## FILED

01/02/2019

Ed Smith CLERK OF THE SUPPEER COURT STATE OF NOUTANA Case Number: FR 17-0448

## In The Matter Of:

Before the Commission on Practice In the Matter of Tina L. Morin

> Transcript of Proceedings December 3, 2018

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing 7 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 2C Helena, MT 59601 406-443-2010 info@helenacr.com

Min-U-Script®

BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON PRACTICE OF THE 1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF ) ODC File No. 16-154 ) 4 TINA L. MORIN, ) Supreme Court ) Cause No. PR 17-0448 5 Attorney at Law ) ) 6 7 TRANSCRIPT OF FORMAL HEARING 8 9 On the 3rd and 4th of December, 2018, beginning at 10 9:00 a.m., a hearing was heard in the chambers of the Montana Supreme Court, 215 North Sanders Street, Helena, 11 12 Montana, before Holly E. Fox, Court Reporter and Notary 13 Public. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE COMMISSION ON PRACTICE:
4	MICK TALEFF, Chairman
5	JEAN FAURE HEATHER PERRY
6	LOIS MENZIES BRAD BELKE
7	DAN O'BRIEN MICHAEL BLACK
8	LORI MALONEY RANDY OGLE
9	PAT DEVRIES
10	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF
11	DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL:
12	JON MOOG Deputy Disciplinary Counsel
13	Office of Disciplinary Counsel P.O. Box 1099
14	Helena, Montana 59624-1099 jmoog@montanaodc.org
15	
16	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT:
17	MICHAEL SHERWOOD Attorney at Law
18	Sherwood Law Offices P.O. Box 8358
19	401 North Washington Missoula, Montana 59807
20	mike@mjsherwoodlaw.com
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 INDEX 2 Page ROBERTA ZENKER 3 Direct Examination By Mr. Moog 8 Cross-Examination By Mr. Sherwood 49 4 Redirect Examination By Mr. Moog 78 Examination By Commissioner Black 5 80 Examination By Commissioner Perry 84 Examination By Commissioner Ogle 89 6 Eurther Examination By Commissioner Black 90 7 Examination By Chairman Taleff 91 Further Redirect Examination By Mr. Moog 95 8 Recross-Examination By Mr. Sherwood 96 9 TINA MORIN Direct Examination of Adverse Witness 100 10 By Mr. Moog STEVEN SHAPIRO 11 Direct Examination By Mr. Moog 107 Cross-Examination By Mr. Sherwood 142 12 Redirect Examination By Mr. Moog 180 13 Examination By Commissioner Perry 181 Examination By Chairman Taleff 182 14 DEBBIE CHURCHILL 15 Direct Examination By Mr. Moog 186 Cross-Examination By Mr. Sherwood 193 Examination By Commissioner Belke 207 16 Examination By Commissioner Black 207 Examination By Commissioner Perry 17 208 Examination By Commissioner Faure 209 Examination By Chairman Taleff 211 18 19 ELI PARKER Direct Examination By Mr. Sherwood 213 20 Cross-Examination By Mr. Moog 218 Redirect Examination By Mr. Sherwood 219 Examination By Commissioner Ogle 220 21 Recross-Examination By Mr. Moog 222 22 TINA MORIN 23 Direct Examination By Mr. Sherwood 2.2.7 Cross-Examination By Mr. Moog 293 24 Redirect Examination By Mr. Sherwood 316 Examination By Commissioner Black 320 Examination By Commissioner Perry 25 324

The following proceedings were had and testimony 1 2 taken: \* \* \* \* \* \* \* 3 4 5 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Come to order. This is well past the time set pursuant to the notice for the formal 6 7 hearing in the matter of Tina L. Morin, Supreme Court Number PR 17-0448, ODC File Number 16-154. 8 9 I note that deputy disciplinary counsel Jon Moog is present. I note that the respondent is present with her 10 11 counsel, Michael Sherwood. Are the parties ready to proceed? 12 13 MR. MOOG: Yes, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Sherwood? 14 15 MR. SHERWOOD: Your Honor, we've been outside thinking that there was still deliberation. May -- may I 16 have, like, two minutes to get set up again? 17 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sure. 19 MR. SHERWOOD: Mr. Chairman, I'm not accustomed 20 to this venue, and I believe I might have just called you 21 your Honor. Sorry. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: There are times, as I've said 23 in the past, where I may egotistically want to be elevated 24 to that title, but I'm not. I'm just Mr. Chair. Thank 25 you.

MR. SHERWOOD: Well, I'll try to confine my 1 2 references to that. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Not an issue. 3 And thank you for the time. I think we're ready 4 5 to proceed. Okay. Mr. Mooq? MR. MOOG: Mr. Chairman, I'd note there's some 6 7 pending objections to ODC's exhibits. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: There are. 8 9 MR. MOOG: I guess I'd move for admission on those exhibits that haven't been objected to. 10 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The exhibits that haven't been 11 objected to will be admitted, and I will wait on the other 12 exhibits that are proposed until they are offered. They 13 are subject to, usually, foundation or hearsay objections. 14 15 So, for the record, I will indicate that the following exhibits are admitted without objection: ODC's 16 exhibits 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18 17 18 through 28, 30 through 35, 38 through 47, 51 through 60, 62, 63, 65 through 69, 71 through 77, 79 through 85. 19 20 And if I have misunderstood any of the 21 objections, I would expect counsel to correct me. But those are what I noted from my review of the materials and 22 23 responses. 24 MR. MOOG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 25 ODC calls Roberta Zenker.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

MR. SHERWOOD: Mr. Chairman? 1 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: 2 Yes. MR. SHERWOOD: If I might, I would respectfully 3 move, pursuant to Rule 615, that witnesses be excluded 4 5 until they've finished their testimony. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. 6 7 All witnesses except the witness who's just been called should please exit the courtroom, make yourselves 8 9 available outside. Under the rule, for those of you who aren't 10 11 attorneys, it's mandatory that the proceedings be closed to witnesses who are not testifying once the request is made. 12 13 MR. SHERWOOD: A couple more housekeeping 14 matters, if I may. 15 I anticipated that Ms. Morin might be on the stand for a while. The -- the podium isn't all that ideal. 16 I asked the tech folks to bring a stool, which is over 17 18 here, and intended to ask that she be allowed to sit if she wishes. I wanted to make sure that all the other witnesses 19 20 knew that that was available if they anticipate to be very 21 long. 22 Secondly, I -- as I was preparing for this, I 23 realized -- I'm not sure, as I sit here, how the law gets 24 communicated to the rest of the commission, and I think it's critical here. So I drafted a brief over the weekend, 25

<ol> <li>sent it to Ms. Smith. I provided 10 copies to her this</li> <li>morning because apparently she doesn't go to the office</li> <li>today. And I also provided 10 copies of some relevant</li> </ol>	
	5
3 today. And I also provided 10 copies of some relevant	
4 statutes that will come up in examination. I would	
5 respectfully request that the committee commission -	
6 can have those available, but that's, of course, up to	you.
7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: They have been distributed,	
8 Mr. Sherwood. They were filed or at least they were	È
9 submitted for filing. Because Ms. Smith hasn't been in	ı the
10 office this morning, they won't be technically filed.	I
11 double-checked the scheduling order; there was no	
12 requirement in terms of time limits for hearing briefs	, SO
13 your hearing brief will be filed.	
14 The statutes, to me, are an attempt by the	
15 respondent to instruct the commission what the law is,	and
16 I think I've made it clear in my pretrial rulings is	Ī
17 haven't, the commission will decide what the law is and	1 the
18 relevance of that. Whether those statutes can be inqui	ired
19 into the during examination of any witness, we'll wait	and
20 see. But I will telegraph that I will be very reluctant	nt to
21 allow that type of testimony.	
22 But they have been distributed, so you know th	nat.
23 With respect to a witness sitting, if you are	
24 uncomfortable, Ms is it Zenker?	
25 THE WITNESS: Zenker. Yes.	

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Thank you. If you feel tired
2	or want to sit down while you're at the podium, that's
3	fine. Usually lawyers are made to stand so that they can
4	be grilled and be uncomfortable. We don't intend that for
5	witnesses.
6	Any other housekeeping matters?
7	MR. SHERWOOD: Nothing, Mr. Chairman.
8	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay.
9	MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Zenker, would you raise
11	your right hand, please, and I'll swear you in.
12	THE WITNESS: (Complies.)
13	(Witness sworn.)
14	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Would you, for the record,
15	state your name and your mailing address?
16	THE WITNESS: Roberta Zenker, Z-e-n-k-e-r. 2665
17	Mikota Place that's M-i-k-o-t-a Place. Helena.
18	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Thank you. Okay.
19	Your witness, Mr. Moog.
20	MR. MOOG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF ROBERTA ZENKER
23	BY MR. MOOG:
24	Q Good morning, Roberta.
25	A Good morning.

Is it okay I call you Roberta? 1 Q 2 Yes. Α And you can call me Jon; okay? 3 Q Okay. We've met before, obviously? 4 5 Α Yes. In the context of this case? 6 Q 7 Α Yes. Okay. And what is your occupation? 8 0 9 I'm an attorney with Disability Rights Montana. Α And that was formerly known as Montana Advocacy 10 Q 11 Program; is that correct? 12 А That's correct. When did you guys change your name? 13 Q I think that happened a couple of years before I 14 Α 15 began in 2009, so I'm going to say 2007, 2006. Okay. And what do you do for DRM? 16 0 I'm the supervising attorney for our core 17 Α 18 services unit, which does our intake, and we also do outreach and training. 19 20 Okay. Concerning disabled persons? 0 21 А Yes. 22 And their rights? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. And how long have you been practicing law? Ο 25 Α Let's see. 26 years.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	Q	And prior to associating with DRM, what did you
2	do?	
3	A	I was an appellate defender
4	Q	Okay.
5	А	with the Office of the Public Defender.
6	Q	Okay. I also think you were a county attorney?
7	А	I was a county attorney for 16 years-ish.
8	Q	Where?
9	А	Madison County.
10	Q	Okay. Do you know Tina Morin?
11	A	I do know Tina.
12	Q	And how do you know Ms. Morin?
13	A	Tina and I were classmates.
14	Q	Okay. Have you had contact with Ms. Morin with
15	respect	to your employment with DRM?
16	A	I have.
17	Q	And how is that?
18	A	Tina contacted our agency about representing a
19	client,	Mr. Ron Lowney, with respect to Ron's wife, Judy.
20	Q	Okay. And do you know where Ms. Lowney resides?
21	A	Renaissance assisted living center on Saddle.
22	Q	Here in town?
23	A	Here in Helena.
24	Q	And do you know where Mr. Lowney lives?
25	A	He lives in Butte.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Zenker, could I ask you to
2	please pull the mic a little bit forward towards yourself
3	so we
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just paying attention
5	to this sign in front of me that says don't touch the
6	microphone.
7	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, that's just from the
8	chief justice. I'm telling you to adjust it.
9	Thank you.
10	THE WITNESS: Is that better?
11	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: That is better. Thank you.
12	Q (By Mr. Moog) And do you recall when about
13	Ms. Morin contacted your agency about Ron Lowney?
14	A I think it was January 2016.
15	Q Okay. And do you folks have some kind of intake
16	hotline or something like that?
17	A We do. We do have a 1-800 number, as well as our
18	regular phone number, and people can call. They can send a
19	query in on our web page or a number of ways to do an
20	intake.
21	Q Do you recall how Ms. Morin reached out to your
22	agency?
23	A I believe she called.
24	Q Okay. And what was her report?
25	A She was concerned that Judy was not getting some

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	of her rights, like being able to vote or being able to go
2	to church when she wanted to, and that there was sort of an
3	adversant [sic] relationship with the guardians, and they
4	weren't allowing her to see her husband.
5	Q Okay. So a visitation issue?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Is that fair to say?
8	Okay. There's an exhibit book in front of you.
9	Could you turn to Exhibit 31, please.
10	A Yes.
11	Q Do you have that before you, Ms. Zenker?
12	A I do.
13	Q Okay. And this is an email between Ms. Morin and
14	Janice. Who's Janice?
15	A Janice Sanderson was the intake specialist who
16	took the call from Ms. Morin.
17	Q Okay. And the email from Ms. Morin, that's dated
18	February 10, 2016. So is this near in the time of
19	Ms. Morin's first contact with your agency?
20	A Hang on a sec. I see an email that's dated
21	February 11th, 2016.
22	Q And then one down, starting: Hi, Janice, on
23	Page 1 of the exhibit.
24	A Okay. Thank you.
25	Q It's a string; correct?

Yes, it is. That's correct. 1 Α I'm sorry. I'm on Page 2 of the exhibit. 2 0 Okay. On Page 2. 3 Α Well, no --4 Q It does say February 10th -- Hi, Janice. 5 Α Okay. I'm sorry. On Page 2. So is this near in 6 Q 7 time to when Ms. Morin initially contacted your office? Within a month. 8 Α 9 Okay. And what did the agency, your agency, DRM, Q do with this information? Did you staff it? 10 We did. 11 А Okay. And what was the result of your staffing; 12 Q if you recall? 13 14 If I recall, we just wanted to go and visit Judy Α 15 at Renaissance to make sure that she wasn't being abused or 16 neglected. 17 0 By --18 So a monitoring visit that would be within our А 19 mandate. 20 Abused and neglected by whom? 0 21 Her caregivers at Renaissance. А 22 Okay. And did you understand that Judy was under Q the protection of a guardianship proceeding? 23 24 Α Yes. Under -- I'm not sure what you're asking. Whether she had -- are you inquiring about the proceeding 25

or whether or not she had guardians? 1 2 Whether or not you or your agency knew she had 0 quardians. 3 The initial call from Tina indicated she А Yes. 4 5 had quardians. Okay. And did you or someone in your office 6 0 7 reach out to the guardians? I don't recall. 8 Α 9 Q Okay. Janice may have done that. That would be 10 А typical. 11 Okay. But you, yourself, didn't have contact 12 Q with --13 I did not. 14 Α 15 Q And who are the guardians, to your knowledge? The Bugnis. I can't recall their first names. 16 Α 17 Debbie and Bob? 0 18 That sounds right. А Yes. 19 Okay. Did you know whether or not the guardians Q 20 in the case had counsel? 21 I believe they did, yes. А 22 Okay. And who was that. Q Mr. Shapiro from Montana City. 23 А 24 Okay. And at any time during this time frame --0 January, February 2016 -- did Ms. Morin identify whether or 25

not Judy had counsel in the case? 1 2 Α No. Okay. And I'll represent to you that Judy's 3 0 appointed counsel was Debbie Churchill. Did Ms. Morin 4 provide that name to you during this intake period? 5 6 Α No. 7 Q Have you ever heard of Debbie Churchill? 8 Α T have now. 9 Okay. When did you first hear of Debbie 0 Churchill? 10 11 А At some point during these proceedings. I told you about her? 12 Q 13 А Yes. And did you understand that the guardianship 14 0 15 hearings had occurred in Butte? 16 А Yes. Did you have an understanding who the presiding 17 0 18 judge was? 19 А Yes. 20 Who was that? 0 21 Judge Krueger. А 22 And what did Ms. Morin tell you about the case in Q general; if you recall? 23 24 My recollection generally is that there seemed to Α be some animosity between the husband, Ron, and the 25

1	guardians, and the guardians were not allowing Ron to visit
2	with Judy at Renaissance. And there had been some concern
3	about whether or not Judy was truly incompetent, so they
4	wanted to have initial testing and those kind of things
5	that seemed to me in the order of challenging the
6	guardianship.
7	Q Okay. Through the use of your agency?
8	A They were they were seeking representation
9	through our agency, yes.
10	Q Okay. During this initial intake time period of
11	January, February 2016, did Ms. Morin advise you that the
12	visitation issue had been court ordered?
13	A Yes.
14	Q She did tell you there was a court order in
15	place?
16	A I believe so, yes.
17	Q Okay. Restricting visitation?
18	A I don't know how precise it was about restricting
19	visitation, but I was under the impression that the
20	guardians had the ability to make those decisions.
21	Q By a court order?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. At some point did you review any pleadings
24	in the file, the underlying guardianship file?
25	A I did. I well, I looked at the supreme court

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	decisions, because I had understood that it did go to the
2	supreme court.
3	Q And what did you determine from the supreme court
4	decision?
5	A Well, it sounded like the Court had already
6	determined the supreme court had already determined the
7	competency issues, and therefore the guardianship issue was
8	a settled issue, as far as I could tell.
9	Q Including the visitation restriction?
10	A I would presume.
11	Q Okay. And what about Mr. Lowney's capacity to be
12	a guardian? Did you have any knowledge of the court
13	proceedings concerning that issue?
14	A Yeah. The Court seemed to be saying that they
15	had some sympathy for the circumstances, but that they felt
16	that Mr. Lowney was not capable of providing the care that
17	Judy needed.
18	Q Okay. The care that Bob and Debbie Bugni were
19	providing?
20	A Evidently.
21	Q And do you know the relationship between the
22	Bugnis and Ms. Lowney?
23	A Not precisely. I understand they are related,
24	but I'm not sure how.
25	Q Okay. So was there a time where you met with

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

Judy at the Renaissance? 1 2 Α Yes. Do you recall when that occurred? 3 Q Not the exact date, but it would have been those 4 А initial time frames. 5 6 0 Okay. And, again, that was at Ms. Morin's 7 request? And I should say, in general, that that 8 Α Yes. 9 would be typical. If we had a call that somebody who experiences a disability may be abused or neglected in a 10 facility, it would be our mandate to go and see, to 11 monitor, to investigate. So we wanted to do that. 12 And did that meeting occur sometime in the late 13 Q winter, early fall of 2016? 14 15 А I think it would have been more late winter. Let's take a look at Exhibit 61, Roberta. 16 Q 17 (Complies.) А 18 Do you have that in front of you? Q I do. 19 Α 20 And that looks to be on your letterhead, your 0 21 agency's letterhead? 22 Α It is. 23 Have you seen this letter before? Q 24 Α I have. 25 Do you know who drafted this letter? Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 Α I do. Who did? 2 0 I did. 3 Α Is that your boss's signature? 4 Q 5 Α Yes. And who is your boss? 6 Q 7 Bernadette Franks-Angoy. Α And she is the executive director of your agency? 8 0 9 Α Yes. Now, this letter sets out some time frames. 10 0 Are those time frames within this letter true and accurate? 11 12 А Yes. Okay. We'll go back to that, but I wanted to let 13 Q you know it's in here just in case you need to refresh your 14 15 recollection about anything. 16 А Okay. Looks like the time frame of your initial meeting 17 0 18 isn't in here, but you think that was within a few weeks of 19 Ms. Morin's contacting your agency? 20 Α Yes. 21 And advise the commission, please, how you have 0 authority to go visit people in the assisted living 22 23 centers? 24 I can't give you exact statute numbers under the Α federal code, but we refer to them by their -- their -- an 25

acronym, which is the PADD statute, protection and advocacy 1 2 for persons who experience developmental disability, and PAMI statute, which would be protection and advocacy for 3 individuals who experience mental illness. And those are 4 federal statutes that were created in the 1970s that 5 created a protection and advocacy system across the United 6 7 States so that each state and territory in the country has a protection and advocacy agency for people who experience 8 9 disabilities.

10 And our role is sort of as a watchdog agency on 11 state agencies and on facilities and principally began to watch institutions because that was coming out of a period 12 of time where there was some nationwide exposure to some 13 pretty severe abuses in institutions. So that's how we 14 15 began. We were given broad powers to obtain access to people who lived in these facilities, as well as their 16 records and the employees in these facilities. 17

18 Q Did you have any trouble getting into19 Renaissance?

A Not really. I'm kind of used to this. So I usually prepare a little memorandum or letter that I can take with me that outlines all of our federal authority. And there are actually some dates for facilities to respond to records requests and things like that. So I usually go prepared with that letter on our letterhead, and we have

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

badges that we wear, so we have an air of officialdom, if 1 2 you will. So at first they kind of look at us like who are 3 you, and I don't blame them because we're strangers showing 4 up on their doorstep, and they feel a protective role 5 towards their clients, as well as they should. So it's a 6 7 little awkward in those first few moments, and I give them the letter. We have a polite discussion, then we get our 8 9 access. They call the manager probably? 10 Q 11 That's what happens. А 12 Q Okay. 13 Can you folks hear me okay? I'm sorry to have my 14 back towards you. 15 Did you go alone? No. Janice and I went together. 16 Α Okay. And once you were granted access to Judy, 17 0 18 what did you find out? 19 Judy speaks very softly, so it was very hard to Α 20 understand her. You have to sit very close and listen very 21 intently. So we visited with Judy. The first thing I asked Janice to inquire about is person, place, and time, 22 23 just to see how lucid Judy was. And she was able to 24 identify that she was at Renaissance, and she knew the 25 date. I don't recall exactly what it was. And she knew

1	that Obama was President. So she seemed to be oriented to
2	person, place, and time. That was sort of important to us
3	because that would enable us to gauge generally we're
4	not experts but how lucid she was throughout the
5	remainder of the time we spent with her.
б	So we asked her general general questions like
7	how do you like it here, are they taking good care of you.
8	And she was generally pretty happy there. Had some
9	complaints about the menu that day, and that was about it.
10	She did miss her husband. She wanted to be with her
11	husband. She made that very plain, very clear. They have
12	a very important relationship, so that was a concern in the
13	uppermost part of her mind.
14	Q Well, and certainly it's a sad situation that
15	she's separated from her husband; correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Okay. But you understood from the supreme court
18	orders that she was basically the guardians were in
19	charge of where she was going to reside?
20	MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; leading.
21	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained.
22	THE WITNESS: Our principal concern in the visit
23	was whether she was experiencing any abuse and neglect at
24	the hands of the facility, and we did not find that she
25	was.

(By Mr. Moog) Okay. What happened next? 1 0 Well, we left. There was correspondence, I 2 А suppose, over the intervening few months, and then we 3 closed the case, I think, in June of 2016. 4 Did it stay closed? 5 0 А No. 6 7 What happened? Q We had some contact later on in the fall -- and I 8 Α 9 think that would have been around November -- anticipating 10 holiday visits and those kind of things. 11 Was there -- let me ask you this: Did Ms. Morin 0 report to you that there were some issues with her right to 12 13 vote? 14 А Yes. 15 And what did your agency do with that 0 information? 16 Well, voting access for people who experience 17 А 18 disabilities is one of our priorities. We get a specific 19 grant from the federal government to assist people with 20 disabilities to vote. 21 Q Okay. 22 So I think in this circumstance we actually А 23 delivered a ballot to Judy, which she filled out. Janice 24 brought it back to the office. I happened to be going to Butte, so I dropped it off, as I recall. 25

An absentee ballot? 1 0 2 An absentee ballot. Α Did it concern you she was voting in Butte but 3 0 she was a resident of Lewis and Clark County? 4 Not with an absentee ballot, no. 5 Α Okay. Did you later come to find out that she 6 Q 7 had actually voted in Helena? That's what I was told. 8 Α 9 Okay. So did she vote twice? Q She may have. I did not independently confirm 10 А 11 that. Okay. Do you have an understanding of what 12 Q Judy's disabilities are? 13 What I understand them to be is some form of 14 Α 15 dementia --16 Q Okay. -- some memory issues and things of that nature. 17 А 18 0 Were there any other issues that Ms. Morin brought to your attention? 19 20 I'm not recalling off the top of my head. Α 21 Did she complain to your agency about Judy's 0 right to take communion? 22 Those are the religious issues I mentioned, 23 Α Yes. 24 to attend church. 25 Did the investigation undertaken by your agency Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

```
reveal what happened with her communion?
 1
             My understanding was she just wasn't getting to
 2
         Α
 3
    go.
             Did you have an understanding that the guardians
 4
         0
    had arranged for communion to be given at DRM? I mean at
 5
 6
    Renaissance?
 7
         А
             I don't recall.
             Did Ms. Morin report any concerns about Judy's
 8
         0
 9
    money to your agency?
              That I don't recall either.
10
         А
11
             Okay. Did there come a time when Ms. Morin
         Q
    accused you of having a conflict of interest?
12
13
             She did.
         А
14
             Do you recall when that was?
         0
15
             MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; relevance.
             CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Let's find out what the time
16
    frame was first, and then I'll decide.
17
18
              THE WITNESS: This was later in the fall.
19
             (By Mr. Moog) Of 20 --
         Q
20
             -- 2016, I believe.
         Α
21
             If you could turn your attention to Exhibit 54.
         Q
22
         Α
             Okay.
23
             CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Say the exhibit number again,
24
    please, Counsel.
25
             MR. MOOG:
                         54.
```

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Counsel, I'm sorry, but did you
2	intend to offer 61? The witness referred to it. There was
3	an objection.
4	MR. MOOG: I'm sorry. Mr. Chairman, thank you
5	for pointing that out. I would offer 61.
6	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. I find that the
7	testimony of the witness satisfies the objection, and I'm
8	going to admit Exhibit 61.
9	MR. MOOG: I had intended on going back there,
10	but thank you, Mr. Chair.
11	Q (By Mr. Moog) So 54, this is an email to
12	Ms. Franks-Angoy from Tina. Do you see that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And in the body of this email Ms. Morin is
15	alleging that you have a relationship with the Bugnis; is
16	that correct?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q And do you have a relationship with the Bugnis?
19	A I do not.
20	Q Do you know where Ms. Morin thought that you may
21	have do you know why?
22	A I do not.
23	Q Is it true that Ms. Morin is asking for someone
24	else to be assigned to the case here?
25	A Yes.

And did that happen? 1 0 2 А It did not. Okay, Roberta, directing your attention to 3 0 Exhibit 38. 4 Do you have that in front of you? 5 Α I do. 6 7 0 It looks like you were copied on this email; is that correct? 8 9 Α Yes. From October 18th? 10 0 11 А Yes. And is this email reflecting that Ms. Morin has 12 Q found a lawyer to assist your agency? 13 Α 14 Yes. 15 0 And who is that? 16 А Genet McCann. 17 And what were they asking DRM to facilitate 0 18 through this email? It is my understanding initially they just wanted 19 А 20 to meet with us and discuss some kind of representation 21 agreement that would allow Ms. McCann access authority to 22 Judy Lowney. Access authority through your agency; correct? 23 Q 24 Α Through our agency. 25 And did that happen? Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

	28
1	A It did.
2	Q You guys had a meeting with Tina and Genet?
3	A We did.
4	Q When did that occur? Do you know?
5	A It was shortly there this letter came in on
б	or this email came in on October 18th, so I think the
7	meeting was shortly after that, within a week or so. I
8	don't recall the exact date.
9	Q Do you want to refresh your memory with
10	Exhibit 61?
11	A Yes. It looks like October 20th.
12	Q And do you recall that meeting?
13	A I do.
14	Q Who attended?
15	A Myself; Bernadette Franks-Angoy is my boss; Tina;
16	and Ms. McCann.
17	Q And I think you said the purpose of the meeting
18	was just to discuss the representation?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Of Ms. Lowney?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And it's true that Ms. Morin brought McCann to
23	you; correct?
24	A Yes. That's correct.
25	Q Directing your attention to Exhibit 46, Roberta,

Transcript of Proceedings

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

and this is an email to you and your boss; correct? 1 2 А Correct. And to Ms. Morin? 3 0 Α Yes. 4 And it refers to an attachment as an engagement 5 0 letter. Do you recall your agency entering into an 6 7 engagement letter with Ms. McCann? Yes, this did happen. 8 Α 9 Q Do you recall when it was finalized? I actually think the date would have been 10 А November 23rd. 11 In that interim time period between the 12 0 October 20th meeting and the engagement letter being 13 entered into later in November, I believe you were on a 14 15 hunting trip at that time? Yes. 16 А 17 Okay. Did you have an occasion to bring 0 18 Ms. McCann to Renaissance to meet Judy? I did. 19 А 20 Do you recall when that occurred? 0 21 I don't know the exact date. Is it in 61? А 22 Sure. You can refresh your recollection, though Q perhaps it's not in there. 23 24 It might have been on the date of that meeting, Α since she was here. 25

	3
1	Q Okay.
2	A On that November or October 20th date.
3	Q And who do you recall going to Renaissance?
4	A Genet and myself.
5	Q Just the two of you?
б	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. And happened at Renaissance on that day?
8	A We met Genet and I met with Judy. Actually, I
9	didn't really do anything. I just sort of got us in the
10	door, and then Genet met with Judy, and asked her how she
11	was doing and what did she want and did she want
12	representation, and she produced a sort of a retainer
13	agreement, if you will. It was handwritten on a napkin
14	that Judy signed.
15	Q Was it your understanding that Judy had any
16	contracting authority at that point?
17	A You know, I did not know.
18	Q Okay. Okay.
19	A One of the things that, you know, I was concerned
20	about was if a person wanted to challenge a guardianship,
21	and yet the guardians retained all of the power to allow
22	the we call her a person under a guardianship now
23	instead or a ward but the person under the guardianship
24	to obtain representation to challenge that guardianship,
25	there seems to be a conflict of law there and a question

1	about how that person can best get representation.
2	So that was a concern in the back of my head.
3	And my thought was that surely the person under
4	guardianship would be entitled to representation.
5	Q Well, was this a matter of Judy picking up the
6	phone and calling you personally?
7	A No, Judy did not call me.
8	Q To your knowledge, did Judy pick up the phone and
9	call Genet personally?
10	A I have no idea.
11	Q Speaking of this association agreement that was
12	entered in on near November 23rd, what was the scope of
13	the representation or the association?
14	A Between Ms. McCann and Disability Rights Montana?
15	Q Correct.
16	A As I understood it, she was going to challenge
17	the guardianship in district court, and she was going to
18	represent her for those purposes.
19	She also had some ideas about a separate civil
20	rights action under Section 1983. I had some concerns
21	about who would a state actor be that you need in a
22	Section 1983 action under that scenario, so I asked
23	Ms. McCann about that, and she seemed to think that you
24	know, that you could establish the guardians as the state
25	actor because they were appointed by a state institution,

that being the Court. 1 I had never seen that or heard of that theory. 2 It seemed novel to me, but she felt like she had some case 3 law that supported that, so she was going to do some 4 5 research on that. And I missed what you said. It seemed what to 6 0 7 you? It seemed novel. 8 Α 9 Q Novel? Yes. I had never heard of that theory. 10 А 11 So she was going to pursue that, do some research. I had understood that I was going to be sort of 12 a supervising attorney. She was going to confer with me 13 14 about, you know, what -- what she was going to be doing, 15 and I would, you know, sort of approve anything that she would do in DRM's name. 16 Okay. And I think you said challenge the 17 0 18 guardianship. Do you mean to seek to remove the 19 quardianship or quardianships? What was the idea at that 20 time? 21 Yes, and I -- now it's coming back to me too. А Ι 22 think they also wanted an accounting. 23 0 Okay. So where was the anticipation -- the 24 anticipated filing going to be? Was it going to be before Judge Krueger in Butte? Or was it going to be in Lewis and 25

Clark County where she now resides? 1 Α Well, we were talking about, you know, what forum 2 to file, and while Judge Krueger retained jurisdiction, as 3 I understood it, over the guardianship, there was some 4 question about whether it could be filed as a new 5 proceeding. So that was one of the things that Ms. McCann 6 7 would have to research and determine. But we had some discussion. No decision had been made. 8 9 Okay. And what did you think about filing in 0 Lewis and Clark County? 10 11 А I thought that if that could be done, it should be done. 12 Did you think it was dubious? 13 Q 14 Probably, since Judge Krueger had maintained Α 15 jurisdiction. But I thought if it could be done with a straight-faced legal argument, it should be. 16 Okay. Did you ever go down to Butte and look at 17 0 18 the district court file? 19 А I did not. 20 And when you entered into that association 0 21 agreement -- or you and your boss did, DRM -- did you know that Ron had been judicially determined to be unfit to be 22 23 her quardian? 24 А Yes. Directing your attention to Exhibit 43, 25 Q Okay.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	Roberta.
2	Have you seen this before?
3	A Yes.
4	Q This is an email from Genet to you; correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And what were you advised by Genet?
7	A In this email was expression from Genet that Judy
8	wanted visitation with her husband over the Thanksgiving
9	holiday that was approaching although the word in the
10	email is holidays, so I presume the whole holiday season by
11	that and they wanted to do a video statement.
12	Q Who's they?
13	A I may have misspoke. It does say, We want to get
14	a video statement from Judy. And it says, Thanks, Genet
15	McCann. And then but the email is Tina Morin's name
16	shows up on this email as well.
17	Q Ms. Morin was copied?
18	A Yes. And her name and address is at the bottom
19	of the email.
20	Q Okay. And it's true, isn't it, that Ms. McCann
21	says Tina is wanting to file a motion; correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Is that consistent with your understanding of
24	Ms. Morin's position about visitation?
25	A Yes.

Did you respond to this email? 1 0 I suspect I did. I don't recall right off the 2 Α top of my head. But if I did, I'm thinking you're going to 3 show me. 4 5 0 Turning your attention to Exhibit 44. I'm not sure if this is a response or maybe an 6 7 email that went back and forth at the same time this --I think it is a response. 8 Α 9 Q Okay. And actually this is -- it's a thread. 10 А So my original email is kind of the second email down on this 11 12 thread. 13 Q Okay. 14 It says that it was from me to Genet. And she Α had mentioned a case to me that -- that she thought about 15 as to her 1983 claim. That was the Redies case, which I 16 read and did not agree to her reading of that particular 17 18 case. The second issue was this video statement, and I 19 20 told her that both the filing of the petition -- that I 21 understood the purpose of the association agreement was to file the petition to challenge the guardianship, and that 22 the video statement regarding visitation was outside the 23 24 scope of our association agreement, so we weren't 25 supporting that.

**Transcript of Proceedings** 

And you conclude that she has the signed 1 0 representation agreement, and go ahead and see Judy if you 2 want to. 3

4

А Right.

I should mention that, you know, there was not 5 any particular angst for not, you know, jumping to assist 6 7 Genet in these endeavors. But our agency usually doesn't involve itself in guardianship proceedings. We have a 8 9 large mandate with limited resources, so we usually don't -- you know, we're a nonprofit. We usually don't 10 11 involve ourselves in those things which are outside of our priorities, as a guardianship would be. 12

## Is that why Ms. Morin brought Ms. McCann to you? 13 Q 14 А Yes.

15 Okay. So what happened after this association 0 agreement with Ms. McCann was entered into? 16

Well, shortly thereafter -- I think it was about 17 Α 18 the time I returned from my hunting trip, which would have, 19 I think, been about the Monday after Thanksgiving -- there 20 was this pleading that showed up filed in Judge Krueger's 21 court for -- asking for a visitation. But the pleading was filed in DRM's name, which, of course, alarmed me and 22 concerned me because I had not been consulted on it. 23 We 24 had not seen it. It was just filed. 25

Okay. Directing your attention to Exhibit 51. Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 Α Okay. 2 Is this the petition that you just referred to? 0 Yes. Petition for writ of mandate. 3 Α And DRM is listed at the top above Ms. McCann's 4 0 5 name? It's styled: Comes now Judy Lowney by and 6 А Yes. 7 through her attorney Disability Rights Montana, Genet McCann, of counsel. 8 9 Okay. And had DRM entered an appearance in the Q matter? 10 11 No, nor did we intend to. А Okay. And turning your attention to Page 5 of 12 Q that exhibit, is this the certificate of service? 13 It is. 14 А 15 Is your name listed there? Q 16 Α Yes. As supervising attorney? 17 0 18 Yes. Α 19 But you had not seen this prior to it being Q 20 filed? 21 I had not. А 22 What did you think about this petition once you Q had read it? 23 24 Well, as I said, I was alarmed. One, because we Α had not -- I mean, it was a -- my first reaction was that 25

1	was a violation of our representation agreement. One,
2	because it was outside of the scope of the agreement. We
3	were only talking about removal of guardianship
4	proceedings. We weren't talking about a writ of mandate or
5	visitation, which was what what the writ of mandate
6	seemed to be seeking in terms of relief. So that was the
7	one thing.
8	The other thing is that we were supposed to be
9	consulted and supervising and authorizing on these kinds of
10	actions, and we certainly had not done that and knew
11	nothing of this.
12	Q So what did your agency do?
13	A Well, we asked that it be undone, so to speak, as
14	soon as possible. The petition actually, what we asked
15	is that our name be stricken from the petition. We didn't
16	ask explicitly that it be withdrawn or removed.
17	Q Okay. And what did Ms. McCann do after you asked
18	her to remove your name, your agency's name?
19	A I'm going to say that she sort of waffled. In
20	some of those initial contacts she was apologetic and
21	agreed, and then she backed away from that position and
22	began to resist us on that note. And then, ultimately, she
23	did just withdraw the petition.
24	Q How long after? Was it weeks? Months? Do you
25	know?
L	

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

		3
1	A	I think it was more in the neighborhood of weeks.
2	Q	Turning your attention to Exhibit 66.
3		CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sorry, Counsel. I did not hear
4	the numb	er.
5		MR. MOOG: 66. I'm sorry, Mr. Chair.
б		CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Thank you.
7		THE WITNESS: Yes, that's the unopposed motion to
8	withdraw	petition for writ of mandate.
9	Q	(By Mr. Moog) So filed within about three weeks
10	of the p	etition?
11	A	It's dated December 19th.
12	Q	You stated that Ms. McCann was apologetic. What
13	was she a	apologetic for?
14	A	That she had not sought our participation, if you
15	will, or	authorization in filing this writ.
16	Q	Okay. Directing your attention to Exhibit 61.
17	That's y	our termination letter?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Page 3, first full paragraph, is that a true and
20	accurate	recitation of what occurred on November 28th,
21	2016?	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	So Tina was pushing hard for this petition;
24	correct?	
25	A	Yes.

Transcript	of Pro	oceedings
------------	--------	-----------

1	Q Returning to the petition, let's look at some of
2	those exhibits that Ms. McCann attached.
3	A You have to remind me what number.
4	Q I was just reminding myself. It's 51.
5	A Thank you.
6	Q Directing your attention to Exhibit F to that
7	petition, have you seen this before?
8	A Yes, I presume I have. Not sure I'm on F yet.
9	What does F look like?
10	Q It's the affidavit of Janice Sanderson.
11	I'm sorry. It's Page 27 of 30, Roberta.
12	A Okay. Thank you. All right. Yes, I have seen
13	this.
14	Q Does this concern the voting issue that we
15	touched on earlier?
16	A Yes, it does.
17	Q Okay. Directing your attention to Exhibit 52,
18	Roberta
19	A Okay.
20	Q and specifically your email there at the
21	bottom, November 28th at 9:41.
22	A Yes.
23	Q What were you advising Ms. Morin and Ms. McCann
24	at that point?
25	A This email is dated Monday, November 28th, and I

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	say: I think it's probably important that we remember the
2	scope of our representation. DRM has associated with Genet
3	so that she can file a petition to remove the guardianship.
4	Judy seems to already understand this. Given this limited
5	scope of representation, DRM is not in a position to
6	facilitate contact between Ron and Judy.
7	Q Okay. And if you turn to two more pages
8	further into the exhibit, I'm looking at the email to
9	Ms. Morin, copying Ms. McCann, copying Ms. Marie
10	Franks-Angoy, dated Tuesday, November 29th at 4:04.
11	A Yes.
12	Q And is this where you advise that you're
13	terminating the association agreement, or withdrawing from
14	the association agreement?
15	A Yes. Suffice to say that after careful
16	consideration, DRM has determined that it must withdraw
17	from the association agreement.
18	Q And now that Ms. McCann has her representation
19	agreement with Judy, she no longer needs DRM's access;
20	correct?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q Okay. Turning your attention to Exhibit 53.
23	A 53?
24	Q 53. And is it correct that in the email from
25	Ms. McCann to Tina Morin, copying others this is at the

bottom -- Wednesday, November 30th, 9:32, Ms. McCann is 1 2 asking for you to be removed? 3 А Correct. And did that occur? Q 4 5 Α No, it did not. Directing your attention to Exhibit 59, and I'll 6 Q 7 represent to you that there's some allegations here in this email that you breached in duty to Judy Lowney. 8 9 Did you breach any duty to Judy Lowney? No, I did not. 10 А 11 Did you have any duties to -- did you hold any 0 12 duties to Ms. Lowney? No, I did not. 13 Α Okay. Following receipt of this petition and 14 Ο 15 Ms. McCann's withdrawal of this petition, did you have any feelings about how your agency had been treated? 16 17 А Yes. 18 0 What were those? 19 Well, it's probably poor articulation, but we А 20 felt like we had been used. 21 Duped? Q 22 Duped? Certainly. Α Bamboozled? 23 0 24 MR. SHERWOOD: Leading. 25 THE WITNESS: It's not a word in my lexicon,

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 but... (By Mr. Moog) Throughout your interaction with 2 0 Ms. Morin with respect to the Judy Lowney guardianship, do 3 you feel that Ms. Morin remained objective? 4 5 А No. Do you feel like Ms. Morin was able to appreciate 6 0 7 the realities of litigation she found herself in? MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; calls for opinion. 8 9 There's been no expert disclosure. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: It's asking for her feeling, so 10 just on a foundation basis, I'll sustain that. 11 (By Mr. Moog) You've met Judy a couple times; is 12 Q that correct? 13 14 А Yes. 15 0 You've met Genet a few times; is that correct? 16 А Yes. Would you be able to identify them for the 17 0 18 commission if I showed you a video? 19 А Yes. 20 MR. MOOG: At this time, Mr. Chair, I would ask 21 for the opportunity to play Exhibit 49 for foundational reasons. It has not yet been admitted. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, the objections pending 23 24 are foundation and hearsay. And it's a video of Ms. McCann's visit with Judy Lowney, which I understand 25

Ms. Morin was not in attendance. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Zenker. No, I was not in 3 attendance. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: No. How about Ms. Morin? Was 4 Tina Morin in attendance? 5 THE WITNESS: Excuse me. When Ms. McCann and I 6 7 went to visit with Judy, no, Tina was not. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. So this video will not 8 9 include Ms. Morin. THE WITNESS: There was no video when I went to 10 11 visit her. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, I guess I'm asking the 12 13 wrong person. Mr. Moog, does this video include Ms. Morin? 14 15 MR. MOOG: No, it does not, but it was attached to Ms. McCann's petition, so I believe that it's fair game 16 for judicial notice. 17 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The petition that was 19 withdrawn? 20 MR. MOOG: Correct, but still filed for a period 21 of three weeks. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Well, I'm going to 23 sustain the objection on relevance. I -- at least I 24 haven't been shown -- I don't understand why this is 25 particularly relevant in terms of the allegations against

Ms. Morin. 1 2 MR. MOOG: Can I explain? CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yeah, that's what I'm asking 3 for. 4 MR. MOOG: Okay. Mr. Chairman, this is the end 5 result of Ms. Morin's misconduct. 6 7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: This conference? MR. MOOG: This videotaped interview between Judy 8 9 and Ms. McCann. 10 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: How long is the video? MR. MOOG: Several minutes. 11 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Not more than 10? 12 MR. MOOG: Not more than 10. 13 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. I'll allow it. 14 I'm 15 not sure for what purpose, but I'll allow it at this point. MR. MOOG: Okay. Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Your objection is noted, 17 18 Mr. Sherwood. 19 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, your Honor -- or 20 Mr. Chairman. 21 Mr. Chairman, is that going to take a while to 22 set up? MR. MOOG: Just the screen. We could take a 23 24 brief recess if you'd like. 25 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We've been going

almost an hour. We will take a recess until 10:15. I do 1 2 expect everyone back in their seats at 10:15. 3 MR. MOOG: I'll be here. (Proceedings in recess from 10:04 a.m. until 4 5 10:13 a.m.) 6 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. We're back on the 7 record. Mr. Moog, you may proceed with the video. 8 9 MR. MOOG: Thank you. (By Mr. Moog) Do you -- Ms. -- Roberta, do you 10 0 recognize the lady on the screen here? 11 12 I do. А Who is that? 13 Q 14 That's Judy Lowney. А 15 Q Okay. MR. MOOG: May we proceed with play? 16 17 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yes. 18 (Video played.) (By Mr. Moog) And is that Ms. McCann? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. 21 (Video played.) 22 MR. MOOG: At this time, Mr. Chair, I'd offer this Exhibit 49. It's also Exhibit H to McCann's petition, 23 24 which is Exhibit 51. 25 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, I allowed you to play it,

so it's a little hard at this point to not -- having seen 1 2 it, I'm -- frankly, I don't see the connection. But it's admitted. Let's proceed. 3 MR. MOOG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 (By Mr. Moog) Roberta, directing your attention 5 0 back to Exhibit 48, this is an email received from Genet 6 7 McCann; is that correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 MR. MOOG: I'd offer 48, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Based on witness's own 10 11 foundation, I'm going to overrule the objection and admit Exhibit 48. 12 (By Mr. Moog) And directing your attention to 13 Q Exhibit 50, Roberta, are these emails you received from 14 15 Genet or your boss? It looks like you either received or were copied. 16 17 Yes. Α 18 MR. MOOG: I'd offer 50, Mr. Chairman. 19 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Exhibit 50 will be admitted, 20 the foundation having been established. 21 (By Mr. Moog) All right, and then back to 61, 0 Roberta, the termination letter. And directing your 22 23 attention to Page 6 of that exhibit, which is Attachment A 24 to the letter. 25 А Yes.

