whether or not that all relates back to the talk that you had regarding when he was last well?
  - A. Yes.
- 19 And we may have touched on this earlier, how 20 quickly did the baby decompensate after the second 21 fracture?
- 22 A. Immediately, from what the history is, is 23 he's fine when he goes into the bathroom, he's in extremist, which is the medical phrase for you need life-sustaining measures to keep you alive at that

- This is a composite of the normal on the left, so not this patient. And then Everett's image on the right that shows the difference of the fractures.
- MR. NIXON: I'd like permission to publish State's Exhibit 54 next to the --

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. NIXON: -- demonstrative regular images.

- (BY MR. NIXON) So what are we looking at 0. here?
- Again, this is normal patient on the left and Α. Everett's image on the right, depicting both of the fractures.
- MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'd like permission to publish State's 52.

THE COURT: Yes, granted.

- (BY MR. NIXON) This is next to the normal skull image from the demonstrative. What are we looking
- A. Again, on the left, normal, and on the right is Everett.
- Q. Dr. Laskey, I also would like you to take a look at a few other images that were previously introduced into testimony to see if you can offer some insight.
  - MR. NIXON: Your Honor, may I publish

1

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

point. He required CPR and 911.

- Q. And you mentioned earlier that you keep up to date on as much medical literature as you can, are your findings consistent with what you see in published medical literature?
  - Α. Yes.
- And is your testimony here today to the highest degree of reasonable medical certainty?
  - A. Yes.

MR. NIXON: If I may have a moment, Your

Honor?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Yes.

13 MR. NIXON: I'd like to lodge Demonstrative

THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I would like to go ahead and publish part of the PowerPoint next to State's Exhibits 50, 51, and 52.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. NIXON: State's Exhibit 55. Please publish that.

Q. (BY MR. NIXON) So these are images that we've seen separately in your demonstrative and State's Exhibit 55 was introduced. What are we looking at here, Dr. Laskey?

previously introduced State's Exhibit 7?

THE COURT: Yes.

- (BY MR. NIXON) So what are we looking in that paragraph?
- Α. That -- I didn't take this, but it says PICU, so that presumably means it was taken at Primary Children's PICU, pediatrician intensive care unit, because I believe that was the only ICU he was in. And this is showing -- on his head they have what's called EEG leads. They're assessing for seizures, so they put electrodes all over the scalp and then they have to put like a cap on it to from keep everything from falling off. So this is Everett in his bed with his EEG cap on. MR. NIXON: Please publish State's Exhibit

THE WITNESS: So you can see the things on his forehead, those are EEG leads. So they use like this glue stuff to -- it's almost like rubber cement that puts it on his forehead, but it's actually all over his scalp. The more hair a kid has the harder it is to keep those on.

It just gets their hair all gunked up. So that's why they have to have the cap on because things don't stick very well.

He has a tube in his nose, because he -- it's

to drain his stomach. So your stomach always makes acid and if you're not being fed on a regular basis that acid can cause ulcers. So we give you medicine to suppress the acid, but we can't feed him because we don't know how bad his brain injury is.

And if you have a very severe brain injury you can't protect your airway, so that acid or food could come up your esophagus and go down your windpipe and cause severe pneumonia. So they put a tube down to both give him some nutrition very slowly but also to drain out stuff.

MR. NIXON: May I publish State's Exhibit 13? THE COURT: Yes.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) What are we looking at here in this photograph?
- A. The mess that the EEG techs make with the goop that his hair is all disgusting because the glue is just everywhere and those are all the leads.
- Q. Referring to your final report, what was the final rundown of all of the injuries that were identified from -- I guess that Everett had?
  - A. May I refer to it?
  - O. Please.

A. So after I had all of these studies done, so his eye exam, his MRI, his skeletal survey, everything,

these potentially life-threatening injuries?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Based on your experience and training, are these injuries with a significant potential for life-long consequences?
- A. Yes. So he survived the injuries through medical intervention, right?

So he had to have extensive medical intervention and support in order to survive the injuries. But unambiguously these are life-changing injuries. Because while he can look pretty good as far as a baby goes -- you know, we don't expect much of babies at nine months. So he could look pretty good when he goes home, but the fact is that we know on his MRI that his brain has been damaged in a way that our bodies can't fix.

So you can resorb blood that's not where it's supposed to be, the blood will go away. He may grow out of the seizure disorder. But there are parts of his brain that are so damaged that they won't work the way they were supposed to.

So we may not know what that means until he has to use that part of his brain. So sometimes we know soon, because they don't walk on time or they don't talk on time. But sometimes we don't know until they go to

I went back and do what's kind of like a summary of everything that we know up to that point.

And so at this point when I saw him on the 25th of April, I first saw him on the 20th, I've got documented that he's got extensive, multi-layered retinal hemorrhages in both eyes. I only have images — for whatever reason they weren't able to capture images of his left eye, so I only personally reviewed the right

His MRI shows that he has brain injury to the actual brain tissue itself. So it's more than just the bleeding around the brain, it's what we call the parenchyma, which is the body of the brain. So that is deep injury that you can see on the MRI that suggests that he's going to have some form of impairment, that we just can't tell yet how bad that's going to be.

eye. But the ophthalmologist saw in both eyes.

He's also — the reason they were doing the EEGs is because he was having seizures, which again is an indicator of the depth of injury, so he was having seizures that required medicine to control.

And then, obviously, he had the two very severe skull fractures. So that is the totality of the injuries that we were able to identify on his evaluation.

 ${\tt Q.}$  Based on your experience and training, were

school and we want them to sit all day and pay attention and learn and not fight with people and things like that.

So one of the things we tell families is you're going to have to keep seeing doctors about this for a very long time, because there's a chance that we're not going to know the extent of this injury until we need him to do more and more and more with his brain and those parts aren't available.

- Q. Based on what you just testified to, begs one more question, based on your experience and training, had Everett not been treated at the ER here in Red Lodge and subsequently in Primary Children's, would he have
- A. I think there's a very good chance -- he required intensive intervention. This potentially could have killed him had immediate care not been sought.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NIXON: Your Honor, I don't have any further questions at this point in time.

 $\label{thm:court:all right.} \mbox{ Figure 1.5.5 Mere's what I } \\ \mbox{think we're going to do.}$ 

Mr. Snively, I'm just going to take a short break before your cross. Give the jury a chance to stretch and freshen themselves. But I'm going to make it short because we want to keep moving.

```
So I'm going to say ten minutes. I want to
      give you a chance to go to the restroom if you need to
      and stretch your legs and then we'll get right back to
 4
 5
                 And I'll just rely on the bailiff to let me
      know when you're ready. It's not as long as your 15, so
 6
      during this -- hold on, don't leave. I've got to tell
 8
      you not to talk about the substance of the case among
      yourselves or with other people or in any way form or
 9
10
      express an opinion on the case.
11
```

We're in recess.

12 (Wherein, a recess was taken.)

13 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

> THE COURT: Please be seated. We are back in court, DC 19-17, State v. Polakoff.

> > Counsel for the State is present.

17 Counsel for the defendant is present. The 18 defendant is present.

The jury is not present yet.

Counsel, any reason we cannot bring the jury

21 in at this time?

14

15

16

19

20

22

23

24

MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor, please do.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Please, Mr. Bailiff, bring the

Doctor, you know that it was due to vomiting Q. 2 and diarrhea, correct?

> Α. I don't know that, sir. I --

You didn't see that in the record?

I believe there was a history of a loose Α. stool.

Did you see in the record where the intake, which has already been admitted by Ryann and she gave the intake, said throwing up and diarrhea? You didn't see that?

Α. Sir, you'd have to refresh my memory by providing me the documentation.

Q. I'm sorry?

Could you please provide me the Α.

documentation? I didn't review it prior to coming today.

Q. I'm going to hand you the first page of that record.

MR. SNIVELY: With the Court's permission, if I may approach?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Take a moment and just look

at that.

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

594

Α. Thank you.

> Have you seen that document before? 0.

jury in. (Wherein, the jury is present.) 3 THE COURT: Okay, be seated. Thanks. The jury has returned to the jury box. 4 5 Mr. Nixon, Mr. Spoja, do you stipulate that 6 the jury and alternate are present? 7 MR. NIXON: We do, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Snively. 9 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Snively, do you 10 11 wish to cross? 12 MR. SNIVELY: I do, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION 13

## BY MR. SNIVELY:

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

- Doctor, I want to direct your attention to April 18th of 2019. And you testified that you had reviewed Beartooth Clinic reports from that date; is that correct?
  - A. I believe so, yes.
  - Q. And you stated that the main complaint was vomiting. Do you remember saying that on direct?
- A. Is that -- I thought that the -- I don't know 23 the dates specifically without having it in front of me, but I do believe that the visit to Beartooth prior to requiring the EMS was due to vomiting.

Α. I have.

> And what does that say the presenting Q. complaint is?

Α. It says throwing up slash diarrhea.

0. And do you have any --

MR. SNIVELY: If I may I retrieve that, Your

Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

- (BY MR. SNIVELY) Do you have any reason to doubt that that's Ryann who provided them that
- 11 information?
  - I don't know who provided them that Α. information. As I understand it, both Nathan and Ryann were at the Beartooth Clinic that day.
  - But Ryann already, from sitting there, has admitted to the jury that she provided that information. You have no reason to question that, do you?
    - Α. I do not.
  - And, in fact, throughout the medical records, until she talks to you, there's consistent reference to diarrhea, isn't there?
- 22 Α. There's a history of a loose stool that Ryann 23 gave to me.
  - Q. Does that say "loose stool" on the record?
  - Α. No, sir, it does not.

I mean, does it say anywhere else in the 1 doctor, was -- in his medical records, as I recall, record loose stool versus diarrhea? 2 commented on the bump on the forehead. I don't recall 3 A. No, sir. without reviewing the records contemporaneously whether Q. All right. In fact, when you asked her about or not there's documentation of a fall. the history, she did not tell you about diarrhea being MR. SNIVELY: If I may, Judge, approach? 5 THE COURT: You may. an issue, did she? 6 A. That is correct. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: What did you just hand her? 8 In fact, you did not know about diarrhea 8 until 2021, correct? 9 9 MR. SNIVELY: I handed her the records from A. That is correct. 10 10 Beartooth Clinic for April 18th. 11 Q. And so that information she didn't disclose 11 THE COURT: In order to refresh her memory? to you even though there are other places in the medical 12 MR. SNIVELY: Yes. 13 records that that was disclosed? 13 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, may I take a look at A. As I recall, she mentioned a loose stool. 14 14 what's being handed to the witness, as well --15 Doctor, you've testified under oath regarding 15 THE COURT: Sure. 16 MR. NIXON: -- to see exactly what record she 16 what she told you, correct? 17 17 A. Yes, sir. has? 18 And you testified that she did not tell you 18 THE COURT: Just one second, Doctor. 19 anything about diarrhea. 19 Mr. Nixon. 20 A. That is correct, sir. 20 MR. NIXON: I just want to make sure we're on 21 Correct? And diarrhea and vomiting, do you 21 the same page. I don't have any reason to think she

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: May I answer the question about history of a fall?

THE COURT: Come on up.

MR. NIXON: Thank you.

shouldn't be able to review it.

May I approach?

Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) No. I didn't ask you about a history of a fall. I asked you is there a complaint of a possible concussion?

A. I don't see those words in here.

Q. All right.

 $\mbox{MR. SNIVELY:} \quad \mbox{And I'll take those records} \\ \mbox{back from you, please.} \\$ 

THE WITNESS: Okay.

- Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Did you also see in those records that Nathan is the one that asked the doctor to look at the mark on Everett's forehead?
- A. This feels like a game of memory. If you'd like me to testify what it says, I should probably keep it since it's not my documentation.
- Q. Doctor, you said you reviewed this in preparation for your testimony.
- A. No, actually, I did not say that.

  THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. One at a time.

MR. SNIVELY: Sorry, Judge.

THE WITNESS: I believe I said I didn't review that in preparation for my testimony, which is I why asked you to provide it to me to if you want me to

24 viral illnesses, yes. That's the significance of diarrhea, isn't 598 it? Yes, sir. And you didn't have that information from 4 Ryann on February 20th, 21, 23 --A. April. 5 6 I'm sorry. Yes. April, from the 20th when you said you got the call, and then the 21st when you 8 started reviewing things, you did not have that information, did you? 10 A. That's correct. Dr. Whitehead testified in this trial that he 11 12 -- well, first of all, do you agree that his records --13 Beartooth Clinic records show that there never was a presenting issue with a head injury or concussion or 15 anything? 16 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. 17 That misstates the evidence. I believe the record is replete with mention of a bruise on the forehead. 18 19 THE COURT: What was your statement? 20 MR. SNIVELY: That there was not a complaint 21 about a concussion or a head injury. I'm going to get 22 to the --23 THE COURT: Okay. I'll overrule that based

THE WITNESS: So Mr. Whitehead is not a

agree, medically points you towards flu, stomach issues?

A. Vomiting and diarrhea cause you to think of

22

23

24

on the...

h f

answer questions about it.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

8

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SNIVELY: And I apologize, I misunderstood. I thought you had reviewed these.

THE WITNESS: Contemporaneous to my care of Everett, which was in 2019. I've seen hundreds of patients since then.

MR. SNIVELY: All right.

- Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) So it's your testimony today you don't recall exactly what's in the Beartooth Clinic records and what PA Whitehead -- his impressions were and were not; is that correct?
- A. Not to the specific degree to what you're asking me to answer questions.
- Q. And were you aware that Ryann had told PA
  Whitehead that Everett had been improving during the day
  on the 18th?
  - A. I believe that is accurate, yes.
- Q. And again, that would be consistent with a viral issue, correct?
- 20 A. Vomiting can wax and wane for a number of 21 reasons.
  - Q. The complaint was diarrhea and vomiting and that they said that had improved, correct?
- A. That's a statement not a question. What was the question?

and examined on his forehead, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. In fact, you used the term "goose egg" on your direct. Do you remember saying that term?
  - A. Yes, sir.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

10

11

1 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. That's not a term that you've used previously, is it?
  - A. I don't recall, sir.
  - 0. I'm sorry?
- A. I don't recall. When you say "used previously," like ever? In this case? I don't know what we're talking about.
  - Q. In this case, ma'am.
- A. If you're talking about my previous testimony, I don't recall.
  - Q. Do you want to look at your testimony to see?
- A. Sir, I'm not disputing you. Whether I used the word today, but didn't use it on a different day, I don't recall.
- Q. All right. And, in fact, would it surprise you that Ryann told the jury that she didn't use that term until after she'd been in Salt Lake, had been interviewed by the Salt Lake Police and had returned to Montana and was interviewed by the Red Lodge Police, that that term she did not use at any point during that

602

- 0. Is that a correct statement?
- A. That they had improved over the course of the day? Yes.
  - Q. And were you aware that PA Whitehead had performed -- put his hands on Everett's head and felt his skull and did that -- did you know he had done that?
    - A. I did not know what he did.
  - Q. And if there was a fracture and somebody is pushing on that part of the skull, you would expect a reaction from the child, correct?
    - A. I would.
- Q. Your testimony -- make sure I understood your testimony, is that if a child nine months or younger has a bruise, is it your opinion that the child either has a bleeding disorder or there's been abuse?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. I mean, there's the other explanation that something happened that he -- a red mark could be from his head was accidentally hit on the counter or hit a toy or something like that. I mean, there are innocent explanations for a red mark on a nine-month-old child, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
  - Q. And you don't have any reason to dispute that it was a red mark that PA Whitehead had been told about

time period?

- A. I don't know what Ryann testified to.
- Q. I asked would that surprise you that that's been her testimony to this jury?
  - A. I don't feel surprised, you told me a fact.
- Q. So this idea that you today have testified that she told you about a goose egg, would be contrary to what she testified to the jury, correct?
  - A. May I refer to what I documented in my note?
- Q. No. I'm asking you that would be contrary to what you testified to, not what your notes are.

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. That's badgering. She's asking the opportunity to see what she did get contemporaneously.

THE COURT: I think it's confusing. Let's just take a step and ask a specific question. Okay?

MR. SNIVELY: All right.

THE COURT: Exactly on what you want to ask so I know whether you're asking about her testimony today or something in her records.

It could be just me, but I want to make sure that we're clear on what the question is. Okay?

Go ahead.

Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Doctor, you've previously testified that you medically cannot state how either of

these injuries were caused to Everett. Is that still a correct statement?

- A. That is correct. I was not present.
- Q. And it's your opinion that the injuries would not have happened in silence, correct?
- A. That is correct.