Is this the engagement agreement your agency had 1 0 with Ms. McCann? 2 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Did you say Exhibit 61, Page 6? 3 MR. MOOG: Correct, which is Attachment A to the 4 5 letter. 6 It appears to be, yes. THE WITNESS: 7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: That's fine. I -- apparently I've got a different exhibit, but go ahead. I'm familiar 8 9 with the document. 10 MR. MOOG: Would you like to see a copy of it? CHAIRMAN TALEFF: No, that's fine. 11 (By Mr. Moog) And then if you want to turn to 12 Q Page 8 of that exhibit, which is Attachment B to the 13 letter. 14 15 Can you identify this document, please? This looks like the handwritten document 16 Α Yes. that Genet McCann drafted in our visit with Judy Lowney in 17 18 October of 2016. 19 MR. MOOG: And, Mr. Chair, that's been admitted; 20 is that correct? 21 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yes. 22 MR. MOOG: Okay. That's all for now. 23 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Sherwood? 24 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1111 25

	49
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SHERWOOD:
3	Q So I'll try to start out with what Mr. Moog
4	started with.
5	Is it okay if I'm Michael and you're Roberta?
6	A Michael?
7	Q Michael or Mike. Whatever.
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. So I think we'll work backwards, but
10	and you ended up discussing, with Mr. Moog's suggestion,
11	Exhibit 61, which was the termination letter with
12	Ms. McCann?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. So and we know now, you've told us,
15	that you had a relationship your agency; call it DRM
16	had a relationship with Ms. McCann; right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And it was reduced to writing?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And as I understand it, your notion of it was
21	that she was going to provide legal services for a specific
22	reason, to attempt to terminate the guardianship for Judy
23	Lowney.
24	A Yes.
25	Q Okay. Did you have any written agreement with my

client, Tina Morin? 1 2 Α No. When someone calls you as -- "you" being your 3 0 agency -- and says, I'd like you to look into something, 4 what -- could you describe what, if any, relationship you 5 have with the person that makes the call? 6 7 Α We would -- a third-party caller or association caller, we would list them as a possible contact person. 8 9 And depending on the circumstances, they would remain in our database as a contact person. 10 11 0 So --They are not the client. 12 А They are not the client. So who is the client? 13 Q 14 The person who experiences the disability. Α 15 Okay. And at some point did you make it -- "you" 0 being, collectively, DRM -- did you make a determination 16 that Judy Lowney was your client? 17 18 Α DRM made a determination that she was a client of 19 the agency, but we had no attorney-client relationship with 20 her. 21 Okay. Good point. Q 22 Α So --23 Q So --24 So we were just providing -- you know, our intake Α specialists provide information and referral services and 25

also short-term assistance that -- it looks a little like 1 2 casework. So that would be the client relationship I'm talking about. 3 And you talked about some definitions about a 4 0 facility, I think? 5 А Right. 6 7 Q And did the Renaissance meet your definition of "facility"? 8 9 Α Yes. Okay. And you talked about disability. Did Judy 10 0 meet the definition of "disability" for you? 11 12 Α Yes. And why is that? 13 Q Well, the -- on the face of it, she was said to 14 А 15 experience dementia, which would qualify under the grant I mentioned earlier, PAMI. 16 So you have a person that you've identified as 17 0 18 disabled, and they're in a facility, and that -- your 19 mission or your statutory authorization allows you to go 20 see that person? 21 А Yes. 22 Okay. And you did? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. And when you went to the front door or the 0 front desk of Renaissance, were you allowed to simply go 25

into the facility? 1 2 Α No. Eventually you were allowed? 3 Q Α Yes. 4 Okay. And that was because you showed them 5 Ο identification and insisted that you had a right under 6 federal law to do so? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 Okay. And did you have any sense whether they 0 were restricting -- "they" being the facility and the staff 10 11 there -- were restricting access to Ms. Lowney? 12 I did not think they were, no. А Okay. But --13 Q 14 I think they were just being judicious and Α 15 careful. Okay. So -- and is it fair to say that when --16 0 how many times were you present with Ms. Lowney at the 17 18 facility? 19 Michael, I want to say twice. А 20 Okay. And you talked about -- during those times 0 21 you were there she expressed some wishes; right? 22 Α Correct. Okay. She wanted to see her husband more? 23 Q 24 Α Yes. 25 And she wanted to go home? Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 Α Yes. Okay. Now, Mr. Moog asked you about whether you 2 0 had ever seen the court file for the guardianship 3 proceedings, and I believe you said no. 4 The district court file? 5 Α 6 Q Yes. 7 Α That's correct. Okay. Did you have any sense that anyone had 8 0 9 ever advocated on behalf of Ms. Lowney for her to go home? 10 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 11 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question? 12 (By Mr. Sherwood) Did you have any sense, in the 13 Q course of these -- of dealing with Ms. Lowney, I guess, 14 15 that anyone had ever advocated for that position, that -to go home and be with her husband? 16 17 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm going to allow the 19 question, but we -- as I've said in several rulings, I'm 20 not going to allow this to be an inquiry into whether 21 Ms. Lowney wanted to go home, should have gone home, or otherwise retry the guardianship proceedings. So I allowed 22 you to play the video, and so I think you've opened the 23 24 door a little bit. But I'm not going to let you drive a 25 truck through it.

Go ahead and answer the question, please. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. Do you mind if I lean on the podium? 3 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I do not. 4 5 THE WITNESS: It will change my posture, but I'm trying to get as close to the mic as I can. Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: That is fine. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question, 8 9 please? (By Mr. Sherwood) During the course of the time 10 0 11 that you -- you and DRM were doing things with or for Ms. Lowney, she expressed some wishes. 12 13 А Right. I'm wondering if you know whether anybody ever 14 0 15 advocated for those wishes? I do not know. 16 А Okay. Is it fair to say that, based on your 17 0 18 discussions with Tina, that her husband -- or her client, Ron Lowney, wanted the same things that Judy wanted, for 19 20 her to go home and be with him? 21 I think so. Α 22 Okay. Did Ms. Morin advocate on behalf of Ron Q 23 that you assist her in representing Ron? 24 Α Yes. In doing so, did she ever -- was she ever 25 Q Okay.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

in a position where you needed to follow her directives? 1 Α No. 2 Well, you went to see Ms. Lowney -- or, I'm 3 0 I think Janice went to see Ms. Lowney first; right? 4 sorry. Michael, I don't recall exactly. 5 Α Okay. But somebody went to see her? Oh, and I 6 Q 7 think -- yeah, maybe --Janice and I both went together. 8 Α 9 Okay. Was that your call or Tina Morin's? Q That was my call. 10 А 11 Okay. And then you went to see Judy again with 0 Ms. McCann? 12 13 А Correct. Was that your decision or Tina Morin's? 14 Ο 15 Α I think it -- it was not Tina Morin's. Sort of a collective agreement between me and -- I offered to take 16 Mrs. McCann there -- Ms. McCann there. 17 18 0 Okay. But then while you're off, gone, 19 Ms. McCann files this motion, names you as -- or includes, 20 somehow, DRM as a party to the guardianship proceeding, and 21 is asking for visitation; right? 22 А Yes. Okay. Did Tina's name show up on any of that? 23 Q 24 Α I don't have it in front of me to remember that. 25 Q We'll come back to it on that so I can run

through these exhibits, but --1 2 Α Okay. At some point Tina actually wrote you a letter 3 0 saying you guys really need to do all these things; right? 4 And --5 6 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The witness is nodding 7 affirmatively. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. 9 (By Mr. Sherwood) Sorry. And is it fair to say 0 that you rankled at that? 10 11 А You know, you'd have to show me a specific letter, Michael. 12 Okay. Then we'll wade through it when we get 13 Q 14 there. 15 Α All right. You talked about how you felt that the -- your 16 0 agency, DRM, had been used. 17 18 А Correct. 19 Okay. Could you explain that? Q 20 We had a specific association agreement for Α Yes. 21 a limited scope that was to remove the guardianship and possibly look at this novel legal theory of a 1983 action 22 against the guardians. And I had anticipated that that 23 24 would require some research and take some time. And lo and behold there is a completely different pleading that's put 25

		C
1	forth in	our name without any consultation. So that
2	appeared	to be more the agenda than what we had discussed,
3	the scope	e of the association agreement.
4	Q	Do you know whether Ms. Morin ever saw that
5	agreemen	t?
6	A	The association agreement?
7	Q	Yes.
8	А	I do not know.
9	Q	It was drafted by you?
10	A	No.
11	Q	It was drafted by Ms. McCann?
12	A	I believe so.
13	Q	Okay.
14	A	I was gone during that period of time.
15	Q	Do you know whether Ms. Morin played any part in
16	that bei	ng drafted?
17	A	I do not know.
18	Q	And but we do know that Ms. Morin was not a
19	party to	that?
20	A	She was not.
21	Q	Okay. So she was still in the category of
22	interest	ed person calling in, for you guys?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. Well, cut to the chase on that issue:
25	This com	plaint filed against Ms. Morin alleges that

Ms. Morin -- that you were her agent when you dealt with 1 2 Judy. Were you? I did not understand that we had an agency 3 А relationship. 4 5 0 Okay. Did she pay you? Α No. 6 7 0 Did -- she certainly lobbied you on behalf of Ron; right? 8 9 Α Yes. Okay. Would you agree that she had an obligation 10 0 11 to zealously advocate for Ron's position? MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 12 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 13 (By Mr. Sherwood) Did, at any time, you allow 14 0 15 Ms. Morin to dictate what you were going to do? 16 А No. I think you said on direct that there was a 17 0 18 question here -- and I don't have the exhibit in front of me, and -- but at one point you sort of muse in writing 19 20 about an issue: Can someone who has been declared 21 incapacitated, I think is the term, can they still have a lawyer to try to undo the guardianship? And correct me if 22 I'm wrong, but I think you said you'd concluded that they 23 24 had to have. 25 А Yes.

MR. MOOG: Objection; calls for a legal 1 2 conclusion. MR. SHERWOOD: Well, I think you gave --3 I don't know if I understand CHAIRMAN TALEFF: 4 the question. I think you mixed about two different 5 potential rights in there. I guess you can opine, or at 6 7 least give your, rather, understanding of that issue. Ι think you testified to it, so --8 9 THE WITNESS: I think there's a statutory right for the person under guardianship to have representation 10 and to challenge the guardianship appointment. I also 11 think there's a constitutional right that comes into 12 conflict with the capacity to contract issue. 13 14 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. 15 (By Mr. Sherwood) And as you understand it, does 0 the constitutional due process -- or constitutional right 16 trump? 17 18 А Due process works. 19 Okay. It trumps the inability --Q 20 MR. MOOG: Objection. It calls for a legal 21 conclusion; relevance. 22 MR. SHERWOOD: I'm asking for her understanding. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I don't need argument, Counsel. 23 24 Again, I think you opened the door on the issue, and she's just testifying her understanding and not 25

offering a legal opinion as such. 1 2 So you can testify about why you took certain action based on those beliefs. I think you already 3 testified --4 THE WITNESS: Yes, I think the due process right, 5 particularly in Montana, where liberty is a fundamental 6 7 constitutional right. (By Mr. Sherwood) And you said you think that 8 0 9 it's a statutory basis. Is that 72-5-325, or do you 10 remember? I don't remember, but it's -- that's likely it. 11 А Okay. But is there a statute that, in fact, 12 0 allows somebody in Judy's position --13 14 Α Yes. 15 0 -- to then challenge the guardianship? 16 А Yes. Okay. And does that statute talk in terms of her 17 0 18 having the same rights that she would have had when they established the guardianship? 19 20 Yes. Α 21 And that would include a right to counsel? Q 22 That is correct. А 23 Do you have any idea what the arrangement was 0 24 between Ms. Morin -- between Tina and Ms. McCann? 25 А Not other than very generally, the way that it

	61
1	was introduced to me by them.
2	Q Okay. Well, you'd seen Judy and you decided she
3	wasn't being abused by the facility.
4	A That is correct.
5	Q But then apparently there was some discussion
6	about trying to get her a lawyer so she could challenge the
7	guardianship.
8	A Correct.
9	Q Did you have you said wide scope of aim, but
10	not a lot of resources?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Okay. And so did you have any in-house resources
13	or resources that you could reach out to for a lawyer to
14	handle that representation?
15	A No.
16	Q Okay.
17	A As I said before, we don't do guardianships
18	generally.
19	Q Okay. But still you felt it fit this
20	situation fit within your mission to the extent that it was
21	defined with your agreement with Ms. McCann?
22	A Yes. This would be an appropriate case for
23	information and referral to outside counsel.
24	Q Okay. But you didn't have a referral system?
25	A Not per se. We're in the process now of

developing one with Montana Legal Services Association. 1 Do you know whether Ms. McCann worked in 2 0 Okay. Tina's office? 3 I don't believe she did. 4 Α Okay. Do you know if there was any financial 5 Ο arrangement between she and Ms. McCann? 6 7 А I do not know. Given that Ron's wishes and Judy's wishes were 8 0 9 the same, did you have any sense of impropriety with -- as far as Tina urging -- Judy challenging the guardianship? 10 Could you say the last part of that question 11 Α again? 12 Well, I think -- I think at one point that you 13 Q talked about how, in a letter, somebody was saying that 14 15 Tina was pushing hard. Genet said that. 16 А Right. Genet said that Tina was pushing hard. 17 0 18 Did you -- I'm just going to drop the line of questioning; okay? 19 20 So you -- you indicated, Roberta, that you had --21 you actually -- I think, if I'm correct -- you got online and read the supreme court decision? 22 23 Α Correct. 24 Okay. And that would have been the one where 0 Tina had now come on board for Ron and challenged some 25

rulings by Judge Krueger? 1 2 Α Yes. Okay. Did you pick up on Debbie Churchill being 3 0 involved --4 5 Α No. I'm sorry? 6 Q 7 Α I did not. Sorry. Q You did not. Okay. It wasn't your focus to look 8 9 at the end and see who was attorney? 10 I just went right to the opinion. Α No. Okay. And do you know what information Tina 11 Q provided to Genet about Ms. Churchill? 12 I do not. 13 Α We've seen this video, and you talked about the 14 0 15 first time that you met with Judy and how she seemed oriented as to --16 -- person, place, and time. 17 А 18 0 Right. As far as your mission, DRM's mission, had you gone there and determined that she wasn't oriented, 19 20 would you nevertheless have attempted to get her a lawyer? 21 MR. MOOG: Objection; calls for speculation. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 23 MR. SHERWOOD: The beauty of having a lawyer on 24 the stand is they know what to do when the objection is sustained, so... 25

(By Mr. Sherwood) Could you turn to Exhibit 46, 1 0 2 if you could, please. А 3 Yes. So this is from Genet McCann, and she's writing 4 0 5 you and Bern -- or you and Bernie. I presume that the Robbie in the salutation is 6 7 you? Must be. I'm in the caption in the -- up above 8 Α 9 as Roberta Zenker. You did sometimes go by Bobbie, I think? 10 0 I go by Bobbie for short, but not Robbie. 11 А Not Robbie. Okay. And this is Ms. McCann asking 12 Q you to review, on November 21st, 2016, something. And is 13 14 this something she's asking you to review the sort of 15 memorandum of agency between you at DRM and Ms. McCann? Well, I was gone on this day, so I cannot say 16 А what it was. 17 18 But when that agreement was signed that we talked 0 19 about -- you didn't sign it? 20 Α I did not. 21 Okay. Would that have been your superior, 0 22 Ms. Franks-Angoy? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. Well, I just want to make sure we're 0 straight with the commission here. 25

1	I asked you if, to your knowledge, Tina had ever
2	seen it, and I note here that there is a
3	A Uh-huh.
4	Q notation that she was at least cc'ed on this.
5	A Yeah. I assume that's what it was.
6	Q Okay. So the odds are that, if she reads her
7	emails, that she might have seen the
8	A Yeah. The subject says engagement letter, so I
9	think we can safely assume that's what it was.
10	Q Okay. Thank you.
11	Mr. Moog at one point phrased Ms. Morin's action
12	by saying that Ms. Morin had "brought" Ms. McCann to you.
13	Did Ms. Morin actually bring Ms. McCann to you?
14	A I think so, yes.
15	Q Okay. And was that after Ms. Morin was advised
16	that you didn't have the internal resources for an attorney
17	for Ms or for Judy Lowney?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Okay. You mentioned, I think twice now, the
20	notion that Ms. McCann was exploring some sort of 1983
21	action. That would be under I think it's 42 USC 1983
22	where a person can, in some instances, sue state agencies
23	for a violation of their rights. Is that
24	A Constitutional rights.
25	Q Constitutional rights.

1	Do you know whether Ms. McCann whether
2	Ms. Morin was endorsing that course of action or not?
3	A I do not, no.
4	Q But it was novel?
5	A I'm sorry?
б	Q It was novel?
7	A It was novel.
8	Q It was novel.
9	When you spoke with Ms. McCann about in what
10	venue she might initiate a state action, either in Lewis
11	and Clark County or Silver Bow, was Ms. Morin a party to
12	those discussions?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you know whether she and Ms. McCann agreed on
15	a position or disagreed with each other?
16	A I do not know.
17	Q Were you ever privy to discussions in which
18	Ms. McCann was present, Ms. Morin was present, you were
19	present, and maybe others, in which Ms. Morin and
20	Ms. McCann disagreed about what course of action should be
21	taken?
22	A I don't recall any.
23	Q Okay. Is it possible it may have occurred and
24	you just don't recall?
25	A Doesn't seem likely.

Okay. May I ask you to look at Exhibit 44, 1 0 2 please, Roberta. 3 Yes. Okay. А So this bottom paragraph -- I'm just sort of 4 0 looping back here -- where it says, Finally, Montana code 5 72-5-325 makes clear that a ward may petition a court to 6 7 remove a guardian. Is that -- had Ms. McCann done any research to 8 9 assist you in getting to that position? I don't believe so. 10 А 11 Okay. And how about Ms. Morin? Had she provided 0 you with anything? 12 I think I just pulled out the statute and 13 Α No. looked. 14 15 0 Okay. So then I asked you earlier if Ms. -- to your knowledge, Ms. Morin had any involvement -- I should 16 17 stop right now. 18 I interviewed you before; right? 19 А Yes. 20 And we -- you've talked several times about a 0 21 hunting trip. 22 А Yes. Okay. And that was sometime in November of 2016? 23 Q 24 Α I actually think it was November 20th --Uh-huh. 25 Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

-- till the -- which was Sunday. So I think I 1 Α 2 came home on Friday, which was the Friday after 3 Thanksgiving. So I've been rude. Did you get a deer? 4 0 I did. 5 А Q Great. 6 7 So now back to the petition. This, I believe, is the petition. This is Exhibit -- ODC Exhibit 51. 8 Т 9 believe this is the petition that was filed and gave you 10 concern? 11 А Yes. Okay. Because it didn't -- it went beyond the 12 Q scope of the agency that you had with Ms. McCann and was 13 now asking for visitation, for instance; right? 14 15 Α It wasn't the agreement at all. 16 Q At all. Right. I think "beyond the scope" is genteel. 17 А 18 Okay. But I asked you if, to your knowledge, 0 19 Ms. Morin played any role in Ms. McCann filing this. And 20 I've just asked you to at least take a look at the document 21 for a minute and see if Ms. Morin surfaces anywhere in 22 there. 23 No, I don't see Ms. Morin's name on this document Α 24 anywhere. Okay. Mr. Moog brought to your attention the 25 Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

```
language -- this is Exhibit 61, at the bottom. It's --
 1
 2
    Page 3 of 17 is what we're going to.
             I think you characterized -- this is an email
 3
    from Ms. McCann to -- let me make sure.
 4
 5
         А
             61?
             Yeah.
                    I'm wrong.
 6
         Q
 7
         А
             And this is the letter --
             This is a letter --
 8
         0
             -- terminating the association agreement from
 9
         Α
    Ms. Franks-Angoy to Genet.
10
11
             Okay. But when you -- we get to Page 3 -- and
         0
    I'm talking about the first principal paragraph that
12
    begins, On November 28th, 2016 --
13
14
         А
             Yes.
15
         0
             -- Ms. Zenker called you.
             So is -- are you just referring -- are you
16
    writing this letter?
17
18
         Α
             I drafted it.
             You drafted it? Okay. And at some point you
19
         0
20
    indicated that Ms. McCann -- I think maybe the term you
21
    used was waffled -- but did -- did she indicate some sort
    of regret for having done something at some point?
22
                     She stated here when I first spoke to
23
         Α
             Yeah.
24
    her -- this was Monday morning, the 28th, after I had been
    gone -- and I see this petition, and of course the first
25
```

thing I wanted to do was contact her and say, one, What's 1 2 going on, and, two, it shouldn't have gone on, and, three, could you undo it. 3 Okay. 4 0 So I called her, and having had that discussion 5 Α generally, she said, I can only apologize. 6 7 Q Okay. And then said, Well, Tina was pushing hard for it. 8 9 Α That is correct. Okay. But you don't know whether that's true? 10 Q 11 That is correct. А There was some -- there was some discussion about 12 Q you folks at DRM facilitating Ms. Lowney's ability to vote. 13 14 А Correct. 15 Okay. And you said something about you believe 0 that she also voted in Helena? 16 17 I didn't believe that. I've heard that. Α 18 You'd heard that. Okay. But you just heard 0 that? You don't know? 19 20 That is correct. Α 21 And you don't know whether she voted either 0 22 before or after she filled out the absentee ballot? 23 Α That is correct. 24 Did -- did you -- if DRM had not shown up on that 0 pleading of Ms. McCann's asking for visitation, based on 25

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

what you knew, did you see anything inappropriate -- would 1 there have been anything inappropriate about Ms. McCann 2 filing that petition if she didn't gratuitously put DRM's 3 name on it? 4 I -- I'm really in no position to comment on 5 Α 6 that. 7 Q Fine. So this is back in -- Tina initially calls in or makes a request for some help --8 9 А Yes. -- in January of '16, I think; right? 10 Q 11 А Yes. Does she say anything about she -- about 12 Q Ms. Churchill? 13 14 I don't recall that. There was nothing on the Α 15 intake form. Does -- in all of the dealings that she had with 16 0 you, did she ever indicate that she thought Ms. Churchill 17 was Judy's lawyer? 18 19 No, I do not believe so. А 20 0 Okay. 21 Frankly, I don't remember the name coming up А 22 until well after. 23 Okay. Okay. Could you please look at 0 24 Exhibit 53, Roberta. 25 А Yes. Okay.

Q I mentioned earlier that Tina had, as I
understand it, written you a letter saying that she thought
you guys to Bernie, your superior, saying, Well, you
guys should do a bunch of stuff. And I think, if I'm
correct, that would be the email that Tina sent to Bernie
on November 29th, 2016, which is the first email at the top
of the first page for Exhibit 53.
A Yes.
Q Okay. And then and she's also unfairly, I
think, as far as you're concerned, indicating that she
thought that you had some sort of conflict; is that
correct?
A I didn't see any conflict.
Q Right. Okay. She was misinformed as far as
you're concerned; right?
A Yeah. I just didn't know where that was coming
from.
Q Right. So then the second email in this sort of
1 of 2 pages document, Exhibit 53, is Genet sort of chiming
in about you guys should be doing things; right?
A Yes. And it looks like it was sent the next day,
the next morning, 9:32 a.m.
Q Okay. And then
A And this is where she sort of waffles from the
last time I had spoken to her on the 28th.

And could you point out where you thought she was 1 0 2 waffling? She begins to say why she thinks removing DRM 3 А would hurt Judy's attempts and suggests that that's not 4 what she wants to do. 5 And where is that in the letter, please? 6 Q 7 Beginning right in the first paragraph. А 8 So -- okay. 0 9 So where I had last left this with her on Monday, Α two days earlier, she was amenable to filing a petition to 10 revoke the -- or a motion to revoke the petition. 11 Now, two days later, following this email from 12 Tina, she's sort of flopped her position entirely. 13 14 And what do you perceive her petition to be --Ο 15 her position to be here? She wants to continue the representation and go 16 Α forward with this 1983 action. 17 18 And your position is no? 0 Correct. And I should say, at this point, where 19 А 20 we felt like the representation agreement, association 21 agreement, had been breached and violated in such a strong way that the best course for us was to dissociate entirely. 22 23 So we weren't interested in hearing anything. 24 And, again, this association agreement was with 0 25 Ms. McCann?

1 Α Yes. And drafted by Ms. McCann? 2 0 Correct. 3 Α So this video we saw --4 Q 5 Α Yes. -- I think you told us you weren't there. 6 Q 7 I was not. Α Was somebody from DRM there? 8 0 9 Α I don't believe so. So you think this is now Genet, because 10 0 Okay. 11 she has something from Judy in writing saying she's her lawyer, is allowed into the facility? 12 That's my assumption. 13 А 14 Okay. Did -- were you aware that she was going Ο 15 to go see Judy? On that day I don't think I was. I'm not sure of 16 Α the exact date that that visit was. 17 18 0 Okay. Did --19 As I understand it, that was in preparation for А 20 the filing of this writ of mandate, so that would have been 21 during the week that I was gone. Because that -- that writ 22 was filed on the 25th, which was the day after 23 Thanksgiving. I was still gone. 24 I've got to stumble through my notes here. 0 25 А That's okay.

1THE WITNESS: Mr. Chair, may I take a quick break2to go to the restroom?3CHAIRMAN TALEFF: We will take a seven-minute4personal needs break. It is 11:15. Everyone please be5back at 11:22. We are in recess.6THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.7(Proceedings in recess from 11:13 a.m. until811:20 a.m.)9CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We're back in10session at 11:22.11Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your12cross-examination.13MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.14Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your15attention now to Exhibit 43?16A Yes.17Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you18talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November1911th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court20to order the guardians to allow Judy to visit with her
<ul> <li>CHAIRMAN TALEFF: We will take a seven-minute</li> <li>personal needs break. It is 11:15. Everyone please be</li> <li>back at 11:22. We are in recess.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.</li> <li>(Proceedings in recess from 11:13 a.m. until</li> <li>11:20 a.m.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We're back in</li> <li>session at 11:22.</li> <li>Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your</li> <li>cross-examination.</li> <li>MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your</li> <li>attention now to Exhibit 43?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you</li> <li>talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November</li> <li>11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court</li> </ul>
4 personal needs break. It is 11:15. Everyone please be 5 back at 11:22. We are in recess. 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 (Proceedings in recess from 11:13 a.m. until 8 11:20 a.m.) 9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We're back in 10 session at 11:22. 11 Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your 12 cross-examination. 13 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your 15 attention now to Exhibit 43? 16 A Yes. 17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you 18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 19 lith saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
back at 11:22. We are in recess. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. (Proceedings in recess from 11:13 a.m. until 11:20 a.m.) CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We're back in session at 11:22. Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your cross-examination. MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your attention now to Exhibit 43? A Yes. Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 11 Lth saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
<ul> <li>THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.</li> <li>(Proceedings in recess from 11:13 a.m. until</li> <li>11:20 a.m.)</li> <li>CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We're back in</li> <li>session at 11:22.</li> <li>Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your</li> <li>cross-examination.</li> <li>MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your</li> <li>attention now to Exhibit 43?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you</li> <li>talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November</li> <li>11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court</li> </ul>
7 (Proceedings in recess from 11:13 a.m. until 11:20 a.m.) 9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We're back in 10 session at 11:22. 11 Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your 12 cross-examination. 13 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your 15 attention now to Exhibit 43? 16 A Yes. 17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you 18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
<ul> <li>8 11:20 a.m.)</li> <li>9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We're back in</li> <li>10 session at 11:22.</li> <li>11 Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your</li> <li>12 cross-examination.</li> <li>13 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>14 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your</li> <li>15 attention now to Exhibit 43?</li> <li>16 A Yes.</li> <li>17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you</li> <li>18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November</li> <li>19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We're back in</li> <li>10 session at 11:22.</li> <li>11 Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your</li> <li>12 cross-examination.</li> <li>13 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>14 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your</li> <li>15 attention now to Exhibit 43?</li> <li>16 A Yes.</li> <li>17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you</li> <li>18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November</li> <li>19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>10 session at 11:22.</li> <li>11 Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your</li> <li>12 cross-examination.</li> <li>13 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.</li> <li>14 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your</li> <li>15 attention now to Exhibit 43?</li> <li>16 A Yes.</li> <li>17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you</li> <li>18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November</li> <li>19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court</li> </ul>
Mr. Sherwood, you may continue your cross-examination. MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your attention now to Exhibit 43? A Yes. Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 19 lth saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
<pre>12 cross-examination. 13 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your 15 attention now to Exhibit 43? 16 A Yes. 17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you 18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court</pre>
MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your attention now to Exhibit 43? A Yes. Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
14 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So could I direct your 15 attention now to Exhibit 43? 16 A Yes. 17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you 18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
<pre>15 attention now to Exhibit 43? 16 A Yes. 17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you 18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court</pre>
16 A Yes. 17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you 18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
17 Q All right. And this is the exhibit that you 18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
18 talked about on direct where Genet writes you on November 19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
19 11th saying Tina is wanting to file a motion with the Court
20 to order the guardiang to allow Judy to wight with her
20 to order the guardians to allow Judy to visit with her
21 husband over the holidays.
22 A Correct.
23 Q Skipping ahead, We want to get a video statement
24 from Judy.
25 This is on November 11th, and we know that

Ms. McCann tells us on the video -- make sure I'm 1 2 right -- that it is November 23rd, 2016, when the video is taken. 3 Of course, you don't know, but were you assuming 4 that the "we want to get a video" meant Tina and 5 Ms. McCann, or do you know? 6 7 Α I don't know. That seems reasonable given what's in the body of the --8 9 Q Okay. -- email. 10 А 11 After you -- you hadn't left, I think, on your 0 hunting trip? 12 13 Α No. 14 Okay. Did you get anything -- or did you have 0 15 any discussions with Tina that led you to believe that she was online with Ms. McCann filing a motion and embroiling 16 Disability Rights in that motion? 17 18 Α I didn't. I don't recall any discussions with Tina about this email. 19 20 0 Okay. 21 I did respond to the email on the 14th, to Genet. Α 22 Okay. And -- on the 14th? Q Yeah. That's Exhibit Number 44. 23 Α 24 44. Right. Okay. 0 And I, again, told her that the -- the 25 А

1	petition that the visitation, the video, was outside the
2	scope of the proposed agreement that was supposedly for
3	petition to remove the guardianship.
4	Q Right. So you actually forewarned Ms. McCann
5	that you didn't think that her doing that with your agency
6	was appropriate given the agreement?
7	A Right.
8	Q Okay. So this agreement, you referred to it as
9	an association agreement?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you does DRM do those routinely?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. And having done it, can you characterize
14	whether Ms. McCann becomes DRM's agent or you folks become
15	her agent or none of the above?
16	A I don't think I'm prepared to answer that. I
17	haven't assessed it in terms of agency.
18	Q Okay.
19	A So I'm not prepared to say.
20	Q But whatever it was, once Ms. McCann went beyond
21	the scope of that agreement, still invoking DRM, you felt
22	that was inappropriate?
23	A I did.
24	MR. SHERWOOD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, may I have a
25	moment to consult with

CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Certainly. 1 2 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you. (Break taken.) 3 MR. SHERWOOD: Mr. Chairman, I have no more 4 5 questions. 6 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Any redirect by ODC, Mr. Moog? 7 MR. MOOG: Just a few follow-ups. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Let's let Mr. Sherwood 8 9 clear the counsel table. 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MR. MOOG: Roberta, it's true that your meeting with Judy in 13 Q 14 the January, February time frame was at Tina's request; 15 correct? 16 А Yes. 17 And also your meeting with Genet McCann and Tina 0 18 that summer, that next summer, was at Tina's request? 19 А Yes. 20 And at least with respect to Genet McCann, Tina 0 21 was asking for the visitation petition to be filed; 22 correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 Was McCann ever an employee of DRM? 0 25 А No.

Did it seem to you that Ms. Morin and Ms. McCann 1 Ο 2 were working in concert? 3 А Yes. That both were pursuing Ron's interests? 4 Q 5 Α Yes. During this eight or ten-month time period 6 Q 7 between Ms. Morin's initial report and the withdrawal of the petition for writ of mandate, was there any discussion 8 9 of Judy's best interests among the three of you? 10 А Yes. Stated interests or best interests? 11 0 Stated interests. 12 А What about her best interests? 13 Q 14 Her best interests -- I don't mean to be crass, Α 15 but our agency, in supporting individual choices for people who experience disabilities, is focused on stated 16 interests. So we don't inquire about best interests. 17 It's 18 a standard that we don't employ. 19 Q Do you know what the focus of guardianship 20 proceedings is? 21 Best interests. Α 22 Okay. And I believe that when you visited Judy Q back in early 2016 you did not detect any sign of abuse; is 23 24 that correct? 25 А That is correct.

	8
1	MR. MOOG: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay.
3	Ms. Zenker, you may not be familiar with the
4	procedure, but at this point members of the commission are
5	entitled to ask you questions, so
6	THE WITNESS: All right.
7	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: So we'll start to my left with
8	Ms. DeVries.
9	COMMISSIONER DEVRIES: No questions.
10	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. O'Brien?
11	COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: No questions.
12	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Ogle?
13	COMMISSIONER OGLE: No questions.
14	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Menzies?
15	COMMISSIONER MENZIES: No questions.
16	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Maloney?
17	COMMISSIONER MALONEY: No questions.
18	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Belke?
19	COMMISSIONER BELKE: None.
20	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Black?
21	COMMISSIONER BLACK: I have some, Ms. Zenker.
22	
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY COMMISSIONER BLACK:
25	Q May I refer to Disability Rights Montana as DRM?

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

## Transcript of Proceedings

Yes, please. 1 Α 2 Okay. Has Ms. Morin ever served on the board of 0 DRM? 3 Α No. 4 Has she ever been an officer of DRM? 5 0 No. 6 Α 7 Q I think you said she wasn't an agent of DRM for the purposes of this matter; is that correct? 8 9 Α That's correct. Has she ever been an agent of DRM for any other 10 0 11 matter that you know of? Not that I am aware of. 12 А Has she ever been hired by DRM? 13 Q 14 No. Α 15 Has she served on any advisory committee with 0 respect to DRM ever? 16 17 А Not that I am aware of. 18 Okay. And you're an attorney Ms. Zenker; isn't 0 that correct? 19 20 Α That's correct. 21 In your mind, in your practice with DRM, is there Q 22 a distinction between a guardian ad litem and an attorney representing a ward? 23 24 An attorney representing a ward? А 25 Q A ward. W-a-r-d.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

Yes, I think so. 1 Α 2 What is the distinction in your mind? 0 I think a quardian ad litem would be a quardian 3 А appointed by the Court for -- to give a report back to the 4 Court about the progress and what's going on with the ward, 5 where the attorney is there to protect and advocate for the 6 7 interests of the client. Okay. So there was some discussion early on in 8 0 9 your testimony that you weren't aware of Ms. Churchill's 10 involvement until later; is that right? 11 А That's correct. What is your understanding of Ms. Churchill's 12 Q involvement as we sit here today, or do you have one? 13 I understood that she -- I think -- is that she 14 Α 15 represented Ms. Lowney in the district court proceedings in front of Judge Krueger. 16 17 Okay. And represented her in what capacity? 0 18 I assume court-appointed counsel. Α 19 Okay. And what is that assumption based on? Q 20 That's a guess. Α 21 Okay. And that's fair. I don't want you to 0 22 guess; okay? In your capacity as an attorney for DRM, you 23 24 actually went and met with Ms. Lowney; isn't that correct? 25 А That's correct.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

Did you see any problems with that based upon 1 0 2 your knowledge at that time with respect to the rules of 3 professional conduct? My assumption was that, because that case 4 А No. had been -- as I understood it -- twice to the supreme 5 court and back that it was closed and all representation 6 7 had ended, if there had been any. I mean, there's a foggy notion in the back of your head, if this case has gone to 8 9 the supreme court, it's had representation. But --10 Representation of whom, I guess, is my question. Ο 11 Α Of all the parties. Of Mr. Lowney, of Judy Lowney, of whom -- of Judy's. 12 I think that -- is it your understanding that, 13 Q based upon the supreme court opinions, that Ms. Churchill 14 15 represented Mrs. Lowney as her attorney? I didn't -- I just read the opinion. 16 Α No. Ι didn't know about her involvement at that time. 17 This is 18 all in retrospect. 19 Sure. I mean -- and I'm not saying you should 0 20 have, but did you ever go back and look at the files in the 21 court docket independent of this proceeding to figure out what was going on? 22 No. You mean the district court? 23 Α 24 Yes. 0 25 А No, I did not look at that.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

	84
1	COMMISSIONER BLACK: Okay. I don't have any
2	other questions. Thank you so much.
3	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Perry?
4	
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY COMMISSIONER PERRY:
7	Q Hi.
8	A Hi.
9	Q Okay. So I just based on your testimony then,
10	DRM is has essentially two federal mandates: One is
11	similar to Child and Family Services, in that you check to
12	make sure there's no abuse or neglect by caregivers. And I
13	want to talk a little bit about that visit.
14	You found there was no abuse and neglect. Was
15	Judy Lowney ambulatory? Was she able to get around?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. Was her phone restricted?
18	A I don't know.
19	Q Okay. So
20	A I did not inquire.
21	Q Okay. Was she able to write letters?
22	A I believe she was.
23	Q Okay. Do you know, was she able to administer
24	and take her own medication, or was she being supervised
25	for that?

1	A I believe she was being supervised.
2	Q Okay. Was she in a place where she could prepare
3	her own snacks or that type of a thing, or was that done
4	for her?
5	A I think she could do that, but I think it was
6	being done for her.
7	Q Okay. Have you ever and then the second part
8	of this that I understand is DRM has is somewhat
9	concerned about, once a guardianship is in place then,
10	about whether or not the guardianship should be terminated,
11	almost analogous to, like, an involuntary commitment, where
12	they get stabilized and then they don't need to be
13	committed anymore.
14	Is that is that sort of where you were headed
15	with this with Ms. McCann?
16	A I think that, you know, we hadn't made our mind
17	up. You know, there were concerns, and these concerns may
18	or may not have been legitimate, but they were being
19	raised. And if so, we felt like there was a statutory
20	process by which they could be legitimately raised before
21	the Court, and that that process then ought to be followed.
22	Q All right. And when you met with Mrs. Lowney,
23	was she able to articulate those concerns to you
24	independent of any leading questions?
25	A Yes.