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

16

17

20

23

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

17

19

20

21

22

- 7 Q. Yet, Ryann has continued in her statement 8 that there was not a noise during the shower. And she 9 told you that, correct?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. And that she says there was talking and babbling going on during the shower, correct?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. And again, based on your testimony that would be consistent with the babbling of where you said
  - Everett should have been at nine months?
    - A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And she has admitted to you that she was 19 within very close proximity of the shower?
  - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And you testified that a skull fracture can 22 exist for several days before it's noticed, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, there are cases where it's gone more than a week before they realized that they have a

- A. Skull fractures can't be aged by either a neurologist or a radiologist. It's not a medical thing.
- Q. So there's no way medically to determine which fracture was when or any of that information from a medical standpoint?
- A. From looking at an image, no. There's clinical correlation that needs to be applied.
- Q. You've testified that there's a possibility of long-term effects, that over time is going to tell us what those are. Have you seen Everett since he was discharged from Salt Lake?
  - A. No, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

- Q. So you don't do any of the follow-up treatment of him; is that correct?
- A. There's rehabilitation specialists that do that.
- 17 Q. And you've not followed his records to see 18 where he is on any of that, correct?
  - A. I believe I've been provided them for prior testimony.
  - Q. And he is -- and your opinion is that school age and school time will be a significant marker for where he is?
    - A. If he's not already showing developmental issues then, yes, that is often a time of definition of

606

- fracture?
- A. Yes
- Q. And if there's not an allegation of child abuse made to a medical provider, there's no reason for a medical provider on their own to do a skeletal imaging of the child, is there?
  - A. That's incorrect.
- Q. That's incorrect?
- A. People don't come in and say, "I think my child is abused," and then I do something.
- Q. Let me ask. If the provider doesn't believe there's child abuse, there's not a reason to do that imaging?
- 14 A. That's right. You have to make the decision 15 that I am worried about abuse in order to do the 16 evaluation for abuse.
  - Q. And you are not a neurologist, correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
  - Q. You're a pediatrician?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. And you're not a radiologist?
  - A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. And therefore, based on your medical
- 24 training, you cannot age either of these skull
  - fractures, can you?

1 the extent of injury.

- Q. And you were shown a picture of Everett, that he had the -- and I forget the term for the tube in his nose when he was on the PICU unit. He would have been sedated at that point with that tube, correct?
  - A. No. We don't actually sedate patients with brain injury unless we're breathing for them, because we want to see what their level of consciousness is on their own. So he was not sedated.
    - Q. In the images of the left eye --
    - A. Right eye.
- Q. No. The right eye are the ones that you testified to?
  - A. Oh, I apologize, sir.
  - Q. The ones on the left eye, you've relied on the other doctors' summaries and information as to what that is, correct?
    - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. You've not personally looked at those?
- 20 A. I have not seen them. They weren't available.
- 21 available. 22 Q.
  - Q. They would have been done at the same time as the right eye ones that you testified to, right?
  - A. If the camera was working and/or the patient was tolerating the exam.

But that exam would have been done while Everett was in Salt Lake City, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

23

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- You've also acknowledged that the red mark on Everett's forehead would not have been associated with either of these fractures that we've talked about here today, correct?
  - A. Yes. It's in a totally different location.
- Doctor, medically you cannot say when either injury occurred?
  - A. Specifically, no.
- Q. So you testifying that one of these injuries happened on April 17th is not a medical opinion, is it?
- A. It is a medical opinion. It's based on my synthesis of the information that's available to me, which includes the history.
- Q. Doctor -- okay. You have previously testified you can't date either of these injuries?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. If you can't date the injury, you can't give a medical opinion as to when they occurred, can you?
  - A. Incorrect. I can give a medical opinion based on the synthesis of the information --
- 24 Q. But medically --
  - THE COURT: Go ahead and finish it.

particularly given the extent of that skull fracture.

- But a child could have lived with a skull fracture for that period of time?
- A. The skull fractures that go without being identified for a period of time, as long as a week, are simple linear, parietal skull fractures that happen from simple household falls.
  - O. And you believe the information that you were getting from Ryann was not complete about the history of what had been going on, correct?
    - I'm sorry?
  - Q. When Ryann gave you the history of what transpired the Wednesday, Thursday and Friday, you did not believe you were getting a complete history of what had transpired, correct?
- A. I believe that people in the proximity of Everett when either of these events happened would have heard something, ves.
- Q. And medically, there's nothing about either injury that would point to a specific person, is there, from a medical standpoint?
- I can't determine who did what to whom.
- 0. And you can't medically say how either injury was inflicted, correct?
  - A. Again, I was not present. I can postulate

609

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: I can determine it based on a synthesis of the information that I'm provided. You triangulate, you can't look at an x-ray and say -- on a skull fracture and say, "This happened on this day at this time." That's not medically possible.

- Q. And, Doctor, it's medically possible -- in the information that you have it's medically possible that these two injuries occurred at the same time, one after the other?
  - A. That is possible, yes.
- And it is possible that they could be weeks 0. apart, correct?
  - A. I would disagree with that assertion.
- Q. You just earlier said that you agreed that a skull fracture could be -- could go a week before being noticed?
- A. Yes, I did say that, sir.
- Q. So it is possible that one of these fractures could have been in existence for a week prior to April 19th of 2019?
  - A. I disagree with that statement.
- Q. But medically, that is possible. I mean the 23 literature supports that, correct?
- A. You can have a skull fracture, but it would not have been asymptomatic and not known to anyone,

1 based on the injuries that I see what a possible 2 mechanism is.

- But medically you cannot answer that question?
  - Α. That is correct, sir.
- Q. And have Everett's -- well, let me withdraw that question.

There was not bruising witnessed on Everett that was consistent with somebody squeezing some part of his body, correct?

- A. Well, the bruising on his shoulder from neurosurgery pinching him, so I documented that. And then my testimony is that there was no additional bruising on his body. So there was no other bruising.
- And my question would be if somebody had held him and slammed him, that would have created a bruise?
- A. No, that's not correct, sir.
  - It could have created a bruise? 0.
- 19 A. Certainly, you could have a bruise, but you 20 don't have to have a bruise to have had an injury like 21 that.
  - Q. But it's not unusual to see a bruise that then would match up with somebody's hand or something on a child, correct?
    - A. It's actually more common not to have an

associated bruise.

- O. But it exists?
- A. What exists?
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  That there would be bruises that would match up?
  - A. Not often, no.
- Q. And, Doctor, you previously have stated that there are two separate impacts, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. The timing of those impacts, whether they happened in minutes, hours or days of each other, you're not able to medically determine, correct?
    - A. That is correct.

MR. SNIVELY: I don't have any other questions, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Any redirect? MR. NIXON: Please, Your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. NIXON:

- Q. Let's talk a little bit about medical reports. How much do you rely on the veracity of reports in medical notes?
- A. So medical records contain useful
  information, obviously, that's why we do them. However,
  there's known common errors in medical documentation

because of the way I do my job. I never cut and paste and I always take the history primarily from the family, caregiver that's present as the way that I document the history that I'm provided.

- Q. We heard a little bit about you and Mr.
  Snively talking about PA Whitehead's record. Do you
  know who Whitehead identified as the father of Everett?
- A. I think he identified Nathan as the father, and Nathan is not the father of Everett.
  - Q. Do you know if there's ever any mistakes made in that report about the child's age?
  - A. I think there was actually a typo about him being older than he really was, as I recall.
  - Q. And do you know if Whitehead may have relied on that error in the age estimation?

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object.
Whitehead already testified about what he had relied on.
THE COURT: Sustained. Speculative.

Go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. NIXON) Now, we did hear testimony from PA Whitehead that there was one instance of a loose stool, which was referred to as diarrhea. As a pediatrician, how important is one instance of loose stool?
  - A. So there is a distinction between loose

which is why you should not copy and paste, for example, from prior notes or rely solely on previous notes as the source of the information when you're making diagnostic decisions.

- Q. Can you tell me whether or not when these appointments take place is there somebody like Stacy taking every word down?
- A. Oh, God, no. That would make my life a lot easier.
- Q. And I believe you earlier testified that every medical record had mistakes. Can you tell me whether or not you identified any mistakes made in this particular series of reports?
- A. Well, I mean, the issue is that unfortunately with the invention of electronic medical records copy and paste is a huge issue. People take what is written in one note, copy it, and put it in as, quote, "the history" in their note.

And when that happens it propagates. So somebody says "history of fall" and that becomes the history that is throughout. But nobody goes back to say, "How do we know about the fall?" Or "What is known about the fall?"

So, you know, what is accurate versus inaccurate in the medical record of this patient I know

stools and diarrhea and doctors get picky about poop. The fact is that diarrhea is — everybody has loose stools at some point or other based on what you're eating. It has nothing to do with illness. It has nothing to with whether or not you have a medical condition. It's what you ate.

Diarrhea is specifically a symptom that you can have associated with different things that could go wrong with your gut. So there's -- vomiting and diarrhea are literally part of an abbreviation that we used to use when we hand wrote our notes. And it would say "N" as in "Nancy," slash "V" as in "Victor," slash "D" as in "Delta." "Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea." You literally learn the three together.

So it's so common that people say vomiting and diarrhea, it's almost a reflex. Which is why I always go back and say, "Tell me about this diarrhea."

And they will be like, "Well, it wasn't

diarrhea, it was a loose stool. It was kind of yellowy and green and different than normal." That's not diarrhea. And one time is also not diarrhea, generally speaking. That's why I asked the question so specifically.

Q. After the diarrhea debate, how has your previously-stated opinions changed?

A. They are neither here nor there. If he had diarrhea it doesn't change the fact that he has two massive skull fractures. They have nothing to do with his diarrhea. So if he had diarrhea, it's still doesn't change the fact that I have two massive skull fractures with significant brain injury, life-threatening presentation, bleeding in the backs of his eyes. If he had diarrhea, he had diarrhea and something awful happened to him.

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

23

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. Listening to you and Mr. Snively going back and forth, can you tell me whether or not it's fair to say that you can't look at any given test in a vacuum?
- A. If you do, you're doing medicine wrong. Everything has to be interpreted in the context of the other information available. If I look at one lab study or one x-ray and I failed to consider what the history is that surrounds that, the age of the patient, the other what we call comorbidities, so other things that are going on with the patient, then you're literally —it's like taking one page out of the book and trying to decide how the book ends. That's not possible. You have to interpret everything in a context.
- Q. Can you tell me whether or not that's why you, for lack of a better term, cast such a broad net when you get intake information initially?

parietal fracture was sustained on the 17th of April, 2019, based on your talk with Ryann, do you know who was with Everett when he last felt good?

A. Nathan.

MR, SNIVELY: Your Honor --

 $\,$  THE COURT: Go ahead. I think she answered the question.

- O. (BY MR. NIXON) Please, answer.
- A. Nathan.

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And is it your medical opinion based on your expertise that a child that suffered an occipital fracture like that would have been almost immediately debilitated?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me whether or not you believe it is a medical possibility that a child could have gone on with that injury unnoticed?
  - A. No
- Q. And in the course of interviewing Ryann while making your diagnosis, did she tell you who Everett was alone with when he stopped breathing?
  - A. Yes
  - O. Who was that?
- A. Nathan.
  - MR. NIXON: No further questions.

A. Yes. Because I'm trying to think very broadly about the problem. What else could be going on with this patient?

It would be horrible if I missed something, because I didn't think about what other medical things cause these things, what are family history that causes these things.

- Q. There was also some cross-examination testimony regarding how long somebody could have a skull fracture. In your experience and in your opinion, could any child have suffered a parietal fracture like Everett did and not exhibit some symptoms?
- A. No. The parietal skull fractures that I was talking about that sometimes take a week to be discovered by a caregiver when the swelling happens or when they start to feel something going on, those are very isolated, simple linear parietal skull fractures that happen when a kid topples over from a standing position on a tile floor and they just crack their head.

That's a very different kind of skull fracture than the kind Everett had. Everett's was significant and would not have been in the same presentation where you could go like a week and not have any idea that something was going on.

Q. You've talk about how you believe that that

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. SNIVELY: No.

THE COURT: May this witness be excused?

4 MR. NIXON: Please, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.

 $\label{eq:theory:condition} \mbox{THE COURT: Okay. Doctor, you are excused} \\ \mbox{from your subpoena.}$ 

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Don't discuss your testimony with anyone else, the questions you were asked or the answers that you gave.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: The next witness.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NIXON: Your Honor, at this point in time the State rests.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that was close of evidence for the State. We'll go ahead and take our lunch.

And help me here, I think that means -- it's 10 after 12, so about 1:25.

So I've got to admonish you again not to discuss the case in any way or access any information or form or express any opinion on the case.

We'll be in recess until 1:25. Have a good

lunch. (Wherein, the jury is not present.) THE COURT: Be seated, please. The State has rested. The jury is out of the room. Counsel remains, as wells the defendant. Any issues we need to take up at this time? MR. NIXON: Nothing from the State, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Snively? MR. SNIVELY: Judge, the defense would move for a directed verdict as to Count I and Alternate Count THE COURT: Say again? MR. SNIVELY: I'm sorry? THE COURT: As to Count I. MR. SNIVELY: And as to Count I and the alternate Count I. THE COURT: All right. MR. SNIVELY: And we would argue that the testimony is such that even in the light most favorable to the State this should not go to the jury and based on PA Whitehead's testimony and his examination of Everett on the 18th of April of 2019 excludes the possibility of that count being proven by the State, let alone being

that there was any more significant injury. We have a established, one, that Mr. Polakoff is over 18; we've established, two, that Everett Musch, now Self, was under 36 months of age.

And that bump, which by all accounts happened while he was alone with the defendant, it constitutes bodily injury. It's a very low bar.

Additionally, we've just heard the testimony of two doctors, neither of who thinks that bump was the cause -- I should say, a fall off a low futon could have cause even the bump, much less the more serious injuries.

The defendant is basically asking us to go ahead and rely upon the testimony of the least experienced medical witness that we've heard in this trial, which his testimony has been at this point in time contradicted by Dr. Fouts and by Dr. Laskey, and to grant a directed verdict on that theory alone.

What we have now is a very experienced pediatrician who has just provided the Court testimony about her theory that, in fact, this serious skull fracture on the parietal bone of the infant happened on April 17th.

As far as the 19th, there is absolutely no evidence that this boy did not suffer two extremely

proven beyond a reasonable doubt by the State.

I realize that Dr. Laskey disagrees with that opinion, but he's the medical personnel who examined him on that date, saw him on that date and made those decisions and the jury heard that decision.

 $\label{thm:count} I \ \mbox{believe that as to that count that should} \\ \mbox{be dismissed and a directed verdict for those reasons.}$ 

And as to Count II, we would ask for a directed verdict in that the State has failed to prove that Nathan is the perpetrator of either of the skull fractures. And for that reason, we should receive a directed verdict as to that one, as well. And that same argument would apply to Count I.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Mr. Nixon.

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'll go ahead and address the easiest of the three charges initially. And that would be the Alternative Count I, which is a bodily injury.

The testimony is absolutely full of references to everybody seeing this bruise or bump or goose egg or whatever you want to call it, based on each witness. We, in fact, see Mr. Polakoff talking about the baby having a bruise. That is bodily injury. It's a low standard.

We don't have to prove on behalf of the State  $\,$ 

severe skull fractures. There is absolutely no evidence that these skull fractures could have been sustained by rolling off a ground-level futon. There's absolutely no evidence, and this is from the defendant, as well, that he was not alone with the baby when he stopped breathing.

There is testimony from the two doctors, Dr. Fouts and Dr. Laskey, that the occipital skull fracture would have been immediately debilitating. So what we have is a baby suffering from two severe skull fractures. We have heard the testimony that in all medical likelihood the first skull fracture occurred on the 17th, when he was alone with the defendant. And that the second skull fracture, which occurred two days later, occurred when he was alone with the defendant.

Based on that short analysis, it's not appropriate to grant defendant's motion as to either Count I, Alternative Count I or Count II.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right.

The standard, as counsel is well aware, for a motion -- directed verdict, but what we now call a Motion to Dismiss for Insufficient Evidence, same thing, the Court has to view the evidence at this juncture -- this is, I guess, the one time when we don't have the burden essentially on the State. I have to view this

evidence -- well, let me make sure I'm clear about that, in the sense that I have to view the evidence in the light most favorable to the prosecution in order to grant your motion, Mr. Snively, as you're aware.

So essentially, viewing the evidence in that light, I must find that there's not substantial evidence upon which a rational trier of fact could find the essential elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt

So it's not my job to determine whether there's been proof beyond a reasonable doubt, simply whether or not there is evidence that taken in the light most favorable to the State would allow a reasonable juror to find that there could be proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

 $\hbox{In reviewing your motion, first, the } \\ \hbox{Alternate Count I, I do agree that bodily injury is a low bar and that it was met in this case.}$ 

I will say as to Count I, alleging the serious bodily injury, I definitely had concerns based on the arguments you made, Mr. Snively regarding PA Whitehead's testimony.

However, with regards to establishing the evidence that could be construed as serious bodily injury, I find that the testimony provided by Dr. Laskey

jury to determine whether or not Mr. Polakoff did commit this.

Evidence provided to the Court does put Mr. Polakoff in direct contact with the child at the time that other evidence indicates the injuries could have been inflicted and also alone with the child at the time.

And based on the standard I have before me, that's sufficient to go to the jury. So I deny your motions on those grounds, Mr. Snively.

Okay. So let's talk about -- I do intend to give counsel a lunch break at some point.

Mr. Snively, you're ready to go with your case right after lunch?

MR. SNIVELY: We will be. I need to make sure that Al Stuber is still available to be re-called. He would be the first witness.

THE COURT: Okay. And then what about -- can you give me a ball park? Do you anticipate calling other witnesses, as well?

MR. SNIVELY: Judge, we're going to talk about that during the lunch break. But I would anticipate at least one more witness.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just trying to get a time frame for us.

does, however, provide that information to the jury that taken in the light most favorable to the State would constitute serious bodily injury. She did testify that the symptoms present very likely resulted from injury—the serious injury. And also testified to the mechanism of injury and to specific injuries. And certainly testified that those could have been done separately.

That the one on — the earlier injury would not necessarily have been debilitating, but was serious bodily injury. So I do find that that element has been met and I find that element has been met for Count II, as well. Regarding the information that has been offered here establishing that evidence provided could — the reasonable juror taking that evidence in the light most favorable to the State could find that serious bodily injury was caused.

With regard to your secondary motions, essentially the failure to identify this defendant as the individual who did it, clearly there's no direct evidence that Mr. Polakoff caused these injuries. No one saw or testified that they saw Mr. Polakoff harming the child through direct evidence.

However, I do find that circumstantial evidence has been provided that taken in the light most favorable to the State it does provide enough for the

MR. SNIVELY: In addition to him.

THE COURT: Of course.

MR. SNIVELY: Through Al Stuber we'll play a video that I think is about 20 minutes long.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SNIVELY: Certainly we've talked about the defendant's mother's testimony would be fairly short, because we're not going into her occupation and things.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SNIVELY: Her part would be much shorter.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and address at this time, since you're about to start your case, Mr. Polakoff's right to remain silent or his right to testify.

Mr. Polakoff, just to kind of talk directly

Obviously, Mr. Snively, if you get nervous, stop me.

But, in essence, I'm not asking you anything or what your decision is going to be, Mr. Polakoff. I want to make sure that you understand that it is your right. We've talked a lot in this trial about the right to remain silent.

And if you choose to do that, the Court will

instruct the jury they can't in any way hold that against you or consider it. However, you also have the corresponding right to testify, if you wish.

You certainly need to make this decision and in consultation with your lawyer and consider that. But ultimately, as Mr. Snively will tell you, it is your decision.

I just want to make sure you do understand if you do determine to testify there are no further protections as the defendant. You are a witness in the case subject to the same cross-examination as other parties are. So it's not a situation where you're able to take -- it's subject to the Rules of Evidence and what is relevant and what the Court determines is appropriate. If you do take the stand, you'll be open to full cross-examination like everybody else. So you have to know that.

So do you understand that there can be pros and cons to that decision, Mr. Polakoff?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not asking you to make that decision now. But having this conversation with you now allows — I think that as the case is presented by Mr. Snively, we can simply make that inquiry at that time and then we don't have to go

verdict then that says "not guilty" as to Count I, and nothing else on the first page, there has to be an entry on the second one.

The other note says if your verdict is guilty, after the Count I, it says you need not go no further. Your verdict is not guilty you must proceed to Alternate Count I. And that other note I think is confusing to the jury.

THE COURT: All right. I'm -- like I said, let's talk jury instructions and I'll certainly listen to that. I'm going to take a look at it, too.

But I'm not foreclosing, Mr. Snively. I just want to look at it myself and then I'll give you every chance to talk about it and we'll go on the record with instructions.

And so the jury's coming back at 1:25, right? Yeah.

MR. SPOJA: The State doesn't disagree with Mr. Snively on that verdict form. I went back and looked at again, it is confusing. I intended to redact or excise that first note and I just didn't get it done. I'll do that over lunch.

THE COURT: If you're messing -- I mean, clarify -- if you are changing Count I verdict form, I also noticed that in the court heading itself it doesn't

```
through this again.
```

So you've had adequate time and I'm sure you're going to talk about it a bit more now, but you've been able to discuss the pros and cons, the rights and risks of testifying or not in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Any questions about that?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The last thing before I let you all go here, is jury instructions. It does appear that you're going to need those ready.

Have you had a chance to look at the changes made by Mr. Spoja to the elements instructions?

 $\mbox{MR. SNIVELY:}\mbox{ Judge, I'm looking at those}$  three right now.

THE COURT: Let's do this. What we're going to do is we're going to recess, but you guys are going to have a short lunch because I want to talk about jury instructions well before the jury gets back.

MR. SNIVELY: Judge, it looks like the corrections were made. The verdict form on page 1 instructs the jury to not -- or to enter your verdict to one, but not more than one of the offenses below. And I think that note is wrong, in that if Count I is not quilty, unless the Court's going to just accept a

specifically say "Count I" or "Alternate Count I," it does down below, but it doesn't in the court heading.
Just take a look.

MR. SPOJA: Okav.

THE COURT: Just so there's no question that they know they've got the one for Count I, and Alternate Count I, as well as Count II. Okay?

MR. SPOJA: I'll double check. I'll fix it.

THE COURT: Yeah, take a look.

Counsel, I'd like you in chambers at 1:00.

Okay?

And then we can have a discussion off the record briefly about any other issues on the instructions and then go on the record with anything else you need to do prior to you starting your case.

I do recognize, depending on your case, I'm not going to make any final decision on instructions, okay, Mr. Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, judge.

THE COURT: We'll be in recess.

(Wherein, a recess was taken.)

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you.

24 We're back on the record. State v. Polakoff,

DC 19-17.

635 Counsel for the State is present. Α. Counsel for the defendant is present. The 2 0. And I'm going to bring that up and I'm going defendant is present. to stop it. The jury is not present. MR. NIXON: The State will stipulate to the The State has rested. The defense is about introduction of it if you would like to go ahead and to begin its case in chief. play it for the jury. Are you ready to proceed with that, Mr. MR. SNIVELY: We'll mark it as Defendant's Snively? Exhibit A for the record, but I would like to bring it MR. SNIVELY: I am, Your Honor. 9 up and stop it and ask him a couple of questions. 10 THE COURT: Any reason the jury should not be THE COURT: All right. So Defense Exhibit A. brought in at this time? 11 Do we have anything physical? MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor. 12 MR. SNIVELY: I do not have it in the THE COURT: Mr. Snively? 13 courtroom. I'll provide it to the clerks at the next MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor. 14 break. THE COURT: Okay. Please bring them. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Nixon, are you satisfied that 16 (Wherein, the jury is present.) Defendant's Exhibit A? 17 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thanks. MR. NIXON: The State is aware of what it is Mr. Nixon, do you stipulate that the jury and 18 and the State doesn't object to the admission. alternate are present? 19 THE COURT: Very good. You may publish. MR. NIXON: The State so stipulates. 20 MR. NIXON: Your Honor, may Detective Mahoney THE COURT: Mr. Snively. 21 come before the bar area and assist Mr. Snively? MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Yes, I would appreciate it. THE COURT: All right. The State has rested 23 (Pause.) 24 MR. SNIVELY: I had it up this morning before Mr. Snively, are you prepared to start the we started.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

defense case? THE COURT: I believe you. All right. Mr. Snively, it only works if you push it and it goes. MR. SNIVELY: I am, Your Honor. That's all I want to know. THE COURT: Please call your first witness. 3 4 MR. SNIVELY: We would call Al Stuber. 4 Mr. Snively, take a deep breath and let's go. 5 ALAN STUBER, 5 (BY MR. SNIVELY) Do you recognize that? Is Q. WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST 6 that the video we just referenced? 7 DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS 7 A. EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED 8 8 Q. And can you identify who Ryann is in this AS FOLLOWS: 9 9 video, please? 10 10 MR. SNIVELY: With the Court's permission, --000--THE COURT: Take the witness chair again, please 11 11 can he walk to the screen and point on the screen? 12 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION THE COURT: You bet. BY MR. SNIVELY: 13 13 THE WITNESS: (Indicating.) Sir, just for the record, you're Al Stuber, 14 Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) So he's pointing to the lady 15 you previously testified in this case; is that correct? 15 on the left part of the screen, the furthest up. A. Yes. 16 Stay right there. Would you also point to 16 17 17 And as part of your investigation, you Nathan Polakoff, if he's in that picture. obtained a video from Beartooth Clinic; is that correct? 18 18 Α. (Witness complies.) Right there. 19 A. Yes. 19 MR. SNIVELY: He's just pointed to the man to 20 MR. NIXON: Objection, leading. 20 the left of Ryann. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. 21 Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Do you see Everett in that 22 MR. SNIVELY: I didn't hear what it was. 22 picture? 23 23 Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Did you obtain a video? A. Of -- yeah, the interview? 24 24 Q. Could you point to him, as well, or describe 25 Q. No. Of the waiting area? where he's at.

639 He's being held by Mr. Polakoff. That's all right. Did you happen to see Doug 2 MR. SNIVELY: Thank you. Whitehead in the video? 3 Yes, I did. Judge, I would like to publish the exhibit to A. 4 Q. Did you see what appeared to be Nathan and the jury. Doug greeting each other? 5 THE COURT: Okav. Yes. They were, I believe -- they're in the 6 (Wherein, the exhibit was Α. -- like in the walkway there. published to the jury.) 8 Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Sir, do you see the date 8 Ultimately, did you recognize anything in this video of importance to your investigation? 9 stamp at the upper left --10 A. I would have to get closer. I see it. 10 A. No, I didn't see anything. 11 MR. NIXON: The State would stipulate that it 11 MR. NIXON: No further questions. 12 says 4-18, 2019. 12 THE COURT: Any redirect? Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) Does that sound like the 13 MR. SNIVELY: May I have just a moment? 13 14 date of that video? 14 I have no other questions. 15 A. Yes. 15 THE COURT: May this witness be excused? MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor. 16 16 MR. SNIVELY: I don't have any other 17 questions for the witness. 17 THE COURT: At this time you are excused from THE COURT: Mr. Nixon. your subpoena. Don't discuss your testimony, the 19 MR. NIXON: Just a few, please. 19 questions you were asked or the answers you gave. But 20 CROSS EXAMINATION 20 you are released and you're free to come and go. 21 BY MR. NIXON: 21 THE COURT: Your next witness, Mr. Snively. 0. Hello again, Al. 22 MR. SNIVELY: Diane Goedde. 23 Α. Hi. 23 DIANE GOEDDE, Now, as the investigator in this case, do you 24 WITNESS HEREIN, BEING FIRST recognize anything of any significance in this video? DULY SWORN ON OATH WAS Just the fact that the parents went to the EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: hospital like they said. Did you see anything that would be helpful --000--4 for your investigation on this video? THE COURT: Please take the witness chair. 5 Α No. MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I can just inquire if Mr. Snively is going to use this or we're going to take 6 Do you see anything other than a very small image of the three individuals in the video? A. That's all I saw. 8 MR. SNIVELY: We're going to use it. I can Q. Does this video have anything to do with the drop that picture if we want. I'm not going to lose 19th when the skull fracture occurred? 10 10 that video. I'm afraid to lose my connection and not be A. Actually, it was part of their story that the 11 11 able to get it connected again. baby was sick and they took the baby in on the 18th and 12 MR. NIXON: I don't want to mess with that. 12 13 13 so it confirms their story that they took him to the THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to remove hospital, as far as relating this to the 19th. your mask while you testify. It's for the jury to 15 Q. Does this video show anything other than 15 completely view a witness. As soon as you're done you 16 Nathan holding a baby while baby's mother tries to check 16 can put it back on. 17 17 in the clinic? THE WITNESS: Okav. A. That's all it shows, yes. 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 19 19 Q. Can you tell who carries the baby in or does BY MR. SNIVELY: 20 the video start after the three individuals are already 20 Will you please state and spell your name. 21 inside? 21 Diane Goedde, G-o-e-d-d-e. 22 A. I didn't see that part. It may have. I 22 Q. And you live here in Red Lodge? 23 think it started right when they were just standing 23 A. Yes, I do. there at the corner, but I'm not sure. I would have to 24 Q. And your Nathan's mother; is that correct? view it again. 25 Yes, I am.

1 Q. I want to direct your attention to April 18th 2 of 2019. Do you remember on that date, did you see 3 Ryann, Everett and Nate?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Where was it that you saw them?
- A. In my home.

5

6

9

16

5

14

20

21

22

23

- 7 Q. And do you remember about what time of day it 8 was that you saw them at your home?
  - A. I believe it was in the afternoon.
- 10 Q. Do you remember where they had been before 11 they came to see you?
- 12 A. They said they had taken Everett to the 13 clinic.
- Q. And during the time that they were at your house, did you have occasion to hold Everett?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. And while you were holding him, did you -18 could you tell the jury what your observation of Everett
  19 was?
- A. He seemed -- he looked like an ill baby, just not -- his eyes weren't bright. He just was cuddling up against me, not really moving around much. He would smile every once in awhile, but it wasn't like Everett usually would present.
  - Q. Did Ryann convey to you what they had learned

- fair and accurate reproduction of that voicemail or a copy of that voicemail?
  - A. It was the exact voicemail that was on my phone.
  - MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I at this time would ask to introduce Exhibit 2.

MR. NIXON: No objection.

THE COURT: We'll call it B. I'll just use letters for you.

MR. SNIVELY: Oh, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's quite all right.

Without objection, B is admitted. Do you

want to publish or I'm sorry I thought --

(Wherein, Defendant's Exhibit B was received.)

(Wherein, Defendant's Exhibit B was published to the jury.)

- Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) And hearing that voicemail, is that what you heard on your phone on the evening of April 20th of 2019?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And approximately what time did that voicemail come to your phone?
- A. I believe it was after 11 o'clock.
  - Q. And what did you do after you listened to

642

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- at their doctor visit?
- A. That --
- Q. I'm not asking what was said, but they did convey that information to you, right?
  - A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And based on what information you had heard 7 from them, as a mother, were your observations of
- 8 Everett consistent with what they had told you?
  - A Yes
- 10 Q. And you have no memory or do you have a 11 memory of Ryann and Everett taking a bath at your house 12 that evening?
- 13 A. Not that evening, no.
  - Q. They had done that on another occasion?
- 15 A. Yes, they had.
- 16 Q. I want to direct your attention to April 20th
- of, again, 2019, and did you receive a voice message on your cell phone from Ryann's mother?
- 19 A. Yes.
  - Q. And did you know who Ryann's mother was prior to receiving that voicemail?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you preserve that voicemail?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. And a copy that you provided to me is that a

1 this that voicemail?

A. I spoke with Nathan.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$  SNIVELY: Your Honor, I don't have any other questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Nixon, any cross?
MR. NIXON: Yes, just a moment, please.

# CROSS EXAMINATION

# BY MR. NIXON:

- Q. Ms. Goedde, let's start out, I certainly don't blame you, but you're not a very impartial witness in this matter, are you?
- A. No, that's my son.
- Q. Certainly, that does cloud how you see things, doesn't it?
- A. It could possibly. I can't -- I'm just telling you the truth.
- Q. Where did you think that Nathan was going that evening?
- A. Which evening?
  - Q. I'm sorry. The evening of the 20th?
- A. He was heading to Salt Lake. He had called and said that's where he was heading. He wanted to go down there and be with Ryann and Everett.
- Q. And we just listened to a voicemail and it's fair to say that, if anything, Kathy Kolpin is very much

45 | 647

on the side of Nathan and Ry in that call, isn't she?