Okay. And was she -- are you familiar then with 1 0 2 the process for petitioning for a quardianship under Chapter 7 -- or Title -- under 72-5, part 3? 3 Α Generally. 4 5 0 Okay. So you were aware then that, in order to do this, there had to be a report from a visitor, a report 6 7 from a doctor, and even once the guardian was appointed, there was an initial accounting, an inventory? 8 9 Α Yes. All right. And what was Mrs. Lowney able to tell 10 0 you with regard to her doctor appointments and her visitor 11 report with respect to the guardianship? 12 Well, I didn't inquire. I did not talk to her 13 Α 14 about those things. 15 Okay. Did you talk to her about her -- anybody 0 representing her or advocating for her position during the 16 quardianship proceedings? 17 18 Α I did not. I was not there to assess the 19 quardianship proceeding. I was simply there to assess 20 whether or not she was being abused or neglected in her 21 present setting at Renaissance. 22 Q Okay. Once you determined that she was not, I am 23 trying to figure out why the association agreement happened 24 and why this went further than your -- either -- I don't know if it's like DFS, but they either substantiate or they 25

1 do not substantiate --

2 A Right.

3

Q -- the report.

A Well, frankly, because Ms. Morin continued to insist over the months that these problems were going on. And I'm not in a position to say whether they are or whether they're not. But there is a process by which that can be contested in court, and that process was to challenge the guardianship proceedings through a motion or a petition to do that.

And then ultimately that's what we got to in that discussion with Ms. Morin and Ms. McCann, is that there is a statutory process by which you can bring these matters back before Judge Krueger, or if we can find a way, before another judge.

Q Okay. So let's talk about that for a second,
because I think that's really important.

I am curious that, if mail wasn't restricted, we don't know if phone was restricted for Mrs. Lowney, to what extent -- because you were involved in this at the time, if you know -- was Mrs. Lowney able to select her own attorney? A She did not take out the Yellow Pages, as far as

24 I'm aware. Ms. McCann asked her did she want

25 representation. She said that she did.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	Q Okay. You had already you testified earlier
2	on a on a question from Mr. Sherwood, and I believe you
3	had answered something to the effect that it was not likely
4	that Ms. Morin and Ms. McCann would have disagreed on a
5	course of action.
6	Can you explain to me a little bit about why you
7	answered that question that way?
8	A Well, it's not likely that I would have known
9	that they disagreed because if I would have known, I would
10	have remembered that they disagreed.
11	Q Okay. I want to follow up on that a little bit.
12	So you said you read the supreme court opinions,
13	and there was language in the supreme court opinions that
14	discussed the reasons or some reasons why Mr. Lowney was
15	not appointed the guardian, as he would have generally had
16	priority as surviving spouse. During your conversations
17	with Ms. Morin or were you made aware by Ms. McCann
18	was there ever any conversation about the fact that the
19	representation of Mrs. Lowney could be adverse to the
20	representation of Mr. Lowney?
21	A I don't remember that. I don't remember any such
22	discussion.
23	COMMISSIONER PERRY: No more questions. Thank
24	you.
25	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Thank you.
l	

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 Ms. Faure? COMMISSIONER FAURE: I have nothing. 2 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I have a couple, a couple to 3 several. 4 5 THE WITNESS: There's a follow-up over here, Mr. Ogle. 6 7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Ogle? 8 COMMISSIONER OGLE: Oh, yeah. The other questions prompted a question of mine. 9 10 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY COMMISSIONER OGLE: So what I'm curious about, if what you were doing 13 Q at DSM was assessing the facility, how did this engagement 14 15 agreement come to be entered in the first place where you were authorizing Ms. McCann to do some work? 16 In the first instance, we have ongoing 17 Α 18 monitoring, investigating responsibility, ability. So that 19 happened early on -- January, February 2016. We continued 20 to hear from Ms. Morin after that about concerns that she 21 had for Judy Lowney and whether she was able to exercise her rights to visit, to engage in her church activities and 22 23 those kinds of things. So it's just enough to say, well, 24 you know, is there anything to these? You know, should we 25 be concerned?

1	So when Tina and Ms. McCann came back to see us,
2	I think in October, if they could you know, we didn't
3	have the resources. It's not something we ordinarily do.
4	So we had told them already that we can't take this on.
5	And but we still had this concern that maybe there's
б	something to this.
7	So that seemed like a reasonable compromise, to
8	enter into an association agreement that would allow them
9	accessibility to records and things like that if they
10	needed it. But that they were essentially going to do the
11	work. We would have to authorize and then supervise
12	anything that was filed in our name.
13	Q But the work that they were going to do had to do
14	with the guardianship proceeding in Butte; right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So why did they not just go directly to
17	Ms. Lowney and get the engagement agreement from her?
18	A I don't know.
19	COMMISSIONER OGLE: Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER BLACK: Mr. Chair, I have one
21	follow-up question.
22	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay.
23	
24	FURTHER EXAMINATION
25	BY COMMISSIONER BLACK:
l	

1	Q Ms. Zenker, in response to a question, you
2	indicated, during your meeting with Mrs. Lowney, that
3	Ms. McCann asked her if she wanted representation, and she
4	said she did.
5	Did I do I recall that correctly?
б	A Yes.
7	Q Did anybody ask her whether she already had
8	representation in that meeting?
9	A No.
10	COMMISSIONER BLACK: Okay. That's all I wanted
11	to know. Thank you.
12	
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY CHAIRMAN TALEFF:
15	Q Now, I confess to being I think I understand
16	your responses, but I confess to being confused, if you
17	weren't there to assess the guardianship proceedings, why
18	you would enter into an association agreement with
19	Ms. McCann to challenge the guardianship proceedings
20	without getting a copy of the file or looking at the file
21	to see what the status was.
22	You seem to be saying that simply because Tina
23	Morin continued to raise questions about the guardianship
24	proceeding, you thought that was sufficient.
25	So I know that's kind of a compound question, but

1 is the latter question true -- or statement true -- that 2 simply because she was raising issues, you thought that was 3 sufficient to enter into this and continue the association 4 with Genet McCann?

There was, yeah, significant documentation from 5 Α Ms. Morin, including the -- the analysis from their -- I 6 7 don't know if it was a psychologist or LCSW or something of that -- a counselor that suggested something different than 8 9 what had been testified to in court. So there were --10 there was just enough confusion that I thought there's a 11 proper forum for these issues to be contested in. And not that we necessarily agreed or we necessarily had reached 12 the same conclusion, but there was enough concern that it 13 14 ought to have its day in court, which is the way that I 15 looked at it.

Q At any time in this process of DRM's association with Ms. McCann and their contacts with Tina Morin, did you or anybody at DRM ask them to provide you with copies of any portions of the district court file?

A No.

20

25

Q Is there any kind of a policy at DRM about that, that if you're asked to become involved in a matter that is in pending litigation of some sort, that you are to request copies of appropriate pleadings?

A No, but that -- in retrospect, that would

certainly seem appropriate. In my view, I thought that 1 2 just looking at the supreme court opinions was sufficient, but... 3 You made -- or you testified this -- I thought 4 0 you testified to the effect that you made the assumption 5 that once the supreme court issued its opinion, the 6 7 representation of the parties ended. Did I -- do I recall that testimony correctly? 8 9 Α Yes. Was there something in the supreme court opinion 10 Ο 11 that led you to conclude that the guardianship had terminated? 12 13 Α No. 14 Who was going to be responsible for Ms. McCann's 0 15 attorneys fees incurred under your association agreement? I understood that she was going to be doing it 16 А pro bono. 17 18 Did she ever send you a bill or a statement? 0 She did not. 19 А 20 If you would look at Exhibit 46, please. 0 21 This is the November 21, 2016, email from 22 Ms. McCann to yourself, Tina Morin, looks like she copied herself, and Bernie Franks-Angoy --23 24 А Yes. 25 Q -- that says:

1	Hopefully with this in hand I can gain access to
2	Judy without being shut down immediately by the guardians.
3	Did you have some understanding of what or how
4	she could be shut down immediately by the guardians without
5	this engagement letter?
6	A I was under the impression that she believed that
7	she would that the guardians would tell Renaissance
8	facility who could have access to Judy and who could not.
9	Q Okay. And, again, I just need to be really clear
10	on this. Is it your testimony that until some point
11	subsequent to the ODC complaint in this matter you were
12	completely unaware of Debbie Churchill's involvement in the
13	Judy Lowney guardianship proceedings?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q Okay. Then Exhibit 53, if you would look at
16	that, please, and I would direct your attention to the
17	bottom of the first page. This is the November 30, 2016,
18	email at 9:32 a.m. The second paragraph starts with this
19	statement:
20	At the October 20th meeting between Bernie,
21	Roberta, Tina, and I, when we reached an agreement, the
22	representation was not limited to a petition for a removal.
23	Do you agree that that that the representation
24	was to be something more than just limited to the petition
25	for removal?

1	A Well, she goes on in the next sentence to make
2	reference to the 1983 suit. So as I understood, the scope
3	of that agreement was that she would pursue the removal of
4	the guardianship and also research this theory about a 1983
5	action, to be discussed as that research developed.
6	Q Was the 1983 action, as you understood it, to be
7	for the purpose of obtaining damages of some sort as
8	opposed to removal of the guardians?
9	A I think both, but, you know, that the remedies
10	would be part of the research.
11	Q Okay. But you thought it was a novel idea?
12	A I did.
13	Q Novel approach. Okay.
14	That's all my questions. Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Counsel, starting with
16	Mr. Moog, did the questions from the commission cause you
17	to have any further follow-up?
18	MR. MOOG: Just one, in response to Commission
19	Ogle's question.
20	
21	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. MOOG:
23	Q I think you responded that you didn't know why
24	Genet needed the association agreement, but it was for
25	contact; right? So she could get into Renaissance
ļ	

1 Α Yes. -- under the umbrella of DRM? 2 0 Right. That's correct. 3 Α MR. MOOG: That's all I have. 4 5 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Mr. Sherwood, any follow-up? 6 7 8 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. SHERWOOD: So, Roberta, as a follow-up to Commissioner 10 0 Black's questions, you indicated that you saw your role --11 you indicated that, I believe, that the role of a guardian 12 would be to look after the best interests of Ms. Lowney. 13 14 А Correct. 15 0 But your role was different? 16 А Yes. And is that because you felt -- you said you 17 0 18 didn't have an attorney-client relationship with 19 Ms. Lowney? 20 I'm talking about our role as an agency. Α 21 Agency. Right. Q 22 And that, generally speaking, Disability Rights Α 23 Montana supports the independent decision-making process of 24 people who experience disabilities, so that we would be looking at stated interests, which may or may not always be 25

consistent with what somebody else might say are the best 1 2 interests. So do you perceive your role to be similar to the 3 0 ethical duties under Rule 1.2 for a lawyer to represent 4 their client's stated wishes? 5 А Yes. 6 7 MR. SHERWOOD: Okay. Nothing further. 8 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Thank you. 9 You may step down and be excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: It is a public hearing, so if 12 you want to stay, you're entitled to. THE WITNESS: I think they probably want to get 13 some work out of me today. Thank you very much. 14 15 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Please just leave the exhibit book there. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Thank you. We're close to the noon hour. I'd -- rather than 19 20 start the next witness and get about five minutes in, I 21 think we'll start up at 1:10 and --22 MR. MOOG: We have those admonitions. Is that 23 going to --24 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Pardon? 25 MR. MOOG: We have those admonitions at 1:00. Is

1 that going to be sufficient --

2 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Oh. I'm sorry. Thank you for 3 reminding me. Let's be prepared to start up at 1:20. That 4 should give us plenty of time.

5 I'm going to remind counsel that I issued an 6 order indicating what the four issues I believe are before 7 this commission, and I think we've gone quite far afield in 8 part. I'm going to again reiterate, whether Judy Lowney 9 wanted Ron to be her guardian, that issue has been decided.

We're here to decide whether Debbie Churchill represented Judy Lowney as an attorney, whether Tina Morin knew that she represented Judy Lowney in the guardianship proceedings as an attorney, whether Tina Morin arranged for Ms. McCann to have contact with Judy Lowney without proper consent, and whether Ms. Morin aided or induced Ms. McCann to violate the Montana Rules of Professional Conduct.

The other issues are irrelevant and off the table, in my view, and we are going to keep this proceeding moving forward. So I'm going to be receptive -- just warning counsel up front -- to objections on irrelevant areas; okay?

22 Mr. Sherwood, you jumped up. You must have 23 something you want to say.

24 MR. SHERWOOD: Well, I'm well aware that this 25 body has a lot to do, and I got permission to subpoena a

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

whole lot of people. 1 2 I just want to tell you, Mr. Chairman, I don't anticipate calling more than three of those witnesses. 3 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Well, I appreciate the 4 5 heads-up on that. Thank you. We'll be in recess until 1:20 in this matter. 6 7 Thank you. (Proceedings in recess from 11:53 a.m. to 8 9 1:20 p.m.) CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Back in session in the matter 10 11 of Tina Morin. Counselors are present. Mr. Sherwood, I see your client is not present. 12 MR. SHERWOOD: I apologize, your Honor -- or 13 Mr. Chairman. 14 (Mr. Sherwood leaves courtroom to get 15 respondent.) 16 17 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. We are now all present. 18 Mr. Moog, call your next witness. 19 MR. MOOG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. ODC calls Tina Morin as an adverse witness. 20 21 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Please come forward. Counsel noted that -- feel free to move that chair so that you're 22 more comfortable, but before you sit down, let me swear you 23 24 in, please. 25 (Witness sworn.)

1	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Please be seated.
2	MR. SHERWOOD: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Morin has in
3	front of her a notebook that contains various documents and
4	notes, et cetera, that I think will aid her in her
5	testimony, and I'd ask that she be allowed to refer to
6	those during her testimony.
7	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, if you if the question
8	comes up and the requisite foundation is laid for
9	refreshing her recollection, that's fine. But absent that
10	occurring, Mr. Moog is entitled to ask her questions based
11	on the exhibits that have been offered and admitted, and
12	we'll see what happens.
13	MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Moog?
15	MR. MOOG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF ADVERSE WITNESS TINA MORIN
18	BY MR. MOOG:
19	Q Please state your name for the record.
20	A Tina Morin.
21	Q And you're the respondent in this matter; is that
22	correct?
23	A Yes, it is.
24	Q When did you become licensed to practice law in
25	Montana?

1992. 1 Α

18

2 You said 1992? 0

Correct. 3 А

Okay. Since that time, which fields have you 4 0 practiced in? 5

Α Again, predominantly at Poore, Roth & Robinson in 6 7 Butte, employment law with Don Robinson, and estates and probate. And then toward the end of my term at Poore, Roth 8 9 & Robinson -- I was there 16, 16 and a half years -- I had shifted into plaintiff's work, predominantly still in the 10 11 employment arena.

And then when I opened my own practice, I shifted 12 again in doing personal injury litigation, still 13 plaintiff's employment law, and also some securities 14 15 litigation.

Okay. And have you done much guardianship 16 0 17 practice?

А I have done a fair amount.

19 How many, approximate, guardianships have you 0 20 been involved with?

21 Well, possibly -- maybe four direct Α guardianships. But then in my time at Poore doing estates 22 23 and probate, that whole concept kind of just is in and 24 around estates and probate. 25

There's several exhibits that I have to Q Okay.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

get offered and admitted, so if I can have you take a look 1 2 at Exhibit 36. 3 Α Yes. Do you recognize this document? 4 Q I do. 5 Α What is it? 6 Q 7 It is my response, dated October 13th, 2016, to Α the Bugnis' complaint against me at the Office of 8 9 Disciplinary Counsel. And this is right near in time to when McCann and 10 0 11 DRM and you were meeting about her potentially representing Judy Lowney; is that correct? 12 I believe -- I believe this is about that time 13 Α frame, maybe November more. 14 15 0 Okay. MR. MOOG: And move for admission of ODC's 36. 16 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: This was objected to on the 17 18 basis of relevance, hearsay, and foundation. I'm sorry, it's objected to only on the basis of relevance. 19 That 20 objection is overruled. This is a statement of a party, so 21 it's admitted. 22 (By Mr. Moog) Okay. And directing your Q 23 attention, Ms. Morin, to ODC's Exhibit 37, have you seen 24 this document before? Yes, this is the copy of the contract that 25 А Yes.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

Genet McCann had with Disability Rights Montana. 1 2 0 Or with Mr. Lowney? I'm sorry. Yes. 3 А Oh. And it includes -- the cover is actually an email 4 0 transmittal; is that correct? 5 6 А Correct. 7 Q To you from Genet? 8 Α Yes. 9 MR. MOOG: I'd move for admission of ODC's 37. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: This was subject to objections 10 of relevance, hearsay, and foundation. Those are 11 overruled. This is admitted. Exhibit 37. 12 (By Mr. Moog) And, Ms. Morin, directing your 13 Q attention to ODC's Exhibit 64. Might take a few minutes to 14 15 flip there. I have it. 16 А 17 And have you seen this document before? 0 18 А Yes. Okay. And is it correct it's an email string 19 Q 20 starting with you, response of Ms. McCann and then ending 21 in you? 22 Α Not sure what you mean by ending. It's an email from Genet McCann dated Friday December 16, 2016, to me. 23 24 0 Okay. 25 And it begins, the order sent should suffice. А

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	Q Right.
2	MR. MOOG: ODC moves for admission of 64.
3	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: This was objected to on the
4	basis of attorney-client privilege. I find that there is
5	no attorney-client relationship between Ms. McCann and
6	Ms. Morin. The Exhibit 64 is admitted.
7	Q (By Mr. Moog) And directing your attention,
8	Ms. Morin, to ODC's Exhibit Number 70.
9	A Yes.
10	Q Have you seen this email and attachments before?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And it's an email with attachments from Genet
13	McCann to yourself?
14	A Yes, dated December 28th, 2016, and it attaches
15	invoices that she sent to Ron Lowney for September and
16	October 2016.
17	MR. MOOG: ODC moves for admission of Number 70.
18	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: These were objected to on the
19	basis of hearsay. Unless Ms. McCann testifies, I find that
20	the requisite foundation has been made, and I will admit
21	Exhibit 70 as a business record, if nothing else.
22	MR. MOOG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	Q (By Mr. Moog) And, Ms. Morin, finally directing
24	your attention to ODC's Exhibit 78, proposed Exhibit 78.
25	COMMISSIONER FAURE: Jon, can you also speak up?

I've having a hard time hearing you. 1 2 MR. MOOG: I apologize. I will. THE WITNESS: 78. Yes. 3 (By Mr. Moog) Have you seen this document before? 4 Q Yes. I drafted it. 5 Α Okay. And is it your request -- or your response 6 Q 7 to ODC's supplemental request for information? Correct, dated April 20th, 2017, addressed to 8 А 9 Sean Thompson. 10 MR. MOOG: ODC moves for 78, Mr. Chairman. 11 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. The relevance objection is overruled and the Exhibit 78 will be admitted. 12 MR. MOOG: And, Mr. Sherwood, I have more 13 questions I'll reserve for cross, if you'd like, to speed 14 15 this along. MR. SHERWOOD: I'm not sure I understand what 16 you're telling me. 17 18 MR. MOOG: I can complete my direct now, or I can 19 just cross after you've done your direct. 20 MR. SHERWOOD: Well, I intend to call Ms. Morin 21 in my case. 22 MR. MOOG: Okay. Is it okay if I reserve, Mr. Chair? 23 24 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: It's your decision. 25 I'll reserve. I have witnesses MR. MOOG: Yes.

waiting. 1 2 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Do you wish to conduct your direct exam now, Mr. Sherwood, or wait until your case? 3 MR. SHERWOOD: Judge, I think it would be much 4 5 more fluid if I just reserve at this point and call her on direct. 6 7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. You may step down, Ms. Morin. 8 9 MR. SHERWOOD: Did I just call you Judge this time? 10 11 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You did. MR. SHERWOOD: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Notwithstanding my newfangled 13 hearing aides, I'm just ignoring those kinds of 14 15 attributions. We're just all too used to that. MR. MOOG: ODC calls Steven Shapiro. 16 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Shapiro, we know each 17 18 other, but raise your hand and I'll swear you in. 19 (Witness sworn.) 20 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Thank you. The chair is 21 there for you to sit it in, if you feel more comfortable 22 doing that. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: It's important, and at the same time, difficult for us to hear a lot unless you kind of 25

	107
1	either lean forward or move the chair forward.
2	MR. MOOG: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
3	
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STEVEN SHAPIRO
5	BY MR. MOOG:
6	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Shapiro.
7	Please state your name for the record.
8	A Steven Shapiro.
9	Q And a mailing address?
10	A 9 Friendship Lane, Clancy, Montana.
11	Q And what is your occupation, sir?
12	A I'm an attorney.
13	Q How long have you been practicing law?
14	A 38 years.
15	Q Which fields?
16	A I've engaged in the general practice of law. I
17	was at the McDonough, Cox and Simonton firm in Glendive for
18	four years. I was chief counsel of the workers'
19	compensation division for six years. I was an attorney for
20	the Department of Commerce for two years. And since 1992
21	I've been in private practice at Montana City. And for the
22	last 20 years I was the part-time contracted city attorney
23	for Boulder.
24	Q So sounds like some diverse experience.
25	A Yes.

Have -- during that time have you had 1 0 Okay. 2 occasion to practice quardianship law? Yes, I have. 3 Α About how many guardianships have you been 4 0 involved with? 5 Α Approximately 12 to 15 in which I was either the 6 7 attorney for the petitioner or attorney for the alleged incapacitated person. 8 9 Okay. Do you know a woman by the name of Judy 0 10 Lowney? 11 Yes, I do. А And how do you know Ms. Lowney? 12 Q In 2011 her adult children, son and daughter, 13 Α 14 asked my assistance to obtain a guardianship for Judy 15 Lowney, who is a disabled person. Okay. And how did they come to find you? 16 0 The adult children, I mean. 17 18 I think that Tammy Teeter, who is the daughter, Α 19 was signed up through the Hyatt Legal Insurance program, 20 and I was one of the attorneys that that program referred 21 cases to. So they got her in contact with me. 22 In that regard, did you have payment for Q Okay. 23 your fee set up through that program? 24 Α The Hyatt Insurance program did pay a fee. Yes. 25 Q Okay. Was it nominal? Or was it -- do you

1 recall? 2 It was expected for an uncontested guardianship А to be \$2,200, and that was all that they paid. 3 Okay. Can you advise the commission why Judy 4 0 suffers from a disability? What type? 5 А Judy Lowney was diagnosed by her physicians and 6 7 the psychologist who examined her to have multiple sclerosis and dementia, and those being of increasing 8 9 degrees over time. Okay. So she won't be getting better; is that 10 0 correct? 11 That is correct. 12 А Prior to your involvement with the adult 13 Q children, do you know whether or not Adult Protective 14 15 Services had been involved with the Lowney family? Adult Protective Services had been --16 А MR. SHERWOOD: Your Honor -- or, excuse me, 17 18 Mr. Chairman, I object to relevance. 19 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 20 MR. MOOG: Okay. 21 (By Mr. Moog) Can you advise the commission the Q procedural history of the Lowney -- Judy Lowney's 22 guardianship case? 23 24 I initiated a petition for guardianship in the А district court in Silver Bow County. An attorney was 25

1	appointed to represent Judy. A physician, who I believe		
2	would have been her regular treating physician, was		
3	appointed to report to the Court. A psychologist was lined		
4	up and appointed to report to the Court, and a visitor was		
5	appointed by the Court to report on Judy's condition.		
6	Q As attorney on the case, would you be familiar		
7	with the case register report?		
8	A Yes.		
9	Q Could you refer to ODC's Proposed Exhibit 1,		
10	please.		
11	A I'm at Exhibit 1.		
12	Q Can you review that document, please.		
13	A It is very lengthy, but indicates the various		
14	filings in the district court in Silver Bow County.		
15	Q In the Judy Lowney matter?		
16	A In the yes, in the Judy Lowney matter.		
17	MR. MOOG: Mr. Chair, I move for admission of		
18	ODC's 1.		
19	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The objections included		
20	foundation, hearsay, and an incomplete record.		
21	I find that this appears to be a record of a		
22	court of which we can take judicial notice.		
23	I'm not sure, Mr. Sherwood, what your complaint		
24	is or objection with regard to incompleteness. It		
25	obviously stops at December 20th of 2016, and that's the		

1	extent to which the commission would consider the document.
2	Am I missing something?
3	MR. SHERWOOD: Your Honor, there is a second case
4	history report, which is more complete, which clarifies
5	when the case was closed on August 14th, 2014, after the
6	remitter was sent down from the supreme court.
7	MR. MOOG: That's on the cover page the closed
8	open history is on the cover page of Exhibit 1.
9	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yeah. The commission will take
10	note of the document, such as it is, and you can make your
11	points during cross-examination, if you choose.
12	MR. SHERWOOD: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Subject to that, Exhibit 1 will
14	be admitted.
15	MR. MOOG: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16	Q (By Mr. Moog) Addressing your attention to
17	Exhibit 2, Mr. Shapiro is it okay if I call you Steven?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. Call me Jon.
20	Do you recognize this document?
21	A Yes, I do.
22	Q What is it?
23	A This is caption order appointing attorney to
24	represent an alleged incapacitated person. It is a
25	document which I prepared in preparation of the

guardianship. 1 2 Okay. And the judge signed it? 0 Signed by Judge Kurt Krueger of Silver Bow 3 Α Yes. County. 4 5 0 Okay. And it appoints Debbie Churchill as attorney for Judy? 6 7 Α Correct. Did you draft this yourself or is it a form that 8 0 9 you found some place? This is the usual form of several forms that I 10 Α 11 use in preparing any guardianship in which I'm representing the petitioner, and this was based long ago on a state bar 12 form book, which I believe was copyrighted in about 1980. 13 But this is the standard form that I have used 14 15 for many years. Okay. When this -- let me ask you this: 16 0 Was Mr. Lowney a party to this case? 17 18 Α Mr. Lowney was an interested party because he was -- okay. First of all, the Ronald L. Lowney appearing 19 20 in the first line of this order would have referred to the 21 son. 22 Junior? Q 23 Α Junior. 24 Okay. 0 So -- and then Ronald Lowney, Sr., was a party to 25 А

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

the proceeding in that it involved -- he had a legitimate 1 2 interest to participate, in that it was a petition affecting his wife. 3 Okay. And who represented Mr. Lowney? 4 0 Mr. Lowney was represented by four attorneys over 5 Α the course of time. There was John Myers, I believe, from 6 7 Whitefish. I think Jack --Was his first attorney Robert Whelan? 8 0 Α Robert Whelan from Butte was involved at some 9 point, and then Jack Myers from Whitefish, then Bill 10 Driscoll from Helena was involved, I believe, at the 11 hearing in 2013. And after the conclusion of that hearing, 12 an appeal was filed by Ronald Lowney, Sr., and in that 13 14 appeal he was represented by Tina Morin. 15 Okay. When Exhibit 2 was entered by Judge 0 Krueger back in 2011, did any party object to it? 16 17 No. Α 18 Does it concern you generally when an adverse 0 19 party in one of your litigations cycles through attorneys 20 that way? 21 It is --А 22 MR. SHERWOOD: Relevance. 23 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 24 0 (By Mr. Moog) So Ms. Morin came on board for the 25 appeal; is that correct?

1 Α Yes. And some post-judgment issues, I presume? 2 0 There were some matters that she raised 3 А Yes. over the course of the next four years or more, yes. 4 5 0 Okay. Directing your attention to ODC's Exhibit 10. 6 7 А Yes. Yes. Have you seen this document before? 8 0 Α Yes. It's a letter from Ms. Morin dated 9 December 3, 2013. 10 11 0 Okay. And addressed to whom? Addressed to Debbie Churchill, who is the 12 А attorney appointed for Judith Lowney, and to myself. 13 14 Okay. And had you had phone conversations with Ο 15 Ms. Morin before you got this letter? I believe that I had heard from her by telephone 16 Α twice, and then there were -- well, I don't recall in what 17 18 sequence, but I believe I received two telephone calls from I did receive this letter, and then there were a 19 her. 20 series of emails from her. 21 Okay. Directing your attention to ODC's 0 Exhibit 12. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The objection to Exhibit 12 is 23 overruled because Mr. Shapiro is testifying. 24 25 Go ahead and proceed with your questions.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

115 (By Mr. Moog) Do you recognize this letter? 1 0 This is an email from Don Martin at Tina Morin's 2 Α firm. 3 Are you on Exhibit 12, Mr. Shapiro? 4 Q 5 Α I'm sorry. Looking at the wrong item. Pardon 6 me. 7 Not at all. Q I'm looking at a letter that was composed by me, 8 Α 9 dated December 9, 2013, and tries to respond to Ms. Morin's 10 previous letter. 11 0 Okay. And you were disagreeing with what was in Ms. Morin's letter? 12 I disagreed and tried to lay out all my 13 А disagreements with her. 14 15 Okay. And directing your attention to ODC's 0 Exhibit 17. 16 17 Exhibit 17 is a letter composed by myself on А 18 March 27, 2014, addressed to Tina Morin, and, again, 19 indicating my disagreements with her -- her comments. 20 MR. MOOG: Okay. Move for 17. 21 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: 17 is admitted. 22 (By Mr. Moog) And I'm sorry, Steven, we have to Q go back towards the beginning. Directing your attention to 23 ODC's Exhibit 3. 24 25 А Yes. I --

**Transcript of Proceedings** 

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

Do you recognize that order? 1 0 Yes, I do. It's an order filed in the district 2 А court on May 17, 2013, caption: Order regarding emergency 3 hearing held on May 15, 2013. It's an order issued by 4 5 Judge Krueger. MR. MOOG: Move for 3. 6 7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The objection was relevance. That is overruled. The order is admitted on the basis 8 9 simply that the order was entered as part of the record. MR. MOOG: Okay. 10 11 (By Mr. Moog) And that is the order that swaps 0 out the guardians; is that correct? 12 Yes, it does. That's when Bob and Debbie Bugni 13 Α 14 became the guardians rather than Tammy Teeter and Ron 15 Lowney, Jr. And what are the Bugnis' relationship to Judy? 16 0 Bob Bugni is a brother of Judy Lowney, and Debbie 17 А 18 Bugni is the sister-in-law of Judy Lowney. 19 0 Okay. And the order speaks for itself, but can 20 you briefly explain to the commission why that change 21 happened. 22 Α The children, Tammy and Ron Jr., were expressing some concerns with the interference coming from Ron, Sr. 23 24 So -- and they were feeling such stress in not being able to deal with their own father that they were asking to be 25

relieved of their duties. And the Bugnis stepped forward 1 2 and expressed that they were willing to help Judy. Okay. At the time this order was entered, where 3 0 was Judy living? 4 Judy had been in at least -- at least two 5 Α assisted living facilities in Butte. She was terminated 6 7 from both programs because of misconduct that was coming from Ron. And either he was being disruptive or he was 8 9 encouraging Judy to be disruptive. So I think at the time that this order was issued she had actually been kicked out 10 of the two programs and was at her home with Ron, Sr. 11 And the immediate concern -- part of the immediate concern was 12 that if she was not in an assisted living facility for 13 14 30 days or longer, then she would have lost her eligibility 15 for Medicaid. Okay. But correct me if I'm wrong, but just 16 0 prior to this order being issued she had been living in 17 18 Helena at Renaissance? 19 And she had actually been asked to leave Α Yes. 20 Renaissance because Ron was interfering with her care at --21 at the program there. 22 Okay. So the point being is the adult children Q had moved her to Helena? 23 24 They had already moved her to Helena, and there Α were problems again then with Ron interfering. 25

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	Q Okay. Subsequent to this order being issued,	
2	were the Bugnis able to get Judy back to Renaissance?	
3	A Yes. The Bugnis got her placed in the	
4	Renaissance program again, and it was with the clear	
5	understanding that Ron, Sr., would not be allowed to come	
б	to the Renaissance.	
7	Q He had been trespassed by Renaissance?	
8	A He had been trespassed, and I believe Adult	
9	Protective Services had been involved. And part of the	
10	features that was important to have her at Renaissance was	
11	it is a locked facility so that people cannot come in and	
12	out without asking permission from the staff.	
13	Q Okay. Okay. Steve, directing your attention to	
14	ODC's proposed Exhibit 29.	
15	A 29 is the report to the Court filed on	
16	February 3, 2013, which was composed by me.	
17	MR. MOOG: I'd offer 29, Mr. Chairman.	
18	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The objection is overruled.	
19	It's admitted.	
20	Q (By Mr. Moog) What was the genesis for this	
21	report?	
22	A We were informing Judge Krueger of the occurrence	
23	of visitations from Ron and the involvement of Disability	
24	Rights Montana, as they had come to the Renaissance living	
25	facility and were trying to investigate what supposedly was	

going on with Judy's condition at the request of Tina
 Morin.

Q So is this the first reference in the record that DRM had been investigating Judy's condition, meaning had Ms. Morin identified this as an issue to the Court?

No, this was not raised to the Court by 6 Α 7 Ms. Morin. And I believe it was early in 2016 that it was a surprise to me, in that I received a call from Roberta 8 9 Zenker indicating that Disability Rights Montana was involved, and to the best of my recollection I had not been 10 11 informed by them that they were checking into any concerns. Okay. To your knowledge, did DRM reach out to 12 Q

13 the guardians?

A No, they had no information from DRM, and it was just -- actually, it was from a -- from the Renaissance living facility that -- they were the first ones who informed me that Disability Rights Montana was involved. Otherwise, I didn't know.

19 Q Okay. So Renaissance told you, and then Bobbie 20 Zenker called you?

A And eventually Bobbie Zenker called and indicated they were checking into her living situation, how she was being cared for.

24 Q Okay. Backing up to 2011 when the initial 25 litigation started, was Ron considered to be a potential

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

guardian at that point? 1 Α As -- in 2011, Ron was having his own health 2 problems and he was not being considered as a guardian. 3 The petition was by the son and daughter, and I did not 4 hear at any point that Ron was objecting to the 5 guardianship as proposed. 6 7 0 Okay. So whether or not Judy was incapacitated back in 2011 wasn't really at issue, was it? 8 9 А It was not an issue. It was well known that she had MS and dementia, and I did not hear an objection from 10 11 anyone that she was actually an incapacitated person. I certainly understand that there's a process 12 laid out in the statutes for the district court to 13 14 determine that she is an incapacitated person and all of 15 the information must be provided to the Court. But that's the process I was going through, was to lay out all that 16 information for the Court. 17 18 Okay. And the Court did, in fact, determine that 0 19 Judy is incapacitated? 20 Α Yes. 21 During the 2011 time frame, during these initial 0 guardianship proceedings, had Ron been committed to Warm 22 23 Springs? 24 Α Ron was committed for an evaluation period. Ι believe it was 90 days. But he was discharged after -- I 25

think it's standard procedure for mental health
 commitments. He was committed by Judge Krueger of Silver
 Bow County and went through the evaluation period and was
 discharged.

5 Q Okay. At some point during the litigation was 6 Ron determined to be incapable of being the guardian of 7 Judy?

He did not raise any objections or concerns about 8 Α 9 his children, and then his brother and sister-in-law, being the guardians until approximately in 2013, we had a hearing 10 in which he was represented by Bill Driscoll, and I believe 11 I understood the issues to be primarily about Ron being 12 able to visit his wife at her place of residence. And I 13 think he -- he may have, to some point, asked that he be 14 15 allowed to be the guardian himself, but that was very clearly rejected by Judge Krueger. 16

17 Q On competent grounds?

18 A I'm sorry. Could you rephrase --

19 Q For good -- for good reason?

A Judge Krueger laid out in his order all of the good reasons, and the fact of the matter is Ron had not cared for Judy in the past, and he had actually interfered in her care at the living facilities in Butte and Helena. And I think Tammy Teeter was at the hearing in 2013 and explained what was going on, and certainly the Bugnis were

there. And Judge Krueger made a very clear decision that 1 Ron could not be the quardian, and the permanent quardians 2 would be Bob and Debbie Bugni. 3 And those determinations were upheld on appeal; 4 0 is that correct? 5 Α They were appealed to the Montana Supreme Court. 6 7 The decision of the district court was affirmed, and I think to the point of both courts found that Ronald, Sr., 8 9 his conduct in the proceedings was frivolous. To the point where I believe you were awarded 10 0 11 attorney's fees against Ron? MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; leading. 12 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 13 14 (By Mr. Moog) Were you appointed attorney's fees 0 15 from Ron? I was awarded attorney fees by the district 16 А court. Debbie Churchill, the attorney for Judy, was 17 18 awarded attorney fees by the district court. And we've not collected those. 19 20 And I believe those fees were appealed by 0 21 Ms. Morin; correct? 22 Α Yes. And they were affirmed on appeal. It seems unusual that there's an award of 23 0 24 attorney fees in guardianship cases. Can you explain why the Court imposed those? 25

1	A It appears, from the decisions of the courts,	
2	they simply found that the conduct of Ronald, Sr., in	
3	pursuing these arguments without any factual basis and	
4	really going on and on beyond the bounds of having, like, a	
5	reason to appeal, both the district court and supreme court	
б	determined that his conduct was frivolous.	
7	And, yes, it is unusual, and they I believe	
8	the supreme court disagreed with the district court in	
9	the the legal reference of which the district court had	
10	awarded fees, but the supreme court said, nevertheless,	
11	here's the reason, and in this case where the appeal is	
12	frivolous, we certainly affirm the awarded fees.	
13	Q Okay. You mentioned Ms. Churchill. Did she work	
14	on the appeal with you?	
15	A Yes. We worked on one brief together.	
16	Q Okay. So I take it then Ms. Churchill joined	
17	your position with respect to the district court	
18	litigation?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Directing your attention to Exhibit 84, Steven.	
21	A Yes. I'm at Exhibit 84, which is the brief of	
22	the appellant, that being Ronald Lowney, Sr.	
23	Q And who filed this on behalf of Ron?	
24	A That was filed by Tina Morin.	
25	Q Okay. And if you'll flip towards the back,	

Page 30 of 30. 1 2 Α Yes. Is Ms. Churchill listed here as attorney for 3 0 Judy? 4 This -- Page 30 is the certificate of 5 Α Yes. service on the brief that indicates that Debbie Churchill 6 7 is the GAL and attorney for Judy. And this is electronically signed by Tina Morin; 8 0 9 is that correct? 10 А Yes. 11 Directing your attention to Exhibit 85. Q This is the reply brief of appellant Ronald 12 Α 13 Lowney, Sr. And similarly, if we flip towards the back --14 0 15 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Wait. Go ahead. Excuse me. (By Mr. Moog) Exhibit 85, Page 15 of 16. 16 Q Yes, the certificate of service. 17 Α 18 And, again, Debbie Churchill is listed as Q 19 attorney for Judy A. Lowney? 20 She is listed as GAL and attorney for Judy. Α 21 And this is -- I don't know if Ms. Morin signed 0 22 this one. It's a filed copy, but this was filed by Ms. Morin; correct? 23 24 Α This is the same brief which I received a Yes. copy of, and it also indicates on the front cover page 25

Debbie Churchill as attorney for Judy. 1 0 Okay. From your interactions with Ms. Morin --2 phone calls, emails, briefing -- is there any doubt in your 3 mind that she knew that Debbie Churchill was the lawyer for 4 5 Judy? Not in my mind. I don't have any question. 6 А Ι 7 can't -- and from my understanding of correspondence and briefs, Tina Morin was addressing correspondence to Debbie 8 9 Churchill as attorney for Judy. Directing your attention to Exhibit 81 in front 10 0 of you. This is a cover letter from Tina addressed to 11 Ms. McCann, but I direct your attention to Page 2 of 3 of 12 that exhibit. 13 14 Take a minute and read that, please. 15 Okay. I've read Page 2 of 3. А From your knowledge of the guardianship 16 0 proceedings, is there some inaccuracies in this letter, or 17 18 sworn statement? 19 Well, I have been involved in this guardianship Α 20 since 2011. I believe that I'm aware of all of the details 21 of the case. I'm in frequent contact with the Bugnis. And there are, in fact, many inaccuracies in this document. 22 23 0 Let me ask you this: Did Bob and Debbie rip 24 apart Judy's marriage? 25 We did, in fact, go through standard procedure in А

a guardianship proceeding in the district court, initiated 1 2 by their children and later taken up by the Bugnis, as I've described. 3

4

0 Right.

The actual decision was made by Judge Krueger 5 Α after he was presented with all of the information, 6 7 including reports of the attorney for Judy, the visitor, a psychologist, and a physician. We had numerous hearings --8 9 and I believe the record indicates six hearings or more, 10 which is very unusual for a guardianship, but I think at least indicates Judge Krueger's diligence in being sure 11 that he was aware of everything going on. 12

In this letter it indicates that Bob and Debbie 13 Bugni are motivated by greed to take her Social Security, 14 15 her inheritance, and so forth. In fact, Judy has been receiving Medicaid. Her Medicaid goes to the Renaissance 16 assisted living facility to pay for her care. And I 17 18 presume she would not be receiving Medicaid for those 19 services if the Medicaid program at the State Department of 20 Health had not taken her application and determined that 21 she had no other assets.