- A. Yes
- Q. She's certainly not blaming Nathan, is she?
- 4 A. N

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

4

5

6

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

- 5 Q. She's certainly not thinking that at that 6 time Nathan did anything wrong?
  - A. No.
- 8 Q. And she's not thinking that Ryann did 9 anything wrong, is she?
  - A. As far as I understood it, no.
  - Q. Now, did you have subsequent communication with either Kathy Kolpin or Jay Lawhon?
  - A. I didn't with Jay. Kathy had emails or texts.
    - Q. Her position changed dramatically, didn't it?
- 16 A. Yes, it did. She became very aggressive with 17 her texts.
- 18 Q. She very much no longer sided with Nate, did 19 she?
  - A. No
- 21 Q. Kind of like your testimony here today, would 22 you agree with me that maybe mothers or grandmothers
- 23 aren't very impartial if they think someone is hurting
- 24 their loved one?
  - A. I would imagine, yes.

testifying truthfully here today?

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. NIXON: Objection, Your Honor. I in no 3 way suggested that.

THE COURT: That objection is sustained.

That's not what Mr. Nixon was doing. You can go ahead and address anything you think you need cleared up.

- Q. (BY MR. SNIVELY) You have tried to provide an accurate recollection to this jury concerning the questions you've been asked here today, haven't you?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And there's no doubt that that voicemail is the same voicemail that you received on your phone that evening, is there?
- A. No. Absolutely. I still have that on my phone.
- MR. SNIVELY: Thank you. I don't have any other questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Nixon?

MR. NIXON: No, thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: May this witness be excused?

MR. NIXON: Please, Your Honor.

MR. SNIVELY: Yes.

23 THE COURT: You are released from your

24 subpoena. Don't discuss your testimony with anyone

else, the questions that you were asked or the answers  $% \left( 1,...,s\right) =\left( 1,...,s\right)$ 

646

Q. You had a flurry of calls with Nathan and texts and calls with Nathan that evening, didn't you?

- A. I believe so, yes. It was during the middle of the night and I was woken up many times. I couldn't tell you exactly how many.
- Q. You mentioned you talked to him. What did you talk about?
- A. He was frantic. He said -- I mean, I had told him what Kathy's voicemail had said and he was like, "I don't know what to do. I don't know what to do. I want to be there. I just don't know what to do."
- Q. Was he seemingly worried about being arrested?
- A. He said that they had told him that that was what could have happened. And actually, I told him to return to Red Lodge because if something did happen, I wanted him with family and friends.
  - $\mathbb{Q}.$   $\;$  And so he left and never made another attempt to see Everett, did he?
    - A. No, we were told we could not do that.
      - MR. NIXON: No further questions.
      - THE COURT: Any redirect?

# REDIRECT EXAMINATION

# BY MR. SNIVELY:

 ${\tt Q.}$  Mr. Nixon has suggested that you were not

that you provided. But you're released from your subpoena, so you can come or you can stay.

THE COURT: Next witness, Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: Judge, can we take about a two-minute break for us to step out of the room for a second, please?

THE COURT: Yes.

 $\label{eq:theory} \mbox{The jury is invited to stand, stretch your legs, your back.}$ 

# (Pause.)

THE COURT: Let's be seated.

Let the record reflect that Mr. Snively and Mr. Polakoff have returned to the courtroom.

Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: Thank you, Your Honor, for allowing us that time.

The defense rests.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I'll ask the State if you anticipate rebuttal?

MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So that ends the evidence portion of the trial, all the evidence that you will consider has been in. So what we're going to do is —it's good timing for once. We'll take a break.

We have to deal with just a couple of matters

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

651

and then what we'll do is we'll bring you back, I'll instruct you on the law and read these to you. But again, you will get the written instructions to take

And then the parties will have an opportunity to argue their closing arguments.

So we'll be in recess.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

During this recess, again, even now, don't talk about the case in substance, certainly don't try to access any information and don't form or express any opinion until the case is submitted to you. That's going to be real soon.

Until I say, "go deliberate" that's the instructions. We'll be in recess.

> (Wherein, the jury is not present.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. The jury has left the courtroom.

Counsel, we need to do a final conversation with regard to jury instructions. So we need to do that on the record and then we'll be able to go forward.

Do we have the changes we talked about in chambers?

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I just sent Ms. Pratt down to see if she can retrieve those from Bob. I

job at the Yellowstone -- YCAO. She's a psych nurse and I handled insanities. I assumed she'd be an expert witness. Now I just found out that she's the defendant's mom. I am looking at this differently now as I am emotional, I believe I will still be fair. Jo Anne Herem."

Who, I believe, is seat No. 1.

MR. NIXON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What the Court is going to do is ask the bailiff to get Ms. Goedde [sic], bring her into chambers and just talk to her. Essentially allow the parties to do a little bit of voir dire and we can get determine whether this is something that will be impact her ability to serve and we'll go from there.

Mr. Nixon, do you have a problem with that? MR. NIXON: I don't, Your Honor. I guess the only thing I think if she is to serve in -- from what I read I don't have any reason to doubt that she can continue to be a fair and impartial juror, but I think she probably does need to be instructed that she not mention where Ms. Goedde works.

THE COURT: Yes. How about you, Mr. Snively? Do you have -- do you have a problem with the Court's proposed procedure to do a little voir dire with

5

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

anticipate we will settle those while he prepares.

THE COURT: Here's what we'll do. I want to give you a chance for a quick break, as well. I'll give you a break. Why don't counsel -- not very much, five, ten minutes. Just enough to take a bit of a rest and then we can meet in chambers, go on the record with jury instructions and then, hopefully, we can get the jury back up for summations. Okay?

MR. SNIVELY: Yes.

THE COURT: We'll be in recess he here. We'll see counsel in chambers right around 2:30.

> (Wherein, a recess was taken.) (Wherein, the following took place in chambers.)

THE COURT: We're in chambers.

Counsel for the State is present.

Counsel for the defendant is present. The defendant is presented.

We're going to settle jury instructions after the close of evidence.

Just as we entered here, I received a note from one of the jurors, which the note will be lodged in the file, indicates that this -- well, I'll simply read it. "When we were asked if we knew a witness, Diane Goedde, I said, yes, as I used to work with her in my

MR. SNIVELY: No. I don't have a problem with having the individual voir dire with her to see where she is.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SNIVELY: I do question, though, whether the Court can -- I think we get into a strange area about the Court instructing her and she can't consider how she knows her in deliberation. Or are you just asking for an instruction that she can't tell the other jurors that she's a nurse?

MR. NIXON: Please don't -- I would say, "Please don't tell the other jurors how you know Ms. Goedde." I don't think it would be any more than that. I don't anticipate it should be relevant.

We've had all the discussions about all of the witnesses. I don't think it should be relevant that they discuss how they know each other, because at voir dire I believe we had basically assurances from all of the witnesses [sic] that they could put aside what they knew about the various different witnesses.

THE COURT: There's no question, in essence, all the Court would do is if I determine that Ms. Goedde [sic] is able to continue to serve, all I would do is reiterate the case can only be decided on evidence that came from the box and that doesn't include the

655 information that you have. MR. SNIVELY: No. THE COURT: That's Offered 9, State's Exhibit 2 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, you said Ms. Goedde. 2 3 Offered 9, I'll give that as 9. It's Ms. Herem. THE COURT: Oh, thank you for that. Thank Right not to testify. Clearly, we need that 5 you for that correction. No, no, I appreciate that. I now, do you agree? was looking at the name of the witness, Mr. Polakoff's MR. SNIVELY: Yes. 6 6 THE COURT: I'll give that as 10. 8 MR. NIXON: I guess I would note, too, that 8 Expert witness is State's proffered 11. Any 9 my recollection from Mr. Herem is she knew a lot of the 9 objection? 10 10 witnesses and always indicated that she wouldn't have an MR. SNIVELY: No. issue putting aside that personal relationship or 11 THE COURT: I'll give that as 11. 12 knowledge of them. So I have every reason to believe 12 The circumstantial -- CJI-1117, susceptible to two interpretations. And this is essentially a 13 that she can be a fair juror as she says she can. 13 14 THE COURT: Well, I certainly don't take from 14 circumstantial case, I think it's appropriate. Any 15 this that she needs to be removed, but I do think we 15 objection? need to have that conversation to make sure, because 16 16 MR. SNIVELY: No. 17 THE COURT: The Court will give State's 17 it's definitely better sooner than later. 18 All right. I will have that -- do that soon, 18 Offered 12 as No. 12. 19 but let's go ahead and go into the instructions. 19 13 is essentially definition of Count I. Any 20 And we did have an off-the-record discussion 20 issue there? that the defendant was present at that in order to 21 21 MR. SNIVELY: No. facilitate this and it's my understanding we have -- I'm 22 THE COURT: So the Court will give State's 13 23 not sure we have any issues now as I think the 23 as 13.

24

25

State's Proffered 14 is causal relationship

between conduct and result. Any objection?

654 So I'm not -- you each know you're free to MR. SNIVELY: No. put on the record whatever you want. I will say I have THE COURT: 14 will be given. State's 14 already given State's 1 through 5, so I don't think we will be given as 14. need to address those. And it's not my intent to reread 4 15, discussion was had by counsel about 5 those unless either of the parties wants me to reread 5 dealing with 15 in that it could be confusing as to 6 those when I charge the jury. Count II and cause some misunderstanding of the proof MR. NIXON: I don't make that request on necessary to prove Count II. behalf of the State. MR. NIXON: Your Honor, the State withdraws that Proposed Instruction 15. 9 MR. SNIVELY: The defense doesn't want you to 10 10 THE COURT: Okay. We do need one, though? reread. 11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to walk on 11 What do you offer instead? 12 through these and then we'll deal with these as we get 12 MR. NIXON: I do have in it's place, I 13 to them. As for 6, unanimous verdict. The Court's 13 haven't numbered them, but I propose that they be going to give that as 6. State's Exhibit 15A and State's Exhibit 15B. The first 15 Correct, Mr. Snively? No objection? 15 one is in reference to Counts I and II. 15B is in MR. SNIVELY: That's correct. 16 Alternative Count I. 16 17 THE COURT: Does that alleviate the concern, 17 THE COURT: As for 7, direct and 18 18 circumstantial, any objection? Mr. Snively? 19 MR. SNIVELY: No, sir. 19 MR. SNIVELY: Yes. 20 THE COURT: I'll give that as No. 7. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to give 21 8, believability and weight, no objection? 21 State's Offered 15A. 22 MR. SNIVELY: That's correct. 22 MR. NIXON: Yes. 23 23 THE COURT: And I give that as 8. Statement, THE COURT: And that's going to be Court's admission, confession. I think that's necessary. Any 24 25 objection? State's Offered 15B, I will give and that's

24

of the State.

defendant's concerns have been met through stipulation

```
659
      going to be 16.
                                                                         these for a while anyway, correct?
                 I'll give you guys a packet so you can refer
                                                                   2
                                                                                    THE COURT: That's true. If I need to, I can
      to them in closing by number if you need to.
                                                                   3
                                                                         read them.
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: That's fine.
                Issues in assault on a minor, Count I, any
                                                                   4
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: And I absolutely stipulate that
      objection to State's Offered 16?
 5
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
                                                                         we should not give them a highlighted version.
 6
                 THE COURT: I think I'm at 17, aren't I?
                                                                                   MR. SNIVELY: That's my only point.
                                                                   7
 8
                 MR. NIXON: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                                   8
                                                                                    THE COURT: You bet.
 9
                 THE COURT: Then to the alternate count,
                                                                  9
                                                                                   MR. SNIVELY: You certainly can proceed.
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: That's State's 23 given as 24; is
10
      that's State's Offered Instruction 17. Any objection,
                                                                  10
     Mr. Snively?
                                                                  11
                                                                         that right?
12
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
                                                                  12
                                                                                    THE COURT: Yes.
13
                 THE COURT: That will be given as 18, I
                                                                  13
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: Substitute that one page.
14
    believe. Issues for Count II, that's State's Proposed
                                                                  14
                                                                                    THE COURT: Before it goes to the jury room?
15
                                                                  15
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: Correct.
      18. Any objection?
                                                                  16
                                                                                    THE COURT: Mental state inference and that
16
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
17
                 THE COURT: That will be given as Instruction
                                                                 17
                                                                         is State's Offered 24. Any objection?
18
                                                                 18
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: No.
19
                 Definition of serious bodily injury, that is
                                                                 19
                                                                                    THE COURT: It will be given as 25.
20
      State's 19. Any objection?
                                                                  20
                                                                                    State's Offered -- well, State's Offered 25
21
                 MR. SNIVELY: No.
                                                                  21
                                                                         is precautionary only. Do you guys agree, it shouldn't
                                                                         be given unless we need to?
22
                 THE COURT: So that will be given as 20.
                                                                  22
23
                 Definition of bodily injury, that's State's
                                                                  23
                                                                                    That's the Norquay instruction.
      Proposed 20. Any objection?
                                                                  24
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: Agreed.
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
                                                                  25
                                                                                    THE COURT: We had issues regarding the
                 THE COURT: That will be Instruction 21.
                                                                         verdict form.
      Voluntary act is State's Offered 21. Any objection?
                                                                                   MR. NIXON: So the easy one, Your Honor, is
                                                                         the one that the Count II is now added to the caption.
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
 4
                 THE COURT: That will be given as 22.
                                                                                   THE COURT: Okay. At Mr. Snively's request,
                 Knowingly, State's Offered 22. Any
 5
                                                                   5
                                                                         I think it's appropriate to remove the note that was
 6
                                                                         initially in parentheses and just include the language
      objection?
 7
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
                                                                         that was already at the bottom so that the jury will be
 8
                 THE COURT: That will be given as 23.
                                                                         instructed if quilty to move on to the Count II, if not
                                                                         guilty, move to the Alternative Count I.
                 Purposely is State's offered 23. Any
                                                                  10
                                                                                    Have you reviewed the new verdict form, Mr.
10
      objection?
11
                 MR. SNIVELY: Judge, I'm not sure why that's
                                                                  11
                                                                         Snively?
12
      highlighted. I think the instruction shouldn't be
                                                                  12
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: I have, Your Honor. I have no
13
      highlighted. At least on my copy the "cause a result,"
                                                                  13
                                                                         objections.
      is highlighted.
                                                                  14
                                                                                    THE COURT: All right. You made notes on
15
                 MR. NIXON: I don't have a problem not
                                                                  15
                                                                         which one had the highlighted one?
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: Yes.
16
      highlighting.
                                                                  16
                 THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know that it's a
                                                                  17
                                                                                    THE COURT: Very good. We should be good. I
17
      real issue, but we can certainly fix the highlight. But
                                                                  18
18
                                                                         should be able to instruct the jury then. Any other
                                                                  19
19
      as far as...
                                                                         comments you want to make about the jury instructions?
20
                MR. NIXON: Is the language okay?
                                                                  20
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: Nothing from the State, Your
                MR. SNIVELY: Yeah, I don't object to the
21
                                                                  21
                                                                         Honor.
22
      instruction. It's just the..
                                                                  22
                                                                                    THE COURT: All right. I think I'll ask the
23
                                                                  23
                 THE COURT: What we'll do is before we bring
                                                                         bailiff, then, to have Ms. Herem come up.
      the jury in, maybe you hand this to Mr. Spoja to fix.
                                                                  24
                                                                  25
                 MR. NIXON: Absolutely. They wouldn't see
                                                                                    THE COURT: We are on the record still.
```

Defense counsel is here. So is the State's counsel. And the defendant is present. Ms. Herem, you had provided a note to the --MS. HEREM: I had to get it off my chest.

THE COURT: And that's why you're here and I 5 appreciate that.

THE COURT: So the Court has reviewed the note and shared it with counsel for the State and counsel for the defendant. So what we're going to do is just ask you a few questions, kind of like when we were doing jury selection, just talk about your state of mind right now. Okay?

MS. HEREM: I feel so much better.

MS. HEREM: Sure.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Nixon, if you have any questions.

### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

### MR. NIXON:

3

4

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Thank you for letting us know. Obviously, at this point in time it's very important for both parties to make sure we have very fair and vested jurors.
  - A. Right.
- My recollection is that you knew quite a few people that were going to be testifying?
  - Yes.

to do with it. It's just that I -- you know, coming down the stairs like that, I immediately just felt like, you know, if I'm this emotional I better tell these guys. I don't think I will be unfair because of that situation. No, I already made up my mind I'm going to be fair.

MR. NIXON: I think the fact that, Judge, that Ms. Herem is willing to at least put everything on the table and let us know that she will still be fair that satisfies the State.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Snively, you can question the juror, if you wish.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

### BY MR. SNIVELY:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I guess what I want to clarify, and maybe you Q. said it and I just didn't pick up on it, is the emotional part was you realizing that she was there as a mother instead of an expert?
- A. Yeah, that's just it. You know, sometimes we would sit in sanity hearings in Yellowstone County and, you know, these poor families of the person being examined, you just got to feel for them. And so all of a sudden here is Diane not as the expert witness but as a regular family, a mom, you know, and I just felt for her strongly.

- And you were able to put things aside. And I read your note, just so you know, and I see that you think you can still be a fair and impartial juror. Do you think that?
  - Α. I really do. Can I talk about her?
  - Would you, please.
- Well, when I found out that Diane Goedde was here in the role of the defendant's mother, I'm surprised I didn't fall off my chair. I thought she was going to be called as an expert witness maybe. I had no

So when we were dismissed and were walking down the stairs it really hit me. And you know, I can see her teary-eyed and stuff like that and just as a mom, you know, it was hard for me. Because you know, I know her not real well, but through the course of working with her. So I can still be fair, I know that, but I felt like I couldn't not tell you guys.

Q. I very much appreciate that. I probably have kind of a similar -- I've had, you know, DI hearings as well, where she has been my expert, so I understand.

Do you think it's fair to say that this is probably emotional for both sides and it's not going to be emotions that end up making your decision in this?

A. I don't think my emotion will have anything

MR. SNIVELY: Okay. Thank you.

MS. HEREM: I mean, I intend to be fair, I just want to quarantee you of that.

THE COURT: Do you have an objection to Ms. Herem remaining on the jury, Mr. Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'll just -- you are able to apply the presumption of innocence, follow the directive of the Court and follow the rule of the law as I give it to you; is that right?

MS. HEREM: Yes, I've had a little bit of time now to think about this and I see within myself that really has made no difference to me from, you know, the testimony we've heard.

THE COURT: All right. So with that, despite this change that you brought to our attention, do you feel like you're in the same frame of mind when you promised both counsel that you could base this case solely on the evidence?

MS. HEREM: Yes, I feel I'm in the same frame of mind.

THE COURT: All right. The only thing I'll add is, it's sound like this will matter at all, but essentially what Ms. Goedde does isn't part of the evidence necessarily, so it's really not part of it.

```
MS. HEREM: Right. Yeah.
                                                                          but that's up to counsel. I'll give you up to an hour.
 2
                 THE COURT: So I'll just ask that the
                                                                    2
                                                                                     And, Mr. Snively, do you have any basis for
                                                                          your closing the time, how long will it be?
 3
      information that you apply the law to is just what comes
      from the witness box and not other prior associations
                                                                                     MR. SNIVELY: Judge, a half hour.
       with her, what she did for a living or anything. Okay?
                                                                                     THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to look ahead.
 5
                 MS. HEREM: Yeah.
                                                                          Do you need a minute or two to prepare?
 6
                 THE COURT: All right. Anything else either
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: If I could, Judge.
 8
      party -- Mr. Nixon, you didn't specifically say, but
                                                                    8
                                                                                     THE COURT: What does that mean? Just a
                                                                          couple or do you need more than that?
 9
       from your questioning it sounded like you don't object.
10
                                                                   10
                 MR. NIXON: The State has no objection.
                                                                                     MR. SNIVELY: No, I think just a couple is
11
                 THE COURT: Okay. And then I'd ask, I'm sure
                                                                   11
                                                                          sufficient.
12
      you didn't, but there's no reason to tell the other
                                                                   12
                                                                                     THE COURT: Okay. How about 3:10, we'll call
13
      jurors why you had to come up here or anything like
                                                                   13
                                                                          in the jury?
14
                                                                   14
                                                                                     All right. We're in recess.
                                                                   15
15
                 MS. HEREM: Okay. Even in deliberation?
                                                                                                   (Wherein, a recess was taken.)
                                                                   16
16
                 THE COURT: Yeah.
                                                                                                   (Wherein, the following took
                                                                  17
17
                 MS. HEREM: Okay. Yeah, I can just skip it.
                                                                                                   place in open court.)
                                                                                     THE BAILIFF: All rise.
18
                 THE COURT: Yeah. For example, if somebody
                                                                  18
19
      came up to you on the street or something and you're
                                                                  19
                                                                                     THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you.
20
      supposed to come to me, don't tell the other jurors if
                                                                   20
                                                                                     We're back on the record, DC 19-17, State
21
      somebody was given you grief as a juror, anything like
                                                                   21
                                                                          versus Polakoff.
      that, so that we can make sure that the jurors only
                                                                   22
                                                                                     Counsel for the State is present.
23
      deliberate on just the facts.
                                                                   23
                                                                                     Counsel for the defendant is present. The
                 MS. HEREM: I'm just sure that they're all
                                                                   24
                                                                          defendant is present.
      going to be very curious, but I'll just be myself and
                                                                   25
                                                                                     The jury is not present.
```

```
The evidence has been closed. We have
      say nothing.
                                                                         settled jury instructions. We're ready for closing
                 THE COURT: You can just tell them that there
      was an issue that arose that the Court had a couple of
                                                                         arguments.
 4
      questions of you from voir dire and it's a nonissue now.
                                                                                    Is the State ready for closing?
 5
                                                                    5
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: Yes. The State is prepared,
 6
                 MS. HEREM: Sure.
                                                                         Your, Honor.
 7
                 THE COURT: And just blame it on me.
                                                                    7
                                                                                    THE COURT: Mr. Snively.
 8
                 MS. HEREM: Okay.
                                                                    8
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                                                    THE COURT: Any reason not to bring the jury
 9
                 THE COURT: You can return to the jury room.
                                                                   9
                                                                   10
10
                 MS. HEREM: Thank you, everyone.
                                                                         in?
11
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
                                                                   11
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: No, sir.
                                                                                    THE COURT: Please bring the jury in.
12
                               (Wherein, Juror Herem exists
                                                                  12
                               chambers.)
13
                                                                  13
                                                                                                  (Wherein, the jury is present.)
                 THE COURT: Anything else while we're still
                                                                  14
                                                                                    THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated.
15
      on the record here? Anything you need to talk about?
                                                                  15
                                                                                    Does the State stipulate that the jury and
                 MR. NIXON: I can't think of anything right
                                                                          the alternate are present?
16
                                                                  16
17
                                                                   17
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: Yes, Your Honor.
      now, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: Is Mr. Spoja closing?
                                                                                    THE COURT: And, Mr. Snively?
                                                                   18
18
19
                 MR. NIXON: Yes.
                                                                   19
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.
20
                 THE COURT: Any idea how long?
                                                                   20
                                                                                    THE COURT: All right. This is now,
21
                 MR. NIXON: I don't know. I don't believe
                                                                  21
                                                                         essentially, the final stretch. It's the time when I
22
      very long.
                                                                  22
                                                                         instruct you as to the law that you will apply in your
23
                                                                  23
                 THE COURT: Well, I'll give you up to an
                                                                         deliberations and then counsel will have the opportunity
      hour, but I don't know given that they've been out there
                                                                   24
                                                                          to argue the law.
                                                                  25
      a long time that it would be a good idea to do too long,
                                                                                    If you recall at the beginning of this case,
```

I did read you some instructions. You will get those in writing, I'm not going to reread those to you because you'll have them and you already have been instructed by me. So I will give you the rest of the law that you need to apply.

And once again, you'll get a copy of all of this to take with you to the jury room.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

23

24

(Wherein, the jury instructions were read.)

THE COURT: All right. That is the law that is applicable to this case. That law is intended to provide you all the legal definitions required to reach a verdict on these offenses.

And again, that includes the instructions read at the beginning, although not reread and to reiterate, you will receive a copy of these instructions to take with you to the jury room.

Now is the time for the final arguments in the case. I'll just remind you the attorneys are not witnesses. The statements of counsel during this trial are not evidence, statements of counsel in this argument is not evidence, but counsel may seek to persuade the way you think about the evidence that was introduced during trial through these closing arguments.

Is the State ready to proceed?

tried to keep him hydrated and comfortable. Ryann told you that they went to the defendant's parents' house to do laundry. And that while there, she wanted to clean Everett up some more. He had, apparently, vomited on himself again.

You heard that while Everett had previously loved his bath time and had not been a problem to wash his hair, that night he was and he acted like it hurt.

We now know, after listening to Dr. Laskey, that that's a common thing when she sees little kiddos in her clinic that they later find out there's a scull fracture through the use of an x-ray. That the caregivers had initially brought them in with that being one of the presenting problems, that they couldn't -that he was acting like it hurt when they washed his hair.

Now, that evening, the 18th, we heard that Everett was still feeling poorly and that he was continuing to throw up, was continuing to just not be himself. And that continued on into the morning of the 19th -- into the day of the 19th. Still didn't feel well, threw up a few more times.

Ryann told you how -- she told you how things went throughout that day. And then in that evening, that Everett threw up on her and she was going to clean

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SPOJA: Yes, Your Honor, Just one moment, Your Honor.

Until the evening hours of April 17th of 2019, Everett Musch has a happy, healthy nine-month-old baby boy.

That evening, he began vomiting and ended up with a red mark on his forehead. This mark later developed into a bump and from there it progressed.

On the 18th, we saw that it was something more than a bump. Everett continued to throw up, as he started the moment that he was alone with Nathan. He continued to throw up, this worried his mother.

Worried her enough that she brought him, along with the defendant, the next morning to the Beartooth Billings Clinic where he was seen by Doug Whitehead.

Now, PA Whitehead examined Everett, told Ryann that Everett had the flu. Apparently, did not see an injury, even after the red mark had been pointed out by the defendant himself, according to his own admission. We now know after we heard the testimony of Dr. Laskey that PA Whitehead missed the injury to Everett.

After seeing PA Whitehead, Ryann and the defendant took Everett back home, back to Nathan's home, him up and she needed to change herself, but the defendant offered to help out, offered to take him into the shower and clean him up, and she agreed.

While they were in the shower, Ryann changed her clothes, was picking up some toys and various other things, and she told you that at some point that the defendant called out that Everett wasn't breathing. And she came rushing into the bathroom, seconds after she heard this, took Everett, and she told you that she began doing the CPR that she learned how to do by watching Grey's Anatomy. Why is that important?

Well, the defendant had presented himself to Ryann and her family as someone with medical training, that he'd been a basic EMT and had worked -- had been -well, we heard Jennifer talk that he was an EMT at Red Lodge Mountain.

Despite having that qualification, it was only after Ryann told Nathan, the defendant, to call 911 that he called 911. And then after being on the phone a few moments, it was only then that he decided, "Oh, Ryann, let me take over." And he put Ryann on the phone and he took over apparently performing CPR.

A few moments later, law enforcement arrived. You got to watch the video with the rest of us. Nathan is handing Sergeant Grieshop what Sergeant Grieshop

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thought was a dead baby. He was limp, lifeless body. And it struck Officer Grieshop that he was being handed what he thought was a lifeless child.

3

5

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You heard Officer Grieshop note that he thought it was strange that he didn't get wet as a result of taking Everett out of the defendant's arms, the defendant didn't appear to be wet. It didn't strike him as what he would have expected to that type of call.

Now, a few moments later, folks from Red Lodge Fire and Rescue appeared. All of this -- I think the statement was that it was 11 minutes from dispatch to arrival at the hospital. I think we heard that from Amy Hyfield.

Ms. Hyfield told you about what kind of condition Everett was in and what they did to get him to the hospital alive. You heard about him seizing before they ever left the house, seizing while in the ambulance and then finally getting him into the hospital where he could receive the care he needed.

We heard Dr. Fouts testify about what they did, the measures they took to keep Everett breathing.

One of the most striking things that you heard Dr. Fouts talk about was how they normally do radiology, how they normally do CT scans, x-rays, things like that. That they look at them and he saw the things 1 the futon as being the source of an injury. 2

Now, remember, Officer Stuber told you that that photograph was taken at shortly after 11:00 p.m. the night that Everett was injured, while Ryann and Everett are in the hospital at Beartooth Billings Clinic awaiting transport to Salt Lake Children's Primary Hospital.

Why do that? If your goal is to go pick up some things that this gravely-injured baby needs and his mom need to go for what is going to be an extended -likely extended period of time in another state trying to save the boy's life, why stop and take a picture of the bed, the bedroom, this futon that none of the medical professionals think this roll off the bed, assuming it happened, actually caused any injury to Everett?

Why stop and take the time to take that picture?

We got to hear a little bit about what Everett suffered. Based on the examination and the treatment in Salt Lake, combined with the medical records from here, everything that the doctors were sharing from here, and based upon the history as provided to Dr. Laskey.

She told you that, "Yeah, I take in the

that were obvious, but that normally when it's after hours like that, they send them off to the -- ERad [sic], I think was the name of the place that reads them after hours -- and that normally he gets a report. That this time the radiologist who read the films called him. It was that big of a deal. Called him and told him, "You've got two serious skull fractures here. Have you contacted law enforcement?"

Law enforcement had been contacted again. The investigation began. You heard Officer Grieshop talk about what he was able to do on the night shift with, frankly, fairly limited abilities to do investigations while operating on the night shift in Red Lodge, having to accomplish a multitude of other duties, as well.

And that he did what he could and then when Officer Stuber came on the next morning, he briefed him as to what had happened and what he was facing.

After that, the next morning, you heard from Officer Stuber about what he did the next day, including interviewing the defendant. Recall watching that video. Recall how the defendant behaved throughout. Recall the things that strike you all as odd.

Having a photograph of his bedroom to apparently bolster the story about Everett rolling off

information from the medical records, but I also ask these questions myself because I ask them a specific way." And that she does that when she's examining these cases when she's been called in to consult on a child abuse case.

And based upon her training and experience, based upon the findings that she's made, everything that she's seen in the medical records, everything that has been done in Salt Lake, synthesized with the history as provided to her, she tells you that it is her medical opinion that Everett suffered the parietal scull fracture Wednesday, Wednesday evening when he was all of sudden no longer well.

Do you remember that's one of the questions that she asks parents to start with, "Tell me the last time your baby was well."

Now, let's focus on the elements that the State has to prove. Four basic elements here. You've got them right in front of you. Let's look at the first one. Serious bodily injury.

Dr. Laskey told you that in her opinion -just like I said, in her opinion, the scull fracture -the parietal scull fracture took place on April 17th, 2019.

Who was alone with Everett when that

happened? The defendant was.

The State has to prove that he acted purposely or knowingly. Well, that gets a little trickier. We'll talk about that one a little bit later.

The other two things that we have to prove is at the time of the offense, Everett was under 36 months of age. No question, he's nine months.

At the time of the offense, the defendant was 18 years of age or older. Well, you heard from Officer Stuber what the defendant's birth date was, well over the age of 18.

Lest there be any doubt that there was serious bodily injury to the defendant, let's remember where he went. Pediatric intensive care unit at Children's Primary Hospital in Salt Lake City. Dr. Laskey told you that without the -- I don't remember the exact words that she used -- but the medical intervention, he would likely have died.

Recall that prior to being alone with the defendant, he was a happy, healthy boy. After being alone with the defendant, Dr. Laskey told you about this injury here, being the one to his parietal bone, the parietal side of his skull, that this one would have occurred on Wednesday the 17th. Again, who was the only one alone with Everett that night? The defendant.

Dr. Laskey told you about the severity of that and what it would take for that to happen. That it's not just a fall, not just a fall, not a household fall. That there had to be a point that was at the center of that fracture.

Again, serious bodily injury, serious impairment, disfigurement or protracted loss or impairment of the function or process of bodily member or organ, includes serious mental illness or impairment.

I don't think there's any question that Everett suffered serious bodily injury. You saw the pictures. At a very minimum before he was injured, he was a happy, healthy baby boy. He didn't have crossed eves.

After he's injured, the most obvious thing, if you were to see him right now or see him after he's gotten back from Salt Lake City, his eyes. He will always have problems with his vision and with his eyes. Dr. Laskey again told you that.

She told you that it's really hard with children of his age to determine what the full extent of his injuries are going to be, what they are. Some of that stuff will only appear after he gets to be school age and we start — as a society, we start asking kids to do more things that are a little bit more difficult

Now, there's an alternate count, assault on a minor causing only bodily injury. The bodily injury is less severe. The Court gave you instructions on what the difference is between serious bodily injury and bodily injury.

Bodily injury -- well, there's -- they pretty much mean what they say. But bodily injury is basically pain. There's no question that that occurred.

But this is alternate count. You don't need to focus on that until after you've gone through and made your decisions on the Count I itself.

Count II is, again, a separate incident causing serious bodily injury. In this case, we've got on the 19th, again, no question, Everett is less than 36 months of age, he's nine months. Nine months old all day long. And the defendant, again, date of birth puts him well over 18. And there was serious bodily injury to Everett on the 19th. The defendant again acted purposely or knowingly. And we'll come to that in a moment.

So recall this injury, the stellar fracture of the occipital bone. That's the star-shaped fracture. Much like the spider web — the fractures you'll see of your windshield when you catch a rock. That's a stellate fracture.

to do that they have to use their brain to do. He's got a long road to hoe.