22 Let me ask you this, Steven: Did her half of the Q marital estate have to be spent down back in 2011 to 23 24 qualify for Medicaid? 25

Apparently -- her half would have been spent А

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 down, b	ut apparently they arranged I don't know if she		
	at apparently they arranged i don t know if bhe		
2 was inv	olved or her husband but even the family home in		
3 Butte w	Butte was transferred to their children, which I think, in		
4 folklor	e, is how you become eligible for Medicaid. But as		
5 far as	I knew, Ron was going to stay at home, so it was not		
6 necessa	ry to transfer their home, even, to their children.		
7	But somehow they had Judy's share of whatever		
8 she had	she had had been spent down, so she became eligible for		
9 Medicai	d and that's what paid for her care.		
10 Q	And long story short, is there any money to steal		
11 even if	the Bugnis had wanted to?		
12 A	There is nothing.		
13 Q	As a matter of fact, there is shortfall every		
14 month,	isn't there?		
15 A	There is a shortfall, and even the support which		
16 Judge K	rueger ordered Ronald Lowney, Sr., to pay, he's		
17 never p	aid.		
18 Q	Okay.		
19 A	So, yes, it's Bob and Debbie Bugni who have been		
20 making	up whatever odds and ends that Judy needs.		
21 Q	Whatever Medicaid and Social Security doesn't		
22 cover?			
23 A	Whatever they don't cover, the Bugnis have been		
24 taking	care of.		
25 Q	Okay. And do the Bugnis have any claim on Judy's		

inheritance or Mr. Lowney's retirement? 1 Α I don't know what inheritance there may be. 2 I've not seen or heard of an inheritance. There may be 3 something from her parents from long ago -- and I don't 4 take it they were wealthy people, and if there was 5 anything, it's long since been gone. But -- so all of the 6 7 Medicaid is spent for Judy's care. There's no way for anybody to attach Ron's retirement. I presume that that's 8 9 what he's living on. And he hasn't paid his bills for attorney fees as ordered by Judge Krueger. 10 11 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm sorry, Mr. Shapiro. We're going way far afield here. 12 13 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 14 (By Mr. Moog) Next paragraph down Mr. Lowney 0 15 reports to -- a complaint about the visitation issue. It's true, isn't it, that Judge Krueger limited 16 Ron's visitation to once monthly, if practical; right? 17 18 А Yes. And it was my understanding, clearly, from 19 Judge Krueger, that he was limiting Ronald, Sr.'s access to 20 Judy. 21 Okay. Have you received any reports from 0 22 Renaissance that Judy has not been taken care of, specifically around July 2017? Third paragraph down. 23 24 А I've been to the Renaissance facility myself. Ιt is a very clean, very nice facility on the south end of 25

Helena. And, no, I've not heard of any problems with 1 2 Judy's care. Okay. Fourth paragraph, is Judy imprisoned at 3 0 the Renaissance? 4 It's a home-like atmosphere, and, yes, there 5 Α No. is a lock on the front door, which is designed mainly for 6 7 keeping out persons who are not authorized to be there. 8 0 Okay. 9 Α But she -- she is in there. There's plenty of room to move about. She does have outings. 10 11 Okay. Is it an accurate assessment that 0 Renaissance is an assisted death home? 12 I certainly would not characterize it as such. 13 Α Okay. And this sworn statement is attached to a 14 Ο 15 letter from Tina Morin. Was it clear to you from dealing with Ms. Morin 16 in this case that she was just parroting her client's 17 18 statements? 19 MR. SHERWOOD: Leading. I'm sorry. I did not hear. 20 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: 21 MR. SHERWOOD: Leading. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. (By Mr. Moog) Do you have an opinion about 23 Q 24 whether or not Ms. Morin is just parroting Mr. Lowney's 25 statements?

It appears to me that she is, but obviously I 1 Α 2 haven't been part of those conversations. Well, and that's why your opinion is relevant. 3 Q My opinion is relevant in that Ron Lowney, Sr., 4 А has been very agitated and demanding, and these are the 5 same kind of communiqués that I've received from Ms. Morin 6 7 demanding more visits and demanding that she come home. And Judge Krueger specifically ordered that there shall not 8 9 be unsupervised visits. And we keep getting demands repeatedly that she be dropped off or -- at his home -- or 10 he be able to pick her up and take her to Butte. And that 11 is not happening. The guardians are not allowing that. 12 Okay. And the guardians are -- basically they've 13 Q 14 stepped into a parental role over Judy; is that correct? 15 Α Yes, that is the role of guardians. And Ms. Lowney has the rights and duties as a 16 0 17 minor? 18 MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; leading. 19 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 20 (By Mr. Moog) What is the legal status of 0 21 Ms. Lowney? 22 Α Ms. Lowney is essentially as -- she's essentially in a child-like position. She's subject to the direction 23 24 and control of the quardians. And I think in some of Mr. Lowney's objections, such as the guardians interfering 25

with their marriage, I am aware that the supreme court has 1 specifically indicated that guardians may not interfere 2 with a marriage, and they have not done so. 3 They can't file for divorce? 4 0 No, they cannot file for divorce, but that's the 5 Α restriction. Nevertheless, Judy is subject to the 6 7 direction and control of the guardians, and subject to the exclusion of all other persons who might assert that they 8 9 want to indicate control over Judy. Steven, during the pendency of the appeal and 10 0 thereafter, did Ms. Morin relay concerns to you other than 11 the visitation issue? 12 I received many communications from Ms. Morin 13 Α over the course of -- well, since 2013, I think is when she 14 15 took over the appeal. What's been the general tone of those written 16 0 communications? 17 18 MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; relevance. 19 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I didn't understand the 20 question or hear the question. 21 MR. MOOG: What was the general tone of those 22 communications? CHAIRMAN TALEFF: And your objection was what? 23 24 MR. SHERWOOD: Relevance. 25 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The question is certainly

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

vague, and I'm -- I'm having a difficult time understanding 1 2 the relevance to the four issues that I've identified repeatedly for the parties. 3 But if you can characterize the tone and do it 4 somewhat succinctly, it would be appreciated. 5 THE WITNESS: I'll be brief. 6 7 Rude, obnoxious, argumentative. I tried to respond to these, as indicated in 8 9 those earlier letters which you -- which you showed us, and her communications started coming through email. I -- I 10 11 don't care for email as a means for people to talk things 12 over. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Shapiro, the question --13 I'm sorry to interrupt you, but -- was what was the tone. 14 15 And whether Ms. Morin is rude or discourteous is not one of the issues before the commission. So I'm going to politely 16 sustain the objection and ask you to move on, Mr. Moog. 17 18 MR. MOOG: I will do so. 19 (By Mr. Moog) So these other issues that I've Q 20 talked to you about that -- were happening hand in hand 21 with the visitation dispute; correct? Like the money 22 complaints? We've already touched on that? 23 Α Yes. 24 Was there any merit to Ms. Morin's complaints 0 about the money issue? 25

1	A No.	
2	Q What about the communion issue that's been	
3	addressed?	
4	A She has received communion at the Renaissance	
5	facility. I am not sure if it's by a priest or a	
б	layperson, but I'm advised that she has received communion	
7	Q Okay. And can you briefly address this voting	
8	issue? What happened in November of 2016?	
9	A She did with the assistance of the guardians,	
10	she registered to vote in Lewis and Clark County.	
11	Apparently Ron, Sr., had a concern about her	
12	registration in Silver Bow County, but somehow the	
13	information was conveyed that she was later registered in	
14	Lewis and Clark County.	
15	Q And voted here?	
16	A And she voted in Lewis and Clark County.	
17	Q Are you aware that DRM assisted her in voting	
18	absentee in Butte?	
19	A I don't recall that I'm aware of that.	
20	Q Mr. Shapiro, were you aware back in October 2016	
21	that Genet McCann was visiting Judy at the Renaissance	
22	center?	
23	A I was not initially aware of those contacts.	
24	Q Including the later November meeting then?	
25	A I did not become aware of them until after they	

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

happened. 1 2 And how did you become aware of them? 0 А I think there was a filing by Genet McCann in the 3 district court seeking to interfere in the guardianship. 4 Prior to that time, had you heard from 5 0 Ms. McCann? 6 7 А No. How about from Ms. Morin about that issue, that 8 0 9 petition? No, that was not -- that was not communication 10 А 11 made to me. Okay. And to your knowledge did the guardians 12 Q know about Ms. McCann visiting Judy at Renaissance? 13 No, they were not aware. And they expressed 14 Α 15 their surprise to me when they found out. Did you have to respond to McCann's sixth writ of 16 0 17 mandate? 18 А Yes. Was that a frivolous motion? 19 Q 20 In my opinion, yes. And she ultimately withdrew А 21 it. 22 Okay. Approximately how many hours did you spend Q on responding to that petition? 23 24 MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; relevance. MR. MOOG: Goes to prejudice. 25

CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'll allow it. 1 THE WITNESS: Probably up to 10 hours. 2 (By Mr. Moog) Okay. And was that paid time to 3 Q you? 4 5 Α No. I have been representing the Bugnis pro bono since 2013. 6 7 Q Okay. Do you know whether or not Ms. Morin continues to attempt to contact Judy through a third party? 8 9 А Even as late as about four to six weeks ago, Genet McCann appeared at the Renaissance facility and used 10 11 some kind of misrepresentation to the staff in order to take Judy out of the building in a wheelchair. And our 12 understanding was it was -- well, she took Judy out, and 13 someone observed her signing some piece of paper outside 14 15 the building. It was not Tina Morin present, but it was Genet 16 McCann present. The staff called the police. And as I 17 18 understand it, the City of Helena has filed charges against 19 Genet McCann. 20 And that happened after Ms. McCann was disbarred? 0 21 That happened in about September, but А Yes. certainly she was already disbarred by this body. And the 22 23 supreme court. 24 Have you received any reports that Ron has 0 attempted to contact Judy surreptitiously with legal 25

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

advice? 1 2 MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; competence, relevance. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'd like to hear some 3 foundation. And if it can't be tied to Ms. Morin, what's 4 the relevance? 5 MR. MOOG: Okay. I'll move on. 6 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You can explore it. I just 7 want some foundation. 8 9 MR. MOOG: I'll ask Ms. Morin. (By Mr. Moog) Mr. -- Steven, from your experience 10 0 in the guardianship proceedings after Ms. Morin came on 11 board, does it seem to you that she's able to appreciate 12 the realities of the situation? 13 MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; relevance. 14 Sustained. 15 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: (By Mr. Moog) Does it seem to you that Ms. Morin 16 0 retained objectivity during this litigation? 17 18 MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; relevance. 19 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'll allow that question. It 20 hits pretty close to opinion evidence rather than some 21 actual, factual evidence. So you may answer the question. 22 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat, please? (By Mr. Moog) During this litigation, did it seem 23 0 24 to you that Ms. Morin maintained objectivity? 25 It did not seem like it to me, no. А

		13
1	Q	Do you have any concerns for Mr. Lowney?
2		MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; relevance.
3		CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained, unless unless you
4	can tie	it in to Ms. Morin.
5	Q	(By Mr. Moog) Steven, did Ron submit an ethical
6	grievanc	e concerning you to my office, to your knowledge?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	I'd direct your attention to Exhibit 82.
9	А	I'm looking at Exhibit 82.
10	Q	Have you seen this document before?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Is it Mr. Lowney's grievance against you?
13	А	It appears to be.
14	Q	Does this have any merit whatsoever?
15	А	No, it does not, in my opinion.
16	Q	Do you suspect Mr. Lowney had help drafting this?
17	А	That would be my expectation, as I don't believe
18	that Mr.	Lowney himself would have been able to compose
19	this kin	d of discussion, and including with reference to
20	the	
21		MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; non-responsive.
22		MR. MOOG: There's an objection
23		CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Oh. I'm sorry. I didn't hear
24	an objec	tion.
25		MR. SHERWOOD: I apologize. I objected as

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

unresponsive to the question. 1 2 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: That objection is overruled. (By Mr. Moog) Mr. -- Steven, would it surprise 3 0 you to learn that I was accused of being your agent on 4 5 Friday? Yes, I was surprised. 6 А 7 Q Have you ever requested that ODC take any action in this matter? 8 9 Α No, I have not. Have you ever directed my actions? 10 Q 11 А I have not. Can you tell the commission how you became 12 Q involved in this prosecution? 13 I was representing the Bugnis, Deb and Bob, 14 Α 15 for -- well, since 2013, and I was conveying to them the communications that I was receiving from Tina Morin. 16 And they indicated that they were concerned that I was having 17 18 to deal with all of this pro bono when it really was not 19 accomplishing anything with -- the main thing that was 20 supposed to be accomplished in the guardianship was taking 21 care of Judy. And all of the communiqués that we received from Tina Morin did not help with that. 22 So it was, in fact, Debbie Bugni who filed the 23 24 complaint with ODC and informed me sometime after that she had done so. But I certainly, myself, did not initiate 25

this complaint. 1 And how did you and I become connected? 2 0 I have been a witness and have been available to 3 А you as needed, and I have done my best to not be involved 4 with you at all unless you ask me for something -- like for 5 information, for factual matters, and for, like, my 6 7 interpretation of the guardianship chapter. But other than that, I've been quiet unless you 8 9 ask me for something. And you did not assist the Bugnis in lodging 10 0 11 their grievance? No, they composed that themselves. 12 А Have you had occasion to review Ms. Morin's 13 Q 14 responses to their grievance? 15 А Yes. And that's Exhibits 36 and 78. 16 0 Briefly, do you have any comment on the factual 17 18 accuracy of her responses? 19 I don't know to what extent you want me to go А 20 through all of this, but I -- to me, suffice it to say, and 21 for the sake of the time of the commission, I think I 22 disagree with everything. This started out with a standard guardianship and 23 there were reasons for everything that was done that 24 25 followed standard procedure. And I think that my

1	responsibility to the Court is to present a matter that I
2	have already screened. And I do not want to get into a
3	contested guardianship. I've heard reference at various
4	times in preparation to, like, why didn't we have a jury
5	trial? Well, we didn't have a jury trial, I guess, because
6	I didn't want to get into that. I would not have presented
7	a case to the district court in which I thought there was
8	any question that Judy Lowney needed a guardian.
9	And certainly I needed to follow the procedures
10	to show Judge Krueger that everything was lined up and this
11	was a proper situation in which a guardian should be
12	appointed. But I did not ever think about getting into a
13	situation in which it would be a contested guardianship.
14	And
15	Q When did it become contested?
16	A Well, throughout 2012 and '13, Ron Lowney, Sr.,
17	was agitating at various times for more visitation. And
18	when he couldn't get what he wanted to see his wife as
19	often as he wanted and take her home and everything he
20	wanted to do then I think by the big hearing in 2013, he
21	was asking that he be made the guardian.
22	I don't know that that was even necessary
23	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: But that's the that's the
24	date. 2013. The question was when.
25	THE WITNESS: When? Probably in 2013 was when it

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

got -- started getting out of hand. And certainly by 2014, 1 2 in the appeal, it was getting out of hand. (By Mr. Moog) Okay. In your approximately five 3 0 years of experience dealing with Ms. Morin, do you believe 4 she is a good example of a Montana lawyer? 5 MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; relevance. 6 7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 8 MR. MOOG: Thank you. That's all I have, Mr. 9 Chair. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: We're going to take a 10-minute 10 11 In fact, let's take a little bit longer. Be back break. in your seats at 10 minutes to 3:00, please. 12 We'll be in recess. 13 14 (Proceedings in recess from 2:31 p.m. until 15 2:50 p.m.) 16 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Let's resume. We're back in session at 2:50. 17 18 Mr. Shapiro is still on the stand. 19 Mr. Moog, I'm sorry, I don't recall whether 20 you've concluded your exam or not. 21 MR. MOOG: I have. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. 23 Mr. Sherwood, it's your cross-exam. 24 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1111 25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHERWOOD: 2 So, Mr. Shapiro, we've met; right? 3 Q Α Yes. 4 And I interviewed you at your office; is that 5 0 correct? 6 7 Α Yes. And my investigator recorded that interview 8 0 9 and -- do you recall that? 10 Α Yes. 11 And then I would have sent you a copy of a sound 0 recording of that interview, together with a transcript of 12 13 the interview. 14 Did you receive those? 15 Α Yes. And at one point did I write and ask you if you 16 0 had reviewed the transcript and had any corrections; is 17 18 that correct? 19 А Yes. 20 And did you have any corrections? 0 21 I did not note any specific corrections for you, А 22 but I indicated that certainly I may respond or interpret, depending on your questions. 23 24 Well, did you find any inaccuracies? 0 25 No specific inaccuracies. А

So, Mr. Shapiro, you said, I think repeatedly 1 0 now, that this was done -- this -- this guardianship 2 proceeding was done in a standard fashion. 3 Did you say that? 4 5 Α Yes. And what is a standard fashion? 6 0 7 Α Standard fashion, in my mind, is to prepare a petition to have appointed the necessary parties to examine 8 9 and report upon the alleged incapacitated person and eventually to obtain orders from the district court. 10 11 Okay. And among those orders, do -- is it 0 standard fashion to ask for the appointment of an attorney? 12 13 А Yes. And is it standard fashion to ask for the 14 Ο 15 appointment of private counsel? CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm sorry, Mr. Sherwood. 16 I'm having a hard time hearing you. 17 18 MR. SHERWOOD: You know, I apologize. I'll be louder. 19 20 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: That would be good. 21 MR. SHERWOOD: How's that? 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The courtroom is not set up for 23 a mic at that position, and it's makes it difficult. It's 24 really unfair, but if you wouldn't mind speaking up, I'm absolutely sure the commission and the court reporter would 25

1 appreciate it.

MR. SHERWOOD: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 2 (By Mr. Sherwood) So you -- is it standard 3 0 practice to ask for the appointment of a private attorney 4 to represent the person who is the subject of the 5 quardianship? 6 7 Α The statute provides for various options for appointment of attorney to represent the alleged 8 9 incapacitated person. In my practice, it is customary for the -- the attorney preparing the guardianship to nominate 10 a licensed attorney to the district court, and then the 11 district court makes the decision whether to appoint that 12 13 attorney. 14 So you indicated you've been doing this for a Ο 15 long time, and you used this form that you got out of a form book for the order for Judge Krueger to sign; right? 16 That was the -- the origin of the form for 17 Α 18 appointment of an attorney for the alleged incapacitated 19 person appears to have originated in a state bar form book. 20 And I've used it several times. 21 Okay. And do you have any knowledge of any 0 22 change in the law regarding the language in that form in 23 2006?24 Objection; relevance. MR. MOOG: 25 Overruled. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: If he knows.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

I'm not aware specifically of what 1 THE WITNESS: 2 changes you're referring to. MR. SHERWOOD: May I have just a minute? 3 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yes. 4 5 0 (By Mr. Sherwood) Could you, sir, please take look at Exhibit 2. 6 7 Α I'm looking at Exhibit 2, which is the order 8 appointing an attorney. 9 0 And this is the order we're talking about that you believe had origins in some form book? 10 11 Α Yes. Okay. And we see that it says that Debbie 12 Q Churchill, attorney at law, with her address, is hereby 13 appointed to represent Judith Ann Lowney in the proceedings 14 15 before the Court and shall have the powers and duties of a quardian ad litem. 16 17 Yes, that is what it says. Α 18 Okay. And are you aware of any change in the law 0 19 in 2006 which struck from the law the language at the end 20 of that -- "in the proceedings before the Court and shall 21 have the powers and duties of a guardian ad litem"? 22 I am not aware of the -- aware of any changes in Α 23 the law which you refer to, and -- unless you would provide 24 me with the verbiage of the law so I could review and 25 compare.

Well, I -- if I could show you the senate bill, 1 0 2 but you wouldn't recognize it. You've never seen it before; right? 3 Senate bill? Α 4 5 0 Yes. Probably not, but I may have. I don't know. 6 А 7 Q So it appears -- well, let's go back a minute. So Debbie Churchill. How do you know Debbie 8 9 Churchill? She's a colleague attorney in the Helena 10 А 11 community. And have you ever asked a court to appoint her in 12 Q a guardianship case before? 13 14 А I believe we have participated in more than one 15 guardianship, but I -- I do not recall before or after this 16 one. And has she ever been involved in a guardianship 17 0 in which she asked the Court to appoint you? 18 19 А Yes. 20 How many times? 0 21 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Overruled. 23 THE WITNESS: Probably at least twice. 24 0 (By Mr. Sherwood) Okay. And when that occurred, did you send a bill for your services to somebody? 25

MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 1 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm not sure where it's going, 2 and it's -- I don't know that the question -- I'm sorry. I 3 don't want to inject myself in the counsel, but I don't 4 know in what capacity he was appointed counsel, so... 5 MR. SHERWOOD: Well, were -- let me ask. 6 7 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Were you appointed using a form similar -- based on a motion that was similar to this form? 8 9 А I do not have specific recollection about the form upon which I may have been appointed. I just don't 10 11 remember. Okay. Well, when you were appointed, did you 12 Q receive income as a result of serving in that capacity? 13 14 А In the capacity of attorney for the incapacitated 15 person? 16 0 Yes. Yes, I expect I received some income. 17 А 18 0 So what do you think the role of a guardian ad 19 litem is? 20 MR. MOOG: Objection, relevance, calls for a 21 legal conclusion. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: As phrased, I will sustain 23 that. 24 (By Mr. Sherwood) Well, you represent currently 0 the guardians, Robert and Debbie Bugni. What's your 25

obligation in representing them? 1 I give them legal advice and representation in 2 Α the context of this guardianship. 3 Okay. And would you agree that Rule 1.2 would 4 0 require you to do what they asked you to do within the 5 bounds of ethical considerations? 6 7 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance, outside the 8 scope. 9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. (By Mr. Sherwood) Well, back to Exhibit 2. 10 0 This 11 order says that -- purports to say that Ms. Churchill, or the motion -- well, this is your order here, I quess -- is 12 to serve as attorney for Ms. Lowney; right? 13 14 Α It indicates that the Court is appointing Debbie 15 Churchill to be -- to represent Judith Lowney in the proceedings before the Court and shall have the powers and 16 the duties of a guardian ad litem. 17 18 0 I know it says that. But does that mean she's 19 supposed to play the role of attorney and guardian ad 20 litem? 21 I take it as this order means exactly what it Α says. I'm not familiar with what -- the statute may have 22 been amended in 2006, but I think we also took a look at a 23 24 civil rule that indicated that the district court, at any time, may appoint a guardian ad litem to represent an 25

individual. 1

5

6

I take it as this form indicates that the Court 2 is appointing Debbie Churchill as attorney for Judith 3 Lowney with the powers and duties of a guardian ad litem. 4

And may I expound on that?

Go ahead. Q

7 Α Okay. And I take it, in some situations, the alleged incapacitated person may be unable to express their 8 9 wishes and how to proceed, and this particular attorney, who is appointed to represent that alleged incapacitated 10 person, is given the authority by the Court to make 11 decisions on her behalf. And this attorney is not being 12 placed in a permanent role of guardian, by any means, but 13 it's a temporary order of the Court that this person shall 14 15 be the attorney with the powers of a guardian ad litem.

And I presume that at the time that they exercise 16 those powers, that they will be so advising the Court of 17 18 the person's particular problems so that they are not able 19 to express their wishes.

20 Well, we've seen this, today, footage of Ο 21 Ms. Lowney saying, I want to go home; of Ms. Lowney saying, I want to spend time with my family and my husband. 22

You're aware that she made those representations; 23 24 right? 25

And I understand from all that we've А I'm aware.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

been through that Judy Lowney is an incapacitated person 1 2 who suffers from dementia and multiple sclerosis. And, yes, she expresses that she would certainly like to go 3 home, but she is not able to go home and receive the care 4 that she needs. 5 So standard procedure, in your mind, is that her 6 0 7 wishes are not -- no one advocates for them. Is that what you're saying? Because she is 8 9 purportedly impaired? Not at all. I think that the attorney for the 10 А 11 alleged incapacitated person should duly report to the Court what information that they are able to obtain from 12 that person, and indicate what their wishes are and what 13 14 the concerns of the attorney are. 15 And the attorney then says this is what should 0 happen because it's in my client's best interest. Is that 16 what you're saying? 17 18 Α The attorney, along with the visitor and the 19 physician and the psychologist, are going to report to the 20 Court, and, as in this case, it ultimately had to be the 21 district judge who would put all the information together 22 and make a decision about what would happen with Judith 23 Lowney. 24 0 So is it this person's -- somebody appointed under this order, it's their job to report to the Court 25

that this is in the best interest, and then the Court 1 2 makes -- of Ms. Lowney -- and then the Court makes the decision. Is that it? 3 I do not ever expect that the district judge is 4 А 5 going to buy what anybody presents to them without the judge's own analysis of what the circumstances are. That 6 7 includes the information provided by this attorney for the incapacitated person, along with the information from the 8 visitor, the psychologist, and the physician. It all has 9 to come together. 10 11 So who filed a motion on Judy's behalf that would 0 allow her to attend the hearing in which a ruling was made 12 that she would be placed in an assisted living facility 13 with a locked door? Who filed a motion that said she would 14 15 be even attending that hearing or having anything to say? Who filed a motion on her behalf to say she wants to go 16 home? Did anybody do that? 17 18 Α I'm not aware that anyone did. 19 Right. So this Ms. Churchill, are you saying Q 20 that she didn't have a duty to do that? 21 MR. MOOG: Objection; calls for a legal conclusion. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Overruled. I think the door's 23 24 been opened, and I think -- I keep saying Ms. Churchill's role is a pivotal issue here, so we need to get to that. 25

So please answer if you can. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Can you present the question to me, 3 please? (By Mr. Sherwood) What was Ms. Churchill supposed 4 0 to do, based on this order, if Judy Lowney says, I want to 5 go home; I want to see my husband and I want to live with 6 7 my family. What was she supposed to do based on this order? 8 She was to report to the Court all of the 9 Α information that she was able to gather regarding the facts 10 and circumstances of Judith Lowney, and that included the 11 attorney would also be aware of the information from the 12 psychologist and the physician and the visitor, of what the 13 14 person's circumstances are. 15 So could we go to Exhibit 4, please. 0 And when we look at 4, we see we have a report of 16 guardian ad litem. Do you see that? 17 18 А Yes. 19 And that is filed with a heading of Ms. Churchill 0 and her office; right? 20 21 А Yes. 22 Okay. And would you agree that she does what --Q exactly what you said; she says she reviewed various 23 24 reports -- that's at the second paragraph -- and she 25 reports about taking to people, et cetera. Met with the

quardians --1 2 MR. MOOG: Mr. Chair, this has not been admitted 3 yet. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I was just going to say, are 4 you withdrawing your objection? You're asking the witness 5 about an exhibit that you didn't identify you objected to. 6 7 MR. SHERWOOD: I'm withdrawing my objection. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Is ODC still offering 8 9 Exhibit 4? 10 MR. MOOG: I am. 11 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. Then Exhibit 4 is 12 admitted. MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm going to admonish counsel 14 15 though, as I've said in my prehearing rulings, this proceeding is not going to be an indictment of Debbie 16 Churchill, about whether she did or didn't do her job. 17 18 To the extent that you're going to talk about 19 roles that she may have had as an attorney and as a 20 guardian ad litem, that's fair game. But beyond that, I'm 21 expecting counsel to adhere to my rulings. 22 MR. MOOG: And on that note, Ms. Churchill is out in the hallway and can testify. 23 24 0 (By Mr. Sherwood) So if we could go to Page 5 of that report, sir, and in the last major paragraph it says: 25

1	Based upon the foregoing reports, discussions,
2	attached exhibits, and my personal observations, it is my
3	opinion that Judy is in need of a permanent guardian and
4	conservator to protect her well-being.
5	It is my opinion that it is in Judy's best
6	interests that Bob and Debbie Bugni be appointed as Judy's
7	permanent co-guardians. Furthermore, it is my opinion that
8	Judy remain at Renaissance as long as possible and that
9	continued limited contact between Ron and Judy is not only
10	appropriate but necessary for the court-appointed
11	co-guardians and the co-conservators to perform their
12	duties and protect Judy's placement.
13	She submitted this just prior to the hearing in
14	which there was a determination that the Bugnis would be
15	the guardians, didn't she?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And would you agree with me that what this report
18	says is that she's making a recommendation to the Court
19	based upon the best interests of Judy Lowney?
20	A That would be my understanding of the general
21	purpose of the report.
22	Q Okay. And at the hearing first of all,
23	Ms. Lowney didn't appear; right?
24	A No.
25	Q Okay. And were you aware I think in this
l	

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

report it actually says that Judy wanted to go, but she 1 didn't think it was in Judy's best interest to go to the 2 hearing. 3 Where are you referring? 4 А Let me make sure. 5 0 I apologize. I'm using a different... 6 7 I'll withdraw the question for now. I'll search this on my computer while I'm asking you additional 8 9 questions. 10 So you talked about how fees were paid -- or, not paid, but billed in this case; right? You billed the 11 guardians money for your work; is that correct? 12 No, I did not bill the Bugnis anything. 13 А Did you compile a bill based on your time? 14 0 15 My bill was just piling up in my computer, and А Judge Krueger had issued orders for payment of bills, and 16 they were not paid. 17 18 0 So at one point is it true that both you and Ms. Churchill moved to withdraw from the case? 19 20 I don't recall at what point Ms. Churchill moved Α 21 to withdraw. I moved to withdraw in January of 2012 when I 22 was very ill. The Court denied my motion, and I carried 23 on. 24 0 And when the Court denied your motion, did you have a discussion with Judge Krueger involving any 25

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

assurances that you would be paid if you stayed on? 1 Α The reason that I moved to withdraw had 2 absolutely nothing to do with payment. The reason I moved 3 to withdraw is that I was very ill in January of 2012. 4 Judge Krueger denied my motion. 5 Well, he denied it in -- if I recall correctly --6 Q 7 in August of -- eight months later; is that correct? It may be that's when he got to it, and I 8 А certainly did not push Judge Krueger to do anything with 9 his paperwork. 10 11 And how much was your bill? Round numbers. 0 As of 20 -- it was either 2014 -- it was after 12 А the appeal that -- that my bill was up to \$26,000, and I 13 wrote it all off. 14 15 Q Okay. And how much was Ms. Churchill's bill? Well, I get to cross. It was over \$22,000; right? 16 I -- she -- are you referring to an order or are 17 Α 18 you referring to a bill? I don't know about her bill. Ι know about an order of the Court. 19 20 Well, you both sought approval of attorneys fees 0 21 from the Court. The same order came out. Judge approved about 10,000 for you and about 22,000 for Ms. Churchill; 22 23 right? 24 Okay. And those were orders that were directed Α 25 at Ronald Lowney, Sr., expecting that he would pay, and he

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

did not do so. 1 2 0 Okay. 3 А And I did not pursue the matter. Are -- were you aware that in 2006 and '07 the 4 0 5 public defender system came into Montana? I am aware of the existence of the public Α 6 7 defender system. Okay. And are you aware that the public defender 8 0 9 system, when it came in, there was a system set up for these sorts of situations where an adult is the subject of 10 11 a guardianship proceeding and the appointment of an 12 attorney? MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 13 14 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. This is getting 15 beyond perilously close to violating my ruling that we're not going to talk about whether somebody else should have 16 done the job differently or Debbie Churchill didn't do the 17 18 job appropriately or Mr. Shapiro. 19 The question here it what was Ms. Churchill's 20 role, and we need to stick with that, Counsel. 21 (By Mr. Sherwood) So Ms. Churchill's role, as she 0 perceived in these proceedings, was to act as a guardian ad 22 litem; isn't that true? 23 24 Her role, as indicated by order of the Court, was Α to be attorney for Judith Lowney with the powers and duties 25

of a guardian ad litem. 1 And I do not see a conflict in that sentence. 2 So you perceive that one person can wear two 3 0 hats; be an attorney for -- for Ms. Lowney and be her 4 quardian ad litem at the same time? 5 Α Yes. One person who must be a licensed 6 7 attorney -- licensed by the supreme court of the State of Montana -- who also has the powers and duties of a quardian 8 9 ad litem. 10 And may I expand on what I mean by that? I was trying to get you to do it earlier. What 11 0 does a guardian ad litem do? 12 I think I already had said it. In the situation 13 Α 14 where an alleged incapacitated person may be unable to make 15 decisions on their own, then the attorney has, essentially, expanded duties to make some decisions for them. 16 Can you cite me a rule that says that? 17 0 An ethical rule? 18 An ethical rule? I don't know. I would have to 19 А 20 do some studying. 21 Okay. Well, is what you're telling me just your 0 opinion, not based on any authority you've ever read? 22 You know, I think we looked at the civil rule 23 Α 24 that indicates the court may appoint a guardian ad litem at any time for any case. And the question then becomes, can 25

it be one and the same person? I think, yes, it can be one 1 and the same person. 2 And do you have any -- did you have any legal 3 0 authority for that position when you drafted this order? 4 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 5 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Relevance objection is 6 7 overruled. THE WITNESS: At that time in which I prepared 8 9 that order I was using a standard form provided by the state bar of Montana. And I continued to use that form for 10 11 many years thereafter. (By Mr. Sherwood) Even after '06? 12 0 Even after '06. And you're referencing -- I 13 А 14 think you were getting off onto the tangent of shouldn't it 15 be ODC -- or rather the PD system representing these persons. I interpret those statutes to be protections for 16 the public defenders system. And the statute indicates 17 18 that the public defenders system may represent alleged 19 incapacitated persons in guardianship proceedings, but it 20 is not a mandatory statute indicating that it is only and 21 solely the public defender office that may represent such 22 persons. And that leaves open the --23 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Shapiro, I've ruled that 24 the PD system is not on trial here, so please just answer the question, and if he wants to explore it further, I'll 25

let him do that. 1 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm not trying to be sharp with 3 you or interfere with counsel's examination, but we have a 4 set amount of time, we have some specific issues, and we 5 need to keep focused on those issues. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 7 (By Mr. Sherwood) So you were part of the process 0 8 throughout the proceedings all the way to the -- all the 9 way until now; is that right? 10 11 А Yes. This is ongoing litigation? 12 Q It hasn't been to the court in guite a while, but 13 Α 14 I quess it is an open case. 15 Okay. And during all that time, did 0 Ms. Churchill advocate for what we -- for Ms. Lowney's 16 wishes that we saw expressed earlier, to go home, be with 17 18 her husband, go to communion, those sorts of things? 19 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance, Mr. Shapiro. 20 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. Again, it's outside 21 the scope of the rulings here. 22 As phrased, Counsel, that question is improper, and it's -- the objection is sustained. 23 24 (By Mr. Sherwood) So, Mr. Moog referred you to 0 25 Exhibit Number 1. Could you turn to that, please.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	And Exhibit Number 1 indicates that it went up on
2	appeal right up at the top, status history, it says went
3	up on appeal on $11/18/13$ , and then on $8/14/2014$ the case
4	was closed.
5	Do you see that?
6	A I see that's what it says.
7	Q Okay. And if we go to the long transcript of
8	this that would be Page 6 of 6 we see that document
9	sequence 132. In the middle of the page, 132, it says
10	8/14/2014, that same date that's on the summary, on the
11	status history, we see that that date the remitter was
12	affirmed.
13	That would be that the remitter came down from
14	the supreme court; right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. When permanent guardians are appointed,
17	does the guardian ad litem's duty end?
18	A No.
19	Q So now we have two Bugnis and a guardian ad litem
20	all looking after the interests of Judy?
21	A They were different people with different roles.
22	Q What's the distinction between the role of a
23	guardian ad litem and the role of a guardian?
24	MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance at this point.
25	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Seems to me it's been asked and

1	answered twice. But if you haven't answered that question,
2	answer. And if you think you have, say so.
3	THE WITNESS: I'll be brief.
4	And the the purpose of the guardian ad litem,
5	as indicated from the Latin, it's the guardian; ad litem,
6	for the purpose of litigation. If there was anything to be
7	done in this particular case, that's the attorney that the
8	district judge appointed, and that's the attorney who would
9	act upon it. The Bugnis were the general, overall
10	guardians who had the authority over Judith Lowney to
11	determine her care and living arrangements and so forth.
12	Q So guardian ad litem translated from Latin means
13	for purposes of litigation, of the proceedings?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And on $8/30$ or $8/14/2014$ , we see that these
16	proceedings are closed; is that correct?
17	A Not correct.
18	Q It says closed; remitter affirmed.
19	Was something going on on 8/15/2014?
20	A There have been proceedings going on through
21	in this matter throughout, and I am not aware of Judge
22	Krueger releasing me or releasing Judge I mean, excuse
23	me Debbie Churchill.
24	So my understanding of how the court works is
25	until Judge Krueger were to issue an order releasing these

attorneys, then they are still obligated to participate 1 2 whenever necessary. And is there a second -- this is second; right? 3 0 Is there a Silver Bow County district court local rule that 4 5 says that? А I believe so, but I would have to do some 6 7 research. I don't have an answer for you at the moment. Okay. In fact, there isn't, is there? 8 0 Α I am not going to concur with you that there is 9 not. It is custom, I understand, amongst attorneys in all 10 of the districts that I have practiced in that an attorney 11 is on the case until released by the district judge. 12 So Mr. Moog referred you to multiple exhibits; 13 Q Exhibit 10, in which Ms. Churchill -- Ms. Morin writes to 14 15 Ms. Churchill and talks about how it's in your clients' interest to act in Ms. Lowney's best interest. 16 And you would agree with that; right? 17 18 Α Certainly it is the duty of the guardians to 19 address and do their best to serve Judy Lowney's best 20 interests. 21 And this -- this Exhibit 10 is December of 2013. 0 This would be a couple months after Ms. Morin entered into 22 the litigation; right? 23 24 That's seems approximately the time frame. Α 25 Q Okay. And if we go to Page 2 of that letter, the

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	third from the bottom paragraph, it reads:
2	Ms. Churchill, as her being Judy Lowney as
3	her GAL that would be guardian ad litem.
4	Ms. Churchill, as her guardian ad litem, we are
5	requesting that you step in, look into these issues, and
6	make changes necessary to protect Mrs. Lowney from a
7	further decline and further violation of her constitutional
8	rights. Please make the arrangements for Mr. Lowney to see
9	Mrs. Lowney as previously agreed.
10	So we've got Ms. Morin, two months into these
11	proceedings, identifying Ms. Churchill as a guardian ad
12	litem. Is that true?
13	A That's what she says in this letter.
14	Q Okay. And did she when she had all these
15	complaints about her client, when she's advocating for her
16	client's access to his wife of over 50 years, she comes to
17	you to the point that you're annoyed and a complaint gets
18	filed against her; right?
19	A Against who by who?
20	Q Well, didn't we talk about a complaint being
21	filed by against Ms. Morin?
22	A I did not file a complaint against Ms. Morin.
23	Q I didn't say you did, but one was filed; right?
24	A As I explained, the Bugnis filed a complaint.
25	Q Are you aware of any complaints that Ms. Morin

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	made to Debbie Churchill, asking her to do her job as
2	attorney and advocate for Ms. Bugni's wishes to go or
3	Ms. Lowney's wishes to go home and be with her husband?
4	MR. MOOG: Objection on relevance and
5	argumentative.
6	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The question is is he aware.
7	Overruled.
8	THE WITNESS: Am I aware that Ms. Morin
9	complained on various occasions?
10	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Ever encouraged Ms. Churchill
11	to do her role as the issue here is was Ms. Churchill
12	acting as a lawyer or as a guardian ad litem as a result of
13	your order. And I want to know if you know whether
14	Ms. Morin ever complained to Ms. Churchill and asked her to
15	do her job as an attorney.
16	Are you aware of anything like that?
17	A I do not know. I have no information other than
18	this letter which you referred to. Otherwise, I have no
19	information to respond.
20	Q Okay. But you do know we know, based on this
21	letter, that within two months Ms. Morin had decided that
22	Ms. Churchill was a GAL, for whatever reason; right?
23	A I presume that Ms. Morin had the case record and
24	she would have been aware of the order indicating that
25	Debbie Churchill was appointed as attorney and guardian ad

1 litem.

-	TICC
2	Q So the answer to my question, though, is yes.
3	She's referring to Ms. Churchill two months into her
4	representation as a guardian ad litem. She's identifying
5	Ms. Churchill in April
6	A That's the reference she made.
7	Q Fine. So then if we go to Exhibit 12, you we
8	see that you are responding and denying everything that she
9	alleges. And, of course, do you have a reason why
10	Ms. Morin would be writing these letters to you if she
11	believed that Ms. Churchill was Judy Lowney's lawyer and
12	had a duty to advocate for her?
13	A I have no information. I can't respond to what's
14	in Ms. Morin's mind at the time.
15	Q Okay. But then you said on June this is
16	Exhibit 17. You said you had to send another letter saying
17	that you don't agree with everything, and, again, this
18	letter that was sent to you and to which you're now
19	responding, it wasn't cc'ed to Ms. Churchill; right?
20	A I believe I was responding to a letter I received
21	from Ms. Morin. And I didn't well, you're are you
22	Q You cc'ed Ms. Churchill; right?
23	A Yes, at the bottom of the letter dated March 27,
24	2014, it is copied to Debbie Churchill and the Bugnis.
25	Q But you you were the only one who received

this letter to which you were responding. It didn't go to 1 Ms. Churchill; right? There was no cc? 2 The letter I received from Tina Morin, apparently 3 А she addressed to me. 4 And so you testified about current conditions for 5 0 Ms. Lowney. And I think you said you've been to the 6 7 Renaissance. It's clean, nice; right? 8 Α Yes. 9 It's got a lock on the door? Q 10 А Yes. 11 And you're aware, of course, that the Bugnis have 0 taken away her phone. Is that true? 12 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 13 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, it probably would have 14 15 been irrelevant, but you opened the door, I'm afraid. So I think in the overall scheme of this case and what Ms. 16 Morin's been charged with and what time period, I frankly 17 18 don't see the relevance, but you asked him the questions 19 about her current status, so go ahead and answer. 20 THE WITNESS: I do not know about the phone. 21 (By Mr. Sherwood) Are you aware that they monitor 0 her mail and open it and don't allow it to be delivered 22 sometimes? 23 24 I am aware that they monitor her mail. I don't А know the details of what they may or may not allow her to 25

1 receive.