Purposely or knowingly. Two definitions, the Court gave them to you.

"A person acts knowingly when the person is aware there exists the high probability the person's conduct will cause a specific result."

And purposely, "When it's a person's conscious object to cause such a result."

This is where you're going to have to do your thinking. There's a lot to this instruction. It's kind of meaty. But basically, you can really distill it down to, what does it mean?

The purpose and knowledge ordinarily may not be proved directly, sure. Because we can't -- we're not mind readers. We don't expect you to be mind readers.

But you can infer his state of mind, including purpose or knowledge, from his acts and all other facts and circumstances which indicate his state of mind.

Think about all the evidence that you heard. Think about everything that you heard come from the defendant's own mouth while you watched the interview conducted by Officer Stuber.

I, myself, lost count of how many

bbU

2

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

5

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

different --

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, I object to the personal argument.

> MR. SPOJA: May I respond, Your Honor? THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

MR. SPOJA: Thank you.

I, myself, lost count of how many different directions the defendant pointed as to who caused this injury. Ask yourself were any of those credible? Were any of those reasonable?

Even including this futon fall. If you were listening during the first few minutes of that interview, the defendant talked about the whole futon incident. He told Officer Stuber that when he got in there that Everett fell off the futon backwards and somehow ended up with a mark on his forehead. How does that make sense?

Pay attention. I'm sure you all took great notes. What did he have to say about all of those different things, all of those red herrings that he was sending the way of Officer Stuber?

At the end of the day, the only things -- the only commonalities really between the two injuries are who was alone with Everett when Everett got hurt. It's the defendant.

stood up here and told you, you don't need to consider anything else. It's the fact that somebody tried to kill Everett, that's all you need to know.

You all agreed early on and have all taken an oath to serve as jurors and hold the State to their burden. The defendant has the presumption of innocence. The State has to prove all of those things beyond a reasonable doubt.

Those things being the elements that the judge has instructed you on and he's going to give you that instruction, the set of instructions to take back with vou.

So let's take a few minutes and talk about what the evidence is that you have here. As I told you early on, the State's trying to get you wound up emotionally and because of these pictures of this poor baby in Pediatric ICU, you should convict. That's all you need to see. That's not what the law says.

We have to look at these elements. We have to see if the State can prove it and see what the State has not proven in this case. And that's really your job at this point, is to look at that.

Let's look at the 17th of April. You have testimony from Ryann saying that she is there immediately. There's no time. She's right there when

As far as the purpose and knowledge, Dr. Laskey told you, there is no way that this was accidental. These fractures were not caused by household falls. She described the amount of force required as being similar to falling off the roof of a two-story house. There's no way that these were accidental.

Finally, I think -- strike that.

At the end of the day one of the things that also comes to you from the defendant's own mouth through his interview with Officer Stuber and it tells you a little bit about the purpose and knowledge, when the defendant is saying, "I want to know who did this and

At one point he said -- I want to make sure I get this right -- "It sounds like someone was trying to kill him."

That should be about all you need to know about the mental state of the defendant.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Spoja.

Mr. Snively.

MR. SNIVELY: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, this is my last opportunity to talk to you.

Again, I want to talk to you -- the State has

683

she hears the noise. Nathan had first told her, "I think he's gurgling, I'm going to go check on him."

She agrees, "Yeah, go check on him."

The idea of a red mark from falling off the futon as PA Whitehead told you, sure, that can happen. And what he saw the next day, matched. It made sense to PA Whitehead. He's the medical person who saw him less than 24 hours after that.

Because the testimony before you is that Ryann gets to Red Lodge sometime around 8:00. You know, there have been various times, but around 8 o'clock. The doctor's appointment, everyone has agreed, was at 5 o'clock on the 18th.

But what you also need to look at is what Ryann tells the intake person as to why she is there. And she admitted, finally, that she's told them that it was throwing up and diarrhea. That was the reason that she was at the clinic on the 18th of April of 2019. Her intake says nothing about a mark, nothing about a concussion.

You heard her say from that chair that, "Oh, he had a goose egg." When I questioned her, she admitted it that goose egg never came up until after she'd seen PA Whitehead, after she'd been interviewed by is Salt Lake City Police, after she'd been interviewed

by the Red Lodge Police. The goose egg came out somewhere after all of those interviews and after all of that time. That's where that came about.

You also saw PA Whitehead tell you, absolutely not. That's not what he was told and was absolutely not what he saw on Everett on the 18th of April.

2.2

What the evidence is, is the vomiting and diarrhea, which Whitehead said went towards a viral flu-type thing. He had an x-ray of the stomach done to make sure there wasn't intestinal issues that needed be addressed. That came out clean.

You also heard Ryann say, well, he was with us in the x-ray and I'm asking him to x-ray his head and do all this stuff with his head. Whitehead wasn't even there. He told you that, "I'm not there. I'm doing other things. I see the results electronically. I'm not in there."

The other thing is his -- so they do all of that and then Nathan is the one that says, "Oh, PA Whitehead, would you look at this mark on Everett's forehead?"

And so Whitehead does an examination. He explained to you what he did, put both of his hands on Everett's skull, felt, pushed. There was no fluid

It's not Ryann saying there is a fracture or not a
fracture. You have a trained medical person saying,
"It's not there. It's not there."

Dr. Laskey didn't see him on the 18th to make those determinations. They go from there to see Nate's mom. You hear her say that nothing was out of the ordinary. It matched what Whitehead had told them. Based on her being a mother that it seemed reasonable to her that the baby had the flu and acted as she described to you. They go home.

And what is Ryann's testimony -- or to back up to the 17th, Ryann's testimony is that she gives Everett a bottle on the 17th, after the red mark on his head and he settles right back down and goes to sleep. Sleeps the entire night. That's her saying that, slept the entire night.

The next day, the 18th, there's a few episodes of vomiting, some diarrhea. The decision is made to go to the clinic. They make that appointment for 5 o'clock. But they also tell Whitehead, he's gotten better. He has improved. They tell Whitehead that during that appointment.

And they had been giving him Pedialyte to keep him hydrated. Whitehead said he appeared hydrated, appeared normal. You heard the testimony of whether

underneath that mark. There was no -- Whitehead's conclusion is there's no scull fracture. There's nothing.

Everett doesn't react at all to a grown man putting his hands on his head and squeezing around, moving around. He doesn't react. And you know that from Whitehead's testimony.

That's the testimony that you have. You have Whitehead's medical opinion that there was not a scull fracture on the 17th.

The only person who tells you the 17th comes into play is Laskey. Who also tells you, "I can't date the injuries. I don't know what order that they happened in. I don't know if they're minutes, days, or hours apart. I don't know any of that information. Medically, it's impossible to know that."

Medically, she's not qualified to tell you when the injuries happened. She admits that. She's previously under oath said that same thing. She acknowledged that.

That's the evidence that you have is Whitehead telling you, "I grabbed his skull, felt it, did an exam of it. There was no fracture." That's the evidence that you have.

It's not Nate saying there was no fracture.

there was a fever or not a fever. Again, not a big difference there. It's apparently what they consider a borderline temperature for an infant of that age.

That's the information. And Ryann saying that he's gotten better. You also have the video that was played today of them in the waiting area of the clinic prior to seeing Whitehead. And what you see there is that Ryann is the one who gave all the intake information. She eventually admitted that, yeah, throwing up and diarrhea would have come from her, not somebody else.

It doesn't say anything about, "I'm worried about a concussion or a head injury," or anything like that. That's nowhere in that documentation that several witnesses have told you.

Nathan is holding the baby. You saw it, very appropriately, walking around with the baby. Later on goes and sits at the couch, continues to hold the baby. Ryann never, during that segment of time, never says or never takes the baby back saying, "I'm going to hold my baby," that's supposedly is so sick at that point, by her testimony. It doesn't add up.

Just does not add up in the instinctual sense that you would just let Nathan sit there with the baby if your son was so seriously hurt as she tried to

convince you so hard of.

2.2

But yet, never used those terms. Never said that to the PA or medical staff at the clinic and never brought up the idea of a goose egg and everything until some point after all of her interviews.

That's the evidence that you have on the 18th. And Whitehead's statement alone that there was no scull fracture creates reasonable doubt as to Count I.

Add to that Dr. Fouts saying, "I don't know when these injuries occurred. It could have been simultaneously, could have been days apart, I don't know." He medically can't do it, can't put that determination together.

Dr. Laskey also says a similar thing. She says it's different -- she said, "It could be minutes, it could be hours, could be days apart." They don't know when they happened.

So what you as jurors need to do is see what the evidence is that you have. And Whitehead's testimony by itself creates the reasonable doubt. The defense suggests to you it goes beyond reasonable doubt. Whitehead has established for you that there was not a scull fracture on the 17th.

And he testified, he told you why and all of that. Laskey makes a big thing about, "Well, he's the

That's exactly what she says happened. She says she did not hear anything in the shower and was silent, other than the talking and babbling and then Nathan says he stopped breathing and comes out of the shower with Everett.

Dr. Laskey tells you these injuries don't happen in silence. Medically impossible that they would have happened in silence. Yet, that's what Ryann is trying to convince you of. These all happened in silence. "I don't know anything. I didn't hear anything."

Again, that shows you reasonable doubt as to her version of what happened. There's not evidence of what happened. No doctor stood here or sat here in the witness stand and told you how the injury was inflicted, how it wasn't inflicted.

And when we look at the shower, Mr. Stuber told you basically it was two-foot-by-two-foot shower, so like that wide and that long (indicating). For a man to stand in the shower with a baby in a two-foot piece and be able to get any force behind anything is impossible in a two-by-two-piece shower. There's not enough room.

You've seen the pictures of Everett, of the size he would have been on that day. Holding him in

least qualified." He's a medically-qualified person, though, and he saw Everett on that day to do that.

The three of them sleep again in the futon on the night of the 18th. There's no additional vomiting.

The next morning, on Friday, they decide to get some air, they go out. Apparently, there's an incident of vomiting there and then they come back that evening. Nathan --

Well, on Thursday, Ryann agrees Nathan had showered with Everett on Thursday, as well.

Friday, they come back, Nathan says, "Look, I'll shower with Everett again. You can clean yourself up, I'll shower the baby off of the vomit that was on him."

They get in the shower. What's important, members of the jury, is where is Ryann? Where is Ryann?

You've got the pictures, because Mr. Stuber did not measure anything in this apartment or cabin. You can see from the pictures, she's steps, literally a couple of steps from that bathroom. She tells you the door is ajar. She tells you, "What I heard was Nathan talking and Everett babbling." Consistent with what the people have told you a nine-year-old [sic] would be doing is babbling. They don't have their words yet. But they would be babbling.

your arms in a shower that small, there's barely room for you -- for Nathan and that baby in that shower.

But the State has decided that there's some great acceleration of force, acceleration and stopping of force in that shower. Use your common sense. That small of a space, that confined of space, there's not room to generate the kind of force the State is trying to convince you occurred in the shower.

 $\label{thm:constraints} \mbox{This had to have happened somewhere else.}$  Who knows where.

Ryann has never told you. She claims there's nothing going on in the shower out of the ordinary, which would match the physical evidence that it's impossible to have created the force that the doctors talked about in that shower with Nathan and Everett in there together.

The idea of what happened after and with law enforcement and Grieshop saying, "I don't think it was appropriate. He wasn't wet." Again, look at the exhibit of the car camera that was played. Nathan's hair is wet in that video and you see it when they first open the door and he's first there.

You also see as the time goes, Nathan is down on his knees with Everett, while Grieshop is doing that CPR and monitoring Everett during that time. Nathan is

there helping him.

You also see that he has a pair of boxer shorts on at the beginning of that. Obviously, later in the video when he gets ready to go to the hospital, he's put other clothing on, but that's what he had.

The idea that Grieshop thought he should have been splashed with a whole bunch of water when he's given this baby, doesn't make sense. I mean, they had the baby down on a blanket and different things, the baby would have dried off during that process.

This whole idea of that this baby should have been dripping wet and Nathan should have been dripping wet, isn't there. He is wet. Look at his hair. The other thing is Ryann says they came out of there naked from the shower. How do most of us take a shower?

Naked. You know, she supports that.

But the State has tried now to turn it into, well, that's some big thing that's missing. It's not missing. It's there. There's a reasonable explanation of it. The State just doesn't like that explanation.

They go to the hospital. Dr. Fouts says Nathan was acting appropriately, interacted appropriately with him, was obviously concerned. Ryann was obviously concerned.

I mean, at the hospital they find out that

in Utah. If I'm going to get arrested, I'd rather be in Red Lodge where I know people."

And like she said, "Where there was family to support you then someplace where you don't know anybody."

The decision to turn around is easily explained. And it's reasonable when you look at all the evidence that's before you. He did intend to go. He told Stuber he intended to go and he went clear to the southwest corner of Wyoming, in essence, through almost all of Wyoming.

He did what he said he was going to do until the idea of being arrested came up and as his mom said she said, "You should come back." You know, whether it's right or wrong, that's the reason that there was the change of plans and he came back.

You know, you hear Kathy on that voicemail, which is Defense Exhibit B, telling you that they're railroading her daughter. They're forcing it.

It ties into what Ryann told you was, "They kept forcing me until I said it was Nate and then they left me alone. That's why I told them it was him. They told me it was either me or him. I said him, they left me alone. I got what I wanted."

She never provided them any other details.

the seriousness is way up there. And they're acting appropriately, doing what concerned parents would do, even though Nathan technically and legally wasn't a parent.

You heard him say that he was willing to try to become that figure and take that responsibility on and was welcoming that part into his life. You have no statement from any witness saying Nathan had become angry. Nathan was violent. Nathan had done anything but appropriate between he and Ryann on that 17th, 18th, and 19th.

Ryann doesn't even tell you, "Yeah, he got ticked off at me and lost his temper." There is no such evidence. All appropriate. And she told you none of those things happened.

The idea the next day of going to Wyoming, on his way to Utah, I mean, that comes out to be a fairly simple story when you look at the entire evidence that's before you. And the State made two exhibits that show you all the cell towers that his phone hit and everything else.

But you understand why he turned around when we hear his mother testify about the voicemail she got where Ryann mom says, "You're going to get arrested." And the decision is made, "I don't want to get arrested You know, the State's up here making a big thing about the futon thing. Ryann has never offered to anyone that interviewed her anything else. She thought what she was told fit. PA Whitehead said it fit. It all comes together.

What you have in front of you is a situation where the State wants you to jump to conclusions. In fact, the State's stood up here and told you that they had gone to see Whitehead the next morning. When all the testimony is it was a 5 o'clock appointment that they went to see Whitehead at. It wasn't the next morning.

The other thing would be the 17th -- the State's argument with the 17 and 18 is -- what the State is telling you is that Nathan intentionally inflicted serious bodily injury on Everett and then takes him to medical personnel and is handling him.

Again, not consistent with it when you look at the video at what he's doing in the waiting area while they're waiting for their appointment with PA Whitehead. And he's the one who points out, "Sir, would you look at that mark on his head?" He's the one that did that.

Ryann didn't hear any noises. The idea that Nathan is throwing out different ideas in the interview

with Stuber. Stuber is asking, "Well, what could have caused it?" What Nathan is doing is saying, well, there's these other people, some of which I don't really know, I don't know much about. But there are these other people may be worth talking to. He never once said, "Joe did it over here and here's who to go see."

He's answering Stuber's questions about who may have been around, who else is around. And he's trying to be cooperative, give the information that he has. As he told Stuber "I don't really know some of these people, but these are people that from Ryann I know have been around and, you know, possibly are there. He's not trying to deflect. He sits there and answers the questions that Stuber puts before him as to what he was doing and when he was doing it.

You have two medical doctors that clearly state they cannot date these injuries. They don't know when they happened. They don't know -- like I said before, whether they're bang, bang injuries or one injury and days later another injury. There's no way to medically do that.

The State has jumped to the conclusion, that, well, this fits what we want you to believe. And what the defense is asking you to do is don't take the leap with the State because the evidence isn't behind it for

reasonable doubt as to how it happened. And when you consider a two-by-two shower, an adult with a baby and two feet of space cannot generate the force that these doctors have told you had to have happened for those injuries.

When you consider all that, your verdict should be a not quilty verdict on all the counts.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Snively.

Because the State has the burden of proof,
the State does have the right to do a final rebuttal.

Mr. Nixon, do you want to do that?

13 MR. NIXON: I'm ready, Your Honor. 14 We get to end this trial just like

We get to end this trial just like we started it. You get to look at me wearing another blue jacket, another blue shirt, another lucky tie. Still not very much sleep, even more coffee, but you're in the home stretch here.

I'm not going to take too much of your time, because you've listened carefully and you've been paying attention and you know exactly what the testimony truly said.

You know, he doesn't look like a monster, does he? And it would probably be reassuring if he did. You'd like to think that somebody that could pick up a

you to be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt these two fractures occurred as the State is telling you they occurred. You have very strong evidence to suggest that there was not an injury on the 17th. And again, that's the Whitehead information.

What happened on the 19th just as easily could have happened before Nathan got in the shower and Nathan didn't know that Ryann had injured her son. It's just as plausible. And that Everett stopped breathing in the shower while Nathan is holding him, just as plausible as what the State is here trying to convince you of.

That is what the doubt is in this case and it is reasonable. There's several points there's reasonable doubt in this case when you look at it and discuss it.

And as the judge as told you, if the State can't prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt, you have to return a not quilty verdict.

And when you consider all this evidence, look at that, the presumption of innocence, and reasonable doubt, and the other evidence that's there. Like I told you earlier, it goes beyond a reasonable doubt from Whitehead. Count I, clearly, is a not guilty count.

Count II is not guilty, because there's a

nine-month-old boy and repeatedly shatter his skull would look like it. Maybe that's the scariest part about it.

You hear about these two horrific injuries and what do you talk about if you have these two awful injuries that nobody disputes? Let's make it very clear. You have never heard any evidence there was anything but two awful skull fractures.

What do you talk about? You talk about diarrhea, you talk about goose eggs, because those are the same red herrings that worked with Doug Whitehead.

What happens? I mean, you have this paradox where you have Mr. Snively tell you that, well, there was no head injury, because it doesn't have anything on the admittance notes. And then goes on to tell you about how there's an injury. There's a red bump.

We know at the very least there's bodily injury on the 17th. Alternate Count I is very, very clear.

And you know, ultimately, Nathan has some success with that futon story. He tells Ryann about it, he tells Whitehead about it, and they buy it. It's fairly innocuous, right?

All anybody sees is a little red spot or a bump or a goose egg, whatever you want to call it.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Anyway you look at it, it's bodily injury. It's caused the child pain, he cried.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

23

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

But let's think a little more about the futon story. Now, Mr. Snively would have you think it's a big deal that she's able to get to the child fast after he's hurt. What he always forgets to mention is the five minutes before.

The only evidence that you have is that Mr. Polakoff is alone with that child for five minutes before there's a thump. Let's think about that. You have a 20-pound baby who can't walk, Nathan says six inches, it's carpeted floor. What makes the thump?

The baby can't run off it. The baby can't pick himself up and throw himself off the edge.

Maybe you're wondering if Nathan is in there why don't he stop him? What do you hear? You hear a thump. How does a baby make that noise? How does him rolling off a little, tiny futon get the attention of his mother in the next room? The answer is it doesn't. There wasn't a futon incident. That is a red herring, that's his story.

But he had some luck with it. Ryann believed it. He got Doug Whitehead to say, "Okay, it seems innocuous enough." A little bump, a little fall.

We know that the two know each other. We

1 in the world that it's okay to do this to a little 2 child, this little 26-inch, 20-pound child? 3

That's the reality of it.

You've heard all the testimony. You may be thinking was Mr. Snively listening to the same testimony I heard from Dr. Laskey? Was he listening to the same testimony I heard from Dr. Fouts? Both of them unequivocally said that bump didn't happen from rolling off a futon.

You heard Dr. Laskey say that a nonambulatory child, if there's a bump on its head should be an automatic sign of suspicion. You further heard Dr. Laskey say that the standard of care demands actually going beyond just touching a baby or trying to rely on your visual observations.

Basically, she said nobody can do it. No matter what the training, no matter what the experience. It's not a thing. It required some sort of testing.

So we know at the very least, we have this thump, we have this red spot, so we have bodily injury. And then we have a chance to listen to Dr. Laskey.

Now, Mr. Snively would like you to look at things in a vacuum, because do you remember Dr. Laskey's testimony? It's that I can't look a test and say something happened right now.

actually see them greet each other in the video.

And, you know, it's the easy path, isn't it? You know, sadly, Everett didn't get a chance to see Dr. Fouts, he didn't get a chance to see Dr. Laskey.

Unfortunately, there was some consequences for that. Now, this is tough because you know in a perfect world you'd have heard, "The State calls Everett Musch", and he could have marched up there and told you what happened. He could say, "This is what happened to me."

But he couldn't, could he?

Think about how terrifying that was. There is a tiny, little child, one hundred percent dependent, what's he going to do, run away?

What's he going to do? Pick up his cell phone and call the police, "I'm being attacked"?

Is he going to tell his mom? Is he going to hop in his car and drive off? He can't do any of that. He's an absolutely helpless child.

And to that end, he really ends up being kind of the perfect victim for somebody, doesn't he?

There's a lot of times you might be able to conceive about some sort of situation where maybe violence even up to and including, you know, taking a life of another might be justifiable. Is there any way

What does she say? She wants all the information that she can. She pulls in as much information. She doesn't rely on notes, which we know have mistakes. They have the name of the father wrong, they have the age wrong in one circumstance. She goes as far as to say she's not looked at a series of medical notes that don't have a mistake.

Now, what she says when you take all that information, you take those tests and when you conceptualize, you can try and create a timeline. You can see when the baby stops feeling well and then you can see where there's things that are symptomatic. And that's exactly what she did. And where does it all start? With this fictitious futon incident with Nathan.

He's alone with Nathan. And really, that's maybe the last day that he is that normal, happy, healthy little boy, as sad as it is to think about. And, yeah, it's emotional. It's hard not to look at something like that to see about opportunity lost, to see about permanent impairment.

But you also hear the timeline. And keep in mind, you never hear anything else. You hear Dr. Fouts say, you hear Dr. Laskey say, that they have that awful occipital fracture, you have that stellate fracture that covers the back of that boy's head.

And you hear that it would have been "lights out." Does it happen in silence? No, the first one didn't happen in silence. We heard the thump.

Did the second one happen in silence? We don't hear anything. But what did Dr. Laskey also say? If the baby is knocked unconscious, no, there wouldn't necessarily be noise. She doesn't say there absolutely, positively has to be noise heard by somebody else in the next room.

And then Mr. Snively wants to absolutely limit where the attack took place, in the shower. Who said it took place in the shower? That guy. Nobody else. Nobody knows where he's hit. What we do know is it's a corner. Was it the edge of the sink? Was it on a faucet? We'll never know. He's not going to tell us what happened in the bathroom.

Other than, the baby stopped breathing. That's what he tells us. Why does he stop breathing? Because he has an awful occipital fracture. It's immediate. And who's holding him? That's undisputed.

You've heard him saying on the video, you've heard it on the 911 calls. There's no dispute at all. There's no dispute these are awful injuries. No dispute they're serious injuries, could have killed him.

They've caused permanent damage. They've impaired him.

little boy. And where does that end? It ends abruptly on the 17th of April, 2019, when he's alone with Nathan.

And what happens? It just keeps getting worse, doesn't it?

By the 19th, realistically, he's not the same little boy with the same sort of opportunities he would have had he not been alone in the bathroom with Nathan.

And then we also have these next couple of years afterwards, so we've had three years. How is he doing afterwards? Is he being taken to the emergency room? Is he getting taken into custody by Child Protective Services? Is he suffering strange illnesses? Nothing.

Nine months before, no problems. Three days with Nathan, not so great.

All the time afterwards, we seem to have a little bit better luck. You heard Ms. Rothe testify that it was her job to get involved. She'd gotten calls from people like Dr. Fouts, she had gotten calls from people like Dr. Laskey, and she had an obligation to make sure that Everett was safe.

And what was her safety plan, do you remember?

It was take care of Everett, go to the doctors' visits, go to therapy, make sure your house is

But now we hear things like, oh, it couldn't be because it's a small shower. We don't know it was the shower. There's only one guy that says it's in the shower. We've been very clear that as much as we'd like to be able to tell you exactly what happened, we can't. That's because the only witness we have is incapable of speech at that time.

Now, again, we have a little talk about how Ryann is the next room, she's close by and she gets to Nathan and Everett right away after he stops breathing. You know, does it matter now quickly she gets there after the damage is done?

What about the ten minutes that they're alone that she talks about on the stand? That's the part that they want you to forget about.

So this is really all about getting you to bite on the red herrings. Think about goose eggs. Think about diarrhea. Think about this, think about that. Don't think by the injuries. Don't think about the obvious.

At this point in time, what sort of timeline do we have? We have -- we're pushing three years since this occurred. In July of this year, Everett will be four years old. And you look at that timeline, you have these nine months where he's a perfectly happy, healthy

1 safe. Oh, and, yeah, keep him away from this quy.

What happens when she follows through on that? He thrives again, as well as he can, because ultimately, he's not going to be the same person.

Do you know one thing that Mr. Snively didn't mention, too, that's really very, very important, why does Nathan keep telling Ryann or her sister to tell Ryann not to mention that he's alone with the baby?

Why would you tell her that? I think it's probably because he wants to keep the narrative his own. You have -- you heard the interview and what does he make himself out to be? He kind of makes himself to be the hero, right?

He's an EMT, he's Johnny on the spot with his medical skills. That's not what you saw with what actually happened.

He even talks about how the baby's heart stopped and he kept him alive. He's a super dad ready to take responsibility. Until he thinks he might get in trouble, in which case he had no problem pulling the plug and never seeing that boy again.

So it's a tough situation for Everett and it is emotional, but the facts are clear. We have an absolute timeline as to when that boy stopped breathing. We've heard the two doctors say that it's when he was

injured and he was with Nathan.

It's a commonality that can't be ignored over this almost four years of this little boy's existence. Everything bad happens distilled comes down to this two days that he spent with Nathan.

You heard a lot of excuses. Bob would say they're harmless. Mr. Snively would say they're harmless, you shouldn't pay attention to them. He's just trying to be helpful with Officer Stuber. He's got stories about the two-year-old cousin, a 12-year-old cousin, most of Ryann's family.

He even tries to make Ryann seem like --well, he's talking about postpartum depression, he's talking about stories about sexual bondage. And we've heard that's not the case, she told you that. She'd never heard of that. It's embarrassing.

And the thought I'm going to leave you with is what should be the easiest question to answer if you didn't hurt that child?

Well, "Are you sure that you didn't just get angry and throw that child?"

"Well, unless I blacked out, I guess."
What kind of answer is that?

24 I think if you look at all your notes, if you 25 look at what the testimony truly tells you, there's

make that happen for you. You will dictate the time to end deliberations.

For example, if you worked for awhile and felt exhausted as a group and decided that you wanted to reconvene in the morning, you can keep going, that's your decision. I'm not going to ask you that and I'm not going to order a certain time. So those are essentially the rules that you will live by here.

The first thing to do when you go down there is to select a foreperson. And once again, you can deliberate under no time pressure.

 $\ensuremath{\mbox{I'll}}$  ask now that the clerk to administer the oath to the bailiffs.

(Wherein, the bailiffs were sworn.)

THE COURT: All right. So again, you will be released to the jury room and deliberate. Take your notebooks this time with you.

I will send in for your review the jury instructions that I read to you, as well as the paper exhibits. I will tell you that the bailiff is going to hold cell phones while you deliberate. If you need to check with a loved one, all you've got to do is tell the bailiff and he'll let you out and you can make a necessary call in that way.

really no other decision but to decide that Nathan Polakoff is, in fact, guilty of two counts of assault on a minor, inflicting serious bodily injury.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nixon.

All right. So the case is now submitted to you and it is the time for jury deliberations. Now, this is will only be the 12. I'll ask the alternate to stay in the courtroom once I release the jury and then I've got to talk to you.

Let me just real briefly explain kind of the rules now. Essentially, once you go in there and deliberate, you're somewhat in control of your destiny in a sense. What you'll do is you'll communicate with me through written notes passed to the bailiff on any issue of substance. That's the only way that will happen.

Let me tell you this, you are under no time pressure to reach a verdict. You need to take all the time that you need to reach a true verdict. No one is going to be coming down there knocking on the door asking if you've reached a verdict. Are you close?

I won't allow that to happen. I won't do it, either.

You will determine when you want to eat meals. If you do, you let the bailiff know and we will

 $\label{eq:local_local} \mbox{All right. The alternate, again, please} \\ \mbox{remain in the courtroom as the jury panel is excused.}$ 

 $\label{eq:And you are excused and you can begin deliberations.} \\$ 

Everyone rise for the jury.

(Wherein, the jury is not present.)

THE COURT: You can be seated. Ms. Giesick, please come forward, close enough to hear me.

 $\,$  Ms. Giesick, you have maybe the worst job. What I need you to do is to remain available, that doesn't mean in this building, though, until a verdict is rendered.

So you're going to remain under that admonishment that you heard me go on and on each time, that you can't talk about this case or the substance of it in any way with anybody. Don't look at any other information or form any opinion. You've just got to wait.

In essence, if a juror had an accident or got severely ill or something, you would have to be in a position where you could step in as one of the 12. So you're what they call the unsung hero of the group.

MS. GIESICK: Story of my life.

THE COURT: What we'll do with your notebook

```
715
      is we'll keep it.
                                                                                    MR. NIXON: Yes. I believe it's State's 23,
 2
                 MS. GIESICK: Okav And I gave it to them.
                                                                    2
                                                                         Court's 24.
 3
                 THE COURT: So the bailiff will get that for
                                                                                    THE COURT: Yes. So that's what I'm
 4
                                                                         replacing now.
                                                                                    All right. And, Counsel, I don't think
                 MS. GIESICK: And my coat and my phone is in
 5
                                                                    5
                                                                         there's any disputes with regards to exhibits. It's not
 6
      that room.
                                                                         my intent to send in any of the disks, but the paper
                 THE COURT: All right. And I'll have the
 8
       bailiff grab that for you, as well.
                                                                   8
                                                                         exhibits will go in.
 9
                 MS. GIESICK: I gave it to the lady that was
                                                                   9
                                                                                    MR. SPOJA: I still owe the Court for that
                                                                         26A, the disk that we talked about with Officer Stuber.
10
      sitting next to me.
                                                                  10
11
                 THE COURT: What we'll do is have the bailiff
                                                                  11
                                                                                    THE COURT: Oh, yes. You can get that to me.
12
      get that to you. We'll just let you go into the clerk's
                                                                  12
                                                                         That's not going in.
13
      area there and we'll get it for you.
                                                                  13
                                                                                    MR. SPOJA: I can help Mr. Snively with that
14
                                                                  14
                MS. GIESICK: Well, do you want me to just
                                                                         disk --
15
                                                                  15
                                                                                    THE COURT: Sorry to interrupt you.
      have her keep my notebook?
16
                 THE COURT: We will. No, no. We'll lock it
                                                                  16
                                                                                    MR. SPOJA: No.
                                                                                    THE COURT: Any question about the exhibits?
17
                                                                  17
18
                 MS. GIESICK: Okav.
                                                                  18
                                                                         As I said, I intend to put in all the paper exhibits
19
                 THE COURT: The other thing, do you live in
                                                                  19
                                                                         that have been introduced, but not the disks that
20
       Red Lodge?
                                                                  20
                                                                         contain the videos, right?
21
                 MS. GIESICK: No, I live in Bridger.
                                                                  21
                                                                                    Any question? Any problems with that?
22
                 THE COURT: So that's a little farther. Can
                                                                  22
                                                                                   MR. SNIVELY: Your Honor, no questions.
23
     you give your cell phone number to the clerk? I'm not
                                                                  23
                                                                                   THE COURT: Mr. Nixon, corrections?
    going to have you remain in Red Lodge, even though that
                                                                  24
                                                                                   MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor. The only thing I
    is a ways, but it's not that far, because that would be
                                                                         would just clarify, obviously, is the State doesn't want
                                                             714
      difficult. But I would ask that you please have your
                                                                         the demonstrative exhibits going down to the jury.
      phone on you, make sure that we can reach you if we need
                                                                                    THE COURT: No, they won't. And you agree
                                                                   2
      to, if need be, and we would have to have you come and
                                                                         with that?
                                                                   3
 4
      take your place. Okay?
                                                                                    MR. SNIVELY: I do.
                 MS. GIESICK: Okay.
                                                                                    THE COURT: All right. I'll put those
 5
                                                                   5
 6
                 THE COURT: Any questions about that?
                                                                         exhibits and these original instructions and get them
 7
                 MS. GIESICK: No.
                                                                         down there.
 8
                 THE COURT: Just go around to the clerk's
                                                                   8
                                                                                    We are in recess.
                                                                  9
      office and we'll get your contact information and I'll
                                                                                                  (Wherein, a recess was taken.)
                                                                  10
10
      make sure you get your stuff. Okay?
                                                                                                  (Wherein, the following took
                                                                  11
11
                 MS. GIESICK: Okay. Thank you.
                                                                                                  place in chambers.)
12
                                                                                    THE COURT: We're on the record, DC 19-17,
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
                                                                  12
13
                                                                  13
                All right. Counsel, please give the clerk
                                                                         State versus Polakoff.
     your contact information. If you are going to go out of
                                                                  14
                                                                                    We're in chambers. The jury has been
                                                                         deliberating. A note has come.
     the building, let us know. Don't go anywhere,
                                                                  15
15
16
               You can go -- or at least to the clerk's
                                                                  16
                                                                                    Well, I should say, counsel for the State is
17
                                                                  17
      office. Thanks.
                                                                         present.
                 Lawyers, I don't want you more than ten
                                                                  18
                                                                                    Counsel for the defendant is present. The
18
19
      minutes away. Okay? Any questions?
                                                                  19
                                                                         defendant is present.
20
                 MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor. I wonder if I
                                                                  20
                                                                                    The Court received a note that indicates
      may approach? I do have the instruction absent the
21
                                                                  21
                                                                         quote, "We're deadlocked 11 to 1. How long do we keep
22
      highlight.
                                                                  22
                                                                         deliberating?"
23
                                                                  23
                 THE COURT: What you're talking about is
                                                                                    I'll ask the parties for their position as to
      Instruction 24 that had accidental highlight on a
                                                                  24
                                                                         the appropriate response to that note.
```

MR. NIXON: Your Honor, I'd simply ask that

portion?

```
you give Instruction No. 26, at this point in time, the
     Norquay-type instruction. In light of them only
3
     deliberating for really about three hours, considering
     the dinner break, I think it's appropriate to give that
                THE COURT: Your position, Mr. Snively?
                MR. SNIVELY: Judge, we would object to any
     other instructions.
```

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

25

THE COURT: Okay. So your position is I call a mistrial? Is that what you're saying?