-	
2	Q Okay. So I think you were pretty adamant on the
3	issue of you said that in these standard proceedings
4	that were had, no one objected to Judy the notion that
5	Judy might be incapacitated; right?
6	A That is my understanding of the proceedings, as
7	there was not an objection to the concept that she was an
8	incapacitated person.
9	Q Okay. And that was that all came together at
10	a hearing in which Ms. Churchill decided that it wasn't in
11	her best interests to even attend. Is that true?
12	MR. MOOG: Objection; calls for facts not in
13	evidence. I think Ms. Churchill needs to testify to that.
14	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained.
15	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Was Ms. Churchill at or was
16	Ms. Lowney at the hearing in which Judge Krueger determined
17	that she was incapacitated?
18	A To the best of my knowledge, she was not present.
19	Q So she wasn't there to object?
20	A She was not present.
21	Q And Ms. Churchill was there and didn't object;
22	right?
23	A Ms. Churchill submitted a report indicating what
24	she indicated.
25	Q And she indicated that it was in the best of
L	

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

Ms. Lowney's -- it was in Ms. Lowney's best interest to 1 stay in the Renaissance and restrictions with visitation 2 with her husband. Is that right? 3 In very general terms, that's part of what she 4 А 5 reported. I think I heard you say -- and I want to make 6 0 sure of this -- on direct that you -- your position at the 7 hearing to determine the future of Judy Lowney, that 8 9 Ms. Churchill joined in your position. Is that fair? Is that what you said? 10 I don't know if that's what I said before, but I 11 А think I can say at this point that, in general, 12 Ms. Churchill concurred with the petition which I presented 13 to the Court, and ultimately the judge made the decision. 14 15 Q You talked about Ms. McCann going to attempt to see Judy lately. I don't think you -- somebody's told you 16 that; right? Reported that? You weren't there when 17 18 Ms. McCann attempted to do it? I had information from the Bugnis and from the 19 Α 20 staff at the Renaissance, whom I talked to directly, and 21 another resident of the Renaissance, who was also present 22 at the time Genet McCann appeared and took Judy Lowney out 23 of the facility. 24 So the answer to my question is yes? 0 25 А I wasn't there, no.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	Q Thank you. Did any of these folks report to you
2	that somehow what Ms. McCann is apparently doing was in any
3	way that Ms. Morin was in any way associated with her
4	behavior, McCann's behavior?
5	A The conduct I can't answer the question in a
6	simple yes or no. May I
7	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The question is, Did any of
8	these people report to you that Tina Morin was responsible
9	or behind Genet McCann showing up at the Renaissance that
10	day? They either did or didn't.
11	THE WITNESS: All they reported was that Tina
12	Morin was in the Helena area at the time this was going on.
13	There were people that observed that.
14	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) That she was in town?
15	A That she was in Helena.
16	Q You talked about how this case became contested
17	and sort of heated up, and I think by that you mean that
18	Ron, who had been had his own mental problems, had sort
19	of resolved them and now wanted to get involved and now
20	wanted to see Judy. Is that fair?
21	A No.
22	Q He didn't want to see he didn't want to see
23	his wife of 50 years?
24	A No. The scenario which you just laid out is not
25	accurate.

1	Q Okay. He didn't want her to come home?
2	A You asked me if I concur in that scenario. I do
3	not. Do you want me to expand on
4	Q No. Did he want her to come home?
5	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Counsel, we've heard this
6	testimony.
7	MR. SHERWOOD: Fine. I'll move on.
8	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Please move on.
9	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Would you agree that there were
10	multiple other occasions in which Ms. Morin starting in
11	that December, a couple months after multiple other
12	occasions in which she wrote you and professed to you that
13	she didn't believe that Ms. Churchill had any role in this
14	case, that it was her belief that Ms. Churchill's role had
15	lapsed as guardian ad litem when the litigation stopped?
16	Do you remember any of those?
17	A No, I do not remember those.
18	Q Are you aware of whether or not there are any
19	current appointments in Silver Bow County in adult
20	guardianship proceedings where the appointment was for
21	the where the attorney appointed was a public defender?
22	MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance.
23	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained.
24	MR. SHERWOOD: May I have just a moment?
25	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yes, you may.

1	In fact, Mr. Sherwood, we've been going about an
2	hour. This might be a good opportunity, so you can well
3	organize your thoughts. So let's reconvene at 4:00; okay?
4	MR. SHERWOOD: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. We'll be in recess.
б	(Proceedings in recess from 3:46 p.m. until
7	3:58 p.m.)
8	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: We'll go back on the record.
9	Mr. Sherwood, you were going to do some follow-up
10	on your cross-exam?
11	MR. SHERWOOD: Yes, your Honor.
12	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: And I for just housekeeping
13	purposes, I need to say for the record that somehow I
14	mispronounced Mrs. Lowney's last name throughout the
15	earlier part of the proceeding. I put an R in it, and for
16	that, I apologize, but that is the person I've been talking
17	about.
18	And although you invoked the exclusion of
19	witnesses rule, I noted that the chief investigator for the
20	Commission on Practice has been seated at counsel table,
21	and I would have made an exception for her presence anyway.
22	MR. MOOG: She's not listed.
23	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: As a witness? Okay. I thought
24	maybe she was.
25	But regardless, she's I think she's there

173 1 necessary for your presentation. 2 Okay. You may proceed. MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 Someone was kind enough to give me a mic, so... 4 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I wish I could take credit, but 5 that was Commissioner Belke's idea. 6 7 MR. SHERWOOD: Okay. Well, thank you. (By Mr. Sherwood) So, Mr. Shapiro, could you go 8 0 9 to ODC Exhibit 16. 10 Α Yes. 11 If you could go to Page 2 of 4 of that letter, my 0 notes indicate that this has been offered without my 12 objection. If I'm wrong, Mr. Moog, I'm sure you'll let me 13 14 know. 15 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Exhibit 16 was admitted without 16 objection. (By Mr. Sherwood) And so if we go to the 17 0 18 paragraph that starts: The Bugnis have indicated that Debbie Churchill is running the show, to use their words, 19 20 and we -- oh, well, this is a letter from Ms. Morin to you; 21 right? 22 It is a letter from Tina Morin to myself. А 23 Okay. And this is on March 14, 2014? Q 24 Α Yes. So this is not only four months after she 25 Q Okay.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	wrote the letter that we talked about earlier about how she
2	thought that Ms. Churchill was the GAL, and so we now have
3	her saying that Ms. Churchill's role as GAL ended as soon
4	as permanent guardians were appointed.
5	So, again, we've got Ms. Morin expressing to you
6	her position that not only was Ms. Churchill not the
7	lawyer a lawyer advocating for Ms. Lowney, but that she
8	wasn't even any longer a GAL; is that correct?
9	That's kind of a long question.
10	A That appears to be her assertion.
11	Q Okay. And then let's see if I can get out of
12	this.
13	If we could go to ODC Exhibit 31, this oops.
14	I'm sorry. I thought this was a letter to you. Never
15	mind. I've got to load this up for myself.
16	So I would direct your attention to this is
17	some email back and forth regarding Ron having visitation
18	with Judy at Christmas, I believe, and if we go to the
19	down the page to Friday, December 30th, 2016
20	THE WITNESS: Excuse me, Mr. Taleff
21	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yeah, what exhibit are you on,
22	Counsel?
23	MR. SHERWOOD: I'm sorry. I believe I'm on I
24	apologize. It's Exhibit 69.
25	THE WITNESS: Mr. Taleff, I've been having a

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

little hard time hearing. 1 MR. SHERWOOD: All right. I'll turn it up. 2 THE WITNESS: 3 Thank you. MR. SHERWOOD: You're welcome. 4 5 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: If you can't hear the question, you need to speak up, because I'm going to assume that you 6 7 have if you respond. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. (By Mr. Sherwood) So I'm directing your attention 9 0 to ODC Exhibit 69, and we see you emailing to Ms. Morin and 10 to Genet McCann on December 30th, 2016. It's down the 11 page. It's after the first email, and then yours is the 12 13 second at 12:34 p.m. 14 And you say to them: 15 As I have stated repeatedly, neither one of you represent Judith Lowney. She is an incapacitated person 16 with court-appointed guardians. 17 18 Is there -- I know it's a long time ago, but when 19 I read that I was wondering why you wouldn't also say that 20 she was represented by an attorney. Do you recall? 21 I do not know the context of -- of what you're А 22 indicating, but I was responding briefly to Ms. Morin's 23 assertions. I indicated that there are permanent 24 guardians. I did not refer to guardian ad litem at all. Ι just didn't refer to her. 25

Was there some reason -- well, did you think, at 1 0 2 that point -- this is in December 30th of 2016 -- did Judy have an advocate? 3 Α I can't hear. 4 Did Judy have an advocate? 5 0 An advocate? To the point that she needed an 6 А 7 advocate in some instances, her advocates would have been her permanent guardians. If there were something going on 8 9 in the district court, then her advocate would have been 10 Debbie Churchill. 11 So was there some reason why you didn't refer 0 these folks to Churchill -- or to Debbie Churchill when 12 they had this complaint? 13 Yes, there is a reason. 14 Α 15 0 Okay. What is it? The reason is I was dealing with repeated, 16 А lengthy emails from Ms. Morin that kept going on and on. 17 Ι 18 was trying to provide a short answer, and the answer did not require referral to any other person. I felt that I 19 20 could handle the response on my own, and I did. 21 After the remitter came down on August 14th, 0 2014, if I recall correctly, do you know what, if any, 22 actions Ms. Churchill took in this case, in the quardian 23 24 case? 25 We would have to go through the whole docket to А

1	see what else occurred after the case was remitted from the
2	supreme court, but at this moment I am not prepared to
3	respond in detail to your question. I do not have a
4	response for you at this moment.
5	Q You don't recall?
6	A I would have to get into the file and the docket
7	and proceed further. I am not prepared at this moment to
8	respond to that.
9	Q Because you don't recall?
10	A Whatever terminology you care to put it with, I
11	don't have that information for you.
12	Q Thank you. Can we go to ODC Exhibit 74, please.
13	Please. That's 74.
14	I apologize. That's not the exhibit to which I
15	wanted to refer you, so but I do have a question
16	about earlier we talked about Exhibit 4, and that was
17	Docket Number 92.
18	Do you recall Ms. Churchill filing another
19	addendum to Report of Guardian Document Number 72 back in
20	May?
21	I know this is an entire deal, and you don't have
22	the pleadings in front of you, but do you recall her filing
23	a pleading back in May in which she mentioned that Judy
24	expressed a desire to attend the hearing, but that she did
25	not want Judy to come to the hearing because it wasn't in

her best interests? Do you have any recollection of that 1 2 document? No, I do not recall. 3 А 0 Okay. 4 5 MR. SHERWOOD: May I approach, your Honor? Or Mr. Chairman, may I approach? 6 7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Are you talking about approaching me or approaching the witness? 8 9 MR. SHERWOOD: The witness. To what end? 10 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: MR. SHERWOOD: I want to hand him this to see if 11 12 it will jog his memory. MR. MOOG: I'm beginning to object on relevance 13 14 grounds. 15 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm going to sustain that. Ι have said I don't know how many times, whether Judy wanted 16 to attend or didn't attend is not an issue in this case. 17 18 We have beaten this horse beyond death. So we need to move on. Objection sustained. 19 20 (By Mr. Sherwood) So, Mr. Shapiro, you -- we've Ο 21 seen the order that the judge signed in response to your motion to have Ms. Churchill appointed in whatever role 22 this order that you drafted purports that she should serve. 23 24 You put her name out there right? 25 I nominated Debbie Churchill to the district А

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

court, and he accepted that nomination. 1 0 Yes. 2 3 А I proposed her name. And when you did so, did you have any 4 0 Yes. expectation that she would somehow act as your agent and do 5 what you wanted to do in this proceedings? 6 7 Α Never. I have always addressed this situation as if someone -- if I nominate someone to be the attorney for 8 9 the alleged incapacitated person, then I generally don't even talk to the attorney until after they have prepared 10 11 their report. You don't ask them if they're available? 12 0 I ask them if they're available, and I nominate 13 А them to the court. And if the court accepts them, then 14 15 they do their work. And, no, I do not convey information about the alleged incapacitated person. That's up to that 16 attorney to figure out. 17 18 0 You expect them to exercise their independent 19 judgment as licensed attorneys and not expect them to 20 follow what you're -- what you want? 21 The role of that attorney appointed by the court Α 22 is to represent the alleged incapacitated person, and my 23 understanding is that they will do so, and I do not direct 24 their activities. 25 Q You don't consider them your agent then?

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 Α Absolutely not. 2 All right. 0 3 MR. SHERWOOD: I have nothing further, Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Moog, any redirect? 5 MR. MOOG: Just a few, Mr. Chair. 6 7 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. MOOG: Mr. Shapiro, referring you to Exhibit 1. 10 Q 11 А Yes, I see it. Is it true that there were several filings 12 0 subsequent to the supreme court's remand? 13 14 Yes, there were numerous filings. Α 15 0 By Ms. Morin; correct? 16 А Yes. And is it true that this guardianship case, just 17 0 18 like any guardianship case, will continue until either the guardianship is dissolved or the ward dies? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 And, Mr. Shapiro, Steven, since 2011, who has 0 spoken for Judy Lowney's interests? 22 It's been -- Debbie Churchill has been the 23 Α 24 attorney and guardian ad litem for Judith Lowney, and her permanent guardians have been Bob and Debbie Bugni. 25

Transcript	of Pro	oceedings
------------	--------	-----------

	±
1	Q And the guardians speak for Judy's interest;
2	isn't that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. MOOG: That's all I have, Mr. Chair.
5	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Questions from the panel.
6	Ms. DeVries?
7	COMMISSIONER DEVRIES: None.
8	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. O'Brien?
9	COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: None.
10	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Ogle?
11	COMMISSIONER OGLE: No questions.
12	COMMISSIONER MENZIES: (Shakes head.)
13	COMMISSIONER MALONEY: (Shakes head.)
14	COMMISSIONER BELKE: None.
15	COMMISSIONER BLACK: (Shakes head.)
16	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Perry?
17	COMMISSIONER PERRY: One.
18	
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY COMMISSIONER PERRY:
21	Q Are your guardians under an obligation to file an
22	annual accounting?
23	A They are under an obligation unless the district
24	judge waives that obligation. In this particular case, we
25	presented one accounting, and then Judge Krueger waived the

1 obligation.

2 Follow up. Was the obligation waived then due to 0 very limited income and qualification for Medicaid, or for 3 another reason? 4 It was our understanding that Judy Lowney had 5 Α already been found by the Medicaid office to be eligible, 6 7 so her -- her funds were established. That was her only source of funds. So unless something changed, the same 8 9 report would have been made to the Court every year. So the Court, at that point, made a decision to waive further 10 11 accountings. When you did the initial accounting after the 12 0 guardians were appointed, was that copied on Debbie 13 Churchill? 14 15 А I don't have the exhibit in front of me, but it is my -- my belief that, yes, in fact, it was copied, and 16 at that time would have been copied to both Debbie 17 18 Churchill because she was still on the file. 19 COMMISSIONER PERRY: Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Faure? 21 COMMISSIONER FAURE: I have nothing. Thank you. 22 23 EXAMINATION 24 BY CHAIRMAN TALEFF: 25 Mr. Shapiro, we've got the docket sheet as Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	Exhibit 1, and we've certainly seen some pleadings. This
2	question is directed just to your recollection.
3	At any time, did Ms. Morin file in the
4	guardianship proceedings a pleading that sought
5	clarification as to the role of Debbie Churchill?
б	A No, there was no such document submitted to the
7	Court.
8	Q Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.
9	MR. MOOG: No questions.
10	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Sherwood, did the questions
11	from the panel engender any additional from you?
12	MR. SHERWOOD: We can plug in the answer to the
13	question about whether Ms. Churchill was served, and so,
14	no, I have no questions here.
15	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay.
16	Mr. Shapiro, you're excused.
17	THE WITNESS: Mr. Taleff, I'm here with a by
18	subpoena, of course.
19	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Then you're released
20	from the subpoena.
21	THE WITNESS: Okay. So do you want me to be
22	available tomorrow, or am I just excused?
23	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You are released. If someone
24	asks you to come back, that's between you and counsel. But
25	as far as the commission is concerned, if you appeared by

subpoena, you have done your duty. You fully complied. 1 You're released from it. 2 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Thank you for your time and 4 5 patience. Will ODC call its next witness. 6 7 MR. MOOG: Certainly, but before I do that, I'd like to direct the chair's attention to respondent's 8 9 witness, Eli Parker, has been sitting out in the hall since 10:00 a.m. this morning. 10 11 I don't think the chair is going to let him testify. He certainly isn't going to be testifying 12 tonight. I'm calling Debbie Churchill next. 13 14 I just wanted to alert the chair that that issue 15 was out there. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, I -- we'll note for the 16 record that there has been some -- some pleadings and at 17 18 least some email communication about Mr. Parker. He was 19 denied as an expert witness. The retort was he would 20 testify as a fact witness. I, of course, don't know what 21 his factual information would be with regard to what I continue to say is the threshold issue here, which is, what 22 was Debbie Churchill's role. 23 24 If he's simply going to answer questions about the public defender system or what Debbie Churchill did or 25

shouldn't have done or didn't do, I'm not going to allow 1 2 that testimony. But I can't say until he actually gets on the stand. 3 So I guess I appreciate that he's here, but it is 4 the ODC's case. So unless you want to somehow allow him to 5 come out of order, I'm saying call your next witness. 6 7 MR. MOOG: ODC calls Debbie Churchill. 8 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. 9 Ms. Churchill, before you sit down, if you'd raise your right hand to be sworn, please. 10 11 (Witness sworn.) CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The chair is for your 12 convenience if you feel more comfortable sitting rather 13 than standing at the podium. 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: And you can change, as long as 16 you lean forward enough to speak clearly into the 17 18 microphone. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you hear me? 20 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I can hear you now. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 22 MR. MOOG: Was she sworn, Mr. Chair? 23 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: She has been sworn. 24 MR. MOOG: Okay. Thank you. 1111 25

Γ

		31
1	I	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DEBBIE CHURCHILL
2	BY MR. MC	DOG:
3	Q	Thank you for your patience today, Ms. Churchill.
4	Is it oka	ay if I call you Debbie?
5	А	Yes, please.
6	Q	Okay. In light of the lateness of the hour, I'm
7	going to	truncate my direct examination.
8		How long have you been practicing law?
9	A	Since 2001.
10	Q	And in which fields?
11	А	In estate planning and guardianships and probates
12	and relat	ted areas.
13	Q	Okay. How many guardianships have you been
14	involved	with?
15	А	I have no idea.
16	Q	Can you ballpark it?
17	А	Probably more than 20.
18	Q	Okay. And do you know Judy Lowney?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	How?
21	А	I was the attorney for Judy in a guardianship
22	proceedir	ng.
23	Q	And were you appointed by the Court?
24	А	Yes, I was.
25	Q	When?

	187
1	A I don't recall exactly, but in 2011.
2	Q Okay. Fair enough. So you've been on the case
3	from the beginning then?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. And there's been some testimony today that
б	Steven called you up and asked you to be nominated.
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. And were you willing?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And have you represented wards before?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And is it true that Judy was determined to be
13	incapacitated in 2011?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And, in fact, was that a contested issue back
16	then?
17	A No.
18	Q Without divulging any attorney-client privileged
19	communication, in your experience with Judy, are her stated
20	interests malleable?
21	A In that no, it's difficult to determine what
22	Judy is trying to communicate. She's speaks very softly
23	Q Okay.
24	A and she mostly agrees with everything you say.
25	So, yeah, I mean, yes. I guess the answer would be yes.

Okay. So depending on who she's talking to, it 1 Q could vary? 2 Α Yes. 3 And Ms. Morin eventually appeared in the case; is 4 0 5 that correct? 6 А Yes, eventually. 7 Q For the appeal? 8 Α Yes. 9 And did Ms. Morin serve her briefs on you as Q counsel for Judy? 10 Initially I was receiving things, but then she 11 А stopped. 12 13 What about the appellate brief, specifically? Q I don't remember exactly if I was served. 14 А Ι 15 believe I was. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibits 84 and 85. 16 Q 17 А Yep. 18 That's you on the cover page; correct? Q 19 Α Yes. 20 As attorney for JAL? 0 21 Yes. А 22 And that's Judy's initials; correct? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 And if you'll turn to the certificate of service, 0 which is Page 30 of 30 of that exhibit. 25

1 Α Yes. Are you served as --2 0 Uh-huh. 3 Α You were served as attorney for JAL? 4 Q 5 Α Yes. And that was as of February 26th, 2014, the day 6 0 this brief was dated? 7 I assume so, yeah. 8 Α 9 And turning your attention to 85, this was the Q reply brief that's been admitted. Do you appear there on 10 the cover page? 11 12 А Yes. And, again, that's Ms. Morin's brief? 13 Q 14 Α Yes. 15 And directing your attention to the certificate 0 of service, which is Page 15 of 16. 16 17 Yes. Α 18 Are you listed there as attorney for JAL? Q 19 Yes. Α 20 As well as her GAL? 0 21 Α Yes. 22 So at least at the time those briefs were filed, Q Ms. Morin knew you were counsel of record; correct? 23 24 Α Yes. 25 Did you have any conversations with Ms. Morin Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

			19
1	around tl	his time period?	
2	А	I believe so.	
3	Q	Over the phone?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	Okay.	
6	А	And email.	
7	Q	And during those conversations, was it evident	
8	that Ms.	Morin knew you were Judy's lawyer?	
9	А	Absolutely.	
10	Q	As a matter of fact, were you awarded fees,	
11	attorney	fees, in this case?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	From Ron?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Do you recall how much?	
16	А	About 20,000 or so.	
17	Q	Okay. Did Ms. Morin raise that issue on appeal?	?
18	А	I don't recall. I don't think so.	
19	Q	Do you recall what issues were raised?	
20	А	No, I haven't looked at the pleadings, so	
21	Q	Okay.	
22	А	It's been a long time.	
23	Q	Okay. Did Ms. Morin know that you'd been awarde	ed
24	fees?		
25	A	I believe so.	

Transcript	of Procee	dings
------------	-----------	-------

1	Q	Do you recall whether or not you assisted
2	Mr. Shap	iro with drafting his response brief?
3	А	Yes, I did.
4	Q	About how many hours did you spend; do you know?
5	А	Boy. I don't remember. It was quite a few.
6	Q	Okay.
7	А	We shared in the job of replying. Yeah.
8	Q	It was a collaborative effort?
9	А	Yes, it was.
10	Q	Okay. After the appeal, were there post-judgment
11	filings?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did Ms. Morin serve you as counsel of record?
14	А	I believe so.
15	Q	Were you aware that Ms. Morin had arranged for
16	Genet Mc	Cann, through DRM Disability Rights Montana
17	to have o	contact with Judy?
18	A	I was not at the time. I am now, but I was not
19	notified	at all.
20	Q	So around the October-November 2016 time frame
21	Tina neve	er asked you whether she could have contact with
22	Judy?	
23	A	No. Never.
24	Q	Genet McCann?
25	A	No.

		19
1	Q	And did you consent to their to McCann's
2	contact	with Judy?
3	А	No.
4	Q	And it's fair to say that you joined
5	Mr. Shap	piro's position during this litigation?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Is that because he directed you to?
8	A	No. I acted independently.
9	Q	Okay. Did you do an independent investigation?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	You talked to Judy?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	You talked to the guardians?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	You talked to the Renaissance?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	There's been some questioning about whether Judy
18	was pres	ent during the hearing in 2013 where the guardians
19	were swi	tched.
20		Do you have any recollection of whether Judy was
21	present	at that hearing?
22	A	Yes, I believe she was.
23	Q	Okay. However, she was not present in 2011?
24	A	No, I don't believe she was.
25	Q	And is that because her incapacity was not

contested? 1 2 Right. And I don't generally -- I mean, I Α generally don't have the incapacitated person appear at 3 that hearing because it tends to be more confusing and 4 disruptive for them. It doesn't really add to the 5 situation. So that would be my normal course, would be to 6 7 just appear on her behalf --8 0 Okay. 9 Α -- at the initial hearing. And you were present back in 2011 on her behalf 10 Ο 11 as her representative? 12 А Yes. MR. MOOG: That's all I have, Mr. Chair. 13 14 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Thank you. Cross-exam? 15 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHERWOOD: 17 18 0 Good afternoon, Ms. Churchill. We've met. You 19 were good enough to speak with me back a while; right? 20 Α Correct. 21 When we did, my investigator recorded the Q conversation. Do you recall that? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 And later I would have sent you, or had sent to 0 you -- I can't remember -- an actual copy of the sound 25

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

recording, digital recording, together with the transcript; 1 2 right? 3 А Yes. And at some point I reached out to you and said, 4 0 do you have any corrections about -- to the transcript? 5 Α Yes. 6 7 Q And do you? I did find that there were incorrect things in 8 Α 9 there, and I didn't take the time to go through and edit and correct it for you. But there were -- there was some 10 11 section of the transcript where it identified the wrong people speaking at the wrong time and things like that. 12 Anything substantive? 13 Q I don't know. 14 Α 15 Okay. Well, so you represented Judy Lowney. 0 There was a court order. We've seen it. And as I 16 understand it, you viewed your role as represent -- as to 17 18 represent her best interests in the proceedings? 19 А Yes. 20 And if her best interests differed from what she 0 21 was asking to have done, then you ignored what she was 22 asking to have done? MR. MOOG: Objection; argumentative. 23 24 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Overruled. 25 It's in your opinion.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	THE WITNESS: Yes. I would just disagree with
2	your statement. I didn't ignore what she said. I took
3	what she said into consideration. I didn't necessarily do
4	exactly what she asked me to do
5	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Uh-huh.
б	A if I didn't think it was in her best interest.
7	So there's a difference; right? I didn't ignore what she
8	said.
9	Q But you didn't advocate for her position if you
10	thought her position was not in her best interest.
11	MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance.
12	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Again, it probably isn't, but
13	I'm going to allow very limited cross-exam on this.
14	I've issued rulings in this case, Ms. Churchill,
15	that your conduct is not on trial here. It's not at issue.
16	What is at issue is what your role was, whether you were
17	counsel or not, whether Ms. Morin knew you were counsel or
18	not, and whether she assisted Genet McCann in having
19	contact with your client without your consent, knowledge,
20	or a court order.
21	So I'm going to allow you to testify as to
22	whether or not you believe this was in her best interest or
23	not or things along those lines, but it's not particularly
24	germane here.
25	THE WITNESS: Well, then maybe we should move on.

1	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: No. He gets I'm going to
2	allow him some leeway, but limit it. So go ahead
3	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? I'm
4	not sure what you were getting at.
5	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Well, there are attorneys and
6	then there are attorneys. Would you agree that sometimes
7	attorneys get appointed to serve as guardians ad litem?
8	A Probably.
9	Q Have you ever been appointed, say, in a dependent
10	neglect hearing or anything like that, to serve as guardian
11	ad litem?
12	A No. I've always been an attorney of record for
13	the incapacitated person or the petitioner.
14	Q But when you served as attorney of record, at
15	least in this case, you perceived your role as guardian ad
16	litem?
17	A I perceived it as an attorney for the
18	incapacitated person.
19	Q Okay. Can you name one thing that you did?
20	Well
21	MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance.
22	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Overruled. Plus, I'm not even
23	quite sure the question was finished, but
24	MR. MOOG: Yeah.
25	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: If there's a question pending,

Counsel, would you please restate it? 1 MR. SHERWOOD: I'll withdraw it and I'll start 2 3 over. (By Mr. Sherwood) I can't find -- tell me if I'm 4 Q wrong. I can't find anything in the record where you -- or 5 in your billing -- where you attempted to find people that 6 7 could assist Judy living in her own home. Did you do anything like that? 8 9 Α No. Did you try to get some sort of counselor that 10 0 11 might be able -- say, a marriage counselor -- that might be able to work out any problems that Judy and Ron were 12 13 having? 14 А No. 15 0 Did you file any motion asking the Court to allow Judy to go home? 16 17 No. Α 18 Did you file any motion asking for more extended 0 visitation for Ron? 19 20 Α No. 21 When you came up here, you said -- your response, 0 22 specifically, was, I was the attorney for Judy Lowney. 23 Α Correct. 24 Is that true? 0 25 А Uh-huh. Yes.

1 0 You used the past tense. Well, no, I'm currently her attorney 2 Α Yes. because I haven't been released by the Court. But I am 3 retiring from the practice of law, so I have filed a motion 4 with the Court to be removed as her attorney. 5 And since the remitter on the appeal about your 6 0 7 \$22,000 in attorney's fees, after that remitter was sent back down, we've seen a document saying that the case was 8 9 closed. Have you done anything on this case since 10 11 August 14, 2014? No, not that I recall. 12 А Does Judy know how to reach you? 13 Q Probably not. I don't think she ever did. 14 Α 15 Does she have your phone number? 0 She probably did, but I don't think she ever knew 16 А how to call me. 17 18 0 Uh-huh. Have you -- you continue to be her 19 Have you reached out to her to see if she has any lawyer. 20 needs for which you might advocate? 21 Not recently. А 22 Well, when was the last time you did? Q I don't recall. 23 Α 24 Was it before the remitter came down in August of 0 2014? 25

1	A Well, there was a point in time when Ms. Morin
2	refused to acknowledge me as her attorney and she just quit
3	serving me. So and we had the new guardians in place.
4	So they seemed to be handling everything and they were
5	represented by counsel, so rather than doubling all the
6	attorney fees and confusing the matter, mostly I took a
7	step back unless something went awry that I was notified
8	of. But everything that I was notified of had was able
9	to be handled by the counsel for the guardians, and it was
10	just a repeat of the past.
11	Q Well, as far as repetition, as I understand it, a
12	visitor was appointed in this case; right?
13	A Well, at the beginning. Yes.
14	Q Yeah. What do visitors do?
15	A They talk to the ward and the person who's
16	alleged to be incapacitated, and they make a recommendation
17	in a report to the Court as to whether or not it would be
18	appropriate for a guardian or conservator to be appointed
19	and whether or not the petitioners were appropriate to be
20	appointed.
21	Q And the the rule that governs the visitor's
22	actions is that they should be acting in the best interest
23	of the protected person?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And that's what a guardian does too; right?
L	

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 Α Yes.

Okay. Do you have any reason -- do you know why 2 0 there was this redundant appointment both of a guardian and 3 a visitor in this case? 4

Well, just so you know, in a guardianship 5 Α proceeding, initially, the Court appoints a visitor and a 6 7 attorney and a physician to determine the facts and report back to the Court. And then the Court takes that into 8 9 consideration in making a determination as to incapacity 10 and the appropriateness for the appointment of the 11 petitioners. And so then the guardian is appointed by the Court after the attorney, the visitor, and the physician 12 have already made their reports to the Court. 13

I may have misspoke, and I -- what I meant to ask 14 0 15 you, if I didn't, was, do you have -- do you have an insight as to why a guardian ad litem, or you, were 16 appointed to serve and do the things that a guardian ad 17 18 litem does, and a visitor was appointed?

I think, if I understand it, the visitor and the 19 20 guardian ad litem do the same thing?

21 No. А

22

What's different? Q

Well, the visitor is usually a social worker in 23 Α 24 most cases, and they come at it from a different 25

perspective as far as their own professional opinion -- or

1	they could be a medical person as to the capacity of the
2	person. They visit their home. They go into more of the
3	personal, social aspects of what's happening with the
4	alleged incapacitated person, and they make their report
5	from their professional perspective.
6	The attorney, which is what I was, basically
7	represents the alleged incapacitated person to make sure
8	that their rights are being addressed and represented
9	within the proceeding.
10	So that's just the general difference.
11	Q So is it fair to say that sometime within a few
12	months of Ms. Morin agreeing to represent Ron Lowney, Sr.,
13	Judy's husband, that there was a series of correspondence
14	between you and she, or at least on which you were copied,
15	in which her position was that your role had been of
16	guardian ad litem, and that that had lapsed when the
17	guardians were appointed.
18	Is that fair to summarize her position?
19	A Well, I would say that initially that wasn't the
20	case, but, yes, it became her position that I was no longer
21	needed and I was not Judy's attorney. And she just quit
22	copying me on the pleadings and
23	Q Well
24	A So I don't know.
25	Q Well, we know that she copied I'm sorry. I

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 cut you off. That's okay. 2 Α We know that she copied you on the supreme 3 0 court --4 5 Α Right. -- but she didn't copy you on anything in the 6 0 7 district court, did she? I would have to look at the pleadings. I don't 8 Α 9 recall. But we definitely had conversations about -- I 10 mean, I talked to her as Judy's attorney, and we had emails. 11 And Tina, for some reason, didn't want to acknowledge me as Judy's attorney and so she didn't. 12 But it didn't change the fact that there's an order appointing 13 14 me as Judy's attorney and there wasn't any order allowing 15 me to withdraw or not represent Judy. But you -- would you agree that ethical rules or 16 0 Rules of Professional Responsibility 1.2 says that you have 17 18 an obligation to do what Judy asks you to do if you're her 19 lawyer? 20 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 21 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 22 (By Mr. Sherwood) Is it fair to say that not only Q did you perceive your role as being someone who would lobby 23 24 for Judy's best interests, but that you expressed that role -- you expressed your belief in multiple pleadings in 25

the guardianship proceeding? 1 2 А Yes. 3 Q Okay. MR. SHERWOOD: May I confer with my client for 4 5 just one moment? CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yes. 6 7 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Did you ever interact -- were you even aware of the name Genet McCann? 8 9 Α No. Okay. She had no interaction with you? 10 Q 11 Α No. Is it fair to say that -- there's been talk about 12 Q other things that Judy wanted. She wanted to vote -- to 13 She wanted to receive communion. 14 vote. 15 Fair to say you weren't aware of any of that because that was after you stopped doing things? 16 17 No, that's not fair to say. А 18 Okay. What is? Q 19 Judy did not ever express those to me. А 20 Uh-huh. 0 21 But I was aware through conversations with Steve А Shapiro that -- when things came up, you know, what was 22 going on. So I was aware that she had requested that, but 23 24 I wasn't involved. 25 You weren't -- you didn't step in and advocate Q

1	for her positions?
2	A Not at that point. That was well past the time
3	that she was adjudicated incapacitated.
4	Q Yes. So you said you continued to be her lawyer.
5	Doesn't she have a right to have you continue to advocate
б	for her?
7	A Yes.
8	Q But you didn't?
9	A I wouldn't I didn't agree with that request,
10	just like I didn't agree with the request to go home when
11	she wanted to go home. I didn't think it was her best
12	interests, and I didn't think Judy had the mental capacity
13	to vote. I figured Ron wanted to vote for her or
14	something. But I didn't think she had the capacity to make
15	an independent judgment of her own, so I didn't pursue a
16	request for Judy to vote.
17	Q The chairman has pointed out that, you know, this
18	isn't some sort of the issue here is not whether you did
19	something right or wrong. And I used to be a prosecutor,
20	and now I do criminal defense, and I do because I'm just
21	not comfortable pointing fingers at people. But is it fair
22	to say that somebody who's looking at the record in this
23	case and the things you did for Judy to come to the
24	conclusion that you really had decided not to advocate for
25	things that she wanted to have done because or that you

just decided not to advocate for the things she wanted 1 2 done? 3 А No, that's not --MR. MOOG: Objection. 4 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Hold it. Hold it. Hold it. 5 Hold it. That's way beyond my order. Counsel, you're 6 7 about crossing the line. Now let's move on. (By Mr. Sherwood) Did -- in Judy's best 8 0 interests, did you ever advise her that she could have a 9 public defender appointed for free? 10 11 MR. MOOG: Objection; privileged. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: So -- absolutely sustained. 12 It's also beyond my ruling. 13 Counsel, I don't know why you keep going there 14 15 after I've told you repeatedly this is out of bounds. Now, ask germane questions, please, or you're 16 going to be done. I'm not going to have this entire panel 17 18 be subject to repeated discussion of issues I've told you 19 are not issues in this case. 20 If you disagree, you can go on appeal. But those 21 are my rulings and I expect you to honor them and stand by 22 them and respect them. Am I clear? Mr. Sherwood? Am I clear on that? 23 24 MR. SHERWOOD: You appear to be clear, sir. 25 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Not appear. I am. So ask

	206	
1	germane questions or you're done.	
2	MR. SHERWOOD: I'm done.	
3	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay.	
4	Mr. Moog, any redirect?	
5	MR. MOOG: I have a couple.	
6	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay.	
7		
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
9	BY MR. MOOG:	
10	Q Ms. Churchill, from my review of Ms. Morin's	
11	pleadings in the matter, it's apparent she did not	
12	appreciate the positions you were taking on behalf of Judy.	
13	A No, she did not.	
14	Q Okay. And probably wanted you to be substituted	
15	off the case?	
16	A Absolutely.	
17	Q Were there any times when Judy's stated interests	
18	were a physical impossibility?	
19	MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; relevance.	
20	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained.	
21	MR. MOOG: That's all I have.	
22	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Questions from the panel.	
23	Ms. DeVries?	
24	COMMISSIONER DEVRIES: None.	
25	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. O'Brien?	

1	COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: None.			
2	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Ogle:			
3	COMMISSIONER OGLE: No questions.			
4	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Menzies?			
5	COMMISSIONER MENZIES: No, sir.			
б	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Maloney?			
7	COMMISSIONER MALONEY: Nothing.			
8	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Belke?			
9				
10	EXAMINATION			
11	BY COMMISSIONER BELKE:			
12	Q At any time did Ms. Morin file any kind of motion			
13	with the district court to modify your position as the			
14	attorney in this matter or to clarify it?			
15	A No, not that I am aware of.			
16	COMMISSIONER BELKE: Nothing further.			
17	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Black?			
18	COMMISSIONER BLACK: I have a couple questions,			
19	Mr. Chairman.			
20				
21	EXAMINATION			
22	BY COMMISSIONER BLACK:			
23	Q At any time did Ms. Morin file a motion with the			
24	Court asking you to prove your authority to appear on			
25	behalf of the ward?			

	208
1	A No.
2	Q Did she ever indicate to you that she was
3	considering filing such a motion?
4	A Not that I recall.
5	Q At any time did Ms. Morin file a motion asking to
6	stay all proceedings until you proved your authority to act
7	on the behalf of the ward?
8	A No.
9	Q Did she ever indicate that she was considering
10	such a motion?
11	A No, not that I recall.
12	Q Did Ms. Morin ever file a motion to ask for
13	relief on behalf of the ward from any of your actions as
14	her attorney?
15	A No, not that I'm aware of.
16	Q Did she ever indicate that she may be considering
17	such a motion?
18	A No.
19	COMMISSIONER BLACK: Thank you. I have no
20	further questions.
21	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Perry?
22	
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY COMMISSIONER PERRY:
25	Q I just want to clarify. I believe my notes

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	reflect that you stated in your testimony you had had no
2	conversations with Genet McCann. Is that accurate?
3	A Yeah, not that I recall did I ever talk to her or
4	hear from her. I believe I first learned about her
5	involvement through Steve letting me know that something
6	had gone on, so
7	Q Okay. So fair to say then that any
8	conversations and by conversations, I mean emails or
9	letters did you receive any emails or letters from
10	Ms. McCann?
11	A I don't believe so.
12	Q So all conversations then regarding your
13	representation or non-representation of the incapacitated
14	person, those were all excluding Mr. Shapiro those
15	all came from Ms. Morin then; correct?
16	A Correct.
17	COMMISSIONER PERRY: No more questions. Thank
18	you.
19	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Faure?
20	
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY COMMISSIONER FAURE:
23	Q Debbie, if I could have you turn to Exhibit 2 in
24	the notebook in front of you.
25	A Hope I don't knock the mic over. Okay.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	Q There's been some question about the nature of	
2	this order, specifically the second paragraph in which	
3	Judge Krueger appoints you to represent Judith Ann Lowney	
4	in a proceeding, and shall have the powers and duties of a	
5	guardian ad litem.	
6	A Uh-huh.	
7	Q Can you explain this order to those of us that	
8	don't practice in this area?	
9	A I don't generally use that language myself, as	
10	between attorney and guardian ad litem. It's always been	
11	attorney. And that order, to me, said that I was the	
12	attorney with the duties or powers of the guardian ad	
13	litem. So I didn't consider myself a specifically a	
14	guardian ad litem. I just it just represented to me	
15	and I think it's sort of some form language that's been	
16	around for a long time to indicate my authority, but not	
17	necessarily what they're trying to say is that I was a	
18	guardian ad litem.	
19	Does that make sense?	
20	Q Yes.	
21	A Okay.	
22	Q As of June 2011, was there a guardian ad litem	
23	appointed for Judith?	
24	A No.	
25	Q Subsequent to that, was there a guardian ad litem	

	21
1	appointed?
2	A No.
3	COMMISSIONER FAURE: Okay. Thank you. I have
4	nothing further.
5	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay.
6	
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY CHAIRMAN TALEFF:
9	Q When you say Ms. McCann or Ms. Morin stopped
10	recognizing you as an attorney, did she tell you why?
11	A Well, she told me why all along, is that she
12	didn't believe I was Judy's attorney. And so I figured
13	after we argued about it and I didn't change my position
14	and she couldn't show any court order where I'd been
15	removed or anything changing the status, I guess she just
16	decided that she didn't want to include me. I don't it
17	was kind of weird. I don't know.
18	Q Okay. Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Moog, any questions
20	engendered by panel's questions?
21	MR. MOOG: No follow up.
22	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Eastwood? I mean Sherwood,
23	I'm sorry.
24	Any questions that you have based on the
25	questions the commission has asked the witness?

MR. SHERWOOD: No, Mr. Chairman. 1 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We will be in 2 recess until 9:00 tomorrow morning. You are excused. 3 (Proceedings in recess at 4:59 p.m.) 4 \* \* \* \* \* 5 6 7 (Proceedings reconvened at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, December 4, 2018.) 8 9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: We'll come to order. This is a continuation of the hearing in the matter of Tina Morin. 10 11 Mr. Moog, you concluded your examination of Ms. Churchill. Do you want to call your next witness? 12 13 MR. MOOG: Subject to my reservation of 14 examination of the respondent, ODC rests. 15 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Mr. Sherwood, call your first witness. 16 17 MR. SHERWOOD: Your Honor, I call Eli Parker. 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. 19 Mr. Parker, if you want to approach the podium 20 and I'll swear you in, and then you're entitled to sit in 21 the chair, if you prefer, to standing, as long as you 22 adjust the mic enough so that we can hear you. 23 (Witness sworn.) 24 //// 1111 25

Γ

			213
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION OF ELI PARKER	
2	BY MR. S	SHERWOOD:	
3	Q	So could you please state your name full name.	
4	A	Eli Parker.	
5	Q	And, Mr. Parker, how old are you?	
6	A	42.	
7	Q	And where do you reside?	
8	A	Missoula, Montana.	
9	Q	And what's your current occupation?	
10	A	I'm an attorney for the public defender system.	
11	Q	And how long have you been an attorney for the	
12	public defender system?		
13	А	Since December of 2006.	
14		CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Parker, could you pull the	;
15	mic a li	ttle closer to yourself? Thank you.	
16		THE WITNESS: How's that?	
17		CHAIRMAN TALEFF: We'll see.	
18	Q	(By Mr. Sherwood) And was that when the state	
19	public d	lefender system as we know it today came into	
20	existenc	ze?	
21	A	I think it was a few months before that, in the	
22	summer,	that it came in.	
23	Q	Okay.	
24	A	June or July of 2006.	
25	Q	And I want to talk briefly about your education.	