MR. SNIVELY: Well, to allow them to continue to deliberate.

THE COURT: Just don't answer the note, is that what you're asking me?

MR. SNIVELY: In essence, to say there is no time. There is no amount of time.

THE COURT: Oh.

MR. NIXON: I guess the State wouldn't be adverse to just letting them continue to deliberate. I don't know as far as time tonight or do you want to inquire about taking a break? I don't know.

THE COURT: I think the language of the note is such that the Norquay-type instruction could be helpful in that it does -- essentially, it's going to lead them to either revisit their views or make a solid

the bailiff bring the jury up and I'll provide this and indicate just to the jury that it is for them to determine whether they want to continue on this evening or start fresh, something of that nature. I'm not going to tell them I'm not going to send them home. I told it was up to them and I'm going to leave it up to them. I want to make sure they have that option. Okay?

MR. NIXON: Okav.

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: All right. I'll see you in the courtroom.

> (Wherein, off the record.) (Wherein, the following took place in open court.)

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

THE COURT: Please be seated. Thanks.

The Court is in the courtroom in State versus Polakoff.

Counsel for the State is present. Counsel for the defendant is present. And the defendant is present.

The jury is not present.

We just deliberated in chambers about a note that was provided and indicating the jury is having difficulty reaching a decision. Any reason --

Well, the Court's determined I'm going to

determination that they can't agree. That's really the point of that instruction.

And so if the note had simply said, "How long do we keep deliberating?" I would agree, I would simply say keep working in good faith or something. But I think it's probably -- I believe it is worthwhile under this circumstance to go ahead and give the Norquay. And while they haven't been at it for that long in these kind of terms, three hours, they haven't been at it, you know, an hour, either.

And so I do think that that's what I would do. I will give 26. We can call it Court's 1. It's essentially the Norquay instruction that the Court has modified slightly. I eliminated the sentence that, "It is you and you alone that can decide this case."

I took that out and added at the end, "I'm not requesting any particular amount of time, but I'm asking you to try to again."

19 As to the form, do you any objection to that, 20 Mr. Nixon?

MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As to the form, Mr. Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: As to the form, we don't

24 object.

THE COURT: All right. Meanwhile, I'll have

bring the jury in, provide the final Norquay instruction. 2

Any reason for the jury not to come in right now, Counsel?

MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.

MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask the bailiff to bring them.

(Wherein, the jury is present.)

THE COURT: Be seated. Thanks.

The jury is present.

Do you so stipulate, Mr. Nixon?

MR. NIXON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Snively?

MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In response to your note, there is an additional instruction that I will provide to the jury for situations like was expressed to the Court. And it may not provide for the specific answer that you asked for, but it is in keeping with the law the instruction that I'm going to give you right now.

(Wherein, the jury instruction

was read.)

24 THE COURT: I'm simply going to add, as I told you at the beginning, I'm not going to direct you

```
723
      to go home and start in the morning, I'm not going to
                                                                         a verdict on one of them?
      tell you to stay here all night. That is up to you
                                                                    2
                                                                                    MS. BOGGIO: Uh-huh. That was before we came
      guys. I am going to ask that you go down there now.
                                                                          up here the first time.
                 If you make a determination that you're tired
                                                                                    THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. Just let
      and you think it would be helpful to start in the
 5
                                                                         me --
      morning, let me know. That's what we'll do. If you
                                                                                    MS. BOGGIO: I thought you needed all of them
                                                                    6
       want to work later that's your choice. Again, let me
                                                                          at once.
 8
       know.
                                                                    8
                                                                                     THE COURT: No, no. That's okay. I wanted
 9
                 For now, I'll ask you to return for further
                                                                   9
                                                                         to make sure where we are. So you did reach a unanimous
                                                                          verdict on one of the counts, but you were unable to on
10
      deliberations.
                                                                   10
11
                               (Wherein, the jury is not
                                                                   11
                                                                          another?
12
                                present.)
                                                                  12
                                                                                    MS. BOGGIO: Yes.
                                                                                    THE COURT: Okay. And do you believe that
                                                                   13
13
                 THE COURT: All right. We're in recess.
                                                                          further deliberation -- it sounds like further
14
                               (Wherein, a recess was taken.)
                                                                   14
15
                 THE BAILIFF: All rise.
                                                                   15
                                                                         deliberation on the remaining issue, am I right, based
                                                                   16
                                                                         on your note, you don't leave believe it will change
16
                 THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you.
                                                                  17
17
                 Okay. We're back in court, State v.
                                                                          anything?
18
                                                                  18
                                                                                    MS. BOGGIO: I don't believe it will.
19
                 After a note was provided by the jury, we had
                                                                  19
                                                                                    THE COURT: Okav.
20
      an in-chambers discussion off the record, the Court has
                                                                  20
                                                                                    MS. BOGGIO: We're all at the same place that
21
      determined based on the information and what's taken
                                                                   21
                                                                          we were, even after looking at everything and rereading
      place so far, that further deliberations are simply not
                                                                   22
                                                                          everything out loud to each other and using the white
23
      going to solve the impasse, so we'll go ahead and call
                                                                   23
                                                                          board.
      the jury in and I'll send them home.
                                                                  24
                                                                                     JUROR: Looking at all the pictures again.
                 Anything anyone wants to place on the record
                                                                                     THE COURT: Okay. All right. So what we'll
```

```
-- all right. As to the -- which count did you reach a
      before I call the jury?
                 MR. NIXON: No, thank you.
                                                                        verdict on?
 2
                 THE COURT: Mr. Snively?
                                                                   3
                                                                                   MS. BOGGIO: One.
 4
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
                                                                   4
                                                                                   THE COURT: And the second one you didn't?
 5
                 THE COURT: All right. Bring them in.
                                                                   5
                                                                                   MS. BOGGIO: Right. Do you to want know what
 6
                              (Wherein, the jury is present.)
                                                                        part of it?
 7
                 THE COURT: Go ahead and be seated.
                                                                   7
                                                                                   THE COURT: No, not yet. You're just fine.
 8
                 Counsel for the State, do you stipulate that
                                                                   8
                                                                                   I'm just making sure we do this right,
                                                                         because of the mixture here.
       the jury is present?
                                                                  9
                 MR. NIXON: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                                  10
                                                                                    With regard to Count II, I want the clerk to
10
                 THE COURT: Mr. Snively?
                                                                         read the names of the jury. And all I want to do is
11
                                                                  11
12
                 MR. SNIVELY: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                                  12
                                                                         inquire if you agree that further discussion on Count II
13
                 THE COURT: Okay. I called you in here, of
                                                                 13
                                                                         would be useless. Okay? Let's just do that first. Go
      course, based on the last note. Could the jury
                                                                 14
15
      foreperson please identify themselves?
                                                                 15
                                                                                   THE CLERK: Jo Anne Herem.
                 MS. BOGGIO: Kerri Boggio.
                                                                 16
                                                                                   MR. HEREM: I think we're deadlocked.
16
17
                 THE COURT: Okay. I did receive a note. Of
                                                                  17
                                                                                   THE CLERK: Elizabeth Sommerdorf.
      course, this followed the original note indicating you
                                                                  18
                                                                                   MS. SOMMERDORF: Deadlocked.
18
                                                                  19
19
      were having difficulty. You went back and worked
                                                                                   THE CLERK: Brittney Dimich.
20
      diligently again. I'm asking you if you believe further
                                                                  20
                                                                                   MS. DIMICH: Deadlocked.
      deliberation would change the impasse?
21
                                                                  21
                                                                                   THE CLERK: Elizabeth Korth.
22
                 MS. BOGGIO: I think we're deadlocked right
                                                                 22
                                                                                   MS. KORTH: Deadlocked.
23
                                                                 23
                                                                                   THE CLERK: Samantha Anttila.
      now. I mean, we did one of them before the first note.
      But on this on the second, I don't...
                                                                  24
                                                                                   MS. ANTTILA: Deadlocked.
                                                                 25
                                                                                   THE CLERK: Earl Zumbrun.
                 THE COURT: Wait a second. So you did reach
```

```
727
                 MR. ZUMBRUN: Deadlocked.
                                                                          this to anyone else, you flat don't have to. If anyone
 2
                 THE CLERK: Christopher Ewing.
                                                                          pushes you on that, let the clerk know, she'll let me
 3
                                                                          know and I'll do something about it. Okay?
                 MR. EWING: Deadlocked.
                 THE COURT: Kerrilee Boggio.
                                                                                    That is up to you guys. You choose who you
                 MS. BOGGIO: Agreed, deadlocked.
                                                                          talk to and to the extent to which you do.
 5
                 THE CLERK: Jamie Krug.
                                                                                     I will say this, and I know you don't want to
                                                                    6
 6
                 MS. KRUG: Deadlocked.
                                                                    7
                                                                          do it right now as late as it is, if you have questions
 8
                 THE CLERK: Michael Bohleen.
                                                                    8
                                                                          for me about this process, let the clerk know, give her
                                                                          your number and I'll call you.
 9
                 MR. BOHLEEN: Deadlocked.
                                                                    9
                 THE CLERK: James Maize.
                                                                   10
10
                                                                                     There may be things I could have done better
11
                 MR. MAIZE: Agreed, deadlocked.
                                                                   11
                                                                          for you, there may be things that you just have
12
                 THE CLERK: Stephanie Fletcher.
                                                                   12
                                                                          questions about that I couldn't talk to you about
13
                 MS. FLETCHER: Deadlocked.
                                                                   13
                                                                          before. You've done your duty with regard to Count II.
                                                                   14
14
                 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
                                                                          I recognize how frustrating this can be.
15
                 What I will ask then -- I'm not going to have
                                                                   15
                                                                                     You did exactly what I asked and you went
                                                                   16
16
      you do further deliberation. I will take your word for
                                                                          back and worked harder. As you have already figured
17
      it on Count II.
                                                                   17
                                                                          out, a criminal conviction takes a unanimous verdict.
                 I will ask about Count I, that you did reach
                                                                          It's supposed to be hard. A civil case only takes a
      a verdict. Correct?
                                                                   19
                                                                          majority, but criminal takes unanimous. No one could
                                                                          have worked harder than you guys did, so I thank you
20
                 MS. BOGGIO: Yes.
                                                                   20
21
                 THE COURT: Is that verdict unanimous as to
                                                                   21
                                                                          very much for your service.
       each finding?
                                                                   22
                                                                                     You are discharged. Thank you so much.
23
                 MS. BOGGIO: Yes.
                                                                   23
                                                                                                   (Wherein, the jury is not
                 THE COURT: And it's been signed by the
                                                                   24
                                                                                                    present.)
      foreperson?
                                                                   25
                                                                                     THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
                                                              726
                 MS. BOGGIO: Yes.
                                                                                     Counsel, all right. We do have a verdict on
                                                                          Count I.
                 THE COURT: I'll ask the bailiff to please
                                                                    2
       come forward and then you can hand the verdict form to
                                                                    3
                                                                                     As to Count II, I'm declaring a mistrial
      the bailiff. Okay?
 4
                                                                          based on the inability of the jury to reach a verdict.
 5
                 All right. You can be seated. Thanks.
                                                                          I'll leave it to -- now is not the time. I'll leave it
 6
                 "As to Count I, we, the jury, duly impaneled
                                                                          to the parties to address the Court with regards to
      and sworn to try the issues in the above-entitled cause
                                                                          where we go from here as far as Count II.
      enter the following unanimous verdict, to the charge of
                                                                    8
                                                                                     Again, with regard to Count I, the defendant
      assault on a minor, Count I, not guilty.
                                                                           is discharged as to that count and Count I is dismissed.
10
                 "To the charge of assault on a minor,
                                                                   10
                                                                                     Pending determination of where we go with
11
      Alternative Count I, not quilty."
                                                                   11
                                                                          Count II, is there issues about the defendant's
                                                                          continued release?
12
                All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
                                                                   12
      is this your verdict to Count I?
                                                                   13
13
                                                                                     MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor.
                 THE JURY: Yes.
                                                                   14
                                                                                     THE COURT: So, Mr. Polakoff, you will remain
15
                 THE COURT: Does anyone wish to poll?
                                                                   15
                                                                          on essentially pretrial release until further order of
                 MR. NIXON: No, Your Honor.
                                                                   16
                                                                          the Court, the same conditions, until changed by the
16
                 MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
                                                                   17
17
                                                                          Court or if and when.
                 THE COURT: All right. I will enter judgment
                                                                   18
                                                                                     Is there anything else to be addressed on the
18
                                                                   19
19
      to Count I based on the verdict.
                                                                          record before we adjourn?
20
                 I'm going to get you out of here, okay?
                                                                   20
                                                                                     MR. NIXON: Nothing from the State.
21
      You're now released from your admonition not to discuss
                                                                   21
                                                                                     MR. SNIVELY: No, Your Honor.
22
      the case. You may discuss the case now with anyone you
                                                                   22
                                                                                     THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel, for your very
```

24

25

professional work in this case. I appreciate it.

(Whereupon, the trial was adjourned.)

We are adjourned.

23

want to, family, friends, lawyers, even the media, if

So if you don't want to talk about any of

you want. But you don't have to.

Mark D. Parker Michael L. Dunphy

PARKER, HEÎTZ & COSGROVE, PLLC

401 N. 31st Street, Suite 805

P.O. Box 7212

Billings, Montana 59103-7212

Phone: (406) 245-9991 Facsimile: (406) 245-0971

Email: <u>markdparker@parker-law.com</u> mdunphy@parker-law.com

Attorneys for Defendant

FILED

Carbon County District Court
Rochelle Loyning, Clerk

APR 22 2022

enny A. Dravetsky, Deputy

# MONTANA TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, CARBON COUNTY

STATE OF MONTANA,	) Cause No. DC 19-17= (35)
Plaintiff,	
vs.	) DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ) DISMISS COUNT TWO ON DOUBLE ) JEOPARDY GROUNDS
NATHAN SAMUEL POLAKOFF,	)
Defendant.	)

COMES NOW the Defendant, Nathan Samuel Polakoff by and through his attorneys of record, and respectfully moves the Court to dismiss "Count Two" on double jeopardy grounds concerning the above-referenced matter. An accompanying brief is filed herewith.

\*\*\*\*\*

DATED this 22 day of April, 2022.

Mark D. Parker Michael L. Dunphy

Attorneys for Defendant

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Count Two on Double Jeopardy Grounds

Page 1 of 2

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon opposing counsel of record by causing the same to be deposited in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Alex Nixon Carbon County Attorney P.O. Box 810 Red Lodge, MT 59068

Dated this <u>20</u>00 day of April, 2022.