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

Where did you attend college? 1 2 Α Cornell College in Iowa. Mount Vernon, Iowa. And law school? 3 0 Missoula, Montana. University of Montana. 4 А So you currently work for the public defender 5 0 system. What is it you do for them? 6 7 А Since probably about November 2011 I've handled the civil cases involving quardianships and involuntary 8 9 commitments. And the public defender system, is that a 10 Ο statewide system now? 11 It is. 12 А There are certainly laws that pertain to its --13 Q how it functions, but are there -- are those laws, do they 14 15 have statewide application? MR. MOOG: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to object to 16 this line of questioning on relevance. 17 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 19 (By Mr. Sherwood) so when you -- you say you Q 20 represent people in -- when there are guardianship 21 proceedings? 22 А Yes. And when you do that, are you appointed? 23 0 24 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 25 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'll allow that question, but

I've made rulings pretrial on this issue, Counsel, so go 1 ahead and proceed. 2 You may answer the question, Mr. Parker. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 4 5 Yes, I'm appointed by the Court, which is under the statute. 6 7 0 (By Mr. Sherwood) And, Mr. Parker, there has been a pretrial ruling that you won't be allowed to testify as 8 9 an expert. We discussed that. If you think I'm asking you a question that is why you do things, I'm not. I just want 10 to ask what you do. 11 12 Α Okay. All right. And so for last seven years, roughly, 13 Q 14 you said you performed that role in Missoula County? 15 Α Yes. 16 0 Okay. And in the last seven years, when there are adults charged with -- or that are subject to 17 18 guardianship proceedings, has any other attorney been appointed to represent those individuals? 19 20 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 21 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 22 (By Mr. Sherwood) There was some discussion Q 23 yesterday about the standard operating procedure in 24 guardianships. Is it standard operating procedure in guardianships for the appointment of private counsel? 25

1 А No. If you were to be appointed to both serve as 2 0 attorney and guardian for a person in a guardianship 3 proceeding for an adult, would you accept the appointment? 4 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 5 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. This is 6 7 impermissible expert testimony, Counsel. (By Mr. Sherwood) Have you ever been appointed to 8 0 9 represent a guardian or a protected person in a quardianship proceeding as both counsel of record and 10 11 quardian? MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 12 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'll allow that question. 13 THE WITNESS: No, I haven't. Specifically, you 14 15 said guardian. I think you meant guardian ad litem. (By Mr Sherwood) I did. I'm sorry. 16 0 And neither. 17 Α Do you currently represent some individuals 18 0 19 that -- in your capacity as attorney for people who are 20 protected people under guardianship proceedings? 21 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 23 (By Mr. Sherwood) When you are appointed to 0 24 represent people as attorney of record, is there any -- do those people get your services regardless of whether they 25

1 can afford to pay or not? MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 2 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 3 MR. SHERWOOD: Well, Mr. Chairman, I don't want 4 to spend a lot of time here in some sort of -- having 5 issues. I would make an offer of proof at this time, 6 7 however. MR. MOOG: Can I voir dire? 8 9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Pardon? MR. MOOG: May I voir dire the witness? 10 11 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: No, I don't think there's any reason to voir dire the witness. Counsel can certainly 12 make an offer of proof. We will let this witness -- if 13 14 you're concluding your examination of him and then making 15 an offer of proof, in order to not have the panel tainted by the offer of proof, I would excuse the panel. If you 16 make the offer of proof on the record before me and 17 18 counsel, then we'll proceed. 19 So if you're concluded -- if you've determined 20 that you can cannot proceed any further with Mr. Parker, 21 that's fine. We'll recess and I'll let you make the offer 22 of proof. 23 MR. SHERWOOD: I have one more question. 24 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: That's fine. Go ahead. 25 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Do you currently represent a

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

millionaire in guardianship proceedings? 1 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 2 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 3 MR. SHERWOOD: Mr. Chairman, I don't want to get 4 in an argument with you, but I do want to establish some 5 things on the record. And I'm happy to wait for the rest 6 7 of the panel to -- or we can leave the panel here and go somewhere. 8 9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, the court reporter is set up here, Mr. Sherwood. So we will --10 11 MR. MOOG: Should I do my cross first? CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You -- I don't know what there 12 13 is to cross, but go ahead. 14 MR. MOOG: I have one question. 15 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MOOG: 17 18 Have you ever practiced in Butte, Silver Bow Q 19 County? 20 Α No. 21 Ever practiced before Judge Krueger? Q 22 Α No. 23 MR. MOOG: That's all I have. MR. SHERWOOD: May I redirect on that? 24 25 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: On that particular line of

questioning, sure. 1 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. SHERWOOD: 4 Are there standards that have been adopted in the 5 0 public defender system? 6 7 А Yes. And are you aware of any standard that excepts 8 0 9 your performance -- in which there's an exception for public defenders for Judge Krueger? 10 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance, argumentative. 11 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 12 MR. SHERWOOD: Then I believe I'm done here. 13 14 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. 15 Mr. Parker, thank you. You're excused. I don't know if you're here under subpoena or 16 17 not. 18 THE WITNESS: I am. 19 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: If you are, you're excused. Ι 20 appreciate your patience. I will note that I don't think 21 the situation in terms of your testimony should come as a surprise based upon some prehearing rulings that have been 22 made, so I'm sorry and apologize that you had to sit 23 24 outside for a day waiting for this. But you are excused. 25 And we'll be in recess while counsel and

1	respondent make this offer of proof.
2	COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: Mr. Chairman, are we going
3	to get a chance to ask him questions or not?
4	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Fair question. All right.
5	COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: After the offer of proof?
6	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: No, we'll do it now.
7	Ms. DeVries?
8	COMMISSIONER DEVRIES: No questions.
9	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. O'Brien?
10	COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: No questions.
11	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ogle?
12	COMMISSIONER OGLE: I have a couple of questions.
13	
14	EXAMINATION
15	BY COMMISSIONER OGLE:
16	Q So it's required, is it not, that in a
17	guardianship proceeding for the alleged incapacitated
18	person to have an attorney?
19	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Ogle, I'm sorry. I've
20	ruled that he's not allowed to testify as an expert
21	witness, so I don't want him examined, if I may, on
22	questions that would amount to expert testimony after I've
23	precluded it.
24	That's why I was hesitant to have questions from
25	the panel.

	221
1	COMMISSIONER OGLE: Okay. I I'm I don't
2	know that this is
3	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Well, that's fine then.
4	Just I want to be consistent with the ruling. But go
5	ahead.
6	COMMISSIONER OGLE: I was just asking him what
7	the statutes required. Is that considered opinion
8	testimony?
9	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I think it is, but go ahead.
10	I've let some of the attorneys testify about it.
11	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you repeat the
12	question?
13	Q (By Commissioner Ogle) Isn't that a requirement
14	in a guardianship proceeding that the alleged incapacitated
15	person have an attorney appointed to represent them?
16	A Oh, absolutely. It would be a due process
17	violation if they didn't have an attorney.
18	Q And is there any necessity that that attorney be
19	from the public defender program?
20	A Either from the public defender or an attorney of
21	the respondent's choosing.
22	Q Okay. So it could be either a private attorney
23	or a representative from the public defender system?
24	A A private attorney of the respondent's choosing.
25	Q Okay.

	22
1	COMMISSIONER OGLE: That's all I have,
2	Mr. Chairman. Thanks.
3	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Menzies?
4	COMMISSIONER MENZIES: No, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Maloney?
б	COMMISSIONER MALONEY: No.
7	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Belke?
8	COMMISSIONER BELKE: No.
9	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Black?
10	COMMISSIONER BLACK: No.
11	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Perry?
12	COMMISSIONER PERRY: No.
13	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Faure?
14	COMMISSIONER FAURE: None.
15	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: And I have no questions.
16	MR. MOOG: One follow-up.
17	
18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. MOOG:
20	Q Mr. Parker, does the statute that speaks to
21	appointment of counsel for a ward, does it also allow a
22	court to appoint an appropriate official to represent the
23	ward?
24	A Yes.
25	Q That's all I have.

1	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. All right.
2	Now, we will be in recess, and we will allow the
3	offer of proof. I would imagine it will take five minutes
4	or less, so please don't go far.
5	MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Parker.
6	(Panel leaves the courtroom at 9:13 a.m.)
7	MR. SHERWOOD: So, Mr. Chairman, you've already
8	ruled pretrial that he cannot be called as an expert, and
9	for purposes of this offer I would iterate that we
10	respectfully disagree, and that if allowed to testify as an
11	expert, he would have testified consistent with the opinion
12	rendered in his in the expert disclosure that I
13	provided. But in addition to that today, Mr. Parker, if
14	allowed to testify, would testify not to as any opinions,
15	but to the standard practice in a statewide system of the
16	public defenders office, and he would testify that since
17	approximately 2006 when the public defender system went
18	into effect, there are new laws governing the
19	representation of adults in guardianship proceedings, and
20	not only new laws, but there was a critical amendment to
21	72-5-315 which struck the language that's found in
22	Mr. Shapiro's proposed order for Judge Krueger, the
23	language that talked about how there's an appointment of an
24	attorney and there and that the attorney is serving as a
25	guardian ad litem. That was Senate Bill 164 from 2006, and

it was labeled as an act to clarify the law. 1 He would also testify that under current law 2 the -- as to -- he was limited -- said in a limited fashion 3 today that all appointments are handled by public 4 defenders. 5 He has not -- does not -- isn't aware, as he 6 7 said, that anybody besides the public defenders office has been appointed to represent a protected person in a 8 guardianship proceeding -- this is on an adult level. 9 And since -- since the inception of the public defender system, 10 I -- and that, pursuant to state law, that person is 11 provided those services free of charge because of a 12 legislative decision that they should not have to incur 13 14 costs or attorneys fees when an attorney has been 15 representing them. He would also testify that he would not accept an 16 appointment, nor is he aware of anybody in his office or 17 18 statewide, that has ever accepted an appointment that -- in 19 which the Court purported to have them represent -- have 20 the appointed attorney represent the protected person both 21 as an -- as the attorney of record, in the role of 22 advocating on behalf of that attorney, and as a guardian ad 23 litem, in the role of looking after that person's -- the 24 protected person's best interests. 25 He would further testify that in his experience

1	of nine years, that no or seven the last seven years,
2	I apologize that the no guardian ad litem had been
3	appointed in any proceeding in which he was involved
4	because it would be redundant. He wouldn't say that here,
5	Judge. I wouldn't have asked him because I wasn't going to
б	ask him any whys any question of why. But he would say
7	that in universally, in those proceedings that he's been
8	involved, a visitor has been involved pursuant to the
9	current statutory provisions in Title 72.
10	I offer this for purposes not of establishing
11	anybody did anything wrong here. I offer it because
12	Ms. Morin was aware of these statutes, as she'll testify,
13	and and that the given the current state of the law
14	and what she saw in the pleadings in the guardianship
15	proceedings, it gave her no indication that
16	Ms. Churchill no reason to believe that Ms. Churchill
17	was playing or serving the role of anything other than
18	guardian ad litem.
19	I'm done.
20	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay.
21	MR. MOOG: May I respond?
22	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You don't need to respond to an
23	offer of proof, Counsel.
24	All right. We will gather the members of the
25	commission back, if you would, Shelly, please, and we'll

resume the hearing. 1 2 (Commissioners enter the courtroom.) CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Back in session with the full 3 members of the commission. 4 Mr. Sherwood, call your next witness, please. 5 MR. SHERWOOD: Your Honor, I call Tina Morin, 6 7 please. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You were previously sworn, 8 9 Ms. Morin, but I think probably for purposes of making sure the procedure is right, let me swear you in again. 10 11 (Witness sworn.) CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You may be there a while, I 12 have a feeling, Ms. Morin. Do you want to get some water 13 14 or something? 15 THE WITNESS: I have some handy. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Well, if you need to 16 step away, feel free to do that to get your water. 17 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. There's a red light on. Is 19 that something --20 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I don't have any idea what that 21 is. 22 MR. SHERWOOD: I think it means your time is up. 23 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Go ahead, Mr. Sherwood. 24 //// 1111 25

	22
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF TINA MORIN
2	BY MR. SHERWOOD
3	Q So, Ms. Morin, you have in front of you a
4	notebook, and I mentioned it yesterday. If you need to
5	refresh your memory based on the information contained in
6	that notebook, please feel free to refer to it, the
7	information.
8	You so there was a brief intro yesterday, but
9	let's begin at the beginning.
10	You're the respondent in this case?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Okay. And what to you do?
13	A I'm an attorney.
14	Q And for how long have you been an attorney?
15	A Approximately 25 years.
16	Q And where were you born and raised?
17	A Arlee, Montana.
18	Q And where do you currently reside?
19	A Butte, Montana.
20	Q And where did you do your undergraduate work?
21	A The University of Montana, Missoula.
22	Q And law school?
23	A University of Montana, Missoula.
24	Q Okay. When you were at the U of M law school,
25	did you aside from just your class work, did you

1	participate in any other activities?
2	A Yes, I did. I was privileged to be a member of
3	the Public Land Law Review, and then ultimately editor of
4	the Public Land Law Review. I was also privileged to be
5	selected to be on the Moot Court team, and I competed at
б	the regionals and national level.
7	Q So I think you already told me, but you were
8	admitted to practice in '92?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q And you discussed it briefly yesterday with
11	Mr. Moog, but when you finished law school, your first
12	employment was with whom?
13	A I began immediately with Poore, Roth & Robinson
14	in Butte.
15	Q Okay. And for how long did you work for Poore
16	Roth?
17	A You know, I worked for them for a number of
18	years, but then eventually I was a partner. And I was
19	there approximately 16 years.
20	Q So at some point you decided to leave Poore Roth?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. And by then did you have children?
23	A Correct. I had two children.
24	Q Minor children?
25	A At the time I left Poore, Roth & Robinson, yes.

I desired to have more time with them. 1 So when you were at Poore Roth, what were your 2 0 areas of practice? 3 Initially, very predominantly it was employment 4 А I was mentored with Don Robinson there. And 5 law defense. we also did a smattering of other things, but then also the 6 7 other area that I focused on was estates and probate. When you left Poore Roth, where did you go? 8 0 9 Α I opened my own law firm. In Butte? 10 Q 11 А Yes. And what -- did you have any areas of emphasis or 12 Q practice, specifics in -- when you went to solo practice? 13 Yes. It certainly has evolved, but initially it 14 Α 15 was including employment defense. That evolved into employment plaintiff's work. I did a fair amount of 16 securities litigation, which was very unusual and very 17 18 interesting. And then I have really evolved into personal injury plaintiff's work. And still doing the employment 19 20 plaintiff's work, but a lot of personal injury. 21 So it is fair to say over the years that you had 0 not done to lot of guardianship proceedings? 22 While at Poore, Roth & Robinson I was involved in 23 Α 24 a fair number of guardianship proceedings that would be 25 attached to an estate or probate proceeding. But in

private practice -- or solo practice, I have been involved 1 in approximately four, I think, guardianship proceedings. 2 I currently represent a young man who's under 3 quardianship with a -- mostly representing him with regard 4 to his stated interests against a difficult trustee. 5 Throughout your career did you -- have you done 6 0 7 pro bono work? Yes. I have an ethical obligation to do pro bono 8 Α work, and I have always met that obligation. 9 And do you represent -- or did you represent 10 0 Mr. Lowney in the guardianship proceedings we've been 11 discussing pro bono? 12 I didn't represent him during the guardianship 13 Α proceedings. I came late to that. And I represented him 14 15 in the appeal of those proceedings. And, yes, it was and 16 has always been pro bono. As far as -- I just want to ask you briefly about 17 0 18 what you do in the world. Do you perform any, oh, let's 19 say, work on behalf of nonprofits or organizations, 20 charitable, et cetera? You talked to me something about 21 board of Safe Space. Could you explain that? There's a facility in Butte called Safe 22 Α Sure. 23 Space. It's a battered women's shelter. I have served on 24 their board of directors for a number of years. I'm not 25 currently on that board. But I was on the board when their

1	address got published and in the Yellow Pages and got
2	them some great security measures.
3	I'm active in two churches. One in Butte,
4	Abundant Life Fellowship, where I have taught Sunday
5	school. My kids work in the nursery. Well, my son doesn't
6	now. He's at the University of Montana right now, but my
7	daughter still does.
8	And then I'm very active in a church in my
9	hometown on the Flathead Indian Reservation, One Voice
10	Children's Church where we provide a meal every Sunday for
11	the kids and services. There's no adult services. Just
12	for kids.
13	Q So you mentioned kids. You have two children?
14	A I do.
15	Q And are they now out of the home or
16	A Nathaniel is 19, and he is currently at the
17	University of Montana. He is a red shirt freshman for the
18	Griz football team. Go Griz. And he is also in the
19	Davidson Honors College, where he is still deciding on his
20	undergrad, but contemplating law school.
21	Q And your daughter?
22	A My daughter Hannah is 15. She is a sophomore at
23	Butte High School. And she is active honor roll, good
24	student great student and active on three varsity
25	sports: Volleyball, basketball, and track.

1	Q In the course of your pro bono work, have some of
2	those cases upon which you worked been assigned to you by
3	district court judges?
4	A Yes. Yes. Butte-Silver Bow has a program where
5	the district court judges have assigned pro bono work.
6	I've been assigned dissolutions. I don't do any work in
7	that area myself, so I have looked more for assignments
8	that don't involve dissolutions. And so I did accept
9	Mr. Lowney as a pro bono case.
10	Q But he wasn't but not as an assignment or
11	appointment by a court?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Okay.
14	A Just as a choice of mine, to comply with my
15	ethical obligation.
16	Q So at one point you met Ron Lowney, your current
17	client?
18	A Correct.
19	Q How did that come about?
20	A You know, he was referred to me and walked
21	through my door one day.
22	Q And did you you met with him?
23	A I did.
24	Q So what was your understanding of the situation?
25	A As he explained it to me, and which I have since

1	confirmed, he had been married 50 years to the love of his
2	life. They'd been together since they were 16, and they
3	had an adult son with mental with a mental disorder,
4	bipolar. He was unemployed, out of work, homeless. He
5	came to the home, wanted his childhood hunting rifles to
б	sell
7	MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance, and also
8	hearsay.
9	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained.
10	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So let's focus a little more on
11	his status with Judy Lowney. Was it your understanding
12	that there had been proceedings, guardianship proceedings?
13	A Yes. While Ron was being held at Warm Springs in
14	an evaluation period he had not been committed and they
15	could not hold him eventually after that legal time period
16	because they found no mental illness while he was there,
17	this same son started guardianship proceedings on his
18	mother. And so when Ron came out of Warm Springs when
19	they had to release him because they couldn't hold him
20	guardianship proceedings had been begun against his wife.
21	Q And apparently Mr. Lowney, your eventual client,
22	wanted you to do something?
23	A Yes. He asked me to get his wife back.
24	Q What was your understanding as to Judy's status
25	at that point?

My understanding at that point in time is that 1 Α 2 permanent guardians had been appointed over her, and the guardians were her brother -- younger brother -- and his 3 wife. 4 5 0 Okay. And did you -- were you able to garner what her residence status was at that point? 6 7 Α Yes. She was being held at the Renaissance living center in Helena. 8 9 And at this point this is all based on solely 0 what Mr. Lowney is telling you; right? 10 11 А Correct. Okay. Other than the fact that he -- that she 12 Q was being held, did he -- at this Renaissance, did he 13 14 represent to you that there were other rights of hers that 15 might have been infringed? MR. MOOG: Objection. Calls for hearsay, also 16 waiving privilege. 17 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm concerned about the 19 privilege aspect of this. 20 Is Mr. Lowney going to testify, Counsel. 21 MR. SHERWOOD: No. 22 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Then I'll sustain the objection. 23 24 (By Mr. Sherwood) So you talked to Mr. Lowney 0 about this? 25

I did. 1 А And do you have his permission to discuss what he 2 0 would have told you? 3 Α I do. 4 5 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Is it in writing? His permission to waive the --6 7 THE WITNESS: His waiver, yes. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Go ahead, Counsel. 8 9 MR. SHERWOOD: Well, I think there was a second to that objection, or second part of that, and that is 10 11 that --12 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: That is hearsay? 13 MR. SHERWOOD: -- that is hearsay. 14 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I wasn't saying you couldn't 15 ask the same question. I'm just saying proceed with your examination. 16 (By Mr. Sherwood) Well, did you, at that point, 17 0 18 take anything Mr. Lowney told you as the gospel? 19 А No. 20 And so are you suggesting that what he was Okay. 0 21 telling you then -- that you're telling this panel that you're offering it for the proof of what he told you as 22 23 being true? 24 А No. 25 So you had this -- discussions with him? Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

I did. 1 А And was it your understanding that there were 2 0 other rights that were being violated? 3 Α Yes. 4 What were they, allegedly? 5 0 He asserted that Judy's constitutional right --6 А 7 of course, he didn't use that language. He doesn't know that language. But that she was being prevented from 8 9 having representation, someone to speak for her. She was 10 being, of utmost importance to him -- and I found out 11 later, to her -- was being prevented from practicing her She's a devout Catholic. We're talking Mass 12 religion. every day, communion every day from the hand of a priest, 13 and church on Sunday, every Sunday. And she was not being 14 15 permitted to practice her religion. She also was not being permitted to have 16 communication with the outside world. Her cell phone had 17 18 been confiscated. Her mail was confiscated. She was not 19 allowed to send mail. She was not allowed to receive mail. 20 She wrote to Mr. Lowney every single day of her distress 21 and of her love for him, and only the letters that her guardians perceived to be somewhat benign were allowed to 22 23 come through to Mr. Lowney. 24 This is all what he was telling you? 0 Correct. But I subsequently confirmed every 25 А

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

single one of those. 1 Okay. So I don't think I'm alone in the world as 2 0 an attorney believing that every crackpot in the world 3 comes to my office, but did he disclose to you that he'd 4 had mental issues? 5 Α I have to grin when you say that because 6 7 professor -- the Duke used to tell us, don't believe everything your clients tell you; your clients will lie to 8 9 you. 10 So, yeah, I had concerns because there were allegations that he had mental illness. And in some of the 11 pleadings I saw there were assertions that he had a long 12 history of mental illness, that he had a long history of 13 violence, none of which was true. 14 15 And I went -- I got the file from Warm Springs. I consulted with two PhD psychologists after the fact, and 16 they confirmed that, yeah, there's no diagnosis of any 17 18 mental illness other than depression, which, as they all 19 said to me, to be -- fully understood; yeah, in this 20 situation, that might be occurring. 21 So who was this Mr. Lowney? What did he do? 0 22 MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance. 23 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: It's innocuous, but I don't 24 know that it's relevant. Go ahead. 25 THE WITNESS: Mr. Lowney is a gregarious

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	extrovert who is a longtime coach and teacher in Butte.
2	And he retired early in order to take care of his wife who
3	had been diagnosed with MS. He had been caring for her
4	with her MS for 20-plus years at the time that they were
5	split up.
6	He currently is just a I think what you would
7	call a character around Butte, in the sense that he attends
8	every sporting event of Butte High, Central, Tech he
9	goes to Dillon and roots and cheers for the kids.
10	Q So when you had your initial consultation with
11	Mr. Lowney, how did he present emotionally?
12	A Exceedingly distressed and very, very fervent in
13	trying to get me to understand how much he loved his wife
14	and how much they wanted to be back together.
15	Q So you had this meeting with him. And did you
16	commit on the spot to represent him?
17	A I did not.
18	Q Okay. I don't really want to know what other
19	people told you, but I want to know who you would have
20	reached out to to check out Mr. Lowney's story.
21	A You know, initially, my main concern was that he
22	was it's ironic that this was my main concern
23	initially was that he might still be represented,
24	because he had had prior attorneys. I knew one of them, so
25	I I ended up reaching out to all of them but

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	initially to Robert Whelan, now his Honor, in Butte, to
2	find out if he still represented Ron, and then also to find
3	out about what he knew about his representation and the
4	facts of the case. And he confirmed to me that he no
5	longer represented Ron, and that he also confirmed to me
6	everything that Ron was telling me as true.
7	When I think about it, it was just such an
8	incredulous story that there was sort of a disbelief at
9	first, but Bob did confirm it was true.
10	Q And was Mr. Lowney, Ron, was he also at one point
11	represented by a William Driscoll in Helena?
12	A Bill Driscoll, yes.
13	Q Okay. So did you reach out to Bill?
14	A I did. Bill was Ron's last attorney. He was the
15	attorney that represented him through the guardianship
16	proceedings and then, at the end of that, had told Ron that
17	he just didn't believe that he could go forward anymore.
18	And so that is why Ron came was looking for an attorney
19	to appeal that decision.
20	So, yes, I did speak with Bill.
21	Q And did you attempt to reach out to a third
22	lawyer at that time?
23	A Yeah. He John Michael Myers up in the
24	Kalispell area was, I believe, Ron's first attorney. I
25	think Bob was second. I heard that from Ron yesterday.

1       But, yeah, I did, and I didn't I did not hear back from         2       him prior to agreeing to represent Ron, but I was         3       comfortable in that simply because I talked to two of the         4       attorneys, and Michael Myers was first. So I knew, since         5       Ron had been represented by Bob and Bill, that it wasn't         6       possible that John Michael was still representing him.         7       Q       And, now, with respect to any concerns you might         8       have had about Mr. Lowney's mental state, I think you said         9       that you reached out and or got documents from Warm         10       Springs?         11       A       I did.         12       Q       And would that have been what, Ron signed a         18       release or something?         14       A       He did.         15       Q       Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr.         16       Schafer?         17       A       I did.         18       Q       And was that because he showed up in those         19       reports?       A         20       A       He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
comfortable in that simply because I talked to two of the attorneys, and Michael Myers was first. So I knew, since Ron had been represented by Bob and Bill, that it wasn't possible that John Michael was still representing him. Q And, now, with respect to any concerns you might have had about Mr. Lowney's mental state, I think you said that you reached out and or got documents from Warm Springs? A I did. Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a release or something? A He did. Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. Schafer? A I did. Q And was that because he showed up in those reports? A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	L
4 attorneys, and Michael Myers was first. So I knew, since Ron had been represented by Bob and Bill, that it wasn't possible that John Michael was still representing him. Q And, now, with respect to any concerns you might have had about Mr. Lowney's mental state, I think you said that you reached out and or got documents from Warm Springs? A I did. Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a release or something? A He did. Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. Schafer? A I did. Q And was that because he showed up in those reports? A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
Ron had been represented by Bob and Bill, that it wasn't possible that John Michael was still representing him. Q And, now, with respect to any concerns you might have had about Mr. Lowney's mental state, I think you said that you reached out and or got documents from Warm Springs? A I did. Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a release or something? A He did. Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. Schafer? A I did. Q And was that because he showed up in those reports? A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
<ul> <li>possible that John Michael was still representing him.</li> <li>Q And, now, with respect to any concerns you might</li> <li>have had about Mr. Lowney's mental state, I think you said</li> <li>that you reached out and or got documents from Warm</li> <li>Springs?</li> <li>A I did.</li> <li>Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a</li> <li>release or something?</li> <li>A He did.</li> <li>Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr.</li> <li>Schafer?</li> <li>A I did.</li> <li>Q And was that because he showed up in those</li> <li>reports?</li> <li>A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs</li> </ul>	
7 Q And, now, with respect to any concerns you might have had about Mr. Lowney's mental state, I think you said that you reached out and or got documents from Warm springs? 11 A I did. 12 Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a release or something? 14 A He did. 15 Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. 16 Schafer? 17 A I did. 18 Q And was that because he showed up in those reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
8 have had about Mr. Lowney's mental state, I think you said 9 that you reached out and or got documents from Warm 10 Springs? 11 A I did. 12 Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a 13 release or something? 14 A He did. 15 Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. 16 Schafer? 17 A I did. 18 Q And was that because he showed up in those 19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
9 that you reached out and or got documents from Warm 10 Springs? 11 A I did. 12 Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a 13 release or something? 14 A He did. 15 Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. 16 Schafer? 17 A I did. 18 Q And was that because he showed up in those 19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
<pre>10 Springs? 11 A I did. 12 Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a 13 release or something? 14 A He did. 15 Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. 16 Schafer? 17 A I did. 18 Q And was that because he showed up in those 19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs</pre>	L
11 A I did. 12 Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a 13 release or something? 14 A He did. 15 Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. 16 Schafer? 17 A I did. 18 Q And was that because he showed up in those 19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
12 Q And would that have been what, Ron signed a 13 release or something? 14 A He did. 15 Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. 16 Schafer? 17 A I did. 18 Q And was that because he showed up in those 19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
<pre>13 release or something? 14 A He did. 15 Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. 16 Schafer? 17 A I did. 18 Q And was that because he showed up in those 19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs</pre>	
<ul> <li>14 A He did.</li> <li>15 Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr.</li> <li>16 Schafer?</li> <li>17 A I did.</li> <li>18 Q And was that because he showed up in those</li> <li>19 reports?</li> <li>20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs</li> </ul>	
<pre>15 Q Okay. Did you attempt to reach out to a Dr. 16 Schafer? 17 A I did. 18 Q And was that because he showed up in those 19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs</pre>	
<pre>16 Schafer? 17 A I did. 18 Q And was that because he showed up in those 19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs</pre>	
17AI did.18QAnd was that because he showed up in those19reports?20AHe did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
18 Q And was that because he showed up in those 19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
<pre>19 reports? 20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs</pre>	
20 A He did. After Ron was released from Warm Springs	
21 because they could no longer hold him legally, he saw this	}
22 Dr. Schafer. Dr. Schafer, his records showed that Ron had	L
23 no no diagnosable mental illness. And but I was	
24 never able to talk with him directly because he had moved	
and we literally couldn't find him.	

	241
1	Q Did you also look at records from a Mr. Mahoney?
2	I don't really want to know what they have to say. I
3	just was that included as well?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. So based on your, I guess, due diligence
б	in checking that out, did you have any concerns about Ron's
7	mental capacity to be a client?
8	A No concerns about his mental capacity. As a
9	human being, I certainly had concerns about the stress that
10	he was under.
11	Q So I think you indicated that you then agreed
12	that you would represent Ron.
13	A I did.
14	Q Okay. And for what purpose?
15	A The stated purpose of the representation was to
16	assist him in being reunited with his wife.
17	Q Okay. But wasn't there an immediate concern
18	about an appeal?
19	A Oh, yes, you're right. Yeah. Yes. He
20	initially, I had to in order to get to the stated
21	purpose of reuniting him and his wife, I had to litigate
22	the appeal.
23	Q All right. So Ron Lowney comes to you, tells you
24	this story. You need to check it out, and you do. Does
25	that leave you much room for as far as time to meet

	242
1	the appeal deadline?
2	A No, it was running. That deadline was running.
3	Q Okay. But you met it?
4	A I did, and I did with the help of Bill Driscoll.
5	He was very gracious in helping me understand the appeal
б	issues because I had not been involved prior.
7	It's hard to come in on a case and just do the
8	appeal when you haven't done the trial and lead-up. But he
9	did help with that.
10	Q So at the point that you filed the appeal, had
11	you reviewed all of the pleadings and record in the
12	guardianship proceeding?
13	A No.
14	Q Had you reviewed enough to where you felt that
15	the grounds on which you filed the appeal were legitimate?
16	A Oh, yes. Uh-huh.
17	Q So you had to file a notice of appeal. When you
18	did, whom did you serve?
19	A You know, I don't yes, it's it is my
20	document, and I signed it, but literally
21	Q Let's take just the notice of appeal first.
22	A I believe on that certificate of service was
23	Steve Shapiro, Debbie Churchill, a woman from Adult
24	Protective Services Marian Murphy, I think, was her
25	name; I can see it and I think there was a fourth

1 person. 2 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm sorry, but if you're looking at a document that I've excluded as an exhibit, 3 that's improper. 4 5 THE WITNESS: I'm not looking at a document, sir. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I don't know what you have in 6 7 front of you, Ms. Morin. It's -- references something to refresh your recollection. There's been no foundation laid 8 9 for you to refresh your recollection. So if you're looking at a document, I'm just telling you I don't think it's 10 11 appropriate. THE WITNESS: I understand, your Honor -- or 12 Mr. Chairperson. I'm not looking at anything. This is 13 14 from memory. 15 (By Mr. Sherwood) Well, you made some notes? 0 16 А I did. And you've got notes in front of you. I want to 17 0 18 make sure we're clear with the chairman. 19 I'm looking at Page 8 of those notes. 20 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Counsel, those notes are not in 21 evidence, and you haven't laid a proper foundation for her 22 to be reviewing notes. 23 MR. SHERWOOD: I thought that I had requested 24 when she took the stand that she be allowed to refer to her notes to refresh her memory. 25

1	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You made a statement. You did
2	not make any such request. And I would have denied a
3	request absent a proper foundation being laid. And you
4	haven't done that yet.
5	And Mr. Moog is entitled to look at those notes
6	if she's going to refresh her recollection. I don't think
7	that has happened.
8	So we're going to proceed under the rules.
9	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Did you make notes?
10	A I did.
11	Q Okay. And do you have them in front of you?
12	A Ido.
13	Q And did you make them because you were concerned
14	that you would not be able to remember everything when you
15	testified and wanted to be able to refer to those notes to
16	ensure that what you were saying is truthful and accurate?
17	A Yes. And clearly right now I can't remember who
18	all was on the certificate of service.
19	Q Okay. But in Page 8 of those notes, did you make
20	a note who you did serve?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay.
23	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: And I also do not want these
24	notes to be a circumvention of my pretrial orders limiting
25	exhibits. So I'm just telling you, Counsel, I'm going to

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

be very careful -- or you need to be very careful. 1 2 MR. SHERWOOD: Well, I don't want to get sideways with the chairman, and so --3 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm not trying to get sideways 4 with you, Counsel. I'm telling you I've made some rulings, 5 and you don't get to circumvent that by creating notes 6 7 whereby she, in fact, is going to testify about documents that I excluded because they weren't timely filed. 8 9 So Ms. Morin can talk about what her recollection is, but if she has to refer to notes that are based on 10 documents that have been excluded, I think that's improper, 11 and I'm not going to allow it. 12 THE WITNESS: If I might help, the document that 13 shows the certificate of service is not excluded. It's an 14 15 ODC exhibit. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, then you should refer to 16 the exhibit. I don't recall the --17 18 MR. MOOG: Notice of appeal is not an exhibit. 19 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: If it is an exhibit, then I 20 suspect counsel can point it out. 21 MR. SHERWOOD: Well, I acknowledge that you have ruled that I can't introduce any exhibits because I was 22 23 late to producing them. 24 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I didn't hold that you can't use ODC's exhibits that have been admitted, so let's 25

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 proceed. That's my ruling.

2 MR. SHERWOOD: I don't want to get sideways with you, Mr. Chairman, and she does have in a second portion of 3 those notes in front of her -- she has in front of her some 4 exhibits that are not ODC's that you said she could not --5 that we couldn't move the introduction of, that are, as I 6 7 said, not ODC's. And I'd like an opportunity to take those out of her notebook so that there's no allegation that 8 9 we're doing something that you've now told me we can't do. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. I'm not telling you you 10 11 have to take anything out of the notebook. I don't want her referring to the notebook and to items that have been 12 excluded. It's simply following the rules of evidence, 13 Counsel. 14 15 You don't need to be worried about getting sideways with me. I'm just adhering to the rules of 16 evidence. And there's a proper procedure, and a document 17 18 is the best evidence of its content, and it should have been introduced as an exhibit and offered. And it wasn't 19 20 timely identified, so it's excluded. 21 You can't get around that ruling by saying she doesn't have a recollection of it unless she looks at the 22 documents and, in effect, testifies about it. So... 23 24 MR. SHERWOOD: Well, I don't want to get her in trouble or me in trouble. I'd ask for a brief recess to 25

1	make sure what's in those notes and documents that she has
2	in front of you are nothing that you said we can't move
3	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: That is fine. And I would also
4	suggest you share that with Mr. Moog so that he knows
5	what's in this documents notebook that she's referring to.
6	We'll be in recess.
7	Do you think 10 minutes will be sufficient,
8	Mr. Sherwood?
9	MR. SHERWOOD: I would hope so, your Honor.
10	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: We'll be in recess until five
11	after
12	MR. SHERWOOD: Actually, your Honor, I have to
13	cross-reference to a computer to make sure that they are
14	ODC exhibits that are remaining. May I have 15 minutes?
15	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You may. We'll be in recess
16	until 10:10.
17	MR. SHERWOOD: And, again, sorry for the "your
18	Honor," Mr. Chairman.
19	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: We'll just take that in stride.
20	(Proceedings in recess from 9:54 a.m. until
21	10:10 a.m.)
22	MR. SHERWOOD: Mr. Chairman?
23	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yes.
24	MR. SHERWOOD: So I presume that you anticipated
25	that Mr I believe that Rule 612 requires that there be

a request by the opposing party to review the notes. You 1 2 anticipated that, and over the break Mr. Moog and/or his co-counsel had indicated that they do want to review Tina's 3 notes. 4 5 We've now removed anything that is contradictory to what you ruled earlier. I do wish, for the record, to 6 7 object to your ruling, in that I don't agree, but I don't see a need to argue. And I believe that Mr. Moog will want 8 to see these before we proceed. 9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, he's entitled to, which 10 11 is my ruling. And you still have to have the requisite foundation laid that she needs to reference that in order 12 to testify. But if you're now ready to show that to 13 counsel, you should do so. 14 15 And then when you're to ready proceed, Mr. Moog, let me know. 16 17 MR. MOOG: (Reviews documents.) 18 I'm ready, Mr. Chair. 19 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. You want to put anything 20 on the record, Mr. Moog, about the notebook? 21 MR. MOOG: No, I think she can proceed. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. We're back in 22 23 recess with the full commission at 10:15. You may proceed 24 with your examination, Mr. Sherwood. 25 (By Mr. Sherwood) So, Ms. Morin, if there comes a Q

1	time when you don't recall because we're going to go
2	through quite a bit a stuff here, legal terms and stuff
3	and you think you need to refer to those notes to refresh
4	your memory, just advise me; okay?
5	A I will.
6	Q All right. Well, I guess, more importantly, you
7	should advise the chair; okay?
8	A Yes.
9	Q All right. So, on appeal, do you recall what the
10	issues were?
11	A Yes. One of the appeal issues, of course, were
12	the fees that had been awarded to Mr. Shapiro and
13	Ms. Churchill. Another issue was that the appointment of
14	these particular guardians and how they were conducting the
15	guardianship was infringing on Judy and Ron's
16	constitutional right to be married, basically to engage in
17	a marriage relationship.
18	Q All right. So at some point you filed a notice
19	to appeal, and you said you served a number of people with
20	that. Did you then file a brief?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. And we saw reference to it yesterday. I
23	don't think we need to that I have to figure out what
24	exhibit it was. But on that brief you identified
25	Mr. Moog or, excuse me Mr. Shapiro and Ms. Churchill

as attorneys, and you identified Ms. Churchill in her 1 2 capacity of representing Ms. Lowney. At that point, when you filed that brief, had you 3 had an opportunity to thoroughly review the entire 4 procedural file? 5 Α No, there was no need to. 6 7 Q Okay. So but when you filed that appellate brief, you did identify Ms. Churchill as attorney for 8 9 Ms. Lowney; is that correct? 10 А Correct. 11 Q Why? I did because -- again, remember, I was not 12 Α involved in this process, so I don't really have an 13 14 understanding of who everyone is. I don't necessarily need 15 to for the appeal because there was no -- no issue that we were taking up on appeal that had anything to do with who 16 was or wasn't appointed at the lower level. 17 18 And so I draft the brief. I have my secretary 19 do, you know, the cover page and the certificate of 20 service. She just pulls out of, you know, the lower 21 filings who should be on that certificate of service. And on there is Steve Shapiro as an attorney for guardians, and 22 Debbie Churchill was pulled off because there was an order 23 24 that -- the caption of the order said order appointing 25 attorney.

1 Q Okay. 2 So it was no -- there was no conscious Α identifying of Ms. Churchill or Mr. Shapiro in any 3 particular role; just they were on the prior pleadings. 4 And so was there a need to comply with any 5 0 supreme court rule as far as service of parties? 6 7 Α Yes, of course. Yeah. Were you being careful that you didn't miss 8 0 9 somebody? 10 I was very careful. А So yesterday Mr. Shapiro identified a document. 11 0 I believe it was December -- do you have their exhibits in 12 front of you? 13 Α I do. 14 15 0 Okay. So could you go to Exhibit 10, please, Tina. 16 17 (Complies.) Α 18 And if we could go to Page 2 of that document, 0 19 please. 20 I have it. Α 21 And I'm referring you to the fourth principal Q paragraph. Do you see where you write, Ms. Churchill as 22 her GAL -- and I presume that would be Judy Lowney's GAL? 23 24 А I see that. 25 So you address Ms. Churchill as her GAL. Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

	252
1	A Yes.
2	Q And you do that as early as December 3rd, 2013.
3	A Yes.
4	Q Why?
5	A Because that is what Judge Krueger's order said
6	she was, despite the caption, and that was the role that
7	she had taken. That was the role that she had exhibited to
8	that point, was as GAL.
9	Q So are you saying that by December 3rd well,
10	tell me whether or not I need by the December 3rd, can
11	you tell me whether or not you'd had an opportunity to
12	review the pleadings file in the guardianship more
13	thoroughly?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. And so based on your review of that, did
16	you come to conclusions as to what Ms. Churchill's role was
17	here?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. And I think I interrupted you. Why don't
20	you just tell us what that was.
21	A Judge Krueger's order, that is entitled something
22	to the effect Order Appointing Attorney, was drafted by
23	Steve Shapiro. And in the the caption says appointing
24	attorney. In the body, it says, will have the duties of
25	guardian ad litem.

1	And knowing that she had operated in the capacity
2	of guardian ad litem, in the sense that she had always put
3	out best interest concepts for Judy, had never advocated
4	for Judy's stated interests and that is the difference
5	between a guardian ad litem and an attorney for an
6	incapacitated person. Guardian ad litem is to be someone
7	who looks at things and reports to the Court and does not
8	have confidentiality to these to the client, and then
9	makes a report to the Court and advocates for best interest
10	of the party.
11	And, you know, Mr. Shapiro yesterday or
12	Ms. Churchill yesterday testified to that effect, that she
13	believed that if what her client wanted was not in her best
14	interest, that it was her duty to go for best interest.
15	And that is the definition of guardian ad litem.
16	Attorney is a different standard of
17	representation. It's stated interest. So, for instance,
18	right now I'm representing a young man who is under
19	guardianship, has a head injury. His trustee wants him to
20	go to Missoula to a month-long brain trauma center. He
21	does not want to go. And I am advocating for him to not go
22	because that is his stated interest. He has a guardian,
23	and the guardian is advocating for what she thinks is best
24	interest.
25	There's a reason for that separation. We are,

all of us, including Mrs. Lowney, entitled to an attorney
 that advocates for our stated interests, has confidence.
 So I identified her here as GAL.

I also know, under the law, that in 2006 -- prior to 2006 there was a lot of meshing of guardian ad litems and attorneys in these types of proceedings. 2006 our legislature recognized that conflict that was occurring and that people's constitutional rights were being violated.

9 So Senate Bill 167 ordered that the language in the statute that said appoint -- can appoint an attorney or 10 11 attorney of their choice and will have the duties of guardian ad litem -- the very language that's in Mr. 12 Shapiro's proposed order that Judge Krueger signed -- be 13 14 struck. It was struck from the statute. And if you go 15 through that legislative history, it was struck for the very reason that you cannot be both attorney and guardian 16 ad litem in a quardianship proceeding. 17

18 So I know that. I know that in 2006 that 19 happened. And I -- I can see the order. It says order 20 appointing. I realize that it's someone who's used a form 21 that he's had for years. He's obviously not aware of the 22 change in the law. But the body does say, duties of a guardian ad litem, and that is how she acted. 23 There was no 24 reason for me to ever suspect that she would, six years after the fact, claim to be an attorney. 25

	25
1	Q So when you filed the notice of appeal and
2	ultimately you filed your appellate brief was that much
3	of an issue to you at that time?
4	A It wasn't an issue at all. It was on no one's
5	radar.
6	Q So you lost on the appellate level.
7	A We did.
8	Q But while you were on appeal, you filed some
9	motions in front of Judge Krueger. Is that fair to say?
10	A Yes.
11	Q When you filed in district court, pending the
12	appeal, did you ever serve Ms. Churchill on those
13	pleadings?
14	A I did not.
15	Q Why not?
16	A I knew and understood her to be the guardian ad
17	litem. And so for purposes of the appeal, which is still
18	considered the guardianship proceedings, she is still
19	appointed as guardian ad litem and still deserving of and
20	entitled to service of those documents, which I did. Very
21	recent supreme court case, 2017, in re AMM, the supreme
22	court doesn't hold it's dicta, but
23	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Counsel, I'm sorry.
24	You're not going to be getting into expert
25	testimony, Ms. Morin. I'm not talking about what happened

in 2017 with the supreme court. 1 So, I'm sorry, I'm going to jump here and say 2 this is irrelevant to the issues before this commission. 3 THE WITNESS: Mr. Chairperson, I'm just trying to 4 give you my state of mind, what I knew at the time. 5 And I knew that guardians --6 7 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Was there an appeal pending in 2017 in the quardianship proceedings? There wasn't. 8 9 THE WITNESS: No. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: So I don't see your state of 10 11 mind in 2017 being an issue. I keep saying we need to focus on what you did with respect to Ms. Churchill and her 12 role at the times that are set forth in the complaint 13 that's on file here. 14 15 So we can proceed. THE WITNESS: So your question was why -- why 16 didn't I serve her then in the district court proceedings, 17 18 right, where I began to file motions or writs of mandate to try to get my client time with his wife? 19 20 Because I know, based on my training --21 (By Mr. Sherwood) At that time? Q At that time, based on my training and education, 22 А that appointments in guardianship proceedings terminate as 23 24 soon as the proceedings terminate. And in this case it's a guardianship proceeding, which means as soon as permanent 25

1	guardians are appointed, the appointments visitor,
2	physician, and guardian ad litem all terminate because
3	there's no need for the redundancy of guardians and
4	guardian ad litem. So that appointment terminated.
5	Q So that was your thinking on why there was no
6	need to be serving Ms. Churchill?
7	A At that point I only needed to serve the
8	guardians through their attorney, Mr. Shapiro.
9	Q This assertion that you made in December 3rd,
10	2013, in contained in Exhibit 10, did you iterate did
11	you repeat that assertion over time to Mr. Shapiro and/or
12	Ms. Churchill?
13	A Yes.
14	Q So I just want to get the chronology down here
15	right.
16	So you come into this case. You talk to
17	Mr. Lowney. There's you do your due diligence and you
18	agree to represent him. You're going to do it pro bono,
19	and you file a notice of appeal.
20	This all occurred when, roughly?
21	A October, November 2013, I believe.
22	Q Of '13?
23	A I believe.
24	Q Okay. And then you go up on appeal and you lose.
25	A Correct.

Do you recall when it was that you -- well, let 1 0 2 me direct you to --And can I correct something, Mr. Sherwood? 3 А 0 Yes. 4 I had to refresh my memory with Exhibit 84, the 5 Α brief of appellant, and we filed that -- I believe the 6 7 notice of appeal was filed in 2013 and then our brief was filed in February 2014. 8 9 Okay. And if you could look at ODC's Exhibit 1 0 if you need to refresh your memory, do you recall when the 10 case came back down from the supreme court? And the file 11 indicates -- the case register, I believe that it's 12 called -- indicates that the matter was closed. 13 14 Do you see that? 15 Yeah. It -- according to this case register, Α August 14, 2014, it's closed. 16 17 Okay. So now let's jump ahead to -- to when it 0 18 was that you reached out to Disability Rights of Montana. 19 Do you remember when that was? 20 Not precisely, but I believe it was in 2016. Α 21 Okay. So we've got remitter comes down, case 0 closed, 8 of '14. There were no -- and it wasn't until 22 sometime in '16 that you reach out to Disability Rights of 23 24 Montana? 25 А Correct.

1	Q Okay. During that time between August of '14 and
2	early '16, do you make do you file anything else in the
3	district court?
4	A I believe I had filed some writs of mandate with
5	Judge Krueger.
6	Q And you feel free to look at ODC 1 if that
7	reminds you.
8	A What were your dates between?
9	Q From the time the remitter comes down on 8/'14,
10	of '14, and the time in early '16 when you're reaching out
11	to Disability Rights of Montana.
12	A Yes. On 11/19/2015 I filed a motion for annual
13	report and request for consistent and regular visitation
14	on I filed briefs to that in December.
15	Q December of which year?
16	A 2015.
17	Q '15. Okay.
18	A Then on December 21st, 2015, I filed a petition
19	for writ of mandate. That would have been to get Judy to
20	be able to be with her husband for Christmas. Well, I
21	should say it differently. For my client to be with his
22	wife for Christmas.
23	Q So you weren't purporting to represent Judy ever
24	here; right?
25	A Never did.

1	Q Okay. When you filed those two pleadings in '15,
2	did you serve Ms. Churchill?
3	A I did not.
4	Q From the time that the remitter came back down
5	okaying the payment of \$22,000 in fees, plus, to
6	Ms. Churchill, did you did Ms to your knowledge, did
7	you have any reason to believe she was at all involved with
8	the case?
9	A No. She was on nobody's radar. She had done
10	nothing. She retained no role in this matter by law. Her
11	role was terminated.
12	MR. MOOG: Objection; asserting a legal
13	conclusion.
14	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained.
15	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Okay. But that was your
16	belief. I think the chair has made it clear that we don't
17	get to argue law, but I want to know what your belief was.
18	A It was my belief and understanding.
19	Q Okay. So you filed these pleadings in late '15
20	and you don't serve her. You served Mr. Shapiro,
21	presumably?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. And as a result of you filing those
24	pleadings and serving Mr. Shapiro, do you get anything from
25	Ms. Churchill?

A No.
Q So it's now December of '16 or, no, excuse
me it's now early '16. And I think well, let me see
if I can refer to a document.
A And, Mr. Sherwood, can I just add that, you know,
another thing informing my belief was Ms. Churchill filed a
report to the Court as GAL. It says GAL report to the
Court. She held herself out to be a GAL.
Q Time frame. When did that occur? Was that back
when the proceedings were still active?
A During the guardianship proceedings, which I then
reviewed as you know, moving forward, trying to figure
out what we could do what I could do for my client. And
I had to read her report to understand some of the things
that had happened, and she does the report as a GAL.
Q Fine. So well, let's let me look here.
Oh, I don't think there's any reason to review the
document, but would that have been the report that was
introduced yesterday and discussed by Mr. Shapiro?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So then it's it's now, say, the first
of the year, 2016. You're still representing Mr. Lowney.
And is he still asking you to see if Judy can come home and
he can visit her?
A Yes.

	262
1	Q All right. So how do you run across the
2	Disability Rights of Montana?
3	A I was in almost weekly contact with Mr. Shapiro,
4	asking, begging that he have the guardians set up regular
5	visitation, because at this point visitation is only being
6	allowed very, very sporadically. Sometimes these people
7	who have been married for 50 years, spent 24/7 together,
8	hadn't seen each other in months.
9	Q That's what Ron is telling you?
10	A Yes. Yes.
11	Q Okay. And so you're urging Mr. Shapiro to take
12	some action; right?
13	A Yes. And
14	Q Okay. So let me do you during all this
15	time, do you write Ms. Churchill
16	A No.
17	Q asking her to take action on behalf of Judy?
18	A There was only I think only one time, and it
19	was just that letter that we were just on, when I thought,
20	possibly, as Judy's prior guardian ad litem, that she would
21	have an interest in, still, her best interest.
22	And we have reports from experts, PhD
23	psychologists saying that the isolation of Judy was harmful
24	to her. Very harmful to her. And one expert wrote that he
25	considered it abuse. So I thought maybe an appeal to her,

1	that maybe she'd step back in, consider stepping back in
2	and helping Judy out. And so I did ask her to, and she
3	she did not respond.
4	Q Well, had you believed that Ms. Churchill's role
5	in the case was to serve as an advocate for Judy's
б	position, would you have written Ms. Churchill and asked
7	her to do that?
8	A Absolutely.
9	Q You didn't?
10	A I did not.
11	Q Never have?
12	A Never have.
13	Q So I think I have, again, interrupted you. I was
14	asking you about Disability Rights Montana. How did you
15	run across those folks?
16	A So we were getting nowhere with Mr. Shapiro and
17	the guardians. They were continuing to deny Judy or Ron
18	access to Judy. We knew that Judy wanted that access
19	because she had would write to Ron and
20	Q So Ron would bring you in things that she had
21	written?
22	A Uh-huh.
23	Q Okay.
24	A Yeah. And on the rare occasion that they did let
25	them get together for a chaperoned lunch according to

Ron; I was not there -- she would cry and beg to be allowed 1 2 to come home. So I'm casting about, trying to figure out -- and 3 Mr. Shapiro repeatedly said to me, You don't represent 4 Never said who did, but said, You don't represent 5 Judy. Judy. 6 7 Q And you agree with that? I confirmed that. I said, no, but -- and he 8 Α 9 would say, Well, you can't know what she wants. Well, yeah, we did have evidence of what she 10 wanted, a lot of evidence. And it's attached to that first 11 petition, the writ, what she wanted. 12 So I just started researching and trying to find 13 14 advocacy groups that advocated for the rights of the 15 elderly and the disabled, and in my research, found Disability Rights of Montana. 16 17 Okay. So Ron is telling you Judy wants a lawyer, 0 somebody to represent her? 18 19 Yes. And she told other people that too. А 20 Okay. And you're getting that from other people 0 21 with whom you're speaking? 22 А Yes. Are these friend of hers that were visiting her? 23 0 24 Α She had multiple friends that would visit Yeah. 25 her, and she would beg them to help her, get her an

attorney, get her some representation --1 2 MR. MOOG: Objection. She's testifying to 3 hearsay. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 4 5 0 (By Mr. Sherwood) So you don't really know if this is all true because you've never spoken to Judy. 6 7 А I've never spoken to her. Okay. Why not go speak with Judy? Did you think Q 8 9 you'd get into Renaissance? These people that had been going and 10 Α No. visiting her -- some are family, some are friends -- as 11 soon as they brought out information about Judy's wishes, 12 they would be banned from visiting at the Renaissance. And 13 eventually there was a list developed by the guardians that 14 15 was at the front door of the Renaissance, and unless you were on that list, you could not get in to see her. 16 17 You don't know that. You're being told that. 0 18 You haven't been to look at the list; right? 19 Well, no, I haven't seen the list. But I was А 20 told by Mr. Shapiro multiple times that neither I nor 21 anyone else would be allowed access to Judy without the 22 guardians present. So this isn't just Ron and Judy's friends telling 23 0 24 you tough to get into see her; it's Mr. Shapiro telling you this? 25

1 Α Yes. 2 0 Okay. 3 Α Yes. So when you're looking for somebody that can 4 0 advocate on Judy's behalf, was there a particular 5 attraction in reaching out to Disability Rights of Montana? 6 7 Α Because -- because we were not -- and "we" Yes. being anyone -- was being allowed access to Judy, I found 8 9 in my research that Disability Rights of Montana has a federal mandate that allows them to go and visit anyone in 10 an assisted living facility on their own and without the 11 permission of guardians. 12 So we heard testimony yesterday that you --13 Q Ms. Zenker, Roberta, indicated that sometime in January --14 15 their records indicated that -- I think it was a phone call was made by you to -- we'll call them DRM. To DRM; okay? 16 Do you -- is that what you did? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. And when you did that, do you remember 0 20 specifically to whom you spoke? 21 I spoke to the woman that works there Α Yes. that's a social worker, Janice Sanderson. 22 23 Q Okay. And you made a report? Yeah. She apparently does their intake. 24 Α 25 Q Okay. We're going to circle back here, but I

just want to ask you right now, did you ever consider DRM 1 2 to be your agent? Α 3 No. Okay. And you're aware the complaint here 4 0 5 alleges that they were your agent? Α Yeah. No, and I also know that there's been 6 7 assertions that I somehow manipulated them. You know, Mrs. Zenker -- that's just offensive. She's -- was a 8 9 classmate of mine. She has the same level of experience as Bernie Franks-Angoy, who's the director, is older than 10 me. 11 me. I didn't manipulate anybody. I called them and 12 asked them, under their federal mandate, if they'd check on 13 14 this woman who was begging for representation. 15 And did you get an immediate response? 0 No. No, they had to do whatever their process is 16 А to look at it. 17 18 Well, if I recall correctly, Ms. Zenker said Q yesterday that she and Ms. Sanderson, I believe, didn't 19 20 really go to visit Judy until that following fall; is that 21 correct? 22 MR. MOOG: That misstates the testimony from 23 yesterday. Objection. 24 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 25 Q (By Mr. Sherwood) See if you can correct me on

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 that. Yeah, they went in. I don't recall when my call 2 А was there. But it was a way -- it was sometime after that 3 that they did decide to go in and visit with her. 4 Okay. And did they report back to you? 5 0 А Yes. 6 7 Ms. Zenker said something to the effect yesterday Q they didn't really find any evidence that the assisted 8 9 living facility was violating Ms. Lowney's, Judy Lowney's, Is that your recall? 10 rights. 11 The facility's defense of confiscating her А Yes. mail, not receiving mail, and telling the mail person that 12 she was a vegetable and couldn't receive mail, was that 13 they were under orders from the guardian to confiscate 14 15 mail. And that's what they would follow. MR. MOOG: Objection. She's testifying to 16 17 hearsay. 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, I don't think it's quite an accurate recital of Ms. Zenker's testimony. I'd say 19 20 that's your recollection of it, but I don't see -- anyway, 21 that objection is overruled. 22 (By Mr. Sherwood) I'm going to divert you again Q one more time here. 23 24 Ms. Zenker testified yesterday that you had 25 written or there was some correspondence about -- you had

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

gotten some information that maybe -- there was an argument 1 that Ms. Zenker had a conflict here. 2 Do you recall that? 3 Α I do. 4 Okay. And so somebody had told you something 5 0 about -- that led you to believe that Ms. Zenker might have 6 7 a conflict? MR. MOOG: Objection; calls for hearsay. 8 9 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: So far she hasn't said anything 10 to --11 MR. MOOG: Yes, the question calls --CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Overruled. When she starts 12 13 talking about someone else's statement, then you can make 14 that objection. 15 (By Mr. Sherwood) So you acted out on something 0 someone told you; is that right? 16 17 А I did. I asked if there was an issue. 18 And did you think that you had to raise that in 0 the interest of zealously representing Ron? 19 20 Α And I think that's one of the things Yeah. 21 that's just really been lost here is I had a client. I was representing a client through all of this, and it was Ron 22 23 Lowney. But, yeah, I had to ask. 24 Did you figure out later that you were 0 misinformed about the conflict? 25

1 Α Yes. Did you apologize to Ms. Zenker? 2 0 I sure did. 3 А So one of the commission members asked an 4 0 Okay. astute question yesterday about how -- well, how did we get 5 from the -- a possibility that Judy's rights are being 6 7 violated by the facility in which she's being held to advocating for Judy to get -- to be able to arrange for 8 9 private counsel. 10 Could you explain that evolution? 11 I was being informed by my client, and I Α Sure. was getting confirmation of what he was reporting to me, 12 that Judy's constitutional rights were being violated. Not 13 14 just -- this wasn't like an abuse and neglect issue. This 15 was different, constitutional rights being violated, and that she was asking for representation. And given the fact 16 that the quardians had made it so that no one could have 17 18 access to her, no -- no person, no attorney could go in and 19 say, Do you want representation? No one could get to her. 20 So in discussing that with Disability Rights of 21 Montana, they said, Yeah, we -- we can, under our federal mandate, have someone go in there and confirm or not 22 23 confirm if she wants representation. So they did agree to 24 do that and --When they did, was that their call or 25 Q Hold up.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

your call? 1 2 I can't force them to do anything under their Α federal mandate. It was their call. I certainly made the 3 request, as many people do who take part in their services, 4 but it was their call. 5 Okay. And at some point -- going to jump 6 0 7 ahead -- did you write them a letter saying, Geez, I really want you to do this and this and this? 8 9 А Sure. And did they respond saying --10 Q 11 They responded --А -- we're not doing your bidding? 12 Q We don't do your bidding; we don't have the 13 А resources, whatever. But they did go in, confirm that she 14 15 wanted representation, and facilitated getting her representation. 16 17 Okay. So now Judy had to get a lawyer. 0 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. As far as you know she doesn't have a cell Q 20 phone. 21 А Yes. 22 And did you have any discussions with Ms. Zenker Q or anybody at DRM about maybe they would find somebody to 23 24 serve as a lawyer? 25 Oh, yes, and that discussion was more with Bernie А

1	Franks-Angoy, the director. She was just taken with the
2	case and desperately wanted to help and told me that they
3	often contracted with outside counsel because they just
4	didn't have the resources.
5	So she contacted, as far as I know, two attorneys
б	to see one was a woman who had retired from Disability
7	Rights of Montana. Another one was just a private
8	attorney.
9	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: This seems hearsay.
10	MR. MOOG: Objection.
11	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: And, I'm sorry, but we are
12	running out of time, and I do not want to you know, I'm
13	going to inject myself here. That's hearsay.
14	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So let me I should have cut
15	you off because it doesn't really matter, Tina.
16	So were they able to get anybody in-house?
17	A They were not.
18	Q Okay. So what did you do?
19	A She Bernie had told me that
20	Q Don't tell me what Bernie told you.
21	What did you do?
22	A I asked, if I could find someone, would they
23	accept that person and use that person and provide that
24	person with their federal mandate for access, and they said
25	yes.

Okay. So you went looking for somebody? 1 Q 2 I did. Α Well, let's now talk about -- did you --3 Q Okay. how many lawyers did you contact? 4 I -- I don't know how many. Multiple. 5 Α Multiple. And was one of them Genet McCann? 6 Q 7 Α Yes. Okay. Did you have any sort of history with 8 0 9 Genet McCann? Had you done cases with her? Had she referred cases to you? Anything? 10 11 Α I only knew her -- I got a cold call from No. her at one point in time asking me to represent her in a 12 family matter. And it wasn't something that I was 13 interested in doing, and so I had declined. 14 15 So I tried her. Met her on the phone? 16 Q Correct. 17 А 18 Okay. When DRM said, Look, we just haven't -- we Q 19 can't get anybody in-house or to come in as a resource, did 20 you -- did you discuss with Ron a possibility that he could 21 engage the services of an attorney to represent Judy? 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. And was that the plan that you were 0 24 proceeding on when you were reaching out to these lawyers? 25 А Yes.

Okay. And so when you reached out to Ms. McCann, 1 0 was it on the terms that, Look, my client Ron is asking for 2 somebody -- to be able to hire somebody to represent his 3 wife? 4 5 Α Yes. Okay. Did Ms. McCann ever work for you? 6 Q 7 Α No. And I think you said you never had done any work 8 0 9 for her? At that point. I did later. 10 Α Okay. When you spoke with Ms. McCann, was -- was 11 0 there any condition that said, Geez, Ms. McCann, if -- if 12 13 Ron hires you, you have to understand that your job is to do his bidding. 14 15 I'm sorry. I lost your question. Α 16 0 Well, so she's being -- it happens a lot. A wants an attorney, but B pays for it. 17 18 А Yes. 19 Did you ever tell Ms. McCann that there was some 0 20 kind of glitch or some kind of condition saying, Well, 21 look, if you end up representing Judy that you have to do what Ron wants. 22 23 No, that was never said to her. There was a Α discussion with my client about -- I'm very familiar with, 24 25 you know, representation like this where one party pays but

1	you actually represent the other party.
2	Q Right.
3	A It happens a lot in insurance defense cases;
4	right? Insurance company is paying the bill, but your
5	client is the insured. Same thing, you know, if the
6	parents are paying the bill and the kid is the insured.
7	So there was a discussion regarding that with my
8	client, that he needed to understand that he might pay the
9	bill because Judy had no access to money, but that Genet's
10	client was Judy.
11	Q Okay. So I think yesterday when Mr. Moog called
12	you, he had you identify there was a retainer agreement
13	or something?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. We did you play any role in that
16	retainer agreement?
17	A No, I signed it as a witness.
18	Q As a witness?
19	A Well, as Ron's attorney.
20	Q As Ron's attorney. Okay. When you when
21	Ms. McCann agreed to, at least tentatively, represent
22	Judy at this point Ms. McCann hasn't seen Judy. Or was
23	the retainer agreement filed signed afterwards?
24	A No, I believe it was before.
25	Q Okay. So you don't know at that point you

<ul> <li>have no personal knowledge, because you've never spoken to</li> <li>Judy, about what she's going to say when Ms. McCann goes to</li> <li>talk to her; right?</li> <li>A None.</li> <li>Q Okay. When Ms. McCann goes and talks to Judy,</li> <li>presumably you have another meeting after that?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. You don't go with her?</li> <li>A I did not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after</li> <li>Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that</li> <li>Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,</li> <li>indeed, the same?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>toward a common goal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>she in any way your agent?</li> <li>A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>people.</li> <li>Q Okay. So after Ms. McCann comes back from</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>talk to her; right?</li> <li>A None.</li> <li>Q Okay. When Ms. McCann goes and talks to Judy,</li> <li>presumably you have another meeting after that?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. You don't go with her?</li> <li>A I did not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after</li> <li>Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that</li> <li>Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,</li> <li>indeed, the same?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>toward a common goal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>she in any way your agent?</li> <li>A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>people.</li> </ul>	1	have no personal knowledge, because you've never spoken to
<ul> <li>A None.</li> <li>Q Okay. When Ms. McCann goes and talks to Judy,</li> <li>presumably you have another meeting after that?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. You don't go with her?</li> <li>A I did not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after</li> <li>Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that</li> <li>Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,</li> <li>indeed, the same?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>toward a common goal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>she in any way your agent?</li> <li>A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>people.</li> </ul>	2	Judy, about what she's going to say when Ms. McCann goes to
<ul> <li>Q Okay. When Ms. McCann goes and talks to Judy,</li> <li>presumably you have another meeting after that?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. You don't go with her?</li> <li>A I did not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after</li> <li>Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that</li> <li>Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,</li> <li>indeed, the same?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>toward a common goal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>she in any way your agent?</li> <li>A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>people.</li> </ul>	3	talk to her; right?
<ul> <li>presumably you have another meeting after that?</li> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. You don't go with her?</li> <li>A I did not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after</li> <li>Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that</li> <li>Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,</li> <li>indeed, the same?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>toward a common goal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>she in any way your agent?</li> <li>A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>people.</li> </ul>	4	A None.
<ul> <li>A Sure.</li> <li>Q Okay. You don't go with her?</li> <li>A I did not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after</li> <li>Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that</li> <li>Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,</li> <li>indeed, the same?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>toward a common goal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>she in any way your agent?</li> <li>A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>people.</li> </ul>	5	Q Okay. When Ms. McCann goes and talks to Judy,
<ul> <li>8 Q Okay. You don't go with her?</li> <li>9 A I did not.</li> <li>9 Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after</li> <li>11 Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that</li> <li>12 Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,</li> <li>13 indeed, the same?</li> <li>14 A Absolutely.</li> <li>15 Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>16 toward a common goal?</li> <li>17 A Yes.</li> <li>18 Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>19 was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>20 she in any way your agent?</li> <li>21 A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>22 attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>23 offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>24 people.</li> </ul>	б	presumably you have another meeting after that?
<ul> <li>A I did not.</li> <li>Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after</li> <li>Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that</li> <li>Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,</li> <li>indeed, the same?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>toward a common goal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>she in any way your agent?</li> <li>A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>people.</li> </ul>	7	A Sure.
<ul> <li>Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after</li> <li>Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that</li> <li>Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,</li> <li>indeed, the same?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>toward a common goal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>she in any way your agent?</li> <li>A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>people.</li> </ul>	8	Q Okay. You don't go with her?
Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are, indeed, the same? A Absolutely. Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann toward a common goal? A Yes. Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean, was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was she in any way your agent? A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many people.	9	A I did not.
Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are, indeed, the same? A Absolutely. Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann toward a common goal? A Yes. Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean, Was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was she in any way your agent? A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many people.	10	Q Okay. And as a result of the meeting after
13 indeed, the same? 14 A Absolutely. 15 Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann 16 toward a common goal? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean, 19 was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was 20 she in any way your agent? 21 A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent 22 attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's 23 offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many 24 people.	11	Ms. McCann goes to see Judy, is it your understanding that
<ul> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann</li> <li>toward a common goal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>she in any way your agent?</li> <li>A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>people.</li> </ul>	12	Judy's wishes and your client Ron's list of wishes are,
15 Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann 16 toward a common goal? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean, 19 was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was 20 she in any way your agent? 21 A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent 22 attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's 23 offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many 24 people.	13	indeed, the same?
<pre>16 toward a common goal? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean, 19 was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was 20 she in any way your agent? 21 A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent 22 attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's 23 offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many 24 people.</pre>	14	A Absolutely.
<ul> <li>17 A Yes.</li> <li>18 Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,</li> <li>19 was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was</li> <li>20 she in any way your agent?</li> <li>21 A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent</li> <li>22 attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's</li> <li>23 offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many</li> <li>24 people.</li> </ul>	15	Q Okay. And did you then work with Ms. McCann
Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean, was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was she in any way your agent? A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many people.	16	toward a common goal?
<pre>19 was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was 20 she in any way your agent? 21 A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent 22 attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's 23 offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many 24 people.</pre>	17	A Yes.
20 she in any way your agent? 21 A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent 22 attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's 23 offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many 24 people.	18	Q Were you pulling the strings with her? I mean,
A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many people.	19	was she your were you manipulating her? Were you was
<pre>22 attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's 23 offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many 24 people.</pre>	20	she in any way your agent?
23 offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many 24 people.	21	A No. And Genet is a competent, excellent
24 people.	22	attorney. And she's older than me. No. Again, that's
	23	offensive to suggest that I could manipulate this many
Q Okay. So after Ms. McCann comes back from	24	people.
	25	Q Okay. So after Ms. McCann comes back from

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

meeting Judy, is it safe to say, though, that you and 1 2 Ms. McCann had conversations about how to proceed? А Sure. 3 Okay. We heard from Ms. Zenker yesterday, 4 0 Roberta, about Ms. McCann's thoughts on a 1983 action 5 characterized as novel. 6 7 Did you -- did you buy into her suggestion that this should be taken to federal court? 8 9 А No. And that was one of our disagreements. Ι mean, I agree with Mrs. Zenker -- or Ms. Zenker -- that it 10 11 was novel. I mean, but you know what? Again, Genet's entitled to, on behalf of her client, look at options and 12 pursue concepts. It wasn't where I was headed. 13 14 Okay. But we do know from talking to Ms. Zenker 0 15 yesterday that at some point Genet is headed, because she filed a motion to attempt to get some more visitation. 16 17 Yes. Α 18 At that point what's Ron telling you? How often 0 19 does he get to see Judy? 20 MR. MOOG: Objection; calls for hearsay. 21 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained. 22 (By Mr. Sherwood) We've been through this. Q You don't know for sure what he's telling you is true; right? 23 24 Α I know through my correspondence with Mr. Shapiro that he was not being allowed to see Judy on a regular, 25

consistent basis, or for very much time. 1 0 Yeah, well, what's Mr. Shapiro telling you? 2 Is this, like, once a day? Once a week? Once a month? 3 I was asking Mr. Shapiro to have the guardians 4 Α set up a consistent, once-a-week visit. 5 Uh-huh. 6 0 7 Α And it just kept getting denied. No, we're not going to do it. 8 9 Okay. So is it fair to say that you wanted -- on 0 behalf of Ron, you wanted more visitation? 10 11 А Correct. Okay. We find -- and Ms. Zenker brings it up 12 Q yesterday, talks about it, how she's off on a hunting trip, 13 and she comes back to find that Ms. McCann has filed a 14 15 motion, not for -- to set aside the guardianship, but for more visitation, and has somehow represented to the Court 16 that DRM, Disability Rights of Montana, is in on that 17 18 motion; right? 19 Correct. А 20 Okay. Did you know that Ms. McCann was going to 0 21 file a motion saying that she was working under the agency of Disability Rights of Montana for additional visitation? 22 23 Is that a terrible question? Did you get the 24 question? 25 I get it. I did not know that she was going to А

1	file it under the representation that DRM was part of it.
2	I did know that she had met with Judy by then. She knew
3	what Judy's wishes were, and the holidays were right upon
4	them, and she wanted desperately to get to see her husband
5	for Thanksgiving.
6	Q That's what you're being told.
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. You don't know that.
9	A No. So but I know that she then made a
10	determination as Judy's attorney to act on her client's
11	stated interests, stated wishes, and to try to get
12	visitation.
13	Q Why don't you make a joint motion?
14	Well, let me withdraw that.
15	Had you had any success with any motion you filed
16	in front of Judge Krueger?
17	A None.
18	Q Okay.
19	A None. Any motion I filed mostly was allowed to
20	become moot based on time. Like they really want to get
21	together Christmas Day, and that can be facilitated through
22	family or the guardians, whoever, and he would let
23	Christmas come and go and then deny the motion based on its
24	mootness.
25	Q Okay. So you hadn't had much success?

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

	280
1	A None.
2	Q All right. So then Ms. McCann makes a motion;
3	right?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Okay. Now, correct me if I'm had you seen
6	this, I believe Ms. Zenker referred to it as an association
7	agreement. Had you seen this association agreement between
8	Ms. McCann and Disability Rights of Montana?
9	A Genet drafted it, and I believe, after it was
10	signed, that she did send me a copy.
11	Q Okay. Did you play any part in drafting that?
12	A None. I had nothing to do with it.
13	Q Is it we talked about Ms. McCann's perception
14	that maybe this should be taken federal with some sort of
15	1983 action. Were there times when you or was there a
16	time ever that you asked Ms. McCann or suggested to her
17	that this was that you had a way to proceed, and she
18	rejected that?
19	A Well, sort of, I guess, in the sense that I
20	really thought we needed to stay in district court but
21	possibly look at what we could do in Lewis and Clark
22	County, because that was now where Judy was being forced to
23	reside.
24	Q Uh-huh.
25	A And she wasn't real hip on that because she was

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	all excited about the federal concept. So, I mean, that
2	was a disagreement, I guess.
3	Q Ms. Zenker was shown a document yesterday that
4	let me get it.
5	Well, just cut to the chase. We saw something
6	yesterday where you Ms. McCann made a representation to
7	Ms. Zenker that you were pushing pretty hard, I think was
8	the term.
9	Did you push pretty hard at times?
10	A Oh, absolutely.
11	Q And was that in the
12	A But on behalf of my client.
13	Q Your client?
14	A Yeah.
15	Q And did you think you had an obligation to
16	zealously represent Ron?
17	A Yes, I did. I know I did.
18	Q Okay. So when you are asking DRM if there's some
19	room for them to get involved, and you're asking well,
20	let's start with that.
21	Do Roberta yesterday said that she never heard
22	of Churchill. Did you ever talk to her about Churchill?
23	A No. I know there's been kind of this suggestion
24	that somehow I should have informed DRM about Ms.
25	Churchill, but, no, absolutely not, because she had no

1	capacity in what was happening. She had not participated
2	in what was happening. And she had never advocated for any
3	of Judy's wishes, desires, intent. There was just no
4	I've used this phrase. She was not on anyone's radar until
5	Mr. Shapiro and his clients filed this claim against me and
б	they need to support it. I mean
7	Q Okay. So now let's move on to Ms. McCann. Would
8	you have provided copies of documents to Ms. McCann that
9	you thought were germane to her role representing Judy?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Do you how much do you know about that? Did
12	she have the pleadings file? Did you give it to her? Or
13	do you know whether she had it? Or
14	A I don't believe she had it, but she accessed it.
15	I believe she went and reviewed it.
16	Q Okay. Did you ever bring up Churchill
17	specifically Ms. Churchill to Ms. McCann?
18	A No.
19	Q But, as far as you know, Ms. McCann had the
20	pleadings file?
21	A Yes. Yes.
22	Q Okay.
23	A She didn't have them, but she went and viewed
24	them. As did DRM.
25	Q Well, Roberta yesterday said that she really

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

That she had gotten on the internet, I think, and 1 didn't. 2 looked at the supreme court stuff --Right. She had access to them. 3 А 0 -- and hadn't noticed. 4 But are you saying you provided documentation to 5 somebody that Roberta didn't see? 6 7 Α I don't understand your question. Q Well, I asked Roberta, Well, did you read the 8 9 supreme court stuff? And she said yeah. And I said, Did you notice that Ms. Churchill's name showed up in those 10 pleadings? And she said no. 11 But did you -- did you provide --- and it 12 seemed -- well, did you provide any pleadings to Bernie, 13 Ms. Churchill's superior, or to anybody else there at DRM? 14 15 Α Not pleadings. What I provided was copies of the brief, the appellate briefs, and, of course, on the front 16 page of that Ms. Churchill does appear. And so if -- if, 17 18 in fact, Ms. Zenker or Ms. Angoy-Franks -- they would have 19 been able to look at that and say okay, and make their own 20 determination about who she was. 21 But nobody needed to because she just -- I mean, everyone who practices here knows the law. Her appointment 22 23 as GAL terminated, and she's never acted in the capacity of 24 an attorney. 25 Q Your position.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

		28
1	A Correct.	
2	Q Okay.	
3	A Well, and most other attorneys that practice i	.n
4	this area.	
5	MR. MOOG: Objection; she's giving opinion	
6	evidence.	
7	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained.	
8	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) So	
9	A But I also provided them with a bunch of the	
10	documentation of Judy asking for representation. And,	you
11	know, I don't like "even if" arguments, but regardless	of
12	Churchill's presence, Judy, under our U.S. Constitution	l,
13	Montana constitution, Sixth Amendment, is entitled a	ınd
14	the MCA, what is it, 3-3-15? is entitled to an attor	ney
15	of her choice.	
16	Q Okay. So let's take a step back here. She's	
17	entitled to appointed attorney or an attorney or her	
18	choice; right?	
19	A Correct.	
20	Q So at any time when you were involved I kno	W
21	you got in late. The guardians permanent guardians	had
22	already been appointed. But did you ever make a move t	o go
23	back to Judge Krueger and say, I want you to appoint an	1
24	attorney for Judy?	
25	A No.	

1	Q Why not?
2	A I remember one of the commission members
3	yesterday asking if I had filed anything to clarify
4	Ms. Churchill's role. No, because, again, there was no
5	confusion as to her role. There was no
6	Q No, you weren't I think we've got that, Tina,
7	that you believed that, whatever Ms. Churchill was, it was
8	a guardian ad litem; right?
9	But that left a hole. Judy wasn't getting
10	representation. So apparently you made a decision that,
11	Well, I'll try to see if she can get retained counsel as
12	opposed to filing some sort of motion with Judge Krueger to
13	get her appointed one.
14	Why take the first option of getting her
15	arranging to get her own counsel?
16	A Because, as we've established, I had had
17	absolutely no luck with Judge Krueger on any motions that I
18	had filed in this matter.
19	Q Uh-uh.
20	A And there was a decision made that we did not
21	want to run the risk that he would appoint someone that was
22	not committed to Judy's stated interest, that he would just
23	appoint someone from the pool of available attorneys. And
24	I'm aware of the statute that an appointment in a case like
25	this is supposed to come out of the public defenders

1	office, and that didn't happen in the first case. He
2	appointed no attorney, in my opinion. And so a decision
3	was made that it would make more sense to get Judy someone
4	of her own choice. That was the better route for her.
5	Q And you thought the statute was pretty clear
6	that, indeed, she could hire a lawyer; right?
7	A I know it's clear. It's a constitutional right.
8	Q Okay. All right. So at any time well,
9	let's let's go back and make sure.
10	Ms. Zenker testified yesterday that she didn't
11	consider Disability Rights of Montana or herself that
12	they, either one of those, ever served in the capacity as
13	your agent.
14	Do you agree with that?
15	A I do.
16	Q Okay. With respect to Ms. McCann, did you ever
17	consider her to be your agent?
18	A No.
19	Q Did you ever have an expectation that she would
20	do anything other than act as an independent professional
21	bound by rules of professional responsibility?
22	A I expected her to do that.
23	Q Okay. Mr. Shapiro talked about how he had heard,
24	been advised, something, that Ms. McCann had gone to where
25	Judy resides sometime in the last few months, and that you

were in town. 1 2 So we know that date is August 28th, I believe, was it? 3 А Yes. 4 5 0 Where were you on August 28th? I can confirm that I was -- I'm sorry. I've been 6 А 7 accused of so many things. I was not in town on that day. I did not assist 8 Genet in going there. I was in Butte, Montana, attending 9 my 15-year-old daughter's volleyball game. I have her 10 11 schedule that I can produce that shows the game on that day and the time, and I have a photo of her in the gym, playing 12 that game that I was there for. 13 14 Okay. At some point did -- did Ms. McCann start 0 15 doing things that were odd? She started doings things that I would not 16 А necessarily do. 17 18 0 Uh-huh. 19 But I'm not going to call them odd. А 20 Okay. 0 21 They weren't what I would do. А 22 All right. Well, she's -- I think you're well Q 23 aware that she's gotten very sideways with this commission. 24 Α Yes. Were any of the actions that she took in dealing 25 Q

with this commission at your request? 1 2 Α At --3 Q At your request? Α No. 4 5 Were you, in fact, aware of what she was doing? 0 No. 6 А 7 Okay. Q I did, at one point, provide her with an 8 Α 9 affidavit of support because there were suggestions being made that she was in need of a psychological evaluation. 10 11 0 Uh-huh. And I provided an affidavit of support saying 12 А that that was not true. 13 That -- in your opinion --14 0 15 Α In my opinion. -- you didn't think she needed psychiatric help? 16 Q And I was as qualified as anybody to state that. 17 Α 18 Well, you don't have a degree in psychology? Q 19 Well, who was stating the opposite. So... Α 20 Right. Could your turn to ODC Exhibit 11, 0 21 please. 22 I have it. А Okay. This -- well, I'm sure if it's not been 23 0 admitted that I'll be told, but I believe it is. 24 Do you recognize this exhibit? 25

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1 Α Yes. 2 Okay. And it's --0 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Exhibit 11 has been admitted, 3 4 Counsel. 5 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you. Did you say has? CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Has. 6 MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 (By Mr. Sherwood) So I see that this is actually 8 0 9 an email from Ms. Churchill to you, apparently in response to your December 3rd that we talked about. 10 Did -- throughout all of this time with 11 Ms. Churchill, did you have -- were you on the phone with 12 13 her? 14 No. Α 15 0 Did you meet with her in person? 16 Α No. 17 Did you ever actually see her physically? 0 18 Α No. Okay. So whatever interaction you had with 19 Q 20 Ms. Churchill was pretty much emails? 21 I'm sorry. It was pretty much what? Α 22 Emails or correspondence? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. And we see here in this email from her to 0 25 you that she says:

1	I have no problems with the way the Bugnis are
2	handling their duties as guardian ad litem and conservator.
3	Did she ever, at any time in the apparently in
4	the correspondence and emails that you had with her,
5	purport that she was doing anything other than playing the
6	role of guardian ad litem?
7	A No. And I referenced her as guardian ad litem.
8	Q Pardon?
9	A I referenced her as guardian ad litem.
10	Q Yes. So
11	A You know, part of of my role in advocating for
12	my client, I filed motions to get him visitation. And if
13	Ms. Churchill believed that she was appointed as Judy's
14	attorney and still retained that role, she would have
15	needed to meet with her client and then either well, do
16	what Judy wanted her to do and join in those motions or
17	file her own.
18	Q That was your expectation?
19	A Yeah. If you're going to be someone's attorney,
20	that's what you need to do.
21	Q And she never did?
22	A She never did. Judy wanted to go to her 50th
23	high school reunion
24	MR. MOOG: Objection; unresponsive.
25	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Sustained.

1	MR. SHERWOOD: Yeah.
2	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Mr. Lowney would have alleged
3	that Judy wanted to do a lot of things; right?
4	A Yeah, including have a 50th wedding anniversary.
5	Q Okay. And did you ever have any did any
6	motions show up from Ms. Churchill advocating for Judy to
7	do any of the things that Mr. Lowney was telling you she
8	wanted done?
9	A No.
10	MR. MOOG: Objection; relevance.
11	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The record is going to speak
12	for itself, but you can testify to the extent you have
13	knowledge.
14	THE WITNESS: No. She didn't file any motions so
15	that Judy could celebrate her 50th wedding anniversary.
16	Q (By Mr. Sherwood) Just to avoid any tacit
17	admissions here, yesterday Mr. Shapiro and I don't think
18	we need to engage in any ad hominum attacks here, Tina
19	but Mr. Shapiro was asked and allowed to render several
20	opinions about his how he thought of you.
21	Are any of those justified?
22	A No.
23	Q Did you ever do anything other than zealously
24	advocate for your client, Ron Lowney?
25	A No. His suggestion that my contact with him was

obnoxious -- I forget his other three words -- are 1 inaccurate. And also, interestingly, they're not 2 unethical, even if they were true. 3 Q Okay. 4 5 Α He was annoyed by me. And without getting into specifics or name 6 Q 7 calling on your behalf, was it fair to say that you were a bit annoyed with him? 8 9 А Sure. 10 Q Okay. 11 MR. SHERWOOD: I have no more questions at this time. 12 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: How long do you think your 13 14 cross is going to be, Mr. Moog? 15 MR. MOOG: An hour. CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Do you have another witness --16 17 MR. SHERWOOD: No. 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: -- Mr. Sherwood? 19 All right. Let's take a five-minute break. In 20 fact, let's take a 10-minute break. 21 And even though I said we were going to be done at noon, we've had a number of breaks. I'll extend the 22 hearing time to 2:00. 23 24 So let's be in recess for 10 minutes. We'll 25 resume at 20 to noon. We'll recess for one hour at lunch,

and then reconvene for one hour, max. 1 2 We're in recess. (Proceedings in recess from 11:28 a.m. until 3 11:47 a.m.) 4 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. We will be back on the 5 record. It is 11:47, according to the court's clock. 6 7 Mr. Moog, you can conduct your cross-examination. As I indicated to counsel off the record, rather 8 9 than recessing for lunch, we are going to go straight through. We will conclude not later than 1:00, so that 10 11 ought to give us an hour and ten minutes for your cross and any redirect. 12 MR. MOOG: Okay. I will truncate my examination. 13 14 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Just letting counsel know the 15 time. MR. MOOG: I appreciate that, Mr. Chairman. 16 17 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. MOOG: 20 Good morning. 0 21 Good morning. А 22 I believe you said on direct examination that you Q faulted Ms. Churchill for not responding to your motions 23 24 filed in district court concerning the visitation issue? 25 I didn't fault her because I wasn't thinking of А

	294
1	her. I'm saying after the fact. If she were Judy's
2	attorney, she would have responded to those motions.
3	Q However, you also stated that you didn't serve
4	her on those motions; correct?
5	A She wasn't she wasn't in any capacity in those
6	proceedings.
7	Q Okay. So you didn't serve her, but she should
8	have responded?
9	A No. I think what she should have done is know
10	what her client wanted and then proceeded in that regard.
11	She shouldn't be responding to me. She should be
12	Q Thank you.
13	A representing her client.
14	Q Thank you. You answered the question.
15	You also stated on direct that you represented a
16	ward in a guardianship proceeding?
17	A An alleged incapacitated person, yes.
18	Q Was that by appointment or were your retained
19	counsel?
20	A It was by appointment.
21	Q And are you a member of the Office of Public
22	Defender?
23	A No.
24	Q Okay. Prior to agreeing to take Ron's case, did
25	you go to the district court and review the pleadings

1 filed? 2 Oh, I should back up. I was a replacement А appointment. So the first one was from the public 3 defenders office, and then I was the replacement. And I 4 had to agree to do it pro bono. 5 Okay. So you must be an appropriate official on 6 0 7 any of the statute then? MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; argumentative. 8 9 Objection; argumentative. 10 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Please repeat the question. Ι 11 apologize. 12 (Record read.) CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Why don't you rephrase the 13 14 question. 15 MR. MOOG: I'll move on. Thank you. Withdrawn. (By Mr. Moog) So my other question is, prior to 16 0 agreeing to take Ron's case, did you go to the district 17 18 court, check out the file, and review the pleadings? 19 А No. 20 Did you call Mr. Shapiro and ask him about what 0 21 happened in the case prior to your involvement? 22 No, I spoke with Mr. Driscoll. А Did you ask Mr. Shapiro for permission to speak 23 0 24 with the quardians? 25 No, I didn't need to speak with them. I was just А

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

appealing. And at that appellate level they don't take 1 evidence. So you all do is make a legal argument and write 2 a brief. 3 You were trying to collect background 4 0 information? 5 А I got background information from my client and 6 7 Mr. Driscoll. Okay. And did you call Debbie Churchill up on 8 0 9 the phone and ask her what her role was on the case? No, no need to. I'm just appealing issues. 10 Α I'm just -- and what her role was was not an issue. 11 Okay. Until now; right? 12 Q Take a look at Exhibit Number 2, please, 13 Ms. Morin. 14 15 А I have it. Okay. It's true here that the judge appoints 16 0 Debbie Churchill to represent Judith Ann Lowney; isn't that 17 18 correct? Yes. As a guardian ad litem. 19 А 20 No, that's not accurate. With the powers --0 21 MR. SHERWOOD: Objection --22 MR. MOOG: -- with the powers and duties --MR. SHERWOOD: Objection. Is he testifying? 23 24 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, that's argumentative. Let's try and -- he's entitled to ask leading questions, 25

1 however. (By Mr. Mooq) Isn't it true that this order says 2 0 she shall have the powers and duties of a quardian ad 3 litem? 4 Correct. I also notice that this order says 5 Α order appointing attorney to represent an alleged 6 7 incapacitated person. It doesn't say order appointing her as attorney. She just is an attorney. We know that. 8 So 9 he's appointing an attorney to represent her as a guardian ad litem. 10 11 And that's how you interpret the order; correct? 0 It's how it is under the law. 12 А At some point you did review the court file; 13 Q 14 correct? 15 А Yes. And did you see that Ms. Churchill had moved to 16 0 withdraw at a certain time? 17 18 А Yes. To withdraw as counsel of record; correct? 19 Q 20 Yes. My understanding is she wasn't getting paid Α 21 so she wanted out. 22 0 Would there be any reason to withdraw if she wasn't counsel of record? 23 24 Yeah, if she is a guardian ad litem. Yeah. Α And she's not getting paid, yeah. 25

1	Q	If I could direct your attention to Exhibit 36,
2	please.	
3	A	I'm there.
4	Q	And this is response to the Bugni complaint;
5	isn't th	at correct?
6	A	To the what complaint?
7	Q	The Bugnis' complaint.
8	A	Yes. This is my response to their complaint that
9	my corre	spondence with their attorney was harassing.
10	Q	If you could turn to Page 5 of that exhibit,
11	please?	
12	A	I see it.
13	Q	5 of 34. Isn't it true that you classified this
14	as ongoi:	ng litigation?
15	А	Yes. I was engaged in ongoing litigation with
16	Mr. Shap	iro on behalf of my client.
17	Q	And during that ongoing litigation, Judy was
18	unrepres	ented? Is that your position?
19	A	She was unrepresented, yes.
20	Q	Despite the court order appointing Debbie
21	Churchil	1?
22	A	That court order only appointed her as a guardian
23	ad litem	
24	Q	Okay.
25	A	It says

We don't need to --1 0 2 -- an attorney. Doesn't say as an attorney. Α Okay. You can parse the language, but we'll move 3 Q 4 on. 5 You did recruit Genet McCann to purport to represent Judy; did you not? 6 7 Α Yes, I asked Genet if she would consider that role. 8 9 And, likewise, you did request DRM to do a Q welfare check on Judy? 10 11 А Yes. And, likewise, you did request DRM grant its 12 Q access authority to assist Genet in meeting Judy? 13 Yes, on behalf of my client --14 Α 15 0 Okay. -- I advocated for his stated interest of wanting 16 А to be with his wife. 17 18 Okay. And I take it you didn't get Debbie Q Churchill's permission for that contact with Judy? 19 20 I had no contact with Judy. None whatsoever. А 21 I take it you did not get Debbie Churchill's 0 permission for Genet's contact with Judy? 22 That would not be my role. If -- if there was a 23 Α 24 need for permission, Genet would have had to have gotten 25 that.

1	Q Okay. And you didn't get the Court's permission;
2	correct?
3	A I had no contact with Judy, so I had no need to
4	get permission. I had no contact with her.
5	Q But you sent a third party to have contact with
6	her; did you not?
7	A I did not.
8	Q How so?
9	A My client, Ron Lowney, hired an attorney to
10	represent his wife, pursuant to her request.
11	Q And, likewise, you didn't have the guardians'
12	permission for Genet to meet with Judy?
13	A It wasn't up to me to get that permission.
14	Q Did you ever consider lodging a report with Adult
15	Protective Services?
16	A A report of what?
17	Q Well, you reported to DRM that Judy was being
18	abused or neglected; correct?
19	A We asked DRM to check on Judy because, in the
20	rare visits that Mr. Lowney had with her, she was not
21	wearing hearing aids that had been provided for her and
22	prescribed.
23	Q Okay. So why didn't you call APS?
24	A She she was smelling of urine.
25	Q Okay. So why didn't you call APS?

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

APS doesn't -- didn't have -- Disability Rights 1 Α of Montana has a right to go in without permission. APS 2 doesn't have that right, and the guardians would never have 3 granted it. 4 Are you aware that APS was involved in this case 5 0 from the beginning? 6 7 Α I'm aware that it was Mr. Lowney himself who involved them and made the call to them that said, I need 8 9 some help caring for my wife. He had been informed that they were people who could help him care for his wife. 10 11 Okay. And that was whole reason the guardianship 0 was necessary, is because Ron couldn't care for his wife; 12 13 correct? That is not correct. 14 Α 15 0 That's not in the court record? 16 А It's not correct. Okay. Well, didn't the court find that Ron was 17 0 18 incapable of caring for his wife? 19 At the guardianship hearing -- and I was not a Α 20 part of that -- evidence, a lot of evidence, was presented 21 that Mr. Lowney was not only mentally competent and stable, and -- but also evidence, including reports and letters 22 23 from experts, community members, and family members --24 Ms. Morin, the question was --0 -- he was capable of caring for his wife. 25 А

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

		302
1	Q	Did the Court determine
2		CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Counsel and Ms. Morin, do not
3	talk over	r each other.
4		MR. MOOG: Could I ask for a responsive answer?
5		CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yes, you may.
б		And, Ms. Morin, you may not like the questions,
7	but pleas	se respond to the question that's asked rather than
8	voluntee	ring information. Your lawyer will have a chance
9	to redire	ect.
10	Q	(By Mr. Moog) So my question was, Ms. Morin, did
11	Court det	termine that Lowney was incapable of caring for his
12	wife?	
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. And therefore he was not suitable to be a
15	guardian	?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. In addition to representing, or purporting
18	to repres	sent Judy, Ms. McCann also entered into a
19	represent	tation agreement with Ron; is that correct?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. And she charged him a \$5,000 retainer?
22	А	I don't recall the amount.
23	Q	Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 37.
24	А	I have it.
25	Q	On Page 2 of 3 of that exhibit, is this a

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

	3
1	contract for professional services from Avalon Law?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. And Paragraph II, deposit and terms of
4	payment, \$5,000; correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And Ms. McCann was charging Ron \$200 per hour?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And I believe Ms. McCann sent invoices to Ron
9	through your office; is that correct?
10	A I don't believe that's true.
11	Q Directing your attention to Page or
12	Exhibit 70.
13	A Yes, I have it.
14	Q Is that an email transmittal from Genet's office
15	to yours?
16	A Yes. She sent me copies, but she didn't send
17	Ron's to me through me. This is just a copy.
18	Q Okay. And it appears to me that you and Genet
19	were working in concert; is that correct?
20	A We had, each, a client who had similar goals and
21	interests and objectives.
22	Q Well, and about the visitation issue, Ms. Morin,
23	isn't it true that the district court limited by court
24	order Ron's visitation with Judy?
25	A They did, despite expert opinions that said it

was harmful. 1 2 And that is, to be accurate, once per month, if Ο practical. Correct? 3 Α Correct. 4 In the guardians' discretion; correct? 5 0 Correct. And facilitated through counsel. 6 А 7 Now, directing your attention to Exhibit 45. Q T have it. 8 Α 9 On Page 2 of that exhibit, I'm looking at an 0 email from yourself to Genet McCann dated Friday 10 November 18, 2006, at 1:01 p.m. Are you there? 11 November 18th, 2016? 12 А 13 At 1:01 p.m. Q 14 Yes, I have that. Α 15 0 Halfway down the page. You state that your paralegal is sending a 16 proposed petition for holiday visitation; isn't that 17 18 correct? 19 No, not proposed. А 20 What was it? 0 21 It was example of one that I had done for Ron. А 22 For Genet to use? Q For -- if she wanted to reference it. No use 23 Α 24 reinventing the wheel; right? 25 And the next email up is the day before, Q Okay.

November 17th, 2016, at 2:16 p.m. This is an email from 1 2 yourself to Genet McCann, and the final paragraph is what I want to ask you a question about. 3 It looks like you're recommending to her that she 4 take Judy out of Renaissance to meet with Ron, despite the 5 restriction on visitation being supervised. 6 7 Α Where do you see that? So it's still on Page 2 of 3. It's the last 8 0 9 paragraph of your email that starts on Page 1. Thursday, November 17, 2016, at 2:16 p.m. It's the middle email of 10 11 the string. I have the email. 12 Α Okay. On Page 2 of 3 of the exhibit, it's the 13 Q paragraph right above your signature block. And it looks 14 15 like you're suggesting that she take Judy out of the Renaissance to meet with Ron. 16 I really don't see where you're seeing that. 17 А Can 18 you point to the language or word that you're referencing? 19 I'm in the paragraph. Just say it to me. Where do you see 20 that I'm suggesting she take him out -- her out. 21 Maybe as her attorney you could pick Judy up and 0 bring her to DRMT -- that means DRM, I presume -- to their 22 conference room for the interview. DRM would not have to 23 24 attend. 25 It seems like you're asking her to get Ron there

as well. Is that a mistake? 1 All I -- what had been discussed with DRM 2 Α No. was that, with their mandate, they are able to pick people 3 up, interview them without the presence of guardians, to 4 determine what's happening with them. That was my 5 suggestion. And she would have that right as Judy's 6 7 attorney. Directing your attention to Exhibit 63, 8 0 9 Ms. Morin. Are you there? 10 11 А I am. Okay. I'm looking at an email from Genet McCann 12 Q on Tuesday, December 13th, 2016, at 6:30 p.m. where she 13 references Debbie Churchill. Do you see that? 14 15 А December. These are all 2016. On Tuesday, December 13th, 2016, at 6:30 p.m. --16 Q 17 А Yeah. 18 -- Genet McCann wrote --Q 19 -- has Debbie Churchill ever done anthing -- I Α 20 think it's supposed to be anything -- on the case after the 21 proceeding that appointed the guardians? 22 Okay. So I take it that you were aware of Q Ms. Churchill, as well was Genet McCann? 23 24 Α Absolutely not. This is in reference to the fact that you guys have now filed a complaint against Genet 25

	30
1	alleging that she violated her oath in approaching a
2	represented party. So now she's asking me, who's Debbie
3	Churchill, clearly indicating none of us really know. She
4	just all of a sudden appears after about five years of
5	litigation.
6	Q Even though she was appointed in 2011?
7	A As guardian ad litem.
8	Q When you communicate with Ron, is it by email?
9	A No.
10	Q Does Ron have a computer?
11	A He does not.
12	Q Directing your attention to Exhibit 82.
13	A I have it.
14	Q This looks like a typewritten or
15	computer-generated document; does is not?
16	A It's been prepared on a machine for sure.
17	Q Okay. Did you assist Mr. Lowney with the typing
18	of this?
19	A I did not.
20	Q Do you know who did?
21	A I believe her name is Rose, and she's the owner
22	of Insty-Prints in Butte, Montana. And Ron used Rose
23	before my time and some after. She was a very, very kind
24	person to assist him in trying to put things together prior
25	to him having representation, and then after.

Did you provide these alleged rule violations to 1 0 2 Mr. Lowney? I provided him the Rules of Professional Conduct 3 А because he wanted to know how an attorney could interfere 4 in his wife's right to have counsel. 5 And directing your attention to Exhibit 81, is 6 0 7 this your letter to Genet dated June 14th, 2017? June 14th, 2017. Yes. 8 Α And you're asking Genet to take some actions; are 9 0 you not? 10 11 Α Yes. And this, you know, would be wholly appropriate by me in advocacy of my client, to ask Judy's 12 attorney to address these issues. 13 And you stated that you had a duty to zealously 14 0 15 represent your client. Do you know that zealously was removed from the rules several years ago? 16 Many years ago, but it's the oath under which I 17 А 18 came into the practice of law. Do you recall a year ago, October 2017, when you 19 0 attended an order to show-cause hearing for Genet McCann? 20 21 You were a subpoenaed witness? You and Mr. Lowney were 22 subpoenaed witnesses? I remember coming up for a hearing for her. 23 Α Ι don't remember a -- I don't -- I remember a show-cause 24 hearing, but I thought it was for me. I didn't think it 25

	309
1	was for her.
2	Q There was one set for both of you. ODC was
3	trying to get the communications between the two of you.
4	Does that ring any bells?
5	A Oh, yeah.
6	Q Okay. And when Palmer Hoovestal came on board
7	for your case, he provided that, and your matter was
8	vacated.
9	Does that ring any bells?
10	A Correct. You threatened me that if I didn't
11	produce what I considered to be attorney-client privileged
12	material, that you would move for my disbarment. And so I
13	made the decision, with the consent of my client, to
14	present to provide those to you, despite the fact that I
15	believe it violates my confidence with my client.
16	Q Which client are you referring to?
17	A Ron Lowney.
18	Q No, I didn't ask for your communications with
19	Ron. I asked for your communications with Genet.
20	A Those are clearly privileged as work product.
21	There was no way you should have had those, and I should
22	never have been forced to produce those and break my oath
23	to my client.
24	Q Okay.
25	A And I was only allowed to do so by the grace of

Γ

	310
1	him.
2	Q Okay. Directing your attention to Exhibit 78.
3	A I have it.
4	Q Is this your supplemental response to ODC?
5	A Yes, but it's only in regard to I believe it's
6	only in regard to what the Bugnis filed, which was that I
7	was harassing their attorney, because I was never given the
8	opportunity by your office to address the claim that I had
9	violated the rule to approach to not approach a
10	represented party. I was never provided that opportunity.
11	No one in your office ever spoke to me about it.
12	Q Ms. Morin, the point being here is ODC requested
13	your communications between yourself and Ms. McCann;
14	correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And this is the document where you tell ODC to
17	pound sand?
18	A That would be an offensive characterization.
19	This is a well-crafted legal argument that points out to
20	you that you are violating one of the most sacred rights of
21	a client, of our citizens, in breaching forcing me to
22	breach confidences with my clients.
23	Q How are your communications with Genet
24	confidences with your client?
25	A They are privileged work product.

1	Q Okay. Once your work product leaves your office,
2	it's no longer work product; correct?
3	A That's not true.
4	Q Okay.
5	A That is a misstatement of the law, sir.
6	Q It's not protected from disclosure.
7	A That's a misstatement of the law.
8	Q Okay. Well, the commission will decide.
9	So anyway, you were subpoenaed to come to a
10	hearing by Ms. McCann October 2017. Do you remember that?
11	You and Ron Lowney had to come. You weren't allowed to
12	testify.
13	A Right.
14	Q Do you recall at that hearing Ms. McCann
15	attempted to assert an agency privilege protecting her
16	communications with you?
17	MR. SHERWOOD: Objection; relevance and hearsay.
18	MR. MOOG: In the commission proceedings.
19	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: The question is, does she
20	recall, and that is a yes or no answer.
21	THE WITNESS: No.
22	Q (By Mr. Moog) Okay. At this time I'd ask the
23	commission to take judicial notice of those proceedings
24	involving Genet McCann, both the show-cause hearing and the
25	formal prosecution resulting in her seven-month suspension.

1	MR. SHERWOOD: I object.
2	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, the I don't know that
3	we have a transcript of that. My initial reaction,
4	frankly, is that that's Genet McCann's position, not
5	necessarily one that Ms. Morin has adopted, that I'm aware
6	of.
7	So we'll take a look at it and take it for what
8	value it has.
9	MR. MOOG: Okay. I appreciate that.
10	THE WITNESS: Genet McCann was never my agent.
11	Q (By Mr. Moog) On direct, Ms. Morin, I believe you
12	said that you referred to Debbie Churchill as the prior
13	guardian ad litem in Exhibit 10.
14	Do you remember that testimony?
15	A Exhibit 10? And where are you looking?
16	Q I believe it was Page 2 of that letter. And I
17	recall on direct you classified this letter as being sent
18	to the prior guardian ad litem, but the letter refers to
19	the present tense, as her GAL; isn't that correct?
20	A I'm not sure where you are.
21	Q I'm on Exhibit 2, Page 2 of 2.
22	A Yes. Mrs. Churchill, as her GAL. Correct.
23	Q And that's present tense; correct?
24	A Yeah.
25	Q Okay. And your attorney asked you about Genet

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

McCann's visit to the Renaissance center in August and you 1 2 clarified that you were in Butte. Did you know Genet was going to visit Judy that 3 day? 4 5 Α I'm sorry, but I have a quick question back on 10 where Mrs. Churchill, as her GAL -- that's December of 6 7 2013; right? 8 0 Correct. 9 Was it -- had the guardianship thing been Α terminated? 10 11 The guardianship has never been terminated. Q No, I mean the permanent guardian appointment. 12 Α 13 Yes, and the matter was on appeal. Q 14 Okay. So on appeal, she's still her GAL. Okay. Α 15 It's only after remand that she disappears; is 0 16 that correct? Yeah, when that -- when the -- if you look at the 17 А 18 statute, the word "in these proceedings," when those 19 proceedings are over and permanent guardians have been 20 appointed, the in re AAM case states that those 21 appointments are over, terminated. 22 So this is accurate. 23 Do you recall your attorney filing for summary Q 24 judgement in this matter with the motion and brief filed 25 September 10th, 2018?

1 Α Yeah. Vaguely. 2 Would you like to refresh your recollection? 0 Sure. 3 Α MR. MOOG: May I approach? 4 5 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Yes. (By Mr. Moog) This is the summary judgement 6 Q 7 motion and brief. 8 Α Yes. 9 Okay. And directing your attention to --Q MR. SHERWOOD: Pleading number, please. 10 11 MR. MOOG: It's your motion for summary judgement. I don't have the pleading number in front of 12 This exhibit here, Appendix E to the motion for 13 me. summary judgement. 14 15 (By Mr. Moog) Can you identify that, please. 0 I cannot. I can read what it is, but it's not my 16 А 17 document. 18 0 Okay. Well, tell the commission what it is. 19 It appears to be a letter dated 5/9/18 by Judy Α 20 Lowney addressed to Judge Krueger asking him to appoint her 21 a lawyer. 22 And it's a typewritten document; is that correct? Q 23 Α Yes. To your knowledge, does Judy have access to a 24 0 25 typewriter or a computer?

	3
1	A I have no idea.
2	Q Okay. Have you ever received any typewritten
3	communications from Judy through Ron, or they've all been
4	handwritten?
5	A I don't know what she has at the Renaissance.
6	Q Have you ever received a typewritten statement
7	from her through Ron?
8	A No. No, sir. I don't have any direct contact
9	with her.
10	Q No. You've testified that you've received
11	written communications from Judy through Ron; correct?
12	Ron's brought you communications?
13	A Yes. She writes him everyday. Beautiful cards,
14	beautiful love letters.
15	Q Handwritten; correct?
16	A Yeah.
17	Q Okay.
18	A She's old school.
19	Q Do you know where your lawyer got that signed
20	statement?
21	A I'm sure he got it from me.
22	Q Okay. Where did you get it?
23	A I would have gotten it from Ron.
24	Q Where did he get it?
25	A I have no idea. Obviously, from Judy.

	310
1	Q Did you assist him in typewriting typing out
2	that statement, this Exhibit E to your
3	A I don't believe he typed it.
4	Q Well, you think Judy typed this?
5	A I don't know who did, but I'm positive my client
6	didn't. He doesn't do typing.
7	Q Did you type it?
8	A I did not. I have absolutely no access to Judy.
9	Q Except through Ron; correct?
10	A That's not access to her.
11	The date of that, was Genet her attorney by then?
12	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: There's not a question pending.
13	MR. MOOG: Mr. Chairman, at this time ODC
14	withdraws proposed Exhibits 86 and 86.1.
15	That's all I have.
16	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Redirect, Mr. Sherwood?
17	
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. SHERWOOD:
20	Q I'll try to be quick.
21	Tina, on this last exhibit I think it's 78
22	and there was a reference to an appendix to that. I didn't
23	quite follow Mr. Moog and you just talked about some
24	document. He wanted to know who typed it up?
25	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Counsel, I think that was

attached to a brief, rather than Exhibit 78. 1 MR. MOOG: To be clear, it was Pleading Number 2 35, your motion and brief in support of summary judgement, 3 Mr. Sherwood. 4 5 0 (By Mr. Sherwood) Was there a date on that? Did you get a look? 6 7 А I think it was May of 2018. I'm not finding it. Okay. Never mind. At any rate, you didn't type 8 0 9 it up? No. But it's May of 2018, I recall. 10 А 11 I want to direct your attention to 63 again. And 0 there was reference to -- this is down at the bottom of the 12 page where Ms. McCann is, if I understand correctly, asking 13 14 you if Churchill had done anything on the case after the 15 proceeding that appointed the guardians. Was Ms. McCann, do you know, at that point -- and 16 if you don't know, don't -- do you know where Ms. McCann 17 18 got Ms. Churchill's name? I believe that Ms. Churchill was associated with 19 А 20 a complaint filed against Genet by Mr. Shapiro and/or his 21 clients. 22 But I did respond to this. There is a response 23 to this email. ODC has not included it, but there is a 24 response. 25 So you did respond? Q

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

I did. I told her no. 1 Α Okay. With respect to -- could you turn to 2 0 Exhibit 81, please. 3 Α I have it. 4 Mr. Moog referred to that on cross about pointing 5 0 out that you were making an emergency request -- or asking 6 Ms. McCann to make an emergency request. 7 8 Α Yes. I note that you say please. Did you believe that 9 0 you were issuing a directive when you said that, that you 10 11 expected that she would follow some directive of yours? Absolutely not. Plus, I already filed my own on 12 А behalf of Ron. 13 14 So at that point, were -- did you consider Ο Okav. 15 Ron's wishes in the litigation to continue to be the same 16 as Judy's? 17 А Yes. 18 Of course, I don't -- I'm kind of new to this. 0 Ι 19 haven't ever seen McCann's record. But did you ever take a 20 position in some other proceeding here that -- that she was 21 your agent? 22 Α No. Never. But, as I understand it, you did feel that you 23 0 24 were being asked to produce work product information and thought that might be inappropriate. 25

1	A Oh, a hundred percent. Yes.
2	I I got the request I for the documents.
3	I responded with an excellent legal position that, no, it's
4	either confidential or work product privilege, which then
5	falls into the confidential category, and that I had not
6	been released by my client to release those documents and I
7	would not be doing so.
8	Next thing I know, Mr. Moog files an order to
9	show cause asserting to this body that I had failed to
10	respond and was not being cooperative with the ODC
11	proceedings, to which I responded and said, yes, I did
12	respond, and I'm not not being uncooperative. I've
13	taken a valid legal position.
14	Q And when you referred to client in that, the
15	reference was to Mr. Lowney, Ron Lowney; right?
16	A Correct.
17	MR. SHERWOOD: Nothing further.
18	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. And I understand,
19	Mr. Sherwood, that your client is your last witness?
20	MR. SHERWOOD: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: ODC, any rebuttal?
22	MR. MOOG: No, but maybe the panel has questions?
23	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: I'm not done yet.
24	Ms. DeVries?
25	COMMISSIONER DEVRIES: None.

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

	32
1	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. O'Brien?
2	COMMISSIONER O'BRIEN: None.
3	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Ogle?
4	COMMISSIONER OGLE: No questions.
5	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Menzies?
б	COMMISSIONER MENZIES: No. No, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Maloney?
8	COMMISSIONER MALONEY: No.
9	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Mr. Black?
10	COMMISSIONER BLACK: I have a couple of
11	questions.
12	
13	EXAMINATION
13 14	BY COMMISSIONER BLACK:
_	
14	BY COMMISSIONER BLACK:
14 15	BY COMMISSIONER BLACK: Q Ms. Morin, as I understand it, your involvement
14 15 16	BY COMMISSIONER BLACK: Q Ms. Morin, as I understand it, your involvement in this matter involving the Lowneys was involving the
14 15 16 17	BY COMMISSIONER BLACK: Q Ms. Morin, as I understand it, your involvement in this matter involving the Lowneys was involving the appeal in the district court?
14 15 16 17 18	BY COMMISSIONER BLACK: Q Ms. Morin, as I understand it, your involvement in this matter involving the Lowneys was involving the appeal in the district court? A I agreed to represent Mr. Lowney in appeal, yes,
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>BY COMMISSIONER BLACK: Q Ms. Morin, as I understand it, your involvement in this matter involving the Lowneys was involving the appeal in the district court? A I agreed to represent Mr. Lowney in appeal, yes, of the guardianship proceedings.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>BY COMMISSIONER BLACK: Q Ms. Morin, as I understand it, your involvement in this matter involving the Lowneys was involving the appeal in the district court? A I agreed to represent Mr. Lowney in appeal, yes, of the guardianship proceedings. Q When did you first become involved?</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>BY COMMISSIONER BLACK: Q Ms. Morin, as I understand it, your involvement in this matter involving the Lowneys was involving the appeal in the district court? A I agreed to represent Mr. Lowney in appeal, yes, of the guardianship proceedings. Q When did you first become involved? A I believe it was in late 2013.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>BY COMMISSIONER BLACK: Q Ms. Morin, as I understand it, your involvement in this matter involving the Lowneys was involving the appeal in the district court? A I agreed to represent Mr. Lowney in appeal, yes, of the guardianship proceedings. Q When did you first become involved? A I believe it was in late 2013. Q Okay. Could you look at Exhibit Number 1 for me,</pre>

be on, right, Page 5. Page 5. 1 2 Let me get this chronology down so I understand what's going on. 112, there's a notice and consent to 3 withdraw and substitution of counsel. It doesn't say who. 4 Is that when you would have appeared? Do you know? 5 А I don't. It was --6 7 0 If you don't know, that's fine. It appears to be around that time frame. I'm just curious if you remember. 8 9 You testified about --That probably is me. 10 А 11 Okay. That's my guess too, but I don't want to Q 12 guess. Yeah. 13 А There was correspondence dated a couple of weeks 14 0 15 later, and I think it's Exhibit Number 10, if I recall right. And you were just asked this by Mr. Moog. 16 17 That's a letter from you to Ms. Churchill and 18 Mr. Shapiro? 19 Yes. Α 20 Okay. And Mr. Moog asked you about referring to 0 21 Ms. Churchill as the GAL on Page 2. 22 Α Yes. Okay. How did you know when you wrote this 23 0 24 letter that Ms. Churchill was the GAL? 25 I had been in contact with -- because I had not А

1	yet reviewed the early guardianship proceedings but I
2	had been in contact with Ron's prior attorney, Bill
3	Driscoll and spoke to him about, Hey, what's going down,
4	what do you think about all this. He wrote me a letter,
5	and in it he identifies Debbie Churchill as guardian ad
6	litem for Judy Lowney.
7	Q Okay. You referenced earlier in your testimony
8	that you saw a report of GAL.
9	A Uh-huh.
10	Q Do you recall that testimony?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Had you seen the report of GAL by the time you
13	wrote this letter?
14	A Probably not.
15	Q Is Exhibit Number 4 the report of GAL you're
16	taking about? It was filed in September.
17	A Yep.
18	Q That would be the one you referenced earlier?
19	A Yes, and then there's an addendum.
20	Q Okay. But it was filed September 4th of 2013?
21	A Right.
22	Q Okay. Thank you.
23	Now I want to go to Exhibit 37, and I have a
24	couple questions about that, and that has the retainer
25	agreement for Ms. McCann attached to it.

1 Α Yes. 2 And this is -- this bears your signature on the 0 bottom of Page 2; correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 And why are you giving informed consent? 5 0 Well, ironically, Genet and I were being very 6 А 7 careful because Ron already had representation. That was Now he's entering into another representation 8 me. 9 agreement where he's not the client, and he needed to understand that, while he was paying the bill, he was not 10 the client. 11 Okay. Why do you say he's not the client? 12 Q The agreement, the beginning, says he is the client. 13 Well, it's -- it's similar to, the same as an 14 Α 15 insurance company that pays the bill. They have retainer agreements with the --16 Well, but we're not talking about an insurance 17 0 18 company. Why does it say that Ron Lowney is the client, if 19 you know? If you don't know, that's --20 I don't know. Genet drafted it, and it just --Α 21 that's what she put there, I guess. 22 0 Did you ever provide this agreement to Disability 23 Rights of Montana? 24 А I believe she did. No, I want to know if you did. 25 Q

	3
1	A I didn't.
2	Q Okay. And just a couple of other questions. Has
3	to do with the appeal.
4	Did you review filings in the appeal after you
5	filed the notice of appeal, when those filings were served
6	on you?
7	A I don't understand your question.
8	Q Well, when something's served on you in the
9	appeal, did you review it when it was served upon you?
10	A Like the response brief?
11	Q Like the appellate brief.
12	A Sure.
13	Q And you reviewed that prior to filing your reply
14	brief?
15	A Sure.
16	Q Okay. Did you review the orders of the Montana
17	Supreme Court that were served upon you in the appeal?
18	A Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BLACK: That's all the questions I
20	have. Thank you, Ms. Morin.
21	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Okay. Ms. Perry?
22	COMMISSIONER PERRY: I just have a couple.
23	
24	EXAMINATION
25	BY COMMISSIONER PERRY:

Lesofski Court Reporting & Video Conferencing/406-443-2010

1	Q We've gone through a lot of documents. And I've
2	made note, in most of your correspondence, or at least some
3	of your correspondence with other people involved in the
4	case, specifically, like, Exhibit 69, Exhibit 72,
5	Exhibit 10, your emails are always or letters are always
б	talking about Judy's best interest, and yet you've
7	testified today quite a bit about her constitutional right
8	to stated interests. And I just wanted to make sure I
9	wasn't missing something.
10	Can you direct me to any of your emails or
11	correspondence in which you use the term "stated interest"?
12	A There's a lot of emails that are not have not
13	been admitted as exhibits, but there was no discussion
14	about stated interests, as far as Debbie Churchill was
15	involved, because she was not her attorney.
16	So my emails to Mr. Shapiro and then the one
17	early one to Debbie Churchill when she was still the
18	guardian ad litem talks about best interests because that
19	was, you know, Churchill's role, and trying to get Shapiro,
20	because he represented the guardians, and their duty of
21	representation, their fiduciary duty, is best interest, for
22	guardians. So there was not really ever any dialogue about
23	stated interest because no one was representing Judy.
24	Q Okay. And you didn't raise that then with them
25	about stated interests?

1 A No. I was trying to get them to act on her best 2 interest.

Q Okay. I was listening to your testimony about the appeal, and you said there were -- you testified there were two main issues on appeal: One were the fees that Ron was supposed to pay, and then you were also making an argument -- my notes indicate that the appointment of the those guardians affected her constitutional rights.

9 On appeal, did -- and maybe I'm just not familiar 10 with the record -- but did you challenge the appointment of 11 Debbie Churchill and the lack of an appointment of an 12 attorney to represent Judy's stated interests?

There was no need to challenge that. We 13 Α No. weren't focused on -- I had no knowledge of the prior 14 15 proceedings. I wasn't involved in them. So there was nothing in me to trigger that to say, Oh, well, you know, 16 Ms. Churchill is not acting as a guardian ad litem. You 17 18 know what I mean? So I wasn't -- it wasn't an appealable 19 issue.

Q So just so I'm clear then, you believe that the representation of someone in an appeal doesn't require you to read or become familiar with what has previously happened in the district court?

A No, I need -- I -- to take someone up on appeal, I need to know what the appealable issues are. And I did

1	rely heavily on Mr. Gillespie to help me figure out what
2	that what those appealable issues are. And in his
3	identifying, these are the ones that he thought might best
4	get a positive result for Mr. Lowney.
5	COMMISSIONER PERRY: Thank you. No more
6	questions.
7	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Ms. Faure?
8	COMMISSIONER FAURE: I didn't have any, but you
9	just mentioned a Mr. Gillespie.
10	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Driscoll.
11	COMMISSIONER FAURE: All right. Thank you. I
12	don't have anything further.
13	
14	EXAMINATION
15	BY CHAIRMAN TALEFF:
16	Q I just you say that Debbie Churchill's role
17	was not an issue, wasn't on the radar in the appeal, but
18	one of the appeal issues was the award to Debbie Churchill
19	of attorney fees, wasn't it?
20	A Yes, but as guardian ad litem.
21	Q If that distinction exists, it's in your brief?
22	A In what brief?
23	Q In the brief you filed with the Montana Supreme
24	Court on appeal. If your argument was that the awarded
25	fees was as to her in the capacity as a GAL, that would be

an argument that appears in your brief? 1 I did the appeal on the attorney fees that 2 Α No. were awarded to attorneys with the knowledge that these 3 fees were awarded to an attorney who had been appointed as 4 5 the quardian ad litem. And the issue that I raised was that they are --6 7 in Montana, in order to get attorney fees in a case, you 8 have to have either an agreement between the parties or 9 statutory right to them. Now, there is a third prong that is like a sort of catch-all, but I argued that there was 10 neither an agreement for Mr. Lowney to pay these fees or --11 That's fine. We have the brief. I just wanted 12 0 to know -- I think you've answered my question. Thank you. 13 14 Yeah. And one other point I'll make too, Mr. Α 15 Chairperson, is that her bill, as I recall it, did not reflect an hourly rate of an attorney. It reflected an 16 hourly rate of a GAL. 17 18 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: All right. That's all. We 19 will -- you may step down. 20 We will regard the matter as having been 21 submitted. We will deliberate, and we will -- as soon as 22 we're able to after the transcript is received, we'll get a decision out. 23 24 MR. MOOG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Thank you.

1	MR. SHERWOOD: Mr. Chairman if chairman is
2	correct anymore, but my sense is that people have other
3	places to go, and so I'm not trying to delay this, but I
4	do having first time here, I find it a bit strange
5	that, you know, there are no real jury instructions, and
б	there are folks here that aren't lawyers. And so I would
7	offer and I recognize that it would be in your
8	discretion to submit proposed findings of facts and
9	conclusions if if the adjudicatory panel wanted us to do
10	that.
11	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: It's not typically done. It's
12	not something that I would refuse you the opportunity to
13	do, as long it's done in a reasonable and timely manner.
14	MR. SHERWOOD: You can pretty much say go, and
15	I'll jump. What sort of time do you want to
16	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Well, I know you've considered
17	calling me Mr. Dictator a couple of times, probably.
18	MR. SHERWOOD: No.
19	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: But I would not say jump and
20	expect you to go. Typically I would say within two weeks
21	to 20 days. I doubt we will get a transcript much earlier
22	than that. So why don't we say it's December 4th.
23	Let's say by December 20th close of business by
24	December 20th if you'd be kind enough to file whatever you
25	want to.

1	MR. MOOG: It's optional then?
2	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: It's optional. You're not
3	required to. If you want to. That would include a brief,
4	if you want, a post-hearing brief. I would encourage you
5	to go look in the definition of brief in the dictionary,
6	because I think we lawyers don't understand that very well.
7	But if you're so inclined, you're certainly entitled to do
8	that.
9	MR. SHERWOOD: Yes, well, I appreciate it, and we
10	will do that. And I did notice I mean, I'm aware of
11	brief, and I did my best. I believe I kept the hearing
12	brief under 10 pages.
13	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: You did, and I appreciated
14	that.
15	MR. SHERWOOD: Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN TALEFF: Thank you. We will be in
17	recess.
18	MR. SHERWOOD: Thank you.
19	(Proceedings concluded at 12:42 p.m.)
20	* * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE STATE OF MONTANA ) ss. COUNTY OF LEWIS AND CLARK ) I, HOLLY FOX, Freelance Court Reporter and a Notary Public for the State of Montana, do hereby certify: That I did report the foregoing proceedings to the best of my ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and seal on this 19th day of December, 2018